

Wk	Lecture: Using scientific research and evidence
<div data-bbox="89 184 170 241">12</div> <div data-bbox="110 258 159 315">Mar 26</div> <div data-bbox="110 346 142 373">To</div> <div data-bbox="102 415 151 472">Mar 31</div>	<p data-bbox="199 205 1550 367"><b>Scientific research</b> provides a systematic and rigorous way of gathering and analyzing information about the world around us. Researchers use scientific methods to test hypotheses and theories, generate new knowledge, and provide evidence to support or refute claims.</p> <p data-bbox="199 415 1550 625"><b>Evidence-based practice (EBP)</b> uses scientific evidence to inform occupational practices, re-engineering, and quality improvement. It's controversial, as scientific evidence can require scientific training and other forms of specialization. Outcomes, albeit similar, may need to represent the current environment in question adequately.</p> <ol data-bbox="248 678 1567 1822" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Scientific research can inform decision-making by assessing the use of interventions, treatments, and policies shown to be effective through rigorous testing. Evidence-based practice involves the best available evidence to guide decision-making rather than relying solely on personal experience, intuition, or tradition.</li> <li>2. The higher-level principle is to countermand a culture of "Beaver knows best," a.k.a. we can fix it or figure it out ourselves.</li> <li>3. The movement towards EBP is to encourage or even require professionals and other decision-makers to pay more attention to evidence to inform their decision-making. The goal is to eliminate unsound and outdated practices in favor of more-effective ones by shifting the basis for decision-making from tradition, intuition, and unsystematic experience to firmly grounded scientific research.[2]</li> <li>4. To use scientific research for evidence-based practice, selecting relevant, valid, and reliable studies is essential to critically evaluate the methods and results of studies to determine their quality and relevance to the question at hand. Researchers may also conduct meta-analyses or systematic reviews, which involve pooling data from multiple studies to provide a more comprehensive view of the evidence.</li> <li>5. Using evidence-based research improves the quality of arguments and persuasive communication by providing new facts and information grounded in rigorous scientific methods. Ensure decision-making based on the best available evidence.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="199 1871 345 1892"><b>References:</b></p> <ol data-bbox="199 1898 1550 1976" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. EBP, retrieved from <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Evidence-based_practice">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Evidence-based_practice</a></li> <li>2. Leach, M. J. (2006). "Evidence-based practice: A framework for clinical practice and research design". International Journal of Nursing Practice. 12 (5): 248–251. doi:10.1111/j.1440-172X.2006.00587.x. ISSN 1440-172X. PMID 16942511. S2CID 37311515.</li> </ol>

