

# Noncommutative Algebra, 4<sup>th</sup> homework

Benjamin Benčina, 27192018

18. december 2020

**Ex. 1:** Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be quaternion  $F$ -algebras with  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ . We will show the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) There exist  $a, b, b' \in F^{-1}$  such that  $Q_1 \cong \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$  and  $Q_2 \cong \left(\frac{a,b'}{F}\right)$ .
- (b)  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have a common subfield of dimension 2 over  $F$ .
- (c)  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have a common splitting field of dimension 2 over  $F$ .

Firstly, assume that  $F$  does indeed have an extension of degree 2 (e.g.  $F$  must not be algebraically closed). Such extensions must necessarily be algebraic, namely extended by a single element. Wherever an extension is given, it is given without loss of generality. Secondly, by “the theorem” we refer to a theorem from the lectures from Chapter 4.3, consisting of parts (a) and (b) and describing the relationships between splitting fields (and the relative Brauer group) and self-centralizing fields.

- (b)  $\implies$  (c): Let  $K$  be such an extension of  $F$  with  $[K : F] = 2$ . Since  $C(K)$  is a subalgebra in both  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ ,  $K$  must be a self-centralizing field in both  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . By part (a) of the theorem,  $K$  is a splitting field for both algebras.
- (a)  $\implies$  (b): Recall we can always pick  $a$  to not be a square (from Homework 2). Consider  $K = F(\sqrt{a})$ . Since  $a$  is not a square, clearly  $[K : F] = 2$  and  $K$  is a subfield of both  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  (we are really looking at  $F + iF$ ).
- (c)  $\implies$  (a): Let  $K$  be an extension of  $F$  with  $[K : F] = \deg Q_1 = \deg Q_2 = 2$  that splits both algebras. By part (b) of the theorem, we get that  $K$  is a self-centralizing subfield in both  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  (incidentally proving (b) on the way). This means  $C(K)$  is a subalgebra in both quaternion algebras of dimension 2, generated by  $1, c$ , where  $c \in Q_1 \setminus F, Q_2 \setminus F$ . But since  $[K : F] = 2$ ,  $c^2 \in F \setminus \{0\}$  ( $c$  will be our common basis element  $i$ ). Denote  $a = c^2$  and write  $c = y_1 i_1 + z_1 j_1 + w_1 i_1 j_1 \in Q_1$  (without loss of generality we can omit the pure field term). Then clearly  $c$  anticommutes with  $j_1$ . If we write the analogue for  $c \in Q_2$ , we see also that  $c$  anticommutes with  $j_2$ . Hence, we get  $Q_1 = \left(\frac{a,b_1}{F}\right)$  and  $Q_2 = \left(\frac{a,b_2}{F}\right)$ .

**Ex. 2:** Let  $A$  be a central simple  $k$ -algebra and  $\text{Nrd}: A \rightarrow k$  its reduced norm. For any  $a \in A$  we define the left multiplication  $L_a \in \text{End}_k(A)$  by  $L_a(x) = ax$  and the unreduced norm by  $N(a) = \det(L_a)$ . Let us show that  $N(a) = \text{Nrd}(a)^{\deg(A)}$ .

Since we are calculating the reduced norm in field extensions and the reduced norm does not depend on the extension we take, we might as well take a splitting extension. It is therefore enough to prove the case for  $A \cong M_n(k)$ . Now view  $A \cong M_n(k)$  as a left  $M_n(k)$ -module via matrix multiplication. Furthermore,  $A$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of its columns, each of them isomorphic to  $k^n$ . Then  $L_a$  can be viewed as an  $n^2 \times n^2$  block-diagonal matrix, each of the  $n \times n$  diagonal blocks acting on one of the columns. By definition of  $L_a$ , each of these blocks is the matrix  $a$  and has determinant  $\det(a)$ , hence

$$N(a) = \det L_a = \det(a)^n = \text{Nrd}(a)^{\deg(A)}.$$

**Ex. 3:** Let  $A$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra with  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ . Let us show that  $[A] = [Q] \in \text{Br}(F)$  for some quaternion algebra  $Q \iff A$  has a separable splitting field of degree 2.

- ( $\implies$ ): Recall that there are only 2 possibilities for a quaternion algebra, either  $Q \cong M_2(F)$  or  $Q$  is a central division algebra. In the first case,  $[A] = [F] = 1$  in the Brauer group of  $F$ , so any extension of  $F$  is also splitting<sup>1</sup>. Of course separable extension over  $F$  of degree 2 exist, since  $\text{char } F \neq 2$  (the problem is that in general they do not split  $A$ ). In the second case,  $A \cong M_n(Q)$  by the Wedderburn Structure Theorem, but  $Q$  is a non-commutative central division algebra, so by the Jacobson-Noether Theorem there exists  $c \in Q$  which is separable over  $F$ . Then  $F(c)$  is separable and contained in  $Q$ , so by Koethe's Theorem there exists a separable maximal subfield of  $Q$  that contains  $F(c)$  and splits  $Q$  (and hence  $A$ ). By dimension count, it must have degree 2 over  $F$ .
- ( $\impliedby$ ): Suppose there exists a separable field extension  $[K : F] = 2$  that splits  $A$ . Suppose in addition that  $[A] \neq 1$  in the Brauer group of  $F$ . By the Wedderburn Structure Theorem,  $A \cong M_m(D)$  for a unique central division  $F$ -algebra  $D$ . We want to show that  $D \cong Q$  for some quaternion division algebra  $Q$ , or equivalently, that  $\dim_F D = 4$ . Notice that by our additional assumption, we have  $\dim_F D \geq 4$ . Since  $K$  splits  $A$  and  $A \cong M_m(D)$ ,  $K$  splits  $D$  as well. Without loss of generality we can view  $K \subseteq D$ . By the exercise from Tutorials about Koethe's theorem,  $K$  is in fact a maximal subfield in  $D$  (here we use the fact that  $K$  is separable). By the theorem we referenced in (1), since  $[K : F] = 2$  and  $K$  is maximal in  $D$ , it must be the case that  $\dim_F D = 4$ .

