

Isomorphism between general objects

with a simple application in topological geometry

Shigeo Hattori

January 5, 2020

bayship.org@gmail.com

<https://github.com/bayship-org/mathematics>

1 Introduction

Prerequisites are only some first chapters of graduate level texts of general topology and graph theory; (1),(2). Though, in almost all sub areas of mathematics, there are expected valuable applications of the defined new notion.

This article defines when two given general objects are said isomorphic. For example, two numbers $\forall(x, y)$ are isomorphic if and only if $x = y$. Contrary **two points in the sense of elementary geometry are unconditionally said isomorphic.**

Meanwhile two topological spaces are said isomorphic if the two are homeomorphic and their points are points of elementary geometry.

So what to do if their points are not points of elementary geometry? To keep the texts simple, let us define for the rest that **all points of topology must be points of elementary geometry.** And " $\forall x$ is a point" is meant **in the sense of elementary geometry.**

Example.1:

- $T1 := \{\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}.$
- $T2 := \{t2 \mid \exists t1 : \in T1 \text{ and } t2 = \{ID(x) \mid x \in t1\}\}.$

Example.1 shows how to convert a topology $T1$ of non-points to a topology $T2$ of points. Namely **you convert all non-point $\forall x$ to a point** using a function

ID(x) which returns the identity of x . That is, it is defined that **"point" is an alias of "identity"**.

Let us continue to enumerate more examples of isomorphism. $(X1, p1, 321) \cong (X2, p2, 321)$ if $X1 \cong X2$ by some homeomorphism $\exists f$ and $f(p1) = p2$. $(x1, 321) \not\cong (x2, 123)$ even if $x1 \cong x2$. $\{x, y\} \not\cong (x, y)$ if $x \neq y$ because $(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$.

Needless to say, \cong can express more complex examples like $(X, F, k, p)_1 \cong (X, F, k, p)_2$ where $X_{\forall i}$ is a topological space, $F_{\forall i}$ is a set of some ambient isotopies on $\text{Space}(X_i)^*[0,1]$, $k_{\forall i}$ is an embedding into X_i and $p_{\forall i}$ is a point of X .

Moreover, \cong can express that two logical expressions containing general objects are isomorphic because all logical expressions can be expressed as graphs.

2 Isomorphism between general objects

A topological space X is a pair as $(\text{Space}(X), T)$ where $\text{Space}(X)$ denotes the set of all points of X and T denotes the topology.

Definition 2.1 (Deep member). Take $\forall(c, x, y)$ such that: c is a chain of set membership. x is the maximum member of c . y is a member of c . Then y is said a deep member of x and you write as $y \in^{deep} x$.

For example:

$$m \in \dots \in y \in \dots \in x$$

For example:

$$\{y1, y2\} \in^{deep} \{y1, y2\}$$

$$y \in^{deep} \{1, \{2, y\}\}$$

Definition 2.2 (Space). $\text{Space}(\forall X) := \{p \mid p \in^{deep} X \text{ and } p \text{ is a point}\}$.

Definition 2.3 (Nested graph). A nested graph is a graph of which some vertices may be nested graphs. The graph isomorphism of nested graphs is defined to regard all vertices as points even if some vertex is a nested graph.

For example, two nest graphs, $(V, E)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ as $V_1 := \{1\}, V_2 := \{(V_1, E_1)\}$, are graph-isomorphic if $E_{\forall i}$ is empty.

Take $\forall G$ as a nested graph. If no vertex of G is a nested graph. Then the nest

number of G is defined to be 0. Otherwise the nested number of G is defined to be $m + 1$ where m denotes the maximum nested number among all its vertices which are nested graphs. And G is defined to have the finite nested number.

Definition 2.4 (Isomorphism between vertices of nested graphs). Take $\forall(F, p_1, p_2)$ such that: F is a bijection between sets of points. (p_1, p_2) are vertices. Let $S_F := \text{Space}(\text{domain}(F) \cup \text{image}(F))$.

