Exercise 1

1.a

To solve the problem we thought about using a dynamic programming approach.

rij=jth parent at distance i

yi = minimum price allowed to ask the relatives in distance i.

oi = gifts from parents in distance i.

We created a recursive function that for every distance i of the parents tries all possibles yi till the value of yi-1 (in the range o,yi-1) that is passed through the function and asks all the relatives in i a value between yi and yi-1 taking into account their v: if v>=yi-1 we ask him a value p=yi-1, if yi<=v<yi-1 then we ask him a value p=v, otherwise if v<yi we ask him for a value p=yi so he will not gift us anything(so that in the next distances we are not limited by his gift value). Now if they can afford our suggestions (v<=p) they will give us a gift of the value of p otherwise o.

We sum all the gifts received in the current i, given the current value of yi, to the value returned by the recursion to which we pass the next i and the current yi. When all the recursive calls for the current i returns, we select the global maximum value of the sum of all gifts taken(gifts from i and all r in dist>i) given i and yi, and we return this value, storing it in dp[i,yi]. Since it is stored, if we enter in a recursion call with the same values i and yi, we don't need to recalculate it since the global maximum value has already been computed for these values and we can return instantly the value stored.

Given the recursive function described above, we start a call with the values i=0,yo=max(vr).

- -the function is called with max(vr) as yo to take into account the possibility of having a relative in later distances with a high vr.
- -when we enter the function if we arrived at a distance i that is after the last distance possible, we return o;
- -if the current i and yi has been previously stored then we do not need to reevaluate the function but we return instantly the value stored.
- -otherwise try all possible recursions with all the values yi and return the maximum of those and store the result in dp[i,yi] as described in the description of the function.

proof of correctness:

The function returns one of the optimal solutions to the problem because it analyzes and takes into account all the possible suggested prices for every relative and distance starting from a minimum, in a smart way, taking advantage of the memorization. At each call to a recursion, so at all the distances, the algorithm saves the optimal solution, so it evaluates the maximum value of all the recursions + the gifts in the current i, given a specific i and yi. Trying all the possible values of gifts at each distance and for each relative, we arrive at a global solution that is one of the optimal ones.

Calculating the max(giftsAtDistancei+ric(i+1,yi)) for each yi in {0...yi-1}, we know that at a given distance i and given a value yi-1 we get the optimal solution. Repeating this for each distance i and for each value of yi allows us to obtain a global optimal solution for i=o and yo=max(vr)

analysis of the runtime:

The runtime of the algorithm is O(C* i *max(vr))

Where C is a constant, i is the number of distances and max(vr) is the maximum of all the v of the relatives.

In the worst case scenario i is equal to r and max(vr)in the worst case is evaluated in every iteration ,so the cost is O(n*max(vr)) that is the cost to fill the entire hashmap,multiplied by a constant value c for every evaluation of the function.

In practise it is also multiplied by a factor to describe the granularity of the result(float numbers or approximations).

1.b

```
relatives=[[15.2,9.4,11.1],
    [9.7,12.2,7.5,10.8],
    [5.2,6.3,5.6,10.2],
    [4.9,2,3.5,2.9],
    [1,6.4,4.6,2.1]]
dp=\{\}
def recursive(dist,pr):
  if dist>=len(relatives):
      return o
  maximumGift=0
  if (dist,pr)in dp:
      return dp[(dist,pr)]
 for i in range(pr+1):
    totalValueAtRow=o
   for j in range(len(relatives[dist])):
      if relatives[dist][j]>=pr:
        totalValueAtRow+=pr
      elif i<=relatives[dist][j]:</pre>
        totalValueAtRow+=relatives[dist][j]
    val=totalValueAtRow+recursive(dist+1,i)
    if val>maximumGift:
      maximumGift=val
  dp[(dist,pr)]=maximumGift
  return maximumGift
if__name__ == "__main___":
 for i in range(len(relatives)):
   for j in range(len(relatives[i])):
      relatives[i][j]=int(relatives[i][j]*10)
  maximumVr=o
 for i in range(len(relatives)):
   for j in range(len(relatives[i])):
      if relatives[i][j]>maximumVr:
        maximumVr=relatives[i][j]
  result=recursive(o,maximumVr)/10
  print(result) #out=116.3
```

We multiply initially every v by 10 to take into account the decimals and then the result will be divided by 10.

The result of the execution of the code with the input of the problem 2.b is: 116.3.

Exercise 2:

2a)

The network G(V,E) is modeled as follows:

Vertices: $s,t,A=\{a_i, for all i <= n\}$, $B=\{b_j, for all j <= n\}$, V=union of S,T,A,B

Edges: $E_1=\{(s,a_i) \text{ for all } i <=n\}$, $E_2\{(a_i,b_j) \text{ for all } a_i \text{ and } b_j\}$, $E_3=\{(b_j,t) \text{ for all } j <=n\}$, E=union of E_1,E_2,E_3

Capacities: {for all e in E_1 , c(e) = ri; for all e in E_2 , c(e)=1; for all e in E_3 , c(e)=cj}.

