

# Minors of sets of topological spaces 1

Shigeo Hattori 2

bayship.org@gmail.com

October 31, 2019 3

First revision: September, 2019 4

## 1 Contents 5

The first two pages are the main part. The first page gives the main definition 6  
by examples. The second page gives the main definitions formally. The rest 7  
pages give definitions used in propositions and proofs and prove the proposi- 8  
tions which states that the main definitions are super classes or sub classes of 9  
standard notions of mathematics. 10  
11

## 2 Main definition by examples 12

Some words or some notations in this page are possibly not clear for some read- 13  
ers. All of them will be formally defined in the next page. 14  
Let  $(X, T^2, M^2)$  denote the 2-dimensional Euclidean space where  $T^2$  is the topol- 15  
ogy and  $M^2$  is the metric table. 16  
Let  $S1 := \{L1 \mid L1 \text{ is a subspace of } X \text{ and } L1 \text{ is a closed straight line segment}$  17  
of length 1 in terms of  $M^2\}$ . 18  
As a remark,  $L1$  represents (a subset of  $X$ ) and (the restriction of  $T^2$  at ( $L1$  as 19  
a subset of  $X$ )). Meanwhile  $L1$  has no information in terms of  $M^2$ . 20  
Let  $S2 := \{L2 \mid \exists L1 \in S1 \text{ such that } L2 \text{ is homeomorphic to } L1\}$ . 21  
Then  $S1$  and  $S2$  are not topologically equivalent. For example, some distinct 22  
two members of  $S2$  intersect to each other exactly at two or more many count- 23  
able points whereas the same fails for  $S1$  in place of  $S2$ . 24  
Though there are needs to state that  $S1$  and  $S2$  are almost topologically equiv- 25  
alent. For example, it is true that (A1.)  $S1 \subset S2$ . And it is possibly true that 26  
(A2.) for all three members  $(L1, L2, L3)$  of  $S1$ , if  $(S2, L1, L3)$  and  $(S2, L2, L3)$  27

are topologically equivalent, then  $(S1, L1, L3)$  and  $(S1, L2, L3)$  are also topologically equivalent. 28  
 29  
 If  $(*A1$  and  $*A2)$  holds for  $(S1, S2)$  then  $S1$  is said a minor of  $S2$ . 30  
 31

### 3 Main definitions 32

First of all,  $\forall m$  is said a **memBer** if it is a member of some set. 33  
 Take  $\forall c$  as a chain of set <sup>1</sup>membership. Then all member of  $c$  is said a **deep** 34  
**member** of the maximum member of  $c$ . And all memBer  $m$  is said a **constant-** 35  
**memBer** if all deep member of  $m$  is not a point. And all memBer  $m$  is said an 36  
<sup>2</sup>**end-memBer** if  $m$  is either a constant-memBer or a point. 37  
 Needless to say all topological space is a memBer and all memBer  $m$  is expressed 38  
 as a deep graph. To <sup>3</sup>resolve "deep graph", take  $\forall m$ , then the **deep graph** of 39  
 $m$  is defined as the directed graph  $(V, E)$  on the set  $V$  of all deep members of 40  
 $m$  such that  $E = \{(v1, v2) \in V * V \mid v1 \in v2\}$ . 41  
 Ultimately, two memBers are said **isomorphic** or **isomorphic by  $f$**  if (their 42  
 deep graphs are isomorphic by  $f$  as a graph isomorphism and **relate-constant-** 43  
**memBer**( $f$ ). To resolve "relate-constant-memBer", take  $\forall L$  as a binary relation, 44  
 then it is written as **relate-constant-memBer**( $L$ ) if (take  $\forall(x, y) : \in L$  such 45  
 that either  $x$  or  $y$  is a constant-memBer, then  $x = y$ ). 46  
 47

Shifting to the notion of minors of memBers. 48  
 Take  $\forall(m1, m2)$  such that 49  
 (take  $\forall d : \neq m1$  as a deep member of  $m1$ , then  $d$  is a deep member of  $m2$ ). 50  
 Then  $m1$  is said a **minor** of  $m2$  if  $*1$  implies  $*2$ . 51

1 Take  $\forall(d1, d2, d3)$  as deep members of  $m1$  such that 52  
 $((m2, d1, d3), (m2, d2, d3))$  are isomorphic). 53

2  $((m1, d1, d3), (m1, d2, d3))$  are isomorphic. ■ 54

---

<sup>1</sup>The order implies that all member is smaller than the set.

