


What drives the reduced prediction accuracy of polygenic scores in non-European individuals?



Bárbara Domingues Bitarello

Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania

Many variants



Many variants with small effect size



[Tam et al. (2019) *Nat Rev Genet*]


Combined, they explain a lot!

Some examples

Phenotype	Statistic	Value	Variants
height	R-squared	25.0	3000
schizophrenia	R-squared	7.0	100
ADHD	R-squared	5.5	100
breast cancer	AUC	60.0	1000
cardiovascular disease (CAD)	AUC	81.0	6000

PS: In Europeans...

Polygenic risk scores add up those small effects



$$PRS = \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\beta}_i G_{j,i}$$

$\hat{\beta}$: effect size (from GWAS)

G : Effect allele dosage

j : Individuals

i : SNPs


independence

additive model

Pasaniuc & Price (2017), Nat Rev Genet

PRSs are appealing



easy
promising
fast
minimal requirements



[Khera et al (2018) Nat Genet]

What about ancestry?

Europeans represent almost 80% of GWAS participants...




[Data: <https://worldpopulationreview.com/>]

.. and 10% of the world's population

[Sirugo, Williams & Tishkoff (2019) Cell]


How does PRS accuracy transfer across ancestries?

PRS accuracy decreases with genetic distance from Europeans



[Martin et al. (2019) *Nat Genet*]

PRS accuracy decreases with genetic distance from Europeans



[Wang & Wisscher (2020) *Nat Comms*]

LOA: loss of accuracy

Why is this loss of prediction observed?

What can we do about it?

Many factors may influence LOA

causal variants	marginal effect sizes
local selection	LD
gene-gene interactions	site frequency spectrum
gene-environment interactions	phenotypic variance

These factors are not mutually exclusive!

Questions

How do these different factors affect prediction accuracy?

Can we leverage ancestry into the PRS and improve predictions?

Let's look at height

Ancestry as a continuous variable


highly polygenic
 many cohorts phenotyped
 large GWAS (UKBB)
 $\sim 360,000$ Europeans
 $height \sim Sex + Age + Age^2 + p_{eur}$
 $height \sim Sex + Age + Age^2 + p_{eur} + PRS$

European + African ancestry

Data	Ancestry	N	Number_SNPs
UKBB_eur	European	9998	685475
HRS_EUR	European	10159	1511742
UKBB_afr	African + European	8700	685475
WHI_afr	African American	6863	741983
JHS_afr	African American	1773	702685
HRS_afr	African American	2251	1511742


Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3

PRS accuracy increases with proportion of European ancestry



Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3

GWAS from UKBB (AFR) individuals



$$y = \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{age}^2 + 10\text{PCs}$$


$$N_{AFR} \sim 8,800$$

$$N_{EUR} \sim 350,000$$

$$\chi^2_{diff} = \left[\frac{\beta_{eur} - \beta_{afr}}{\sqrt{SE_{eur}^2 + SE_{afr}^2}} \right]^2$$

Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3

Using only EUR chunks of each genome



Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3

$$y = 0.15p_{eur}^k$$

$$k = 1$$


all predictive power comes from European chunks

$$k = 2$$

predictive power is uniformly distributed across the genome

Surprisingly, this relationship seems to be more like $k=2$

Allele frequency differences explain up to 20% of LOA



Additive genetic variance

$$G_{PRS} = \frac{\sum 2f_{i,afr}(1 - f_{i,afr})\beta_{i,eur}^2}{\sum 2f_{i,eur}(1 - f_{i,eur})\beta_{i,eur}^2}$$

Prediction: variance in phenotype lower in EUR

genome-wide genetic variance in EUR is $\sim 76\%$ of that in AFR

$$\text{height} \sim \text{Sex} + \text{Age} + \text{Age}^2 + p_{eur}$$


Variable variance model:

$$y = \mu + \beta p_{j,eur} + \epsilon; \epsilon_j \sim N(0, \delta^2 + \gamma p_{j,eur})$$

Mean+1 1sd, constant variance

fitted, variable variance


model, variance in phenotypic variance is 100% in AFR and 76% in EUR




[Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3]

Observation: Phenotypic variance does not change with ancestry

Differences in linkage disequilibrium



Prediction: better tagging of causal variants decreases LOA





[Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3]

Observation: Imputation doesn't influence LOA

Prediction 1: LOA is independent of LD differences



$$Rel_{R2} = \frac{R^2_{bin}}{R^2_{total}}$$



Similar slopes


Prediction 2: LOA is dependent of LD differences

$$Rel_{R2} = \frac{R^2_{bin}}{R^2_{total}}$$




Different slopes

$$Rel_{R2} = \frac{R_{bin}^2}{R_{total}^2}$$



Observation: LOA is somewhat dependent on recombination rate

Prediction: Differences in effect sizes depend on allele frequency differences



$$N_{AFR} \sim 8,800$$

$$N_{EUR} \sim 350,000$$

$$\chi^2_{diff} = \left[\frac{\beta_{eur} - \beta_{afr}}{\sqrt{SE_{eur}^2 + SE_{afr}^2}} \right]^2$$

[Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3]

Observation: Difference in effect sizes increase with allele frequency differences across ancestries

Assuming there are differences in marginal effect sizes

Assuming there are differences in marginal effect sizes

$$PRS_1^C = \alpha PRS_{AFR} + (1 - \alpha) PRS_{EUR}$$

Marquez-Luna et al. (2018) *Genet Epidemiol*


$$PRS_2^C = \alpha(1 - p_{eur,j})PRS_{afr,j} + (1 - \alpha + \alpha p_{eur,j})PRS_{EUR}$$

Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3

$$PRS_3^C = \alpha \left[\sum_{i \in AFR} \beta_{i,afr} G_i \right] + (1 - \alpha) \left[\sum_{i \in AFR} \beta_{i,eur} G_i \right] + \left[\sum_{i \in EUR} \beta_{i,eur} G_i \right]$$

Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3

Prediction: Including ancestry-specific effect sizes improves accuracy for admixed individuals



[Bitarello & Mathieson (2020), G3]

Take Home Messages

- LD and SFS contribute to LOA across ancestries
- not enough to explain entire LOA
- marginal effect sizes differences occur
- better testing with larger NEA sample sizes

Future work

- optimizing ancestry-sensitive approach
- explore ancestry-dependent gene-gene interactions
- include more co-variates?

Acknowledgements

Iain Mathieson

Neale Lab

UK Biobank

Women's Health Initiative

Jackson Heart Study

Health and Retirement Study

Charles E. Kaufman
Foundation

A SUPPORTING ORGANIZATION OF THE PITTSBURGH FOUNDATION



Perelman
School of Medicine
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA



Thank you!
Questions?