Classification of Minimal Singularity Thresholds

Benjamin Baily

Department of Mathematics University of Michigan

April 6, 2025

The lct is an invariant of singularities of pairs in characteristic 0.

The lct is an invariant of singularities of pairs in characteristic 0.

- $R := \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n].$
- $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

The lct is an invariant of singularities of pairs in characteristic 0.

- $R := \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n].$
- $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- The lct of I (at 0) is defined as

$$lct(I) = sup \{s > 0 : (|f_1|^2 + \cdots + |f_r|^2)^{-s} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ at } 0\}.$$

The lct is an invariant of singularities of pairs in characteristic 0.

Definition

- $R := \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n].$
- $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- The lct of I (at 0) is defined as

$$lct(I) = sup \{s > 0 : (|f_1|^2 + \cdots + |f_r|^2)^{-s} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ at } 0\}.$$

• lct(I) is a positive rational number which is determined by a log resolution of R/I.

The lct is an invariant of singularities of pairs in characteristic 0.

- $R := \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n].$
- $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- The lct of I (at 0) is defined as

$$lct(I) = \sup \{s > 0 : (|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_r|^2)^{-s} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ at } 0\}.$$

- lct(I) is a positive rational number which is determined by a log resolution of R/I.
- lct(I) measures whether one can hope to apply the MMP to (Spec R, Spec R/I).

The fpt is an invariant of pairs in positive characteristic.

The fpt is an invariant of pairs in positive characteristic.

- k a field of characteristic p > 0
- $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$

The fpt is an invariant of pairs in positive characteristic.

- k a field of characteristic p > 0
- $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$
- The fpt of I (at m) is defined as

$$\mathsf{fpt}(I) = \mathsf{sup}\left\{rac{a}{p^e}: I^a
ot \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}
ight\}.$$

The fpt is an invariant of pairs in positive characteristic.

Definition

- k a field of characteristic p > 0
- $R = k[x_1, ..., x_n], \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$
- $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$
- The fpt of I (at m) is defined as

$$\operatorname{fpt}(I) = \sup \left\{ rac{a}{p^e} : I^a
ot \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}
ight\}.$$

[MTW05, Theorem 3.3]

For an ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have

$$\mathsf{lct}(I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \mathsf{fpt}(I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Mixed Multiplicities

Non-Standard Definition

- k an infinite field
- $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- I an m-primary ideal

Mixed Multiplicities

Non-Standard Definition

- k an infinite field
- $R = k[x_1, ..., x_n], \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$
- I an m-primary ideal
- h_1, \ldots, h_n general linear forms

Mixed Multiplicities

Non-Standard Definition

- k an infinite field
- $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- I an m-primary ideal
- h_1, \ldots, h_n general linear forms
- For $0 \le j \le n$, the mixed multiplicity $e_i(I)$ is given by the following.

$$e_j(I) = e\left(\frac{I + (h_{j+1}, \ldots, h_n)}{(h_{j+1}, \ldots, h_n)}\right).$$

• Can also be computed from the function length $(R/I^r\mathfrak{m}^s)$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

The sequence $e_0(I), \ldots, e_n(I)$ is log-convex.

The sequence $e_0(I), \ldots, e_n(I)$ is log-convex.

$$\mathsf{DP}(I) = \frac{e_0(I)}{e_1(I)} + \cdots + \frac{e_{n-1}(I)}{e_n(I)}.$$

The sequence $e_0(I), \ldots, e_n(I)$ is log-convex.

Definition

$$\mathsf{DP}(I) = \frac{e_0(I)}{e_1(I)} + \cdots + \frac{e_{n-1}(I)}{e_n(I)}.$$

Example

Example If I is a d_1, \ldots, d_n -complete intersection, then $\mathsf{DP}(I) = \frac{1}{d_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{d_n}$.

The sequence $e_0(I), \ldots, e_n(I)$ is log-convex.

Definition

$$\mathsf{DP}(I) = \frac{e_0(I)}{e_1(I)} + \cdots + \frac{e_{n-1}(I)}{e_n(I)}.$$

Example

Example If I is a d_1, \ldots, d_n -complete intersection, then $\mathsf{DP}(I) = \frac{1}{d_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{d_n}$.

[DP14, Theorem 1.2], equivalent restatement

- If $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is (\underline{x}) -primary, then $\mathsf{DP}(I) \leq \mathsf{lct}(I)$.
- If $J = (x_1^{d_1}, \dots, x_n^{d_1})$, then DP(J) = Ict(J).

• Rashovskii, 2015 [Ras14]: used analytic methods to classify monomial ideals with DP(I) = Ict(I)

- Rashovskii, 2015 [Ras14]: used analytic methods to classify monomial ideals with DP(I) = Ict(I)
- Bivià-Ausina, 2017 [BA16]: repaired gap in [DP14], used algebraic methods to classify Newton-nondegenerate ideals I with DP(I) = Ict(I)

- Rashovskii, 2015 [Ras14]: used analytic methods to classify monomial ideals with DP(I) = Ict(I)
- Bivià-Ausina, 2017 [BA16]: repaired gap in [DP14], used algebraic methods to classify Newton-nondegenerate ideals I with DP(I) = Ict(I)
- B. 2025: Show $DP(I) \leq fpt(I)$ in char p > 0

- Rashovskii, 2015 [Ras14]: used analytic methods to classify monomial ideals with DP(I) = Ict(I)
- Bivià-Ausina, 2017 [BA16]: repaired gap in [DP14], used algebraic methods to classify Newton-nondegenerate ideals I with DP(I) = lct(I)
- B. 2025: Show $DP(I) \leq fpt(I)$ in char p > 0

Theorem (B. 2025):

If I is homogeneous and lct(I) = DP(I) (or fpt(I) = DP(I) in char p > 0), then

$$I = (x_1^{e_1(I)/e_0(I)}, \dots, x_n^{e_n(I)/e_{n-1}(I)})$$

up to change of variables and integral closure.

• Extend classification to the non-homogeneous case

- Extend classification to the non-homogeneous case
- Deduce results in the complex-analytic setting

- Extend classification to the non-homogeneous case
- Deduce results in the complex-analytic setting
- More lower bounds on fpt

- Extend classification to the non-homogeneous case
- Deduce results in the complex-analytic setting
- More lower bounds on fpt

References



Carles Bivià-Ausina.

Log canonical threshold and diagonal ideals.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 145(5):1905–1916, October 2016.



Jean-Pierre Demailly and Hoang Hiep Pham.

A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold.

Acta Mathematica, 212(1):1–9, 2014.



Mircea Mustață, Shunsuke Takagi, and Kei-ichi Watanabe.

F-thresholds and bernstein-sato polynomials.

European Congress of Mathematics Stockholm, June 27 - July 2, 2004, page 341-364, Jun 2005.



Alexander Rashkovskii.

Extremal cases for the log canonical threshold.

Comptes Rendus. Mathématique, 353(1):21–24, November 2014.