To get the answer for the problem we have to use ford fulkerson on the network with source s and sink t. We used the capacities on the edges $e \in E_1$, $e \in E_3$ to describe the fact that we can't put more than ri shops in the i-th row and more than cj shops in the j-th column. If there is a unit of flow in the edge $e(a_i,b_j)$ so it is saturated then there exists a shop in row i and column j.

Proof of correctness:

Given the capacities of our modeled network, setted to put a limit on the number of shops that can be opened given a specific row, column, or box of the grid representing the city, having a possible number of opened shops > max-flow would end in a flow in the network that will exceed the capacity of at least one of the previous, so without respecting the limits given by the problem. The optimum number of shops opened in the city is then obtained when the number of them is equal to the max flow.

2b)

Given p=number of groups of 5 rows or columns each : p=n/5

 $\label{eq:vertices:s,t,X=} Vertices: s,t,X=\{x_z, \text{ for all } z<=p\}, A=\{a_i, \text{ for all } i<=n\}, B=\{b_j, \text{ for all } j<=n\} \ , Y=\{y_w, \text{ for all } w<=p\}, V=union \text{ of } s,t,X,Y,A,B$

Edges:E_1={(s,x_z) for all z<=p}, E_2{(x_z,a_i) for all z<=p and for all (z-1)*5+1<= i <=z*5}, E_3{(a_i,b_j) for all a_i and b_j}, E_4{(b_j,y_w) for all w<=p and for all (w-1)*5+1<= j <=w*5}, E_5{(y_w,t) for all w<=p}, E=union E_1,E_2,E_3,E_4,E_5

Capacities: {for all e in E_1 , c(e)=inf; for all e in E_2 ,c(e)=ri; for all e in E_3 ,c(e)=1; for all e in E_4 , c(e)=cj; for all e in E_5 , c(e)=inf}

Lower Bounds= {for all e in $E_1,l(e)=1$; for all e in $E_5,l(e)=1$ }

To check if it is possible to solve the problem with the new given constraints (to see if exists a yes instance), we construct a new graph G'=(V',E') from G by adding new source and sink vertices s' and t', adding edges from s' to each vertex in V, adding edges from each vertex in V to t', and finally adding an edge from t to s. We define the capacity c'(e) of each edge $e \in E'$ as follows:

```
For each vertex v∈V, we set c'(s' \rightarrow v) = \sum_{u \in V} l(u \rightarrow v), For each vertex v∈V, we set c'(v \rightarrow t') = \sum_{w \in V} l(v \rightarrow w), For each edge u\rightarrowv∈E, we set c'(u \rightarrow v) = u(u \rightarrow v) - l(u \rightarrow v), we set c'(t \rightarrow s) = \infty.
```

We now run the normal ford fulkerson and see if G is saturated once the algorithm finish its execution: we check that for every outgoing edges from S and for every ingoing edges to S, the flow that passes through them is equal to the maximum capacities of the edges, saturating so the capacities. If that's the case then we can satisfy the lower bounds in the original network S. In case those edges are not saturated then, the problem can't be solved given the constraint of minimum shop opened for each S columns and rows. In case of a 'yes' instance we go back to the original S network where in each edge to the flow found in the previous step S we sum the initial lower bound S not S not S not S not S and backward edge of capacity S and we restart another ford fulkerson from S to S and backward edge of capacity S not S

Excercise 3:

In order to solve the problem we decided to use a dynamic programming approach:

We created a recursive function makeSelection that for every school tries all possible combinations of boys and girls given k and each combination will be used to continue the recursion in the following schools. For every possible combination allowed, we call the recursive function to the next school (so the school i+1), passing to the function the total number of boys chosen until that moment plus the number of boys chosen in the current combination and, the total number of girls chosen until that moment plus the number of girl chosen in the current combination. We then return the minimum of all those recursive calls and save that value(the best for the current boys and girls).

In the next iterations, if a recursive function has already calculated a best solution for the same number of boys and girls, then we return that value without re-evaluating the recursive function, since it will end up with the same best solution.

proof: Since we take into account all possible combinations of boys and girls for every school, we will end up with a global optimal solution for the problem: at every boysChoosen and girlsChoosen we return the $\max(\text{makeSelection}(i+i,\text{boysChoosen}+b_a,\text{girlsChoosen}+g_a)$ for every ba,ga in allCombinations(b_i,g_i,k).