<sup>2</sup>This word will not be used in the rest.

<sup>3</sup>In this article, "to resolve" means to define the meaning of words after using the words.

## 4 Notations 55

Consider a proposition, e.g.,  $a$  and  $b$ . 56

And consider a proposition, e.g.,  $a \wedge b$ . 57

The two example propositions are unclear whether they are equivalent to each other. 58  
59

In this article, the two are possibly different. 60

Speaking simply, " $a$  and  $b$ " are not checked by the author(me) if it can be commutative. 61  
62

In this sense, " $a$  and  $b$ " is written as " $a \text{ and } \wedge b$ ". 63

And in this sense, " $a$  or  $b$ " is written as " $a \text{ or } \vee b$ ". 64

As a remark, I don't have any actual example of " $a$  and  $b$ " which is not commutative. 65  
66  
67

**Definition 4.1** (Restriction of binary relation). 68

Take  $\forall(L, X, Y)$  as a binary relation  $L$  and sets  $(X, Y)$ . 69

$L[X] := \{(x, y) \in L \mid x \in X\}$ . 70

$L[, Y] := \{(x, y) \in L \mid y \in Y\}$ . 71

## 5 Properties of equivalence relation 72

**Proposition 1** (Reflexive,symmetry,transitive properties). 73

The relation by isomorphisms of memBers has properties of reflexive, symmetry and transitive. 74  
75

*Proof.* 76

- \*1 has been proved in graph theory. 77

- It is trivial that  $(*2 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *5)$  holds. 78

- Hence this proposition holds. 79

1 The relation by graph isomorphisms has properties of reflexive, symmetry and transitive. 80  
81

2 Take  $\forall f_1, f_2, f_3$  as graph isomorphisms such that 82

$domain(f_2) = image(f_1) \text{ and } \wedge$  83

$f_3$  is the identity function on  $domain(f_3)$ . 84

3  $relate\_constant\_memBer(f_3) \text{ and } \wedge$  85

4	$\text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_1) \equiv \text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_1^{-1}) \text{ and } \wedge$	86
5	$(\text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_1) \text{ and } \wedge \text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_2)) \equiv$ $\text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_2 \circ f_1)$	87 88
	□	89

## 6 Homeomorphic topological spaces as isomorphic memBers

<b>Definition 6.1.</b>	92
Take $\forall(m1, m2, c)$ such that (	93
$c$ is a chain of set membership $\text{ and } \wedge$	94
$m1$ is the <sup>4</sup> minimum member of $c$	95
$m2$ is the <sup>5</sup> maximum member of $c$ .	96
).	97
Then define $(*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *5)$ .	98
1 $m1$ is said a deep member of $m2$ .	99
	100
Hence all memBer is a deep member of itself.	101
2 $ c  - 1$ is said a power of $(m1, m2)$ .	102
3 It is written as $m1 \in^{ c -1} m2$ .	103
4 Let $p$ be the maximum power of $(m1, m2)$ .	104
Then $\text{depth}(m1, m2) := p$ .	105
5 Let $S := \{d \mid \text{there exists } \exists m \text{ such that } d = \text{depth}(m, m2)\}$ .	106
Then $\text{depth}(m2) :=$ "the maixmum member of $S$ ".	107

■ 108

<b>Definition 6.2</b> (Space of memBer).	109
Take $\forall m$ .	110
Then define that	111
$\text{Deep}(m) := \{d \mid d \text{ is a deep member of } m \}$ .	112
$\text{Space}(m) := \{p \in \text{Deep}(m) \mid p \text{ is a point } \}$ .	113

<sup>4</sup>No member of  $c$  is a member of  $m1$ .

<sup>5</sup>No member of  $c$  has  $m2$  as a member.

