

Minors of sets

Shigeo Hattori

November 9, 2019

First version: September, 2019

bayship.org@gmail.com

<https://github.com/bayship-org/mathematics>

1 Contents

The first two pages are the main part. The first page gives the main definition by examples. The second page gives the main definitions formally. The rest pages give definitions used in propositions and proofs and prove the propositions which states that the main definitions are super classes or sub classes of standard notions of mathematics.

2 Main definition by examples

Some words or some notations in this page are possibly not clear for some readers. All of them will be formally defined in the next page. 1
Let (X, T^2, M^2) denote the 2-dimensional Euclidean space where T^2 is the topology and M^2 is the metric table. 2
Let $S1 := \{L1 \mid L1 \text{ is a subspace of } X \text{ and } L1 \text{ is a closed straight line segment of length 1 in terms of } M^2\}$. 3
As a remark, $L1$ represents (a subset of X) and (the restriction of T^2 at ($L1$ as a subset of X)). Meanwhile $L1$ has no information in terms of M^2 . 4
Let $S2 := \{L2 \mid \exists L1 \in S1 \text{ such that } L2 \text{ is homeomorphic to } L1\}$. 5
Then $S1$ and $S2$ are not topologically equivalent. For example, some distinct two members of $S2$ intersect to each other exactly at two or more many countable points whereas the same fails for $S1$ in place of $S2$. 6
Though there are needs to state that $S1$ and $S2$ are almost topologically equivalent. For example, it is true that (A1.) $S1 \subset S2$. And it is possibly true that 7

(A2.) for all three members $(L1, L2, L3)$ of $S1$, if $(S2, L1, L3)$ and $(S2, L2, L3)$ are topologically equivalent, then $(S1, L1, L3)$ and $(S1, L2, L3)$ are also topologically equivalent.
 If (*A1 and *A2) holds for $(S1, S2)$ then $S1$ is said a minor of $S2$.

3 Main definitions

First of all, $\forall m$ is said a **memBer** if it is a member of some set.
 Take $\forall c$ as a chain of set ¹membership. Then all member of c is said a **deep member** of the maximum member of c . And all memBer m is said a **constant-memBer** if all deep member of m is not a point. And all memBer m is said an **end-memBer** if m is either a constant-memBer or a point.
 Needless to say all topological space is a memBer and all memBer m is expressed as a deep graph. To ³resolve "deep graph", take $\forall m$, then the **deep graph** of m is defined as the directed graph (V, E) on the set V of all deep members of m such that $E = \{(v1, v2) \in V * V \mid v1 \in v2\}$.
 Ultimately, two memBers are said **isomorphic** or **isomorphic by f** if (their deep graphs are isomorphic by f as a graph isomorphism and **relate-constant-memBer**(f). To resolve "relate-constant-memBer", take $\forall L$ as a binary relation, then it is written as **relate-constant-memBer**(L) if (take $\forall (x, y) : \in L$ such that either x or y is a constant-memBer, then $x = y$).

Shifting to the notion of minors of memBers.

Take $\forall (m1, m2)$ such that $Space(m1) \subset Space(m2)$.

Then $m1$ is said a **minor** of $m2$ if *1 implies *2.

1 Take $\forall (d1, d2, d3)$ as deep members of $m1$ such that $((m2, d1, d3), (m2, d2, d3))$ are isomorphic.

2 $((m1, d1, d3), (m1, d2, d3))$ are isomorphic.

¹The order implies that all member is smaller than the set.

²This word will not be used in the rest.

³In this article, "to resolve" means to define the meaning of words after using the words.

4 Notations 42

Consider a proposition, e.g., a and b . 43

And consider a proposition, e.g., $a \wedge b$. 44

The two example propositions are unclear whether they are equivalent to each other. 45 46

In this article, the two are possibly different. 47

Speaking simply, " a and b " are not checked by the author(me) if it can be commutative. 48 49

In this sense, " a and b " is written as " $a \text{ and } \wedge b$ ". 50

And in this sense, " a or b " is written as " $a \text{ or } \vee b$ ". 51

As a remark, I don't have any actual example of " a and b " which is not commutative. 52 53 54

Definition 4.1 (Restriction of binary relation). 55

Take $\forall(L, X, Y)$ as a binary relation L and sets (X, Y) . 56

$L[X] := \{(x, y) \in L \mid x \in X\}$. 57

$L[, Y] := \{(x, y) \in L \mid y \in Y\}$. 58

5 Properties of equivalence relation 59

Proposition 1 (Reflexive,symmetry,transitive properties). 60

The relation by isomorphisms of memBers has properties of reflexive, symmetry and transitive. 61 62

