

ECE 594 D project

Scott Cesar

3-1-16

1 Pigou's network with Biases

Consider a model of flow where units of flow have a bias for each potential route.

Let this bias be characterized as a Bernoulli process such that the bias for a route i is either a_i or b_i with probability p_i .

Pigous network presents 2 routes, r_1 and r_2 .

The cost on each edge is:

$$c_1(x) = x$$

$$c_2(x) = 1$$

We can denote the effect of the biases in aggregate by $B_i(x)$ meaning the contribution of a bias given a certain amount of flow.

The Nash equilibrium is then

$$\min_x \int_0^x (c_1(x) + B_1(x))dx + \int_0^{1-x} (c_2(x) + B_2(x))dx$$

Which can be minimized by setting the derivative to 0 and solving:

$$c_1(x) + B_1(x) + c_2(1-x) + B_2(1-x)$$

Then note that the bias function is unknown.

Because the biases are Bernoulli distributions, the population falls into one of four classes:

$$P(a_1a_2) = p_1p_2$$

$$P(a_1b_2) = p_1(1-p_2)$$

$$P(b_1a_2) = (1-p_1)p_2$$

$$P(b_1b_2) = (1-p_1)(1-p_2)$$

Then we can separate the flow based on which section of the population it belongs to yielding A vector equation:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where the bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq x_1 \leq p_1 p_2 \\ 0 &\leq x_2 \leq p_1(1 - p_2) \\ 0 &\leq x_3 \leq (1 - p_1)p_2 \\ 0 &\leq x_4 \leq (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \begin{bmatrix} p_1 p_2 \\ p_1(1 - p_2) \\ (1 - p_1)p_2 \\ (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 &\leq x \leq v \end{aligned}$$

Hold.

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &= [a_1, a_1, b_1, b_1] \cdot x \\ B_2(x) &= [a_2, b_2, a_2, b_2] \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

define

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= [a_1, a_1, b_1, b_1] \\ B_2 &= [a_2, b_2, a_2, b_2] \end{aligned}$$

Which yields a new cost function:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(x) &= [1, 1, 1, 1] \cdot x \\ c_2(x) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

The total traffic along each branch is bounded by:

$$\min_x \int_0^x (c_1(x) + B_1(x))dx + \int_0^{v-x} (c_2(x) + B_2(x))dx$$

Assuming this vector valued integral is possible (Blithely) Then taking the derivative and setting it to 0 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(x) + B_1(x) + c_2(x - v) + B_2(x - v) &= 0 \\ [1, 1, 1, 1] \cdot x + B_1 \cdot x + B_2 \cdot (x - v) - 1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$[1, 1, 1, 1] \cdot x + B_1 \cdot x - B_2 \cdot v + B_2 \cdot x - 1 = 0$$

$$(1 + B_1 + B_2) \cdot x - b_2 \cdot v - 1 = 0$$

$$b_2 \cdot v = a_2(p_1 p_2) + b_2 p_1(1 - p_2) + a_2(1 - p_1)p_2 + b_2(1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)$$

$$= a_2 p_2(p_1 + 1 - p_1) + b_2(1 - p_2)(p_1 + 1 - p_1) = a_2 p_2 + b_2(1 - p_2)$$

$$(1 + B_1 + B_2) \cdot x - (a_2 p_2 + b_2(1 - p_2)) = 1$$

2

New theories: bias can't be joined as a function of traffic? Should be possible. A person applies their specific bias, so other people do not influence the performance of the bias: the Nash equilibria is determined by my individual bias and the current allocation of people. Then traffic is constrained by a new set of constraints: No more traffic of a type x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 can flow on branch 1 if this inequality fails:

$$x_1 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + a_1 < 1 + a_2$$

$$x_2 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + a_1 < 1 + b_2$$

$$x_3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + b_1 < 1 + a_2$$

$$x_4 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + b_1 < 1 + b_2$$

That is to say if the total cost of path 1 including the traffic types bias exceeds that of path 2, no more traffic of that type can flow.

$$x_1 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 < 1 + a_2 - a_1$$

$$x_2 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 < 1 + b_2 - a_1$$

$$x_3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 < 1 + a_2 - b_1$$

$$x_4 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 < 1 + b_2 - b_1$$

Then these constraints are still subject to

$$0 \leq x \leq v$$

as well.

The Nash equilibria are any sets which satisfy this constraint.

The optimal Nash equilibria would be one which minimizes the global cost as well, $\min_{x \in NE} c_1(x) + c_2(1 - x)$.

This might be mostly irrelevant? can't actually force the evolution of an optimal NE.
Then in this model of Constraining the NE a tax function manifests in the form:

$$x_1 : c_1(x) + t(x) < c_2(1 - x) + t_2(1 - x) + a_2 - a_1$$

$$x_2 : c_1(x) + t(x) < c_2(1 - x) + t_2(1 - x) + b_2 - a_1$$

$$x_3 : c_1(x) + t(x) < c_2(1 - x) + t_2(1 - x) + a_2 - b_1$$

$$x_4 : c_1(x) + t(x) < c_2(1 - x) + t_2(1 - x) + b_2 - b_1$$

3 Generalizing

Then for a general game with more than two paths and discrete biases