<b>Proposition 2</b> (Isomorphism of vertices).	114
Take $\forall(m1, m2, f, v1)$ such that (	115
$(m1, m2)$ are isomorphic by $f$ and $\wedge v1 \in Deep(m1)$	116
).	117
Then $v1, f(v1)$ are isomorphic by $f[Deep(v1)]$ .	118
<i>Proof.</i>	119
• Let $v2 := f(v1)$ .	120
• As <b>C1</b> , claim that $Deep(v2) \subset image(f[Deep(v1)])$ .	121
• Assume that the claim fails.	122
• There exists $\exists w2 : \in Deep(v2)$	123
as a minimum counterexample to *C1 compared by $depth(w2, v2)$ .	124
• It is trivial that $w2 \neq v2$ .	125
• There exists $\exists x2 : \in Deep(v2)$ such that $w2 \in x2$ .	126
• Hence $x2$ is not a counterexample to *C1	127
because $depth(w2, v2) < depth(x2, v2)$ .	128
• Hence There exists $\exists x1 : \in Deep(v1)$ such that $f(x1) = x2$ .	129
• Hence There exists $\exists w1 : \in x1$ such that	130
$(f(w1) = w2 \text{ and } \wedge w1 \in Deep(v1))$ . A contradiction.	131
• Hence The assumption on $(\neg *C1)$ is false.	132
• As <b>C2</b> , claim that $( Deep(v1) \subset image( f^{-1}[Deep(v2)] ) )$ .	133
• Though it is trivial that the same logic for the proof of *C1 proves *C2.	134
• Hence $Deep(v2) = image(f[Deep(v1)])$ .	135
• Hence $f[Deep(v1)]$ is a graph isomorphism	136
from $*to Deep(v1) * Deep(v2)$ .	137
• And it is trivial that	138
$relate\text{-}constant\text{-}memBer(f) \Rightarrow relate\text{-}constant\text{-}memBer(f[Deep(v1)])$ .	139
□	140

<b>Proposition 3</b> (Isomorphism of Spaces).	141
Take $\forall(m1, m2, f)$ such that $(m1, m2)$ are isomorphic by $f$ .	142
Then $f[Space(m1)]$ is a bijection from $*$ to $Space(m1) * Space(m2)$ .	143
<i>Proof.</i>	144
• Assume it is false.	145
• $image(f[Space(m1)]) \neq Space(m2)$ .	146
• $image(f[Space(m1)]) \not\subseteq Space(m2)$ or $\vee$	147
$image(f[Space(m1)]) \not\supseteq Space(m2)$ .	148
• Then there exists $\exists(m1, m2, f, p1, p2)$ as a counterexample such that	149
$(*A0 \text{ and } \wedge (*A1 \text{ or } \vee *A2))$ holds.	150
<b>A0</b> $(p1, p2) : \in Space(m1) * Space(m2)$ .	151
<b>A1</b> $f(p1) \notin Space(m2)$ .	152
<b>A2</b> $p2 \notin image(f[Space(m1)])$ .	153
• Assume $*A1$ holds.	154
• Then $f(p1)$ is either a constant-member ( or a non-constant-member as	155
a set).	156
• Though $f(p1)$ can not be a constant-member by that relate-constant-	157
member( $f$ ).	158
• Hence $f(p1)$ is a non-constant-member as a set.	159
• Though it contradicts to that $f$ is a graph isomorphism because $f(p1)$ has	160
edge to some its member.	161
• Hence the assumption of $*A1$ is false and $\wedge *A2$ holds.	162
• There exists $\exists c1 : \notin Space(m1)$ such that $f(c1) = p2$ .	163
• Hence $f^{-1}(p2) = c1$	164
• Though this condition has been denied in the disproof of $*A1$ .	165
• Hence the assumption of $*A2$ is false and $\wedge$ the main assumption is false.	166
□	167

