

UNIVERSITY OF BAYREUTH

## Causal Inference - Spring 22

### Assignment 1

Due at Noon of Friday of Week 5

WORD LIMIT: 4,000 WORDS, EXCLUDING CODE

*Note: This is a hard limit, not a benchmark.*

#### Exercise 1

Imagine the following setup. You have causal a question, i.e. you want to see what is the effect of a variable  $X$  on a variable  $Y$ . You want to estimate this effect using a linear regression predicting  $Y$  from  $X$ . Provide a list of reasons for which you might consider including other independent variables in your analysis. Does it matter if you have experimental or observational data? What characteristics do these variables need to have? Provide an example either from one of your seminar papers or from other readings.

#### Exercise 2

The Syrian civil war that began March 2011 has led to one of the largest refugee and displacement crisis of our time, affecting millions of people and spilling into surrounding countries. Since 2011, Sweden welcomed around 150,000 Syrian refugees and randomly allocated them across a share of their local councils. Researchers would like to evaluate whether this random influx of refugees has led to voting for extreme right candidates in recent local elections. Evaluate the SUTVA in this context.

#### Exercise 3

Past research suggests that ballot secrecy influences turnout. This is an extra concern for habitual nonvoters who are less likely to turn out if they think that their vote is not secret. A recent field

Table 1: Variable Description

<b>vote2014</b> (Outcome)	Did the respondent vote in 2014 (1, yes/0, no)
<b>mail</b> (Treatment)	1 received mail, 0 did not receive mail
vote_year	voting in the relevant year
d_age	respondent's age
d_gen_female	R's gender (1, female/0, male)
d_race_blk	R's race, Black
d_race_hsp	R's race, Hispanic
d_race_other	R's race, Other
never_voted	R has never voted

experiment sent mails to a random group of nonvoters around the 2014 election in Mississippi reminding them that their vote is secret (the data set - ballot.csv - is available on the course webpage).<sup>1</sup> A sample of the treatment mail can be found in Figure 1 at the end of this document. In this exercise you are asked to vet the experiment. Although the variable names are self-explanatory, Table 1 can be helpful.

1. First, confirm that the randomization process was successful by making sure that individuals in treatment and control groups are similar in all relevant aspects. (either prepare a table or a figure)
2. Report the *Average Treatment Effect* and make sure that the effects you calculated are robust to the inclusion of covariates (report the regression models in a single table).
3. Now imagine the researchers had sent the information digitally and, thus, were able to observe who actually opened and read the letter. The variable *Open* indicates whether a respondent opened the digital file or not ( $0 = \text{didn't open}$ ;  $1 = \text{opened}$ ). Calculate the *Intent-to-Treat Effect* and *Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE)*. How does the CACE compare to the *Average Treatment Effect*?

*Hint: How does OPEN causally relate to MAIL?*

## Exercise 4

In this exercise you will design your own experiment. You may suggest any kind of experiment you want (lab experiment, field experiment, survey experiment).

Your proposal should be in the form of a research proposal or *pre-analysis plan* that sets out in detail how the experiment will be run. Registering a pre-analysis plan helps convince your readers that

<sup>1</sup> Gerber, A. S., Huber, G. A., Fang, A. H., & Reardon, C. E. (2017). The effect on turnout of campaign mobilization messages addressing ballot secrecy concerns: A replication experiment. PloS one, 12(8), e0182199.

you have not engaged in “ $p$ -hacking”, i.e. searching for specifications, subgroups, and measurement strategies that yield the results you want. Chapter 13 (Section 13.1) of Gerber and Green *Field Experiments* is a good guide to writing a research proposal. The EGAP website also has many actual examples of registered pre-analysis plans (<https://egap.org/registry/>).

Your writeup should address the following points:

1. Introduce your topic and explain why it is important.
2. Spell out the research hypothesis: what question does the experiment address? What is the estimand of interest? (Explain using potential outcomes notation.) What effect(s) do you expect?
3. Describe the treatment in detail.
4. Describe the ideal sampling strategy for including subjects in the experiment.
5. Describe exactly what pre-treatment data will be collected, if any.
6. Will your design include a manipulation check? If so, describe it.
7. Describe in detail the outcome measure(s).
8. Describe how you plan to analyze the data.
9. Do you expect the treatment effect to differ across subgroups? Which subgroups? Why and How?

Figure 1: Sample of a mail sent to Mississippi registered non-voters

