Data analysis practice

Ben Bolker

00:16 02 July 2015

Principles

- goals? confirm, predict (decide), explore ...
- avoid snooping: decide on (at least primary) analyses first selfregistration
- biology first!
- workflow:
 - self-register
 - data viz
 - fit models/run tests
 - diagnostics
 - data viz+predictions

Considerations

- problem size
 - observations
 - predictors/parameters
 - clusters/individuals
- number of complications
 - missing data (imputation); mechanistic models; observation vs process error; phylogenetic/pedigree structure; zero-inflation; big data; large number of predictors ($p \gg n$); compositional data; ordinal data; multivariate/multitype responses; circular data; spatial/temporal corr.; causal networks . . .
- avoiding complexity
 - space/time: map/plot/ACF/Moran test of residuals
 - mixed models: two-stage models, boxplot/ANOVA of residuals
 - predictive simulation (e.g. zero-inflation)
- choose how to spend data, human, computational effort
- "as simple as possible but no simpler": Murtaugh (2007)

Choosing a model (a priori)

- Harrell (2001)
- 'spending' parameters on effects

- 1 parameter per linear term
- n-1 parameters per categorical variable
- spline terms (flexible)
- interactions!
- confirmatory studies: one parameter per 10-20 data points
- dimension reduction:
 - a priori choice
 - PCA (possibly by group)
 - informative Bayesian priors

post hoc model choice (don't do it!)

- stepwise
- minimal adequate model
 - everyone (except Harrell) does it to some extent: Bates, Venables
- OK for prediction (Murtaugh 2009)

variable importance

- scaled parameter estimates (Schielzeth 2010)
- summed AIC weights: problematic! Cade (2015):

The associated sums of AIC model weights recommended to assess relative importance of individual predictors are a measure of relative importance of models, with little information about contributions by individual predictors.

- random forest approaches
- variance explained (tricky)
- what does variable importance mean anyway?

Interactions

- if non-sig: remove? not sure ...
- if sig:
 - interpret main effects carefully
 - subgroup analysis (avoid comparing significance across groups)

collinearity

- a problem for *inference*, not (generally) for estimation
- throwing predictors away on the basis of VIFs (Zuur, Ieno, and Elphick 2009) is very dangerous
- Graham (2003) is probably the most sensible discussion
- for prediction: Dormann et al. (2012)

Goodness of fit

- maximal model should fit "adequately"
- important but hard
- R^2 many definitions: GLMs (UCLA stats site), nonlinearity, multilevel models (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013)
- predictions/prediction CI/visualization
- cross-validation

Model averaging

- sensible for prediction
- need to average terms (predictions) that are consistent across models
- not for hypothesis testing
- shrinkage/penalized estimation
- be careful of collinearity; interactions; nonlinearity
- consider explicit penalized regression (lasso, ridge regression) rms (ols, lrm), glmnet (Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman 2009)

Multiple comparisons

- Holm better than Bonferroni (default of p.adjust)
- FDR (Benjamini-Hochberg)
- all-pairwise-comparisons (multcomp): OK, but why bother?
- possibly needed for a large set of predictors

References

Cade, Brian S. 2015. "Model Averaging and Muddled Multimodel Inference." Ecology, March. doi:10.1890/14-1639.1.

Dormann, Carsten F., Jane Elith, Sven Bacher, Carsten Buchmann, Gudrun Carl, Gabriel Carré, Jaime R. García Marquéz, et al. 2012. "Collinearity: A Review of Methods to Deal with It and a Simulation Study Evaluating Their Performance." Ecography, 27–46. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x.

Graham, Michael H. 2003. "Confronting Multicollinearity in Ecological Multiple Regression." Ecology 84 (11): 2809-15. doi:10.1890/02-3114.

Harrell, Frank. 2001. Regression Modeling Strategies. Springer.

Hastie, Trevor, Robert Tibshirani, and J. H Friedman. 2009. The Elements of Statistical Learning Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. New York: Springer. http://public.eblib.com/EBLPublic/PublicView. do?ptiID=437866.

Murtaugh, Paul A. 2007. "Simplicity and Complexity in Ecological Data Analysis." Ecology 88 (1): 56-62. http://www.esajournals.org/

doi/abs/10.1890/0012-9658%282007%2988%5B56%3ASACIED%5D2.0. C0%3B2.

—. 2009. "Performance of Several Variable-Selection Methods Applied to Real Ecological Data." Ecology Letters 12 (10): 1061-68. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01361.x.

Nakagawa, Shinichi, and Holger Schielzeth. 2013. "A General and Simple Method for Obtaining R2 from Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Models." Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4 (2): 133-42. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x.

Schielzeth, Holger. 2010. "Simple Means to Improve the Interpretability of Regression Coefficients." Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1: 103-13. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00012.x.

Zuur, Alain F., Elena N. Ieno, and Chris S. Elphick. 2009. "A Protocol for Data Exploration to Avoid Common Statistical Problems." Methods in Ecology and Evolution, November. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x.