Proof. 63

- *1 has been proved in graph theory. 64

- It is trivial that $(*2 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *5)$ holds. 65

- Hence this proposition holds. 66

1 The relation by graph isomorphisms has properties of reflexive, symmetry and transitive. 67 68

2 Take $\forall f_1, f_2, f_3$ as graph isomorphisms such that 69

$domain(f_2) = image(f_1) \text{ and } \wedge$ 70

f_3 is the identity function on $domain(f_3)$. 71

3 $relate\text{-}constant\text{-}memBer(f_3) \text{ and } \wedge$ 72

4	$\text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_1) \equiv \text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_1^{-1}) \text{ and } \wedge$	73
5	$(\text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_1) \text{ and } \wedge \text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_2)) \equiv$ $\text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_2 \circ f_1)$	74 75
	□	76

6 Homeomorphic topological spaces as isomorphic memBers 77 78

Definition 6.1. 79

Take $\forall(m1, m2, c)$ such that (80
 c is a chain of set membership $\text{and } \wedge$ 81
 $m1$ is the ⁴minimum member of c 82
 $m2$ is the ⁵maximum member of c . 83
 $)$. 84
Then define $(*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *5)$. 85

1 $m1$ is said a deep member of $m2$. 86

Hence all memBer is a deep member of itself. 87
88

2 $|c| - 1$ is said a power of $(m1, m2)$. 89

3 It is written as $m1 \in^{|c|-1} m2$. 90

4 Let p be the maximum power of $(m1, m2)$. 91

Then $\text{depth}(m1, m2) := p$. 92

5 Let $S := \{d \mid \text{there exists } \exists m \text{ such that } d = \text{depth}(m, m2)\}$. 93

Then $\text{depth}(m2) :=$ "the maixmum member of S ". 94

■ 95

Definition 6.2 (Space of memBer). 96

Take $\forall m$. 97

Then define that 98

$\text{Deep}(m) := \{d \mid d \text{ is a deep member of } m \}$. 99

$\text{Space}(m) := \{p \in \text{Deep}(m) \mid p \text{ is a point } \}$. 100

⁴No member of c is a member of $m1$.

⁵No member of c has $m2$ as a member.

Proposition 2 (Isomorphism of vertices).	101
Take $\forall(m1, m2, f, v1)$ such that (102
$(m1, m2)$ are isomorphic by f and $\wedge v1 \in Deep(m1)$	103
).	104
Then $v1, f(v1)$ are isomorphic by $f[Deep(v1)]$.	105
<i>Proof.</i>	106
• Let $v2 := f(v1)$.	107
• As C1 , claim that $Deep(v2) \subset image(f[Deep(v1)])$.	108
• Assume that the claim fails.	109
• There exists $\exists w2 : \in Deep(v2)$	110
as a minimum counterexample to *C1 compared by $depth(w2, v2)$.	111
• It is trivial that $w2 \neq v2$.	112
• There exists $\exists x2 : \in Deep(v2)$ such that $w2 \in x2$.	113
• Hence $x2$ is not a counterexample to *C1	114
because $depth(w2, v2) < depth(x2, v2)$.	115
• Hence There exists $\exists x1 : \in Deep(v1)$ such that $f(x1) = x2$.	116
• Hence There exists $\exists w1 : \in x1$ such that	117
$(f(w1) = w2 \text{ and } \wedge w1 \in Deep(v1))$. A contradiction.	118
• Hence The assumption on $(\neg *C1)$ is false.	119
• As C2 , claim that $(Deep(v1) \subset image(f^{-1}[Deep(v2)]))$.	120
• Though it is trivial that the same logic for the proof of *C1 proves *C2.	121
• Hence $Deep(v2) = image(f[Deep(v1)])$.	122
• Hence $f[Deep(v1)]$ is a graph isomorphism	123
from $*to Deep(v1) * Deep(v2)$.	124
• And it is trivial that	125
$relate_constant_memBer(f) \Rightarrow relate_constant_memBer(f[Deep(v1)])$.	126
□	127

