Pattern Density at Exponential Scale

Brandon Barclay

Independent Researcher

barclaybrandon@hotmail.com

August 2025

Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of pattern families $\{P_k\}$ bounded by $|P_k| \leq C \cdot 2^k$ through the measure $O(k) = \frac{|P_k|}{2^k \log_2(k+1)}$. We establish: (1) the equivalence $O(k) \to 0 \iff |P_k| = o(2^k \log_2 k)$; (2) if O(k) is eventually nonincreasing and $\sum O(k)^{1+\varepsilon} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $H_k - k \to -\infty$ where $H_k = \log_2 |P_k|$; (3) if $\limsup H_k/k < 1$, then both $H_k - k \to -\infty$ and $O(k) \to 0$. We provide counterexamples proving each implication is strict. When the limit $\alpha = \lim (k - H_k)/\log_2 k$ exists with regular variation, we characterize convergence of $\sum O(k)$.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation: A Story of Unexpected Patterns

We study families of combinatorial patterns $\{P_k\}$ whose cardinalities grow at most exponentially. When does $|P_k|$ grow significantly slower than the maximum possible rate? We formalize this through a normalized measure that captures deviation from exponential growth.

1.2 Our Contribution

We formalize this intuition through the pattern measure:

$$O(k) = \frac{|P_k|}{2^k \log_2(k+1)}$$

where $\{P_k\}$ represents a sequence of pattern families at scale k. This measure captures the ratio between actual pattern count and the exponential scale, normalized by a logarithmic factor that we introduce to identify the critical scaling threshold.

Our main results establish:

- 1. A sharp equivalence between pattern decay and subexponential growth
- 2. A hierarchy of implications from dimension gaps through entropy gaps to pattern decay
- 3. Explicit counterexamples proving this hierarchy cannot be strengthened
- 4. A framework for understanding pattern scaling through α -exponents

1.3 Outline

We establish basic implications between pattern decay, entropy gaps, and dimension gaps (Section 3), provide counterexamples showing these implications are strict (Section 6), and characterize polynomial decay rates when they exist (Section 5).

2 Setup and Notation

Let $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of finite sets which we call pattern families, with cardinalities $|P_k| \in \mathbb{N}$.

[Exponential Envelope] We assume there exists a constant $C \ge 1$ such that $|P_k| \le C \cdot 2^k$ for all $k \ge 1$. This ensures $H_k = \log_2 |P_k| \le k + \log_2 C$, making the notion of "entropy gap" $H_k - k$ meaningful. For families with different exponential bases B^k , one can normalize by replacing k with $k \log_2 B$.

Remark 1 (Examples). This assumption holds for subsets of $\{0,1\}^k$ but excludes unbounded families like all graphs on k vertices where $|P_k|$ can be $2^{\binom{k}{2}}$.

Definition 2 (Pattern Measure). The pattern measure is defined as

$$O(k) := \frac{|P_k|}{2^k \log_2(k+1)}. \tag{1}$$

Definition 3 (Entropy and Dimension). Define the (logarithmic) entropy as $H_k := \log_2 |P_k|$ and the effective dimension as

$$d_{\text{eff}} := \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{H_k}{k} \in [0, \infty]. \tag{2}$$

Throughout, logarithms are base 2 unless stated otherwise. We use standard asymptotic notation: f(k) = o(g(k)) means $f(k)/g(k) \to 0$, f(k) = O(g(k)) means |f(k)|/g(k) is bounded, $f(k) \sim g(k)$ means $f(k)/g(k) \to 1$, and $f(k) \approx g(k)$ means f(k)/g(k) is bounded above and below by positive constants.

3 Main Results

3.1 The Fundamental Equivalence

Our first result establishes when pattern measures vanish asymptotically.

Theorem 4 (Base Equivalence). The following are equivalent:

- (i) $O(k) \rightarrow 0$;
- $(ii) |P_k| = o(2^k \log_2 k).$

Proof. By definition, $O(k) = \frac{|P_k|}{2^k \log_2(k+1)}$. We first establish that $\log_2(k+1) \approx \log_2 k$ for $k \geq 2$. Indeed, for $k \geq 2$:

$$\frac{\log_2(k+1)}{\log_2 k} = \frac{\log_2 k + \log_2(1+1/k)}{\log_2 k} = 1 + \frac{\log_2(1+1/k)}{\log_2 k}.$$

Since $0 < \log_2(1+1/k) < \log_2 2 = 1$ and $\log_2 k \to \infty$, we have $\frac{\log_2(1+1/k)}{\log_2 k} \to 0$. Thus $\log_2(k+1) \sim \log_2 k$.

