**Figure S1.** **Overview of Analysis Workflow.**

1. Proteomics analysis workflow.
2. Metabolomics analysis workflow.

**Figure S2. Functional Networks of Significantly Different Features.**

Networks of features belonging to significantly enriched GO terms (proteomics) or molecular class (metabolomics) (p.adj value <= 0.05 relative to the background universe of all features detected in the study).

1. Increased in EcB compared to healthy volunteers.
2. Increased in healthy volunteers compared to EcB.
3. Increased in *E. faecalis* bacteremia compared to healthy volunteers.
4. Increased in healthy volunteers compared to *E. faecalis* bacteremia*.*
5. Increased in *E. faecium* bacteremia compared to healthy volunteers.
6. Increased in healthy volunteers compared to *E. faecium* bacteremia.
7. Increased in *S. aureus* bacteremia compared to healthy volunteers.
8. Increased in healthy volunteers compared to *S. aureus* bacteremia.
9. Increased in *S. aureus* bacteremia relative to healthy volunteers.
10. Increased in healthy volunteers relative to *S. aureus* bacteremia.

**Figure S3. Evaluation of Clinically Used Biomarkers**

1. ROC curves derived from logistic regression modeling of CRP and SAA1 wherein healthy status was coded as success (1) and EcB status was coded as failure (0).
2. Violin plots showing CRP and SAA1 abundances detected among EcB patients by causative organism and healthy volunteers. Statistics show results of t tests adjusted for multiple comparisons.

**Figure S4. Top 10 Biomarkers for Distinguishing Healthy from Infected**

Comparisons of abundances of the top 10 features identified using EFS with violin plots showing differences in abundance and ROC curves produced by logistic regression wherein healthy status was coded as success (1) and EcB status was coded as failure (0). Violin plot statistics indicate results of t tests adjusted for multiple comparisons.

1. Proteomics
2. Metabolomics

**Figure S5. Top 10 Biomarkers for Distinguishing Faecium from Faecalis**

Comparisons of abundances of the top 10 features identified using EFS with violin plots showing differences in abundance and ROC curves produced by logistic regression wherein *E. faecalis* status was coded as success (1) and *E. faecium* status was coded as failure (0). Violin plot statistics indicate results of t tests adjusted for multiple comparisons.

1. Proteomics
2. Metabolomics

**Figure S6. Top 10 Biomarkers for Distinguishing Mortality from Survival**

Comparisons of abundances of the top 10 features identified using EFS with violin plots showing differences in abundance and ROC curves produced by logistic regression wherein survival status was coded as success (1) and mortality status was coded as failure (0). Violin plot statistics indicate results of t tests adjusted for multiple comparisons.

1. Proteomics
2. Metabolomics

**Figure S7. Assessment of Top 10 Biomarkers for Potentially Confounding Variables**

P-values resulting from testing for significant associations of top identified features with clinical metadata. Categorical metadata associations were determined using Mann-Whitney U test /Wilcoxon rank-sum test (when only two categories were present) or Kruskall-Wallis test (when more than two categories were present). Continuous metadata associations were determined using Pearson correlation.

1. *E. faecalis* vs *E. faecium* top proteomic features.
2. *E. faecalis* vs *E. faecium* top metabolomic features
3. Mortality vs survival top proteomic features
4. Mortality vs survival top metabolomic features

**Figure S8. Cytokine Inference from Significantly Increased Proteins.**

1. Network of proteins and interactions with a panel of cytokines colored by whether they were significantly enriched in EcB infection (compared to healthy volunteers- orange), *E. faecalis* (compared to healthy volunteers- navy), *E. faecium* (compared to healthy volunteers- teal), or healthy volunteers (compared to EcB infection- yellow).
2. Diagram of the logic and statistics used to infer cytokine abundance.
3. P-values and direction of change of cytokines included in cytokine inference screening panel. Size of dots represent direction of change with large being increased, and small being decreased. Colors represent the sample type as described in (A).
4. Network highlighting associations of significantly increased proteins observed in EcB infection and expected interactions with TNF alpha.

**Figure S9. Clustering of Clinical Isolates of Enterococcus by Gene Content.**

Unsupervised clustering of *de novo* assemblies of strains isolated from EcB patients in this study, as conducted using the binary distance metric and complete agglomeration method. X axis indicates genes in pan genome, color indicates presence (red) or absence (blue) of gene. Colored bars on the Y axis represent whether the patient succumbed to mortality (red) or survived (blue)

1. *E. faecalis* isolates
2. *E. faecium* isolates

**Figure S10. Assessment of Confounding Variable Effects**

1. Differences in immunoglobulin abundances between *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* bacteremia when filtered to only include patients that had not gotten any organ transplant. Statistics show the results of t tests adjusted for multiple comparisons.
2. Differences in biomarkers for distinguishing *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* identified to be significantly associated with smoking when filtered to only include nonsmoking patients. Statistics show the results of t tests.
3. Differences in protein biomarkers for distinguishing *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* identified to be significantly associated with transplant type when filtered to only include patients who had not gotten any organ transplant. Statistics show the results of t tests.
4. Differences in metabolite biomarkers for distinguishing *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* identified to be significantly associated with transplant type when filtered to only include patients who had not gotten any organ transplant. Statistics show the results of t tests.