Proposition 3 (Isomorphism of Spaces).	128
Take $\forall(m1, m2, f)$ such that $(m1, m2)$ are isomorphic by f .	129
Then $f[Space(m1)]$ is a bijection from $*$ to $Space(m1) * Space(m2)$.	130
<i>Proof.</i>	131
• Assume it is false.	132
• $image(f[Space(m1)]) \neq Space(m2)$.	133
• $image(f[Space(m1)]) \not\subseteq Space(m2)$ or \vee	134
$image(f[Space(m1)]) \not\supseteq Space(m2)$.	135
• Then there exists $\exists(m1, m2, f, p1, p2)$ as a counterexample such that	136
$(*A0 \text{ and } \wedge (*A1 \text{ or } \vee *A2))$ holds.	137
A0 $(p1, p2) : \in Space(m1) * Space(m2)$.	138
A1 $f(p1) \notin Space(m2)$.	139
A2 $p2 \notin image(f[Space(m1)])$.	140
• Assume $*A1$ holds.	141
• Then $f(p1)$ is either a constant-member (or a non-constant-member as	142
a set).	143
• Though $f(p1)$ can not be a constant-member by that relate-constant-	144
member(f).	145
• Hence $f(p1)$ is a non-constant-member as a set.	146
• Though it contradicts to that f is a graph isomorphism because $f(p1)$ has	147
edge to some its member.	148
• Hence the assumption of $*A1$ is false and $\wedge *A2$ holds.	149
• There exists $\exists c1 : \notin Space(m1)$ such that $f(c1) = p2$.	150
• Hence $f^{-1}(p2) = c1$	151
• Though this condition has been denied in the disproof of $*A1$.	152
• Hence the assumption of $*A2$ is false and \wedge the main assumption is false.	153
□	154

Proposition 4 (Pair of member's isomorphisms).	155
Take $\forall(I := \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{m_i\}_{i \in I}, f_{1,2}, f_{3,4})$	156
such that $(\ast 1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge \ast 4)$ holds.	157
Then $(\ast 5 \text{ and } \wedge \ast 6)$ holds.	158
1 $(m1, m2)$ are isomorphic by $f_{1,2}$.	159
2 $(m3, m4)$ are isomorphic by $f_{3,4}$.	160
3 Let $f := f_{1,2} \cup f_{3,4}$ and let $f_s := f[Space(f)]$.	161
4 Then f_s is a bijection.	162
5 f is a function.	163
6 f is a bijection.	164
7 relate-constant-memBer(f).	165
	166
<i>Proof of $\ast 5$.</i>	167
• Let $(V, E)_{i \in \{1,2,3,4\}}$ be the deep graph of m_i .	168
• Assume it is false.	169
• Then there exists $\exists((m1, m3), (m2, m4))$ as a minimum counterexample by $depth((m1, m3))$ such that f is not a function.	170 171
• Let us make sure that f is a union of a set of bijections.	172
• There exists $\exists v : \in V_1 \cap V_3$ such that $ f[\{v\}] \geq 1$ and $v \notin \{m1, m3\}$.	173
• By the way, this proposition accepts the following $args_v$ in place of $(m1, m2, m3, m4, f_{1,2}, f_{3,4})$.	174 175
• $args_v := ($	176
$v,$	177
$f_{1,2}(v),$	178
$v,$	179
$f_{3,4}(v),$	180
$f_{1,2}[Deep(v)],$	181
$f_{3,4}[Deep(v)]$	182
$).$	183

- In the rest, this $args_v$ is proved to be a counterexample smaller than a minimal counterexample.

184
185
- As the first step, the such-that clause of this proposition holds for $args_v$ as follows.

186
187
- Equivalently $(*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4)$ holds for $args_v$ as follows.

188
- Assume $*1$ fails for $args_v$.

189
- Hence $(v, f_{1,2}(v))$ is not isomorphic by $f_{1,2}[Deep(v)]$.

190
- Though it contradicts to the proposition titled as "Isomorphism of vertices".

191
192
- Hence the last assumption is false.

193
- Hence $*1$ holds for $args_v$.

194
- Hence $*2$ holds for $args_v$ because (for $args_v$, $*1$ and $*2$ are logically equivalent).

195
196
- Assume $*4$ fails for $args_v$.

197
- Let $f_v := f_{1,2}[Deep(v)] \cup f_{3,4}[Deep(v)]$ and let $f_{v,s} := f_{1,2}[Deep(v)][Space(f_v)] \cup f_{3,4}[Deep(v)][Space(f_v)]$.

198
199
- Then $f_{v,s}$ is not a bijection.

200
- Though it is false because $f_{v,s} \subset f_s$. Hence $*4$ holds for $args_v$.

201
- Hence $(*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4)$ holds for $args_v$.

