basic generalized linear models

Ben Bolker

Linear models

- foundation for (G)LM(M)s, other complex models
- flexible, robust, computationally efficient, standard
- includes (multiple) regression, ANOVA, ANCOVA, ...
- natural ways to express dependence, interactions

Linear models: assumptions

- response variables:
 - Gaussian (normally distributed)
 - independent
 - conditionally homoscedastic (equal variance)
 - univariate
- predictor variables
 - numeric or categorical (nominal)

Linear models: math

$$z = a + bx + cy + \epsilon$$

or

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \epsilon$$

or

$$y \sim \text{Normal}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots, \sigma^2)$$

or

$$\mu \sim \mathbf{Xfi}$$
 $y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$

what does "linear" mean?

- *y* is a linear function of the *parameters* $(\partial^2 y/\partial^2 \beta_i = 0)$
- e.g. polynomials: $y = a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$
- or sinusoids: $y = a \sin(x) + b \cos(x)$
- but **not**: power-law (ax^b) , exponential $(a \exp(-bx))$

marginal vs. conditional distributions

- common mistake: worry about the overall distribution of the response,
 - rather than the *conditional* distribution (i.e., residuals)
- if only categorical predictors, can mean-correct each group, then look at residuals
- otherwise have to fit the model first!

categorical predictors

- how does a categorical predictor fit into this scheme?
- dummy variables: convert to 0/1 values
- R does this automatically with formula syntax
- e.g. for two levels:

```
dd <- data.frame(flavour = rep(c("chocolate",</pre>
    "vanilla"), c(2, 3)))
model.matrix(~flavour, dd)
     (Intercept) flavourvanilla
##
## 1
               1
## 2
               1
                                0
## 3
               1
                                1
## 4
               1
                                1
## 5
               1
                                1
## attr(,"assign")
## [1] 0 1
## attr(,"contrasts")
## attr(, "contrasts") $flavour
## [1] "contr.treatment"
```

• first alphabetical level (chocolate) used as default

R formulas

- "Wilkinson-Rogers" notation
- response ~ predictor1 + predictor2 + ...
- numeric variables used "as is"
- categorical variables (factors) converted to dummy variables
- intercept added automatically (1+ ...)
- interaction: : multiplies relevant columns
- a*b: main effect plus interactions
- model.matrix(formula, data)

Formulas, continued

- y~f: 1-way ANOVA
- y~f+g: 2-way ANOVA (additive)
- y~f*g: 2-way ANOVA (with interaction)
- y~x: univariate regression
- y~f+x: ANCOVA (parallel slopes)
- y~f*x: ANCOVA (with interaction, non-parallel slopes)
- y~x1+x2: multivariate regression (additive)
- y~x1*x2: multiv. regression with interaction

If confused, (1) try to write out the equation; (2) model.matrix()

Contrasts

- Machinery for translating categorical variables to dummy (0/1) variables
- treatment contrasts (default):
 - β_1 = intercept = expected value of first level (by default, "aard-
 - β_i = difference between level i + 1 and baseline
- sum-to-zero contrasts:
 - β_1 = intercept = unweighted mean of all levels
 - β_i = difference between level *i* and mean; last level not included (!)

Example 1 (treatment contrasts)

Data on ant colonies from @GotelliEllison2004:

```
ants <- data.frame(place = rep(c("field", "forest"),</pre>
    c(6, 4)), colonies = c(12, 9, 12, 10, 9, 6,
    4, 6, 7, 10))
aggregate(colonies ~ place, data = ants, FUN = mean)
##
      place colonies
## 1 field 9.666667
## 2 forest 6.750000
pr <- function(m) printCoefmat(coef(summary(m)),</pre>
    digits = 3, signif.stars = FALSE)
pr(lm1 <- lm(colonies ~ place, data = ants))</pre>
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept)
                  9.667
                              0.958
                                      10.09
## placeforest
               -2.917
                              1.515
                                      -1.92
```

```
##
               Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                  8e-06
                   0.09
## placeforest
Ants: change to sum-to-zero contrasts
pr(lm2 <- update(lm1, contrasts = list(place = contr.sum)))</pre>
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept)
                  8.208
                          0.758 10.83
## place1
                  1.458
                             0.758 1.92
               Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 4.7e-06
## place1
                   0.09
data(lizards)
## Warning in data(lizards): data set 'lizards'
## not found
```

Scaling and centering

• Schielzeth

LM diagnostics

- fitted vs. residual: pattern in mean?
- scale-location: pattern in variance?
- Q-Q plot: Normal distribution?
- leverage/Cook's distance: influential points?

Diagnostics

- statisticians: "don't use p-values to evaluate LM assumptions"
- everyone else: "so what should I do?"
- statisticians: "look at pictures"
- everyone else: "how do I decide whether to worry?"
- statisticians: "..."

From LM to GLM

- · assumptions of LMs do break down sometimes
- count data: discrete, non-negative
- proportion data: discrete counts, $0 \le x \le N$

https://twitter.com/thedavidpowell/status/984432764215754753

GLMs in action

- vast majority of GLMs
 - logistic regression (binary/Bernoulli data)
 - Poisson regression (count data)

link functions

- transform *prediction*, not response
- e.g. rather than $\log(\mu) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$, use $\mu = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)$
- in this case log is the link function, exp is the inverse link function

families

- link function plus variance function
- typical defaults
 - Poisson: log (exponential)
 - binomial: logit/log-odds (logistic)

logit link

estimation basics

• iteratively re-weighted least-squares

testing hypotheses and interpreting results

• something here