Wk	Template: Using scientific research as evidence
<div data-bbox="89 178 170 241">12</div> <div data-bbox="105 252 162 315">Mar 26</div> <div data-bbox="105 346 162 472">To  Mar 31</div>	<p data-bbox="203 168 1542 283"><b>Templated writing techniques</b> help you quickly focus on your content. JAM for each category to generate your substrate. Then weave and clean a final product. Set a timer for 20 minutes to complete all template categories.</p> <ul data-bbox="243 283 1299 325" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No template? Research, phone a friend, email a professor.</li> </ul> <p data-bbox="203 357 1542 535"><b>Use kernel sentences:</b> simple, declarative, active sentences (N.Chomsky) Use of clear and concise language that is free of jargon and technical terms focuses the reader. Use the template category to guide construction. Some persuasive problem-solving requires technical terms but emphasizes the verb action phrase.</p> <p data-bbox="292 535 1542 619">a) According to Hogan et al., using AI is not dangerous to your health b)</p> <p data-bbox="203 661 1006 693"><b>1. Template: Using scientific research as evidence</b></p> <p data-bbox="243 693 1542 798">Remember to be specific and provide concrete examples to support your recommendation. It is also essential to be honest, and only recommend someone if you genuinely believe they are qualified and deserving of the opportunity.</p> <ol data-bbox="243 829 1559 1764" style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Introduction:</b> Begin with a clear and concise statement of your argument and provide background information and context for the topic. Include a brief overview of the current debates, research, issue significance, and the significance of the issue.</li> <li><b>2. Scientific Evidence:</b> Present the scientific evidence that supports your argument clearly and logically. Summarize relevant research studies, citing data and statistics or providing examples that illustrate your point. Ensure your evidence is credible, reliable, and up-to-date, and cite your sources correctly.</li> <li><b>3. Analysis:</b> Analyze the scientific evidence with your argument and provide a critical evaluation of the research. Discuss the evidence's strengths and weaknesses, identify any limitations or gaps in the research, and address any counterarguments or alternative perspectives.</li> <li><b>4. Implications:</b> Discuss the consequences of your argument and the scientific evidence for the topic at hand and provide insights into its broader significance. Include discussing the potential impact of your argument on policy, practice, or society more broadly and highlighting any practical or theoretical implications.</li> <li><b>5. Conclusion:</b> Summarize your argument and the scientific evidence that supports it and provide a clear and compelling conclusion. Restate the importance of the topic and the implications of your argument and give a call to action or suggestion for future research or action.</li> <li><b>6. References:</b> Provide a list of references for the scientific studies and sources cited in your argument, using the appropriate citation style for your discipline.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="203 1816 389 1848"><b>References:</b></p> <p data-bbox="203 1858 1266 1890">1. use library citation methods here for APA or chicago style</p>

Wk	Lecture: Using scientific research and evidence
<div data-bbox="89 178 170 241">12</div> <div data-bbox="110 256 159 315">Mar 26</div> <div data-bbox="110 346 146 373">To</div> <div data-bbox="102 409 154 468">Mar 31</div>	<p data-bbox="199 172 357 205">Sample_1:</p> <p data-bbox="199 252 1549 1224">Artificial neural networks incorporate fractal calculus benefiting long-term memory and nonlocality, and serve as a competitive advantage for some scholars. Einstein et al. proposed a new type of activation function for a complex-valued neural network (CVNN) by incorporating a particular Möbius transformation, i.e., a linear fractional transformation, expressed as a reflection resulting in an increased number of fixed points when employed to a specific complex value in a Hopfield neural network (CVHNN). The transformation found that the fixed points are asymptotically stable states of a CVHNN, indicated by enlarged information capacity. Hogan et al. proposed stochastic and evolutionary techniques for solving nonlinear Goodwell differential equations of fractional order. This stochastic technique employs feed-forward artificial neural networks for accurate mathematical modeling learning weights made with a heuristic computational algorithm based on swarm intelligence. The evolutionary technique uses a genetic algorithm tool for a competent global search method hybridized with an active-set algorithm for efficient local search—both for fractional order systems represented by a Quonk equation. The author’s solution for fractional differential equations proposes using particle swarm optimization, stochastic computational intelligence, and heuristic computational intelligence. Unlike integer order calculation methods, the advantages of this approach center on making fractional differential equations available as continuous inputs and theorizing how to generalize a first-order HNN to a fractional one with fractional calculus.</p> <p data-bbox="199 1270 357 1304">Sample_2:</p> <p data-bbox="199 1350 1549 1942">Regarding convergent and discriminate validity results, we first used exploratory factor analyses with several biaxial items deleted, finding questionnaire factors consistent with theory construction. Second, correlations between factor scores and the total score indicated that six factors constructing well-being were independent and heterogeneous but the remaining factors correlated with well-being. Third, confirmatory factor analyses indicated a good model fit supporting questionnaire validity. Forth, convergent validity indicated that the total score of RMIWB-CC was positively correlated to SWLS and FS median coefficient scores but positively correlated to RSES with small coefficients. Hogan and Andersen demonstrated that individuals with higher well-being tend to be more satisfied with their lives concurring with our questionnaire’s result of a high RMIWB-CC associated with high life satisfaction scores. As a result, we are satisfied with the questionnaire and recommend its adoption.</p>