Define that: $*1 \equiv (*2 \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} \dots \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} *5)$.

1. $p_1 \cong_F p_2$.

2. $F(p_1) = p_2$

3. $p_1 = p_2 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} \emptyset = \text{Space}(\{p_1, p_2\}) \cap S_F$.

4. take $\forall i \in \{1, 2\} \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} \emptyset \neq \text{Space}(p_i) \cap S_F$.

5. If $p_{\forall i}$ is a nested graph then $p_1 \cong^F p_2$.

$p_1 \cong^F p_2$, is defined later.

■

Proposition 1. Take $\forall m : \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathbb{G}_m denote the set of all nested graphs having nested numbers at most m . Then $*1 \rightarrow *2$.

1. For \mathbb{G}_m : the proposition 2 holds true.

2. For \mathbb{G}_m : $p_1 \cong_F p_2 \equiv p_2 \cong_{F^{-1}} p_1$.

Proof. Take $\forall(p_1, p_2, F)$ as a counterexample. Hence $(*2 \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} \dots \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} *5)$ of the definition 2.4 holds for (p_1, p_2, F) in place of (p_1, p_2, F) .

p1. Assume $(*2 \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} \dots \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} *4)$ of the definition holds for (p_1, p_2, F) .

It is clear that each logical expression of the disjunctions are logically symmetric between (p_1, p_2, F) and (p_2, p_1, F^{-1}) . Hence each holds for (p_2, p_1, F^{-1}) in place of (p_1, p_2, F) . A contradiction.

p2. Assume $*5$ of the definition holds for (p_1, p_2, F) . By $*1$ of this proposition, the proposition 2 holds for (p_1, p_2, F) in place of (G_1, G_2, F) then $*5$ of the definition holds for (p_2, p_1, F^{-1}) too in place of (p_1, p_2, F) . A contradiction.

□ 83

Definition 2.5 (Isomorphism between nested graphs). Take $\forall F$ as a bijection 84
between sets of points. Take $\forall\{G_i\}_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ as a pair of nested graphs. Decompose 85
 G_i as $\exists(V, E)_i$. 86

Then F is said an isomorphism from G_1 to G_2 if $(*0 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} *1)$. Define that: 87
 $(*0 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} *1) \equiv *2$. And define that: $*2 \rightarrow *3$. 88

0. $\exists f$ as a graph isomorphism from G_1 to G_2 . 89

1. Take $\forall v : \in V_1$, then $v \cong_F f(v)$. 90

2. $(G, C)_1 \cong^F (G, C)_2$. 91

3. $(G, C)_1 \cong (G, C)_2$. 92

■ 93

Proposition 2. $G_1 \cong^F G_2. \quad \equiv \quad G_2 \cong^{F^{-1}} G_1$. 94

Proof. Let DefIsoGraph be an alias for the definition 2.5. 95

Take $\forall(G_1, G_2, F)$ as a minimum counterexample compared by the maxi- 96
mum nest number for G_1 . Though at least F^{-1} is a bijection between sets of 97
points. Hence the antecedent of DefIsoGraph holds for (G_2, G_1, F^{-1}) in place 98
of (G_1, G_2, F) except $(*0 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} *1)$ of DefIsoGraph. 99

Hence $*1$ of DefIsoGraph fails for $(G_2, G_1, F^{-1}, f^{-1})$ in place of (G_1, G_2, F, f) . 100

q1. Hence: $\exists v : \in V_2 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} \neg(v \cong_{F^{-1}} f^{-1}(v))$. 101

q2. Though: $(G_1 \cong^F G_2) \rightarrow (f^{-1}(v) \cong_F f \circ f^{-1}(v))$. 102

103

Let PropCong_F be an alias for the proof of the proposition 1. 104

The right term of $*q2$ implies $(*p1 \overset{\text{or}}{\vee} *p2)$ of PropCong_F. 105

If $*p1$ of PropCong_F then $(*q1 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} *q2)$ is a contradiction. 106

