Recall: The standard way of computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of an $n \times n$ matrix A:

- 1) Compute the characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \det(A \lambda I_n)$.
- 2) Eigenvalues of $A = \text{roots of } P(\lambda)$.
- 3) Eigenvectors corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda = \text{vectors in Nul}(A \lambda I_n)$.

Problems:

- For large matrices computations of $P(\lambda)$ are slow.
- Even if we know $P(\lambda)$, it is difficult to compute its roots.

More efficient way: The power method.

Assumptions:

ullet A is an n imes n matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \ldots > |\lambda_n|$$

• For i = 1, ..., n, by \mathbf{w}_i we will denote an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i , such that $||\mathbf{w}_i|| = 1$.

Computing the largest eigenvalue λ_1 its eigenvector

- Start with a vector $v \neq 0$. Since A is diagonalizable we have $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i w_i$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. If v is selected at random, then almost always we will have $c_i \neq 0$.
- · Denote: Vk = Akv | | Akv |

We have:
$$A^{k}v = A^{k}(Z_{c_{i}}w_{i}) = Z_{c_{i}}(A^{k}w_{i}) = Z_{c_{i}}\lambda_{i}^{k}w_{i}$$

This gives:
$$v_{k} = \frac{\sum_{c_{i}}\lambda_{i}^{k}w_{i}}{\|Z_{c_{i}}\lambda_{i}^{k}w_{i}\|} = \frac{\lambda_{1}^{k}(c_{1}w_{i} + \sum_{i=2}^{n}c_{i}(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{1}})^{k}w_{i})}{|\lambda_{1}|^{k}\|c_{1}w_{1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n}c_{i}(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{1}})^{k}w_{i}\|}$$

Since $\left|\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{1}}\right| < 1$ for $i \ge 2$ thus $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k} = 0$

Thus for large k we get
$$V_{k} \approx \frac{\lambda_{1}^{k} c_{1} w_{1}}{|\lambda_{1}|^{k} ||c_{1} w_{1}||} = \frac{\lambda_{1}^{k}}{||\lambda_{1}|^{k}} \cdot \frac{c_{1}}{||c_{1}||} \cdot \frac{w_{1}}{||w_{1}||} \stackrel{!}{=} \pm 1 \cdot w_{1}$$

Note: Both W, and -W, are eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue \Re_1 , so it does not matter if we get $\vee_{\mathcal{U}} \approx W_1$ or $\vee_{\mathcal{U}} \approx -W_1$

Computations of A,

Since $v_k \approx \pm w_1$ thus $Av_k \approx \lambda_1 v_k$. Dividing entries of Av_k by entries of v_k we get an approximated value of λ_1 .

Note: In practice the vectors v_k are computed iteratively: $v_0 = v$, $v_1 = \frac{Av_0}{\|Av_0\|}$, $v_2 = \frac{Av_1}{\|Av_1\|}$, ..., $v_k = \frac{Av_{k-1}}{\|Av_{k-1}\|}$

Computing the other eigenvalues and eigenvectors

<u>Jdea:</u> If we could start the power metod with a vector $V = \sum_i c_i w_i$ such that $c_1 = 0$ then the power method would compute w_2 and λ_2 .

Problem: How to find such a vector v?

Solution for a symmetric matrix A:

Recall: For a symmetric matrix eigenvectors for different eigenvalues are outhogonal:

- · start with an arbitrary vector v = Zciwi
- · use v and the power method to compute W1.
- · we have:

$$V \bullet W_{1} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{i} \right) \bullet W_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \left(w_{i} \bullet W_{i} \right)$$

$$= C_{1} W_{1} \bullet W_{1} = C_{1}$$

$$\| w_{i} \| = 1$$

* take $v' = v - (v \cdot w_1)w_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} c_i w_i$ We can use the power metod starting with v'to compute w_2 and λ_2

Note: Due to rounding errors in the power method we need to make the vector v'k orthogonal to w, at each iteration step.

We can continue this process iteratively to compute all other eigenvectors and their corresponding eigenvalues.