202
- Moreover $*5$ fails for $args_v$ as follows.

203
- Assume $*5$ holds for $args_v$.

204
- Then f_v is a function.

205
- Though

206
- $v \in Deep(v)$ and

207
- $f_v[\{v\}] = f[\{v\}]$ and

208
- $|f_v[\{v\}]| = |f[\{v\}]| \geq 1$.

209
- Hence $*5$ fails for $args_v$.

210
- $args_v$ is a counterexample.

211

- And the size as a counterexample of $args_v$ equals to $depth((v, v))$. 212
- Though $depth((v, v)) < depth((m1, m3))$ ⁶because 213
 $depth((v, v)) = depth(v) + 2 < depth(m1) + 2 \leq depth(m1, m3)$. 214
- Hence arg_v is a counterexample smaller than a minimum counterexample. 215
- Hence the main assumption is false. 216

□ 217

*Proof of *6.* 218

- Consider the proposition $*P_S$ titled as "Reflexive,symmetry,transitive prop-219
erties". 220
- Consider the proposition $*P_I$ titled as "Isomorphism of spaces". 221
- Then $((*P_S \text{ and } \wedge *P_I) \text{ and } \wedge (*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4))$ implies 222
 $(*S1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *S4)$. 223

S1 $(m2, m1)$ are isomorphic by $f_{1,2}^{-1}$ as an isomorphism. 224

S2 $(m4, m3)$ are isomorphic by $f_{3,4}^{-1}$ as an isomorphism. 225

S3 Let $f_{-1} := f_{1,2}^{-1} \cup f_{3,4}^{-1}$ and let $f_{s,-1} := f_{-1}[Space(f_{-1})]$. 226

S4 Then $f_{s,-1}$ is a bijection. 227

- For $*S4$, it holds because 228
(it is trivial that $(f_{-1} = f^{-1} \text{ and } f_{s,-1} = f_s^{-1})$. 229
- Moreover $*5$ implies that f_{-1} is a function. 230
- Hence f^{-1} is a function. 231
- Hence $*5$ implies that f is an injection. 232
- By the way, f is surjective because f is not defined the codomain. 233
- Hence f is a bijection. 234

□ 235

*Proof of *7.* 236

⁶ $(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$

• Assume it is false.	237
• There exists $\exists(x, y) : \in f$ such that	238
(either x or y is a constant-member) $\text{ and } \wedge (x \neq y)$.	239
• Though $f = f_{1,2} \cup f_{3,4}$.	240
• Hence $(x, y) \in f_{1,2} \text{ or } \vee (x, y) \in f_{3,4}$.	241
• There exists $\exists g : \in \{f_{1,2}, f_{3,4}\}$ such that	242
$\neg(\text{relate-constant-member}(g))$.	243
• It contradicts to $(*1 \text{ and } \wedge *2)$.	244
• The assumption is false.	245
	□ 246
Definition 6.3 (Constant space).	247
A constant space D is most likely a function to be used to state conditions on	248
variables.	249
For example, let D be a function and let $x, y, z : \in Z * Z * Z$ such that $x = D(z)$	250
and $y = D(z)$.	251
Then $x = y$.	252
In this case, D is used to make sure that variables hold equal values.	253
Be careful that all constant space is just a usual variable but a global constant.	254
Proposition 5 (Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms).	255
Let $*P_P$ denote the proposition titled as "Pair of member's isomorphisms".	256
Take $\forall(S1, S2, f, F)$ as sets $(S1, S2)$ such that $(*A1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *A7)$.	257
Then $(*10 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge 12)$ holds.	258
A1 $ \text{ Deep}(\{S1, S2\}) \leq \text{continuum}$.	259
A2 f is a bijection from $*$ to $S1 * S2$.	260
A3 There exists $\exists D$ as a function and as a constant space.	261
A4 Take $\forall((m1, m2), (m3, m4)) : \in f^2$.	262
A5 There exists $\exists f_{1,2}, f_{3,4}$	263
such that $f_{1,2} = D((m1, m2)) \text{ and } \wedge f_{3,4} = D((m3, m4))$.	264

