The Kyoto School of Go Nihilism

common vulnerabilities in Go, and how to find them

about our speaker

- Stefan Edwards, Practice Lead for Assurance at Trail of Bits
- Kubernetes Assessment, many Go Assessments

overview

- 1. Common Patterns
- 2. Vulnerable APIs
- 3. Tooling

common patterns

- missing err & checked errors vs panics
- integers... integers...
- slices...
- race conditions & mutable data
- interface{} and nil
- everything you know from C, but not C

lam err

- err in Go is terrible
- two major issues:
 - o easy to miss
 - o easy to obscure intent

```
// common parsing pattern: linear Err blocks:
if err := binary.Read(...); err != nil {
  return nil, err
if err := binary.Read(...); err != nil {
  return nil, err
if err := binary.Read(...); err != nil {
  return nil, err
if err := binary.Read(..); err != nil {
  return nil, err
if err := binary.Read(...); err != nil {
  return nil, err
return &p, nil
```

long err blocks

- easy to miss which parse error caused the problem
- loses context fast

solution?

- localize err checks OR
- check error, return more info with fmt.Errorf or the like

quick, what's wrong?

```
if res, err := scaryFunction(...); res != 10 {
if res, _ := otherScaryFunction(...); res > 10 {
resA, err := newScaryFunction(...)
resB, err := newScaryFunction(...)
if err != nil {
 // ...
```

a few things:

- 1. not checking if err != nil
- 2. assuming res holds anything even remotely useable
- 3. (potentially) ignoring the Error with _
- 4. overwriting err, then checking

solutions?

- use errcheck, staticcheck, govet, ineffassign
- always check everything manually

bonus round:

```
if res, err := someFun(...); err == nil {
    // ...
}
```

integers

- Go uses *machine width* integers by default
- things like strconv. Atoi and int -returning funcs are suspect
- dataflow from ParseInt to int32() or the like also problematic

solution?

- always check for things that return 'naked' int
- ensure what build platform you're using (amd64, &c)
- check dataflows from strconv.ParseInt and assume strconv.Atoi is wrong
- Tooling? Semmle (\$\$\$)

slices

- arrays: primes := [6]int{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13}
- slices: var s []int = primes[1:4]
- slices have tricky semantics
 - they are not arrays
 - they are just views
 - copy/append semantics are tricky
 - o range is fun as well

building on that

- do **not** share pointer data via chan
- mutable data + goroutine == data race/mutation problems
- check make(chan ...) instances
- slices too can fall into this (since they're just pointer-based views, and thus can race)

building on those things

- interface{} is terrible, but common
- effectively (void *) for Go
- nil can easily give you an NPE
 - and thus a panic

everything old is newly broken again

- file permissions: 0666 and 0777
- sockets
- NPEs (via nil and other pointer references)
- dangling everything (missing defer and such)
- alignment issues with memory (struct alignment)
- incorrect arithmatic shifts, bit wise integers, falsey-ness
- everything from your 1980's C book is BACK

solution?

- good luck!
- interfacer, go-type, prealloc, gosec, go-vet
- use ack --golang alot
- Semmle if you can afford it

vulnerable APIs

- Go includes many batteries
- A good portion of which are leaking
- gosec helps a lot here, but some comomn ones

- crypto/des, crypto/md5, crypto/rc4, crypto/sha1
- golang.org/x/crypto/blowfish
- golang.org/x/crypto/bn256
- golang.org/x/crypto/cast5
- golang.org/x/crypto/md4
- golang.org/x/crypto/ripemd160
- golang.org/x/crypto/tea
- golang.org/x/crypto/xtea
- golang.org/x/crypto/pkcs12/internal/rc2
- crypto/dsa crypto/rsa
- crypto/tls golang.org/x/crypto/otr
- golang.org/x/crypto/twofish crypto/subtle
- golang.org/x/crypto/internal/subtle math/rand

watch that last one

- it's hard to search for rand in Go code
- crypto/rand and math/rand look the same
- always check the import setup first before reporting

other fun

- html/template not all functions auto-escape
 check Html , JS , URL , and so on
- math/big can be a DoS vector (Int.Exp is problematic)
- check database/sql and use safesql
- net/http/cgi is a code smell

go tooling

- as I'm sure you've seen...
- Go tooling is **terrible**:
 - lots of little tools
 - lots of differing formats
 - easy to make a tool, so lots of them
 - may or may not be broken

our toolset

- gosec
- govet
- staticcheck
- errcheck
- ineffassign
- safesql
- prealloc, interfacer, &C
- awesome-static-analysis (the GH repo)

but finding real bugs?

- invest in **fuzzers**
- Go has great support for fuzzers (go-fuzz and gofuzz)
 - google/gofuzz#gofuzz
 - dvyukov/go-fuzz#usage
- Gopter
- testing/quick
- krf (https://github.com/trailofbits/krf)

testing/quick

```
func TestOddMultipleOfThree(t *testing.T) {
    f := func(x int) bool {
        y := OddMultipleOfThree(x)
        return y%2 == 1 && y%3 == 0
    }
    if err := quick.Check(f, nil); err != nil {
            t.Error(err)
    }
}
```

Gopter

```
properties.Property("Subtract should never fail.",
prop.ForAll(
  func(a uint32, b uint32) bool {
    inpCompute := Compute{A: a, B: b}
    inpCompute.CoerceInt()
    inpCompute.Subtract()
    return true
  },
  gen.UInt32Range(0, math.MaxUint32),
  gen.UInt32Range(0, math.MaxUint32),
))
```

Thanks!

• Questions?