contribution of the Funding Agency or Agencies concerned to the operation of the LHC Experiments.

4.9 Institutions may clearly have computing resources that are earmarked for purposes unrelated to the WLCG and are not pledged to LHC Experiments as Computing Resource Levels. These resources are neither monitored centrally by the management of the WLCG Collaboration nor accounted as contributions to LHC computing. Any such resources that are nevertheless subsequently made available to the LHC Experiments (and used by them) will be accounted in the normal way as contributions to LHC computing.

Article 5 : Programme of Work for the Deployment of the WLCG and Sharing of Responsibilities for its Execution

5.1 Annex 7 gives an overview of the planned WLCG deployment schedule leading to first physics data taking.

5.2 The programme of work for the WLCG deployment, together with the sharing of the workload amongst the Members of the WLCG Collaboration, is given in Annex 8. Some of this work has been executed under the terms of the interim agreements listed in Annex 10. In addition, some aspects are covered by the bilateral Agreements referred to in paragraph 2.2 above.

Article 6 : Approval and Oversight

6.1 The C-RRB has the following approval and oversight roles with respect to the WLCG Collaboration and the LCG Project, in the exercise of which it shall operate by consensus except as it shall itself decide otherwise :

6.1.1 Approval of Phase 2 of the LCG project.

6.1.2 Approval of this MoU and thereafter monitoring its execution.

6.1.3 Approval of Amendments and Addenda to the present MoU.

6.1.4 Participation in the resolution of disputes, as described in Article 12 below.

6.1.5 Approval of new Members of the WLCG Collaboration on the proposal of the Collaboration Board.

6.1.6 Annual approval at its autumn meeting of the Computing Resource Levels pledged to the LHC Experiments by the Institutions for the following year. To this end, the management of the WLCG Collaboration shall report to the C-RRB at its spring meeting the Computing Resource Levels pledged by the Institutions for the next year and planned to be pledged in each of the four subsequent years