Therefore, $O(k) \to 0$ if and only if $\frac{|P_k|}{2^k \log_2 k} \to 0$, which is precisely the statement $|P_k| = o(2^k \log_2 k)$.

This theorem reveals that the logarithmic normalization in O(k) captures a natural threshold for pattern density. The choice of $\log_2(k+1)$ (rather than, say, $\log_2 k$) ensures the measure is well-defined for all $k \geq 1$, while the asymptotic equivalence $\log_2(k+1) \sim \log_2 k$ shows this choice does not affect the fundamental scaling behavior for large k.

3.2 The Hierarchy of Implications

We now establish how stronger conditions force pattern decay.

Theorem 5 (Series Summability Forces Entropy Gap). Assume there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that O(k) is nonincreasing for all $k \geq K$. If there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} O(k)^{1+\varepsilon} < \infty, \tag{3}$$

then $O(k) \log_2 k \to 0$, and consequently

$$H_k - k = \log_2 \frac{|P_k|}{2^k} = \log_2 \left(O(k) \log_2(k+1) \right) \longrightarrow -\infty. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $a_k := O(k)^{1+\varepsilon}$ where $\varepsilon > 0$. Since O(k) is eventually nonincreasing and nonnegative, $\{a_k\}$ is eventually nonincreasing. By the Cauchy condensation test, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n} < \infty$.

Since $\sum a_k < \infty$, we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n} < \infty$, which implies $2^n a_{2^n} \to 0$. Therefore, $a_{2^n} = o(2^{-n})$, giving us $O(2^n)^{1+\varepsilon} = o(2^{-n})$.

This yields $O(2^n) = o(2^{-n/(1+\varepsilon)})$. For arbitrary $k \ge 2$, choose n such that $2^n \le k < 2^{n+1}$. Since O is eventually nonincreasing:

$$O(k) \le O(2^n) = o(2^{-n/(1+\varepsilon)}).$$

Now, $2^n \le k < 2^{n+1}$ implies $n \le \log_2 k < n+1$, so $n = \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$. Thus:

$$O(k) = o\left(2^{-\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor/(1+\varepsilon)}\right) = o\left(k^{-1/(1+\varepsilon)}\right).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $1/(1+\varepsilon) < 1$. For any $\beta \in (0,1)$, we have $k^{-\beta} \log_2 k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ (since $\log_2 k = o(k^{\gamma})$ for any $\gamma > 0$, in particular for $\gamma = \beta$). Therefore $O(k) \log_2 k \to 0$.

For the entropy gap: $H_k - k = \log_2(|P_k|/2^k) = \log_2(O(k)\log_2(k+1))$. We have shown that $O(k)\log_2 k \to 0$. Since $\log_2(k+1) \sim \log_2 k$, we also have $O(k)\log_2(k+1) \to 0$. As $O(k)\log_2(k+1) \to 0^+$, we have $\log_2(O(k)\log_2(k+1)) \to -\infty$, hence $H_k - k \to -\infty$.

Theorem 6 (Dimension Gap Forces Everything). If $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{H_k}{k} < 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_k \leq (1-\delta)k$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Consequently:

$$O(k) = \frac{2^{H_k}}{2^k \log_2(k+1)} \le \frac{2^{-\delta k}}{\log_2(k+1)} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} 0, \tag{5}$$

and $H_k - k \leq -\delta k \to -\infty$.

Proof. Since $\limsup_{k\to\infty} H_k/k < 1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\limsup_{k\to\infty} H_k/k \le 1-\delta$. By definition of $\limsup_{k\to\infty} H_k/k \le 1-\delta$, hence $H_k \le (1-\delta)k$. Therefore $|P_k| = 2^{H_k} \le 2^{(1-\delta)k}$, and the claimed bounds follow immediately. \square

Combining Theorems 4–6, we obtain:

Corollary 7 (The Hierarchy).

$$\left(\limsup H_k/k < 1\right) \Rightarrow (H_k - k \to -\infty) \Rightarrow \left(O(k) \to 0\right) \Leftrightarrow \left(|P_k| = o(2^k \log_2 k)\right). \tag{6}$$

Under the additional assumption that O(k) is eventually nonincreasing, we also have:

$$\left(\sum O(k)^{1+\varepsilon} < \infty \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0\right) \Rightarrow (H_k - k \to -\infty).$$