<b>Proposition 4</b> (Pair of member's isomorphisms).	168
Take $\forall(I := \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{m_i\}_{i \in I}, f_{1,2}, f_{3,4})$	169
such that $(\ast 1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge \ast 4)$ holds.	170
Then $(\ast 5 \text{ and } \wedge \ast 6)$ holds.	171
<b>1</b> $(m1, m2)$ are isomorphic by $f_{1,2}$ .	172
<b>2</b> $(m3, m4)$ are isomorphic by $f_{3,4}$ .	173
<b>3</b> Let $f := f_{1,2} \cup f_{3,4}$ and let $f_s := f[Space(f)]$ .	174
<b>4</b> Then $f_s$ is a bijection.	175
<b>5</b> $f$ is a function.	176
<b>6</b> $f$ is a bijection.	177
<b>7</b> relate-constant-memBer( $f$ ).	178
	■ 179
<i>Proof of <math>\ast 5</math>.</i>	180
• Let $(V, E)_{i \in \{1,2,3,4\}}$ be the deep graph of $m_i$ .	181
• Assume it is false.	182
• Then there exists $\exists((m1, m3), (m2, m4))$ as a minimum counterexample	183
by $depth((m1, m3))$ such that $f$ is not a function.	184
• Let us make sure that $f$ is a union of a set of bijections.	185
• There exists $\exists v : \in V_1 \cap V_3$ such that $ f[\{v\}]  \geq 1$ and $v \notin \{m1, m3\}$ .	186
• By the way, this proposition accepts the following $args_v$	187
in place of $(m1, m2, m3, m4, f_{1,2}, f_{3,4})$ .	188
• $args_v := ($	189
$v,$	190
$f_{1,2}(v),$	191
$v,$	192
$f_{3,4}(v),$	193
$f_{1,2}[Deep(v)],$	194
$f_{3,4}[Deep(v)]$	195
$).$	196

- In the rest, this  $args_v$  is proved to be a counterexample smaller than a 197  
minimal counterexample. 198
- As the first step, the such-that clause of this proposition holds for  $args_v$  199  
as follows. 200
- Equivalently  $( *1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4 )$  holds for  $args_v$  as follows. 201
- Assume  $*1$  fails for  $args_v$ . 202
- Hence  $(v, f_{1,2}(v))$  is not isomorphic by  $f_{1,2}[Deep(v)]$ . 203
- Though it contradicts to the proposition titled as "Isomorphism of ver- 204  
tices". 205
- Hence the last assumption is false. 206
- Hence  $*1$  holds for  $args_v$ . 207
- Hence  $*2$  holds for  $args_v$  because (for  $args_v$ ,  $*1$  and  $*2$  are logically equiv- 208  
alent). 209
- Assume  $*4$  fails for  $args_v$ . 210
- Let  $f_v := f_{1,2}[Deep(v)] \cup f_{3,4}[Deep(v)]$  and  $\wedge$  211  
let  $f_{v,s} := f_{1,2}[Deep(v)][Space(f_v)] \cup f_{3,4}[Deep(v)][Space(f_v)]$ . 212
- Then  $f_{v,s}$  is not a bijection. 213
- Though it is false because  $f_{v,s} \subset f_s$ . Hence  $*4$  holds for  $args_v$ . 214
- Hence  $( *1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4 )$  holds for  $args_v$ . 215
- Moreover  $*5$  fails for  $args_v$  as follows. 216
- Assume  $*5$  holds for  $args_v$ . 217
- Then  $f_v$  is a function. 218
- Though 219  
 $v \in Deep(v)$  and  $\wedge$  220  
 $f_v[\{v\}] = f[\{v\}]$  and  $\wedge$  221  
 $|f_v[\{v\}]| = |f[\{v\}]| \geq 1$ . 222
- Hence  $*5$  fails for  $args_v$ . 223
- $args_v$  is a counterexample. 224



- And the size as a counterexample of  $args_v$  equals to  $depth((v, v))$ . 225
- Though  $depth((v, v)) < depth((m1, m3))$  <sup>6</sup>because 226  
 $depth((v, v)) = depth(v) + 2 < depth(m1) + 2 \leq depth(m1, m3)$ . 227
- Hence  $arg_v$  is a counterexample smaller than a minimum counterexample. 228
- Hence the main assumption is false. 229

□ 230

*Proof of \*6.* 231

- Consider the proposition  $*P_S$  titled as "Reflexive,symmetry,transitive prop-232  
erties". 233
- Consider the proposition  $*P_I$  titled as "Isomorphism of spaces". 234
- Then  $((*P_S \text{ and } \wedge *P_I) \text{ and } \wedge (*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4))$  implies 235  
 $(*S1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *S4)$ . 236