A5	Let $args := ($	265
	$m1, m2, m3, m4,$	266
	$f_{1,2},$	267
	$f_{3,4}$	268
	$).$	269
	Then $*P_P$ accepts $args$	270
	in place of $(m1, m2, m3, m4, f_{1,2}, f_{3,4})$.	271
A6	$*P_P.(*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *4)$ holds for $args$.	272
A7	Let $D_{1,2} := \{D((m1, m2)) \mid (m1, m2) \in f\}$.	273
	Then $F = \text{union } D_{1,2}$.	274
C10	$F[Space(F)]$ is bijective.	275
C11	F is a function.	276
C12	F is bijective.	277
C13	$\text{relate-constant-memBer}(F)$.	278
C14	$(S1, S2)$ are isomorphic by $F \cup \{S1, S2\}$.	279
		280
	<i>Proof of *C10.</i>	281
•	First of all, it is trivial that	282
	$\text{domain}(F[Space(F)]) = Space(S1) \text{ and } \wedge$	283
	$\text{image}(F[Space(F)]) = Space(S2)$.	284
•	Assume it is false.	285
•	There exists $\exists(p1, p2) : \in Space(S1) * Space(S2)$ such that	286
	$ F(p1) \geq 1 \text{ or } \vee F^{-1}(p2) \geq 1$.	287
•	Though it implies that the antecedent of this proposition have failed.	288
•	Namely, there exists $\exists((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$	289
	which has been taken as $\forall((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$ in *A4	290
	such that, of *A6, $*P_P.(*4)$ have failed for $((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$.	291
•	Hence the assumption is false.	292
		293

*Proof of $(*C11 \text{ and } \wedge *C12 \text{ and } \wedge *C13)$.* 294

- First of all, consider the proposition titled as "Pair of member's isomorphisms". 295
296
- The proposition implies that the antecedent of this proposition implies 297
that $*A6$ can be modified as the following $*A6$ typed in red. 298
- That is, the original " $*4$ " has been replaced with " $*7$ ". 299
- **A6** $*P_P. (*1 \text{ and } \wedge \dots \text{ and } \wedge *7)$ holds for *args*. 300
- Call this modified antecedent as the modified antecedent. 301
- By the way, assume $(*C11 \text{ and } \wedge *C12 \text{ and } \wedge *C13)$ is false. 302
- $(*B1 \text{ or } \vee *B2)$ holds. 303
- **B1** There exists $\exists(x1, x2) : \in S1 * S2$ such that 304
 $|F(x1)| \geq 1 \text{ or } \vee |F^{-1}(x2)| \geq 1$. 305
- **B2** There exists $\exists f_{1,2} : \in D_{1,2}$ such that 306
 $\neg \text{relate-constant-memBer}(f_{1,2})$. 307
- Though it implies that the modified antecedent have failed. 308
- Namely, there exists $\exists((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$ 309
which has been taken as $\forall((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$ in $*A4$ 310
such that, of $*A6$, 311
 $*P_P. (*5 \text{ and } \wedge *6 \text{ and } \wedge *7)$ have failed for $((m1, m2), (m3, m4))$. 312
- Hence the assumption is false. 313

□ 314

*Proof of $*C14$.* 315

- Assume it is false. 316
- Let $F_+ := F \cup \{S1, S2\}$, Then $(*B1 \text{ or } \vee *B2)$ holds. 317
- As **B1**, $(S1, S2)$ are not graph-isomorphic by F_+ . 318
- As **B2**, $\neg \text{relate-constant-memBer}(F_+)$. 319
- Assume $*B2$ holds. 320
- Hence $\neg \text{relate-constant-memBer}(\{S1, S2\})$. 321

- Hence there exists $\exists(T1, T2) : \in \{(S1, S2), (S2, S1)\}$ such that 322
 $T1$ is a constant-member $\text{and} \wedge T2$ is not a constant-member. 323
- There exists $\exists(c_1, p_2) : \in F$ such that 324
 $(c_1 \text{ is a constant-member } \text{and} \wedge p_2)$ is not a point. 325
By this contradiction, the assumption on *B2 is false. 326
- Hence *B1 holds. 327
- There exists $\exists(v1, v2) : \in S1 * S2$ such that 328
 $F(v1) \notin S2 \text{ or } \vee F^{-1}(v2) \notin S1$. 329
- Though there exists $\exists f_{1,2} : \in D_{1,2}$ such that (330
 $(v1, F(v1)) \in f_{1,2} \text{ and} \wedge$ 331
 $f_{1,2}$ is a bijection from *to Deep($v1$)*Deep($F(v1)$) 332
 $).$ 333
- Moreover $F \supset f_{1,2}$. 334
- Hence the assumption on *B1 is false. 335
- The main assumption is false. 336