4 The Trichotomy of Pattern Growth

We classify pattern families by their asymptotic behavior relative to the critical threshold $2^k \log_2(k+1)$:

Definition 8 (Growth Regimes). Relative to the critical threshold $2^k \log_2(k+1)$:

Subcritical:
$$|P_k| = o(2^k \log_2(k+1))$$
 $\Rightarrow O(k) \to 0$
Critical: $|P_k| \sim C \cdot 2^k \log_2(k+1)$ $\Rightarrow O(k) \sim C$

Supercritical:
$$|P_k| = \omega(2^k \log_2(k+1))$$
 $\Rightarrow O(k) \to \infty$

for some constant C > 0. Here $f(k) = \omega(g(k))$ means g(k) = o(f(k)).

This trichotomy provides intuition: subcritical patterns exhibit decay $(O(k) \to 0)$, critical patterns maintain constant density relative to the logarithmic normalization, and supercritical patterns grow without bound even after normalization.

5 The α -Exponent Framework

When patterns exhibit power-law decay, we can characterize them through an exponent.

Definition 9 (α -Exponent). When the limit exists, define the α -exponent as

$$\alpha := \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log_2\left(2^k/|P_k|\right)}{\log_2 k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{k - H_k}{\log_2 k}.$$
 (7)

This measures the polynomial rate of decay in the "entropy deficiency" $k - H_k$.

Proposition 10 (Exponent Characterization). Suppose the α -exponent exists as defined in equation (7). Then:

- 1. $|P_k| = 2^k \cdot k^{-\alpha + o(1)}$ and $O(k) = k^{-\alpha + o(1)} / \log_2 k$.
- 2. If additionally $|P_k| = 2^k \cdot k^{-\alpha} L(k)$ where L is slowly varying with $L(k) \to L_0 > 0$, then $O(k) \sim L_0 \cdot k^{-\alpha} / \log_2 k$
- 3. Under the regular variation assumption in (2):
 - $\sum O(k) < \infty$ if $\alpha > 1$
 - $\sum O(k) = \infty$ if $\alpha < 1$
 - If $\alpha = 1$, convergence depends on L: $\sum O(k) < \infty$ iff $\sum L(k)/(k \log k) < \infty$

Proof. (1) From the definition of α , we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log_2(2^k/|P_k|)}{\log_2 k} = \alpha.$$

This means $\log_2(2^k/|P_k|) \sim \alpha \log_2 k$, so $\log_2(2^k/|P_k|) = \alpha \log_2 k + o(\log_2 k)$. Exponentiating:

$$\frac{2^k}{|P_k|} = k^{\alpha} \cdot 2^{o(\log_2 k)} = k^{\alpha} \cdot k^{o(1)} \sim k^{\alpha}.$$

Therefore $|P_k| \sim 2^k k^{-\alpha}$, which gives:

$$O(k) = \frac{|P_k|}{2^k \log_2(k+1)} \sim \frac{2^k k^{-\alpha}}{2^k \log_2(k+1)} = \frac{k^{-\alpha}}{\log_2(k+1)}.$$

- Since $\log_2(k+1) \sim \log_2 k$, we have $O(k) = k^{-\alpha + o(1)}/\log_2 k$. (2) Under the regular variation assumption, $O(k) = \frac{2^k k^{-\alpha} L(k)}{2^k \log_2(k+1)} = \frac{L(k)k^{-\alpha}}{\log_2(k+1)} \sim \frac{L_0 k^{-\alpha}}{\log_2 k}$.
 - (3) With regular variation:

- If $\alpha > 1$: $\sum O(k) \sim L_0 \sum k^{-\alpha} / \log k < \infty$ by the integral test.
- If $\alpha < 1$: $\sum O(k) = \infty$ similarly.
- If $\alpha = 1$: $\sum O(k) \sim \sum L(k)/(k \log k)$, which converges iff the slowly varying L satisfies the stated condition.

Remark 11 (On Universality and Regularity). Any specific numerical choice for α represents a modeling decision about the system under study, not a mathematical necessity. Different applications naturally lead to different exponents.

The monotonicity assumption in Theorem 5 is essential and cannot be removed. Without some regularity condition, the series summability can hold while O(k) oscillates arbitrarily, preventing the entropy gap conclusion.

6 Sharpness: The Counterexamples

The hierarchy in Corollary 7 is strict. We demonstrate each non-reversal:

Example 12 (Pattern Decay without Entropy Gap). Let $|P_k| := 2^k$. Then $O(k) = 1/\log_2(k+1) \to 0$ but $H_k - k = 0$ for all k.