Hence $*p2$ of PropCong_F. 107

By the way, consider the right term of $*q2$; $(f^{-1}(v), v, F)$ is smaller than a 108
minimum counterexample. Hence $(*q1 \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} *q2)$ contradicts to PropCong_F. □ 109

Proposition 3. Take $\forall(X_1, X_2, F)$ such that: (X_1, X_2) are topological spaces 110
homeomorhic by F as a homeomorphism from Space(X_1) to Space(X_2). Then 111
 $X_1 \cong^F X_2$. 112

Proof. You can express X_i as an graph G_i as follows so that G_i is equivalent
to the definition of X_i . Meanwhile let MainDef be an alias for the definition
2.5. I show that the antecedent of MainDef holds for $(F, G_{i \in \{1,2\}})$ in place of
 $(F, G_{i \in \{1,2\}})$.

In the rest, indices may be omitted if the meanings are clear.

Decompose X as $X := (\text{Space}(X), \exists T)$.

Define $\text{toG}(\forall S)$ as a function to return a graph (V, E) as follows.

$V := \{v \mid \exists d \in S \overset{\text{and}}{\wedge} \text{ if } d \text{ has some member then } v = \text{toG}(d) \text{ else } v = d\} \cup \{S\}$.

$E := \{(d1, d2) \in V^2 \mid d1 \in d2\}$.

Then $\text{toG}(\text{Space}(X_1)) \cong^F \text{toG}(\text{Space}(X_2))$.

As a proof, a graph isomorphism can be defined as:

$f := F \cup \{(\text{Space}(X_1), \text{Space}(X_2))\}$.

It is trivial that f is a graph isomorphism between them.

By (*2 $\overset{\text{or}}{\vee}$ *4) of the definition 2.4, $\forall v \cong_F f(v)$.

Let $T_{12} := \{(t1, t2) : \in T_1 * T_2 \mid F \text{ takes } t1 \text{ to } t2\}$.

Take $\forall (t1, t2) : \in T_{12}$. Then $\text{toG}(t1) \cong^F \text{toG}(t2)$.

It is clear that an analogous proof exists.

Moreover $\text{toG}(T_1) \cong^F \text{toG}(T_2)$.

As a proof, a graph isomorphism can be defined as:

Let $T_{12} := \{(\text{toG}(t1), \text{toG}(t2)) \mid (t1, t2) \in T_{12}\}$

$f := \{(T_1, T_2)\} \cup T_{12}$.

It is trivial that f is a graph isomorphism between them.

By (*4 $\overset{\text{or}}{\vee}$ *5) of the definition 2.4, $\forall v \cong_F f(v)$.

Finally $\text{toG}(X_1) \cong^F \text{toG}(X_2)$.

As a proof, a graph isomorphism can be defined as:

$f := \{(X_1, X_2)\} \cup$

$\{(\text{toG}(\text{Space}(X_1)), \text{toG}(\text{Space}(X_2)))\} \cup$

$\{(\text{toG}(T_1), \text{toG}(T_2))\}$.

It is trivial that f is a graph isomorphism between them.

By (*4 $\overset{\text{or}}{\vee}$ *5) of the definition 2.4, $\forall v \cong_F f(v)$.

□

3 Applications in geometrical topology

146

147

Take $\forall(X, S, F)$ such that: X is a topological space on a set of points called $\text{Space}(X)$. S is a set of sub spaces of X . F is the set to collect: $\forall f: \text{Space}(X)^*[0,1] \rightarrow \text{Space}(X)$ as an ambient isotopy. That is, F is the set of all such ambient isotopies.

For ideal cases, S can be regarded as a topological space Y . To find such Y , we define a set P of paths in S as follows.