□ 337

Definition 6.4 (Variations of Indexed set). 338

As you know, for example, $\{x_i\}_{i \in \{1,2\}} := \{x_1, x_2\}$, in mathematics. 339

In this article, 340

analogously, $(x_i)_{i \in \{1,2\}} := (x_1, x_2)$. 341

As an alternative simplified form, $(x)_{i \in \{1,2\}} := (x_1, x_2)$. 342

As one of many variations, $(\{x\})_{i \in \{1,2\}} := (\{x_1\}, \{x_2\})$. 343

As a comment, the order on the composed sequence should respect the most 344

natural order on the index set. 345

Proposition 6 (Isomorphisms by spaces). 346

Take $\forall(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \forall(f, g)$ such that (347

$(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by f and also by g $\text{and} \wedge$ 348

$f[\text{Space}(f)] = g[\text{Space}(g)]$ 349

$).$ 350

Then $f = g$. 351

Proof. 352

- Assume it is false. 353

- There exists $\exists v_1 : \in \text{Deep}(S1)$ as a minimum counterexample 354
 compared by $\text{depth}(v_1)$ such that 355
 $f(v_1) \neq g(v_1)$. 356
- It is trivial that $\text{depth}(v_1) > 0$. 357
- Hence v_1 is a set. 358
- $f[v_1] = g[v_1]$ because (359
 take $\forall w_1 : \in v_1$, 360
 then $(\text{depth}(w_1) < \text{depth}(v_1) \text{ and } w_1 \text{ is not a counterexample})$ 361
). 362
- Hence $f(v_1) = \text{image}(f[v_1]) = \text{image}(g[v_1]) = g(v_1)$. 363
- The assumption is false. 364

□ 365

Definition 6.5 (Isomorphism by spaces). 366

Take $\forall (S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \forall (f, F)$ such that 367

$(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by F and $\text{Space}(F) \subset f \subset F$. 368

Then $(S)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are also said isomorphic by f . 369

Proposition 7 (Homeomorphism as isomorphism). 370

As you know, the set theory defines that 371

$(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$. 372

Take $\forall ((X, T))_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \forall H$ such that (373

$((X, T))_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ is a pair of topological spaces and 374

H is a bijection from X_1 to X_2 and 375

$((X, T))_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are homeomorphic by H 376

). 377

Then $(X_1 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } X_2)$ holds. 378

1. $(X)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by H . 379

2. Take $\forall (t_1, t_2) : \in T1 * T2$ such that $t_2 = \text{image}(H[t_1])$. 380

Then $(t)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H[t_1]$. 381

3. $(T)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by H . 382

4. $(\{X\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by H . 383

5. $(\{X, T\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by H . 384

6. $(\{\{X\}, \{X, T\}\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by H .	385
	■ 386
<i>Proof of *1.</i>	387
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	388 389
• $(X)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H \cup \{(X1, X2)\}$.	390
	□ 391
<i>Proof of *2.</i>	392
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	393 394
• $(t)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H[t_1] \cup \{(t1, t2)\}$.	395
	□ 396
<i>Proof of *3.</i>	397
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	398 399
• Consider *2.	400
• Let $t_{1,2} := \{(t_1, t_2) \in T1 * T2 \mid t_2 = \text{image}(H[t_1])\}$.	401
• $(T)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H \cup t_{1,2} \cup \{(T1, T2)\}$.	402
	□ 403
<i>Proof of *4.</i>	404
• Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms".	405 406
• Consider *1.	407
• $(\{X\})_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are isomorphic by $H \cup \{(X1, X2), (\{X1\}, \{X2\})\}$.	408
	□ 409
<i>Proof of *5.</i>	410

- Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms". 411
412
 - Consider *1 and *3. 413
 - $(\{X, T\})_{i \in \{1, 2\}}$ are isomorphic 414
by $H \cup \{(X1, X2), (T1, T2), (\{X1, T1\}, \{X2, T2\})\}$. 415
- 416

*Proof of *6.* 417

- Consider the proposition titled as "Isomorphism by member's isomorphisms". 418
419
 - Consider *4 and *5. 420
 - $(\{\{X\}, \{X, T\}\})_{i \in \{1, 2\}}$ are isomorphic 421
 - by $H \cup \{$ 422
 $(X1, X2),$ 423
 $(T1, T2),$ 424
 $(\{X1, T1\}, \{X2, T2\}),$ 425
 $(\{\{X1\}, \{X1, T1\}\}, \{\{X2\}, \{X2, T2\}\})$ 426
 $\}$. 427
- 428

References

429