**Ex. 4:** We will determine the Brauer group of  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  and  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ .

- For the first part we will prove that  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  is a  $C_1$ -algebra. By an exercise from Tutorials, any central simple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  will then just be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ , so  $\text{Br}(\mathbb{C}(t)) = 1$ .

Let  $F$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{C}(t)[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ , where  $n > d$ . Since we are considering the equation  $F \equiv 0$ , we can clearly just rid ourselves of the denominators of all coefficients, so  $F \in \mathbb{C}[t][f_1, \dots, f_n]$ . Take a natural number  $N > 0$  and consider the change of variables

$$f_i := \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij} t^j$$

for new variables  $a_{ij}$ . Now substitute this into  $F$  and group by powers of  $t$  to obtain the following equation

$$0 = F(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{dN+r} F_l(a_{1,0}, \dots, a_{n,N}) t^l$$

where  $r$  is the maximal degree of all the coefficients of  $F$  and  $F_l$  are homogeneous polynomials over  $\mathbb{C}$  in the variables  $a_{ij}$ . This equation has a solution precisely when there exist elements  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $F_l(a_{1,0}, \dots, a_{n,N}) = 0$  for all  $l = 0, \dots, dN + r$ . We now have  $dN + r + 1$  equations in  $n(N + 1)$  variables, which need to have a common solution in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $r$  is a constant and  $d < n$ , for a large enough  $N$  we have  $dN + r + 1 < n(N + 1)$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed (in particular, it is also infinite and  $C_1$ ) and we have more variables than (homogeneous) equations in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have non-trivial solutions. Hence  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  is a  $C_1$ -algebra.

- We can immediately see how the above approach fails for  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ . Indeed,  $\mathbb{R}$  is of course not algebraically closed and, say,  $F(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  has no non-trivial zero, so  $\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $C_1$ -algebra. Then of course  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  cannot be a  $C_1$ -algebra as well, since we can view  $\mathbb{R}$  as its subalgebra.

The problem we are facing is by the system of equations in the previous point analogous to the problem of  $x^2 = -1$  not having a solution in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We thus use the proof of Frobenius' Theorem to

<sup>1</sup> Beware that similar considerations as in (1) must be made, namely,  $F$  must allow algebraic extensions. If  $F$  is, say, algebraically closed, then no such extensions exist, yet  $\text{Br}(F) = 1$ .

obtain that  $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R}(t)) = \{[\mathbb{R}(t)], [\mathbb{H}(t)]\}$ , since  $\mathbb{H}(t) \otimes \mathbb{H}(t) \cong (\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H})(t) \cong M_n(\mathbb{R})(t) \cong M_n(\mathbb{R}(t))$  by examining coefficients<sup>2</sup>.

**Ex. 5:** Let  $A$  be a central simple  $k$ -algebra. Let  $f: A \rightarrow A$  be an involution of  $A$ . We will do the following:

- (a) Describe all involutions of  $A$  using  $f$ .
- (b) Show that  $M_n(A)$  admits an involution.
- (a) By the immediate corollary to the Skolem-Noether Theorem, we have that every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } A$  is inner, so there exists  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\sigma(z) = \alpha z \alpha^{-1}$ . Then

$$\sigma \circ f(xy) = \alpha f(y) f(x) \alpha^{-1} = \alpha f(y) \alpha^{-1} \alpha f(x) \alpha^{-1} = (\sigma \circ f(y))(\sigma \circ f(x))$$

so  $\sigma \circ f$  is again an involution. Also note that since  $f: A \rightarrow A^{\text{op}}$  is a homomorphism (indeed,  $f$  is an antihomomorphism), by Skolem-Noether Theorem, these are the only involutions.

- (b) We already know that  $M_n(k)$  admits an involution, namely the transposition map  $T$ . Since  $M_n(k) \otimes A \cong M_n(A)$ , the map  $F = T \otimes f$  is a good candidate. Indeed, by definition of the tensor product, it is clearly both  $k$ -linear and  $F^2 = \text{id}$ . To verify it is an involution, calculate

$$F(X \otimes y \cdot Z \otimes w) = F(XZ \otimes yw) = Z^T X^T \otimes f(w) f(y) = Z^T \otimes f(w) \cdot X^T \otimes f(x) = F(Z \otimes w) F(X \otimes y)$$

Here we relied on the lectures that the product of simple tensors is indeed well-defined. Since  $F$  is  $k$ -linear, extend this calculation to the entire tensor product.

---

<sup>2</sup> Note that the solution of both points is somewhat motivated by the observation that any finite-dimensional division algebra over  $k(t)$  yields a finite-dimensional division algebra over  $k$  through coefficients. E.g. any rational function over  $\mathbb{H}$  can be seen as a sum of rational functions over  $\mathbb{R}$  grouped by the basis of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Likewise, for the  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ -algebra  $\mathbb{H}(t)$  (still grouped by the basis of  $\mathbb{H}$ ).