So if we have a maximum for every boysChoosen and girlsChoosen, then makeSelection of (0,0,0) will return the global maximum .The memorization works because in a iteration ,if a recursive function has already calculated a best solution for the same number of boys and girls ,then we return that value without re-evaluating the recursive function, since it will end up with the same best solution. Moreover we didn't add i to the memorization since for each i and i+1 we will have a total number of students chosen that will increase by k so there is no possibility that at two different schools we will end up with the same number of girls and boys chosen .

```
Starting from i=o, boysChoosen= o, girlsChoosen= o. Given n= list of schools, i = index of schools, dp = hashmap for memorization
```

```
function \ make Selection \ (i, boys Choosen, girls Choosen): \\ if \ i>=len(n): \\ return \ |boys Choosen - girls Choosen| \\ if \ (boys Choosen, girls Choosen) \ in \ dp: \\ return \ dp[(i,boys Choosen, girls Choosen)] \\ l=all \ Valid \ Combinations(bi,gi,k) \\ best Solution = \infty \\ for \ bi \ , gi \ in \ l: \\ possible Solution = make Selection(i+1,boys Choosen+bi \ ,girls Choosen + gi) \\ if \ possible Solution < best Solution: \\ best Solution = possible Solution \\ dp[(i,boys Choosen, girls Choosen)] = best Solution \\ return \ best Solution
```

function allCombinations(bi,gi,k):

return a list of all possible combinations of gi and bi given k.

The cost of the algorithm is O(C* max(bi)*n *max(gi)*n) O(C*n2*max(bi)*max(gi))O(C*n2)C*O(n^2) with C that is a constant. Thats because the cost of this problem is the total number of iterations needed to fill the hashmap multiplied by a constant value for every evaluation.

Since we are using a hashmap instead of a matrix in the average case we will have C=O(n2) but in the worst case scenario it will be $O(n^3)$. Anyway, in every case, the algorithm will run in polynomial time.

Exercise 4:

To prove that the given problem is NP-complete we have to:

- -prove that the problem is in np
- -there exists a polynomial time reduction from a known NP-complete problem to this one.

To prove the first we have to give a polynomial certificate verifier that is an algorithm that:

for each child checks if the chosen bags for him of the solution contain all the sweets he needs and that no more than k bags of the same types were chosen.

This is clearly a polynomial certifier.

To prove the second point we start from a modified version of SAT where every clause has either all positive or all negative literals, that is so a NP-complete problem.

All the clauses are in this form (x1 or x2 or ...) and (-x1 or -x2 or ...)

From the modified SAT create the following instance of the santa problem:

C=set of clauses

```
\begin{split} &K1 = \{i \colon \forall \ ci \in \ C \colon if \ ci \ in \ the \ form \ of \ (xj \ V...) \ \} \\ &K2 = \{i \colon \forall \ ci \in \ C \colon if \ ci \ in \ the \ form \ of \ (-xj \ V...) \ \} \\ &B = \{Bj \colon \forall \ ci \in \ C \ in \ the \ form \ of \ (xj \ V \ ...) or \ (-xj \ V...) \colon i \in \ Bj \ \} \\ &k = 1 \end{split}
```

The first child will have for each positive clause a sweet i (index of the clause).

The second child will have from each negative clause a sweet i (index of the clause).

We will have for each literal j(index of the literal) present in the clauses a bag j.

For each clause i and for each literal of that clause j , we will have a candy i(index of the clause) in the bag j (index of the literal).

The instance of the problem will have a k=1 so that the grinch will not be able to get more than 1 bag of the same kind.

To get a result for the modified SAT problem from the reduction we analyze the chosen bags by the grinch: if for the first child the grinch chose the bag i then the xi has to be a 1.

if for the second child the grinch chose the bag i then xi has to be a o.

if a bag i has not been chosen then xi can be o or 1 (we do not care about his value)

if the grinch is not able to fulfill all the two children's needs then there is no solution for the modified SAT.

We now have a polynomial reduction from the modified SAT to the santa problem, that means that the santa's problem is NP-complete.

example:

```
(x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4) \land (-x_3 \lor -x_4 \lor -x_5) \land (-x_3 \lor -x_1) \land (x_1 \lor x_5) \land (-x_1 \lor -x_3 \lor -x_4) we construct the instance of the santa problem: k=1,K1=\{1,4\} K2=\{2,3,5\} B1=\{1,3,4,5\} B2=\{\} B3=\{1,2,3,5\} B4=\{1,2,5\} B5=\{2,4\} the grinch will choose for example the certificate: \widehat{B}(1)=\{1\} \widehat{B}(2)=\{3,5\} the certificate for the sat modified problem is then x_1=1,x_3=0,x_5=0,x_2=(0 \text{ or } 1),x_4=(0 \text{ or } 1) Since the grinch was able to find a solution, there exists a solution for the modified SAT problem.
```