**S1**  $(m2, m1)$  are isomorphic by  $f_{1,2}^{-1}$  as an isomorphism. 237

**S2**  $(m4, m3)$  are isomorphic by  $f_{3,4}^{-1}$  as an isomorphism. 238

**S3** Let  $f_{-1} := f_{1,2}^{-1} \cup f_{3,4}^{-1}$  and let  $f_{s,-1} := f_{-1}[Space(f_{-1})]$ . 239

**S4** Then  $f_{s,-1}$  is a bijection. 240

- For  $*S4$ , it holds because 241  
(it is trivial that  $(f_{-1} = f^{-1} \text{ and } f_{s,-1} = f_s^{-1})$ . 242
- Moreover  $*5$  implies that  $f_{-1}$  is a function. 243
- Hence  $f^{-1}$  is a function. 244
- Hence  $*5$  implies that  $f$  is an injection. 245
- By the way,  $f$  is surjective because  $f$  is not defined the codomain. 246
- Hence  $f$  is a bijection. 247

□ 248

*Proof of \*7.* 249

---

<sup>6</sup> $(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$

- Assume it is false. 250
- There exists  $\exists(x, y) : \in f$  such that 251  
(either  $x$  or  $y$  is a constant-member)  $\text{ and } \wedge (x \neq y)$ . 252
- Though  $f = f_{1,2} \cup f_{3,4}$ . 253
- Hence  $(x, y) \in f_{1,2} \text{ or } \vee (x, y) \in f_{3,4}$ . 254
- There exists  $\exists g : \in \{f_{1,2}, f_{3,4}\}$  such that 255  
 $\neg(\text{relate-constant-member}(g))$ . 256
- It contradicts to  $(*1 \text{ and } \wedge *2)$ . 257
- The assumption is false. 258

□ 259

**Definition 6.3** (Constant space). 260

A constant space  $D$  is most likely a function to be used to state conditions on 261  
variables. 262

For example, let  $D$  be a function and let  $x, y, z : \in Z * Z * Z$  such that  $x = D(z)$  263  
and  $y = D(z)$ . 264

Then  $x = y$ . 265

In this case,  $D$  is used to make sure that variables hold equal values. 266

Be careful that all constant space is just a usual variable but a global constant. 267

**Proposition 5** (Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms). 268

Let  $*P_P$  denote the proposition titled as "Pair of member's isomorphisms". 269

Take  $\forall(S1, S2, f, F)$  as sets  $(S1, S2)$  such that  $(*A1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *A7)$ . 270

Then  $(*10 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge 12)$  holds. 271

**A1**  $| \text{ Deep}(\{S1, S2\}) | \leq \text{continuum}$ . 272

**A2**  $f$  is a bijection from  $*$ to  $S1 * S2$ . 273

**A3** There exists  $\exists D$  as a function and as a constant space. 274

**A4** Take  $\forall((m1, m2), (m3, m4)) : \in f^2$ . 275

**A5** There exists  $\exists f_{1,2}, f_{3,4}$  276

such that  $f_{1,2} = D((m1, m2)) \text{ and } \wedge f_{3,4} = D((m3, m4))$ . 277

<b>A5</b> Let $args := ($	278
$m1, m2, m3, m4,$	279
$f_{1,2},$	280
$f_{3,4}$	281
$).$	282
Then $*P_P$ accepts $args$	283
in place of $(m1, m2, m3, m4, f_{1,2}, f_{3,4}).$	284
<b>A6</b> $*P_P.( *1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4)$ holds for $args.$	285
<b>A7</b> Let $D_{1,2} := \{D((m1, m2)) \mid (m1, m2) \in f\}.$	286
Then $F = \text{union } D_{1,2}.$	287
<b>C10</b> $F[Space(F)]$ is bijective.	288
<b>C11</b> $F$ is a function.	289
<b>C12</b> $F$ is bijective.	290
<b>C13</b> $\text{relate-constant-memBer}(F).$	291
<b>C14</b> $(S1, S2)$ are isomorphic by $F \cup \{S1, S2\}.$	292
	■ 293
<i>Proof of *C10.</i>	294
• First of all, it is trivial that	295
$\text{domain}(F[Space(F)]) = Space(S1) \text{ and } \wedge$	296
$\text{image}(F[Space(F)]) = Space(S2).$	297
• Assume it is false.	298
• There exists $\exists(p1, p2) : \in Space(S1) * Space(S2)$ such that	299
$ F(p1)  \geq 1 \text{ or } \vee  F^{-1}(p2)  \geq 1.$	300
• Though it implies that the antecedent of this proposition have failed.	301
• Namely, there exists $\exists((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$	302
which has been taken as $\forall((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$ in *A4	303
such that, of *A6, $*P_P.( *4)$ have failed for $((m1, m2), (m3, m4)).$	304
• Hence the assumption is false.	305
	□ 306