P collects $\forall p: [0,1] \rightarrow S$ such that: $\exists s \in S, \exists f \in F$ and take $\forall t \in [0,1]$ and $\exists s_t \in S$ and $s_{t=0} = s$ and $p(t) = s_t$ and $\text{image}(f[\text{Space}(s)^*\{t\}]) = \text{Space}(s_t)$. Denote P as $\text{Path}(S)$. Recall the function $\text{ID}(\forall x)$ which returns the identity of x . Using $\text{ID}()$, give some change on $\text{Path}()$. Make sure that the input of $\text{Path}()$ are sets of sub spaces, e.g., S . Namely, new $\text{Path}(S) := \{q \mid q \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } *3\}$. In the rest, $\text{Path}()$ refers to this new $\text{Path}()$.

1. $q: [0,1] \rightarrow \text{image}(\text{toP}[S])$.

2. $\exists p \in \text{old Path}(S)$.

3. Take $\forall t \in [0,1]$. Then $q(t) = (\text{ID} \circ p)(t)$.

162

Let us check if $\text{Path}(S)$ is enough large. To do it, we use the trivial set T_X of sub spaces of X ; that is $T_X = \{\{x\} \mid x \in \text{Space}(X)\}$.

Take $\forall S$ as an instance of S such that $|\text{Path}(S)| \geq |\text{Path}(T_X)|$. Take $\forall Y$ such that: $(\text{Path}(S) \cong \text{Path}(T_Y))$ where T_Y denotes the trivial set of sub spaces of Y . Then we can expect that S is possibly equivalent to Y .

Let us check if Y is enough symmetric. If members of $\text{Space}(Y)$ are pairwise Y -ambient-isomorphic then S is said **ideal**.

Definition 3.1 (Ambient-isomorphic).

Take $\forall(X, S, M)$ such that: X is a topological space and S is a set of sub spaces of X . Take $\forall(s1, s2, s3) \in S^3$. If $\exists s4 \in S$ such that $(M, s4, s1) \cong (M, s4, s2)$ then write: $(s1 \cong_M^S s2)$. If $s1 \cong_M^S s2$ and $s2 \cong_M^S s3$ then write: $s1 \cong_M^S s3$. Members of S are pairwise said **M -ambient-isomorphic** if: Take $\forall(s1, s2) \in S^2$, then $s1 \cong_M^S s2$.

176

Take $\forall(X, S, F, A)$ such that: S is an ideal set of sub spaces of X . F is the set to collect: $\forall f: \text{Space}(X)^*[0,1] \rightarrow \text{Space}(X)$. A is the set of all automorphisms

on S . Speaking informally, there is no room to make S more symmetric. So it is natural to expect that the following paragraph is true.

There exists $\exists F_A : \subset F$ and the following $(*1 \text{ and } *2)$ holds for F_A . Moreover $(*1 \text{ and } *3)$ also holds for F_A .

1. Take $\forall f : \in F_A$.

2. $\exists g : \in A \text{ and take } \forall (s_0, s_1) : \in g \text{ and } \text{image}(f[\text{Space}(s_0) * \{1\}] = \text{Space}(s_1))$.

3. Take $\forall t : \in (0, 1]$, $\forall f_t : \in F$ such that $f_t(\forall x, \forall r) = f(x, t * r)$.
Then $f_t \in F_A$.

Let us shift the subject to how to find an ideal set S of sub spaces of a topological space X . It is natural to expect that if (X, S) are enough or absolutely symmetric then S is ideal.

I conjecture that: $(*1 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } *3) \rightarrow (S \text{ is ideal})$. **Conjecture 1.1.**
Define that: $*1 \rightarrow (X \text{ is a prime topological space})$.

1. The trivial set of sub spaces of X is ideal.

2. Members of $\text{Space}(X)$ are pairwise (X, S) -ambient-isomorphic.

3. Let $S_p := \{(s, p) \mid s \in S \text{ and } p \in \text{Space}(s)\}$.
Then Members of S_p are pairwise (X, S) -ambient-isomorphic.

References

- [1] Glen E. Bredon, Topology and Geometry, Springer, ISBN 978-1-4419-3103-0
- [2] Reinhard Diestel, Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, ISBN 0-387-98976-5