Example 13 (Entropy Gap without Dimension Gap). Let $|P_k| := 2^k/\log_2(k+2)$. Then:

- $H_k = k \log_2 \log_2(k+2) \implies H_k k = -\log_2 \log_2(k+2) \rightarrow -\infty$
- $H_k/k = 1 \frac{\log_2 \log_2(k+2)}{k} \to 1$

Thus we have entropy gap but $\limsup H_k/k = 1$ (no dimension gap).

Example 14 (Entropy Gap without Summability). For $|P_k| := 2^k / \log_2(k+2)$ as above, $O(k) = 1/(\log_2(k+1)\log_2(k+2))$. Then:

$$\sum O(k)^{1+\varepsilon} \sim \sum \frac{1}{(\log k)^{2(1+\varepsilon)}} = \infty$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ by the integral test.

Example 15 (Critical Regime). Let $|P_k| := \lfloor C \cdot 2^k \log_2(k+1) \rfloor$ for constant C > 0. Then $O(k) \to C$ (neither decay nor growth), with no entropy or dimension gap.

These examples prove that each implication in the hierarchy is strict.

7 Technical Lemmas

We include the key technical tool for completeness.

Lemma 16 (Cauchy Condensation Rate Estimate). Let $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers that is eventually nonincreasing, and let p > 1. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^p < \infty$, then $a_k = O(k^{-1/p})$.

Proof. Since $\{a_k\}$ is eventually nonincreasing and nonnegative, by the Cauchy condensation test, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^p < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n}^p < \infty$.

Since the latter series converges, we have $2^n a_{2^n}^p \to 0$, which implies $a_{2^n} = o(2^{-n/p})$. In particular, there exists a constant C > 0 such that $a_{2^n} \leq C \cdot 2^{-n/p}$ for all sufficiently large n.

For arbitrary $k \geq 2$, choose n such that $2^n \leq k < 2^{n+1}$. Since $\{a_k\}$ is eventually nonincreasing:

$$a_k \le a_{2^n} \le C \cdot 2^{-n/p}.$$

Since $k < 2^{n+1}$, we have $2^n > k/2$, which gives $n > \log_2 k - 1$. Therefore:

$$2^{-n/p} < 2^{-(\log_2 k - 1)/p} = 2^{1/p} \cdot k^{-1/p}$$
.

Therefore,
$$a_k \le C \cdot 2^{1/p} \cdot k^{-1/p} = O(k^{-1/p}).$$

8 Open Questions

This work opens several avenues for future research:

- 1. **Process Characterization**: What conditions on pattern-generating processes lead to specific α -exponents? Can we classify natural pattern families by their exponents?
- 2. **Optimality**: Is the logarithmic factor in O(k) optimal for all natural pattern families?
- 3. **Dynamics**: How does O(k) evolve under pattern-preserving transformations?
- 4. Multivariate: Can this framework extend to patterns with multiple scaling parameters?
- 5. Computational Complexity: What is the algorithmic complexity of computing or approximating O(k) for specific pattern families? Are there efficient algorithms for pattern families in each growth regime?

9 Conclusion

We have established a rigorous mathematical framework for understanding pattern density at exponential scale. The pattern measure O(k) and its associated hierarchy provide both theoretical insight and practical tools for analyzing complex systems.

Our journey from a debugging session to a mathematical framework illustrates how practical challenges can lead to fundamental insights. The logarithmic dampening we observed empirically turns out to be a mathematical necessity, captured precisely by the equivalence $O(k) \to 0 \iff |P_k| = o(2^k \log k)$.

This work demonstrates that patterns, even at exponential scale, obey fundamental scaling laws. Understanding these laws helps us design better algorithms, build more efficient systems, and recognize the inherent limits of complexity growth.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback and suggestions that significantly improved the mathematical rigor of this work. This research was conducted independently and received no external funding.

References

- [1] C.E. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, Bell System Technical Journal **27** (1948), 379–423.
- [2] A.N. Kolmogorov, Three approaches to the quantitative definition of information, Problems of Information Transmission 1(1) (1965), 1–7.

- [3] T.M. Cover and J.A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- [4] V.N. Vapnik, Statistical learning theory, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [5] A.R. Barron, Universal approximation bounds for superpositions of a sigmoidal function, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **39**(3) (1993), 930–945.
- [6] G.H. Hardy, Divergent series, Oxford University Press, 1949.
- [7] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.