*Proof of (\*C11 and  $\wedge$  \*C12 and  $\wedge$  \*C13).* 307

- First of all, consider the proposition titled as "Pair of member's isomorphisms". 308  
309
- The proposition implies that the antecedent of this proposition implies 310  
that \*A6 can be modified as the following \*A6 typed in red. 311
- That is, the original "\*4" has been replaced with "\*7". 312
- **A6**  $*P_P. (*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *7)$  holds for *args*. 313
- Call this modified antecedent as the modified antecedent. 314
- By the way, assume (\*C11 and  $\wedge$  \*C12 and  $\wedge$  \*C13) is false. 315
- (\*B1 or  $\vee$  \*B2) holds. 316
- **B1** There exists  $\exists(x1, x2) : \in S1 * S2$  such that 317  
 $|F(x1)| \geq 1 \text{ or } \vee |F^{-1}(x2)| \geq 1$ . 318
- **B2** There exists  $\exists f_{1,2} : \in D_{1,2}$  such that 319  
 $\neg \text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_{1,2})$ . 320
- Though it implies that the modified antecedent have failed. 321
- Namely, there exists  $\exists((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$  322  
which has been taken as  $\forall((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$  in \*A4 323  
such that, of \*A6, 324  
 $P_P. (*5 \text{ and } \wedge *6 \text{ and } \wedge *7)$  have failed for  $((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$ . 325
- Hence the assumption is false. 326

□ 327

*Proof of \*C14.* 328

- Assume it is false. 329
- Let  $F_+ := F \cup \{S1, S2\}$ , Then (\*B1 or  $\vee$  \*B2) holds. 330
- As **B1**,  $(S1, S2)$  are not graph-isomorphic by  $F_+$ . 331
- As **B2**,  $\neg \text{relate-constant-memBer}(F_+)$ . 332
- Assume \*B2 holds. 333
- Hence  $\neg \text{relate-constant-memBer}(\{S1, S2\})$ . 334

- Hence there exists  $\exists(T1, T2) : \in \{(S1, S2), (S2, S1)\}$  such that 335  
 $T1$  is a constant-member  $\text{and} \wedge T2$  is not a constant-member. 336
- There exists  $\exists(c_1, p_2) : \in F$  such that 337  
 $(c_1 \text{ is a constant-member } \text{and} \wedge p_2)$  is not a point. 338  
By this contradiction, the assumption on \*B2 is false. 339
- Hence \*B1 holds. 340
- There exists  $\exists(v1, v2) : \in S1 * S2$  such that 341  
 $F(v1) \notin S2 \text{ or } \vee F^{-1}(v2) \notin S1$ . 342
- Though there exists  $\exists f_{1,2} : \in D_{1,2}$  such that ( 343  
 $(v1, F(v1)) \in f_{1,2} \text{ and} \wedge$  344  
 $f_{1,2}$  is a bijection from \*to  $\text{Deep}(v1) * \text{Deep}(F(v1))$  345  
 $)$ . 346
- Moreover  $F \supset f_{1,2}$ . 347
- Hence the assumption on \*B1 is false. 348
- The main assumption is false. 349

□ 350

**Definition 6.4** (Variations of Indexed set). 351

As you know, for example,  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \{1,2\}} := \{x_1, x_2\}$ , in mathematics. 352

In this article, 353

analogously,  $(x_i)_{i \in \{1,2\}} := (x_1, x_2)$ . 354

As an alternative simplified form,  $(x)_{i \in \{1,2\}} := (x_1, x_2)$ . 355

As one of many variations,  $(\{x\})_{i \in \{1,2\}} := (\{x_1\}, \{x_2\})$ . 356

As a comment, the order on the composed sequence should respect the most 357

natural order on the index set. ■ 358

**Proposition 6** (Isomorphisms by spaces). 359

Take  $\forall(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \forall(f, g)$  such that ( 360

$(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $f$  and also by  $g \text{ and} \wedge$  361

$f[\text{Space}(f)] = g[\text{Space}(g)]$  362

$)$ . 363

Then  $f = g$ . 364

*Proof.* 365

- Assume it is false. 366

- There exists  $\exists v_1 : \in \text{Deep}(S1)$  as a minimum counterexample  
compared by  $\text{depth}(v_1)$  such that  
 $f(v_1) \neq g(v_1)$ .
- It is trivial that  $\text{depth}(v_1) > 0$ .
- Hence  $v_1$  is a set.
- $f[v_1] = g[v_1]$  because (  
take  $\forall w_1 : \in v_1$ ,  
then  $(\text{depth}(w_1) < \text{depth}(v_1) \text{ and } w_1 \text{ is not a counterexample})$   
).
- Hence  $f(v_1) = \text{image}(f[v_1]) = \text{image}(g[v_1]) = g(v_1)$ .
- The assumption is false.

□ 378

**Definition 6.5** (Isomorphism by spaces). 379

Take  $\forall (S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \forall (f, F)$  such that 380

$(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $F$  and  $\text{Space}(F) \subset f \subset F$ . 381

Then  $(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are also said isomorphic by  $f$ . 382

**Proposition 7** (Homeomorphism as isomorphism). 383

As you know, the set theory defines that 384

$(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$ . 385

Take  $\forall ((X, T))_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \forall H$  such that ( 386

$((X, T))_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  is a pair of topological spaces and 387

$H$  is a bijection from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  and 388

$((X, T))_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are homeomorphic by  $H$  389

). 390

Then  $(1 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } 5)$  holds. 391

1.  $(X)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $H$ . 392

2. Take  $\forall (t_1, t_2) : \in T1 * T2$  such that  $t_2 = \text{image}(H[t_1])$ . 393

Then  $(t)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $H[t_1]$ . 394

3.  $(T)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $H$ . 395

4.  $(\{X\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $H$ . 396

5.  $(\{X, T\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$  are isomorphic by  $H$ . 397

6. $(\{\{X\}, \{X, T\}\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H$ .	398
	■ 399
<i>Proof of *1.</i>	400
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	401 402
• $(X)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H \cup \{(X1, X2)\}$ .	403
	□ 404
<i>Proof of *2.</i>	405
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	406 407
• $(t)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H[t_1] \cup \{(t1, t2)\}$ .	408
	□ 409
<i>Proof of *3.</i>	410
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	411 412
• Consider *2.	413
• Let $t_{1,2} := \{(t_1, t_2) \in T1 * T2 \mid t_2 = \text{image}(H[t_1])\}$ .	414
• $(T)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H \cup t_{1,2} \cup \{(T1, T2)\}$ .	415
	□ 416
<i>Proof of *4.</i>	417
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	418 419
• Consider *1.	420
• $(\{X\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H \cup \{(X1, X2), (\{X1\}, \{X2\})\}$ .	421
	□ 422
<i>Proof of *5.</i>	423

- Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms". 424  
425
  - Consider \*1 and \*3. 426
  - $(\{X, T\})_{i \in \{1, 2\}}$  are isomorphic 427  
by  $H \cup \{(X1, X2), (T1, T2), (\{X1, T1\}, \{X2, T2\})\}$ . 428
- 429

*Proof of \*6.* 430

- Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms". 431  
432
  - Consider \*4 and \*5. 433
  - $(\{\{X\}, \{X, T\}\})_{i \in \{1, 2\}}$  are isomorphic 434
  - by  $H \cup \{$  435  
 $(X1, X2),$  436  
 $(T1, T2),$  437  
 $(\{X1, T1\}, \{X2, T2\}),$  438  
 $(\{\{X1\}, \{X1, T1\}\}, \{\{X2\}, \{X2, T2\}\})$  439  
 $\}$ . 440
- 441



## References

442