

Benjamin Brown  
COMP720 Project Report  
4/26/2017

1. (10 points) What is your application problem?

Antibody moves around the grid using the Simulated Annealing and Genetic algorithm. With these, it will inspect the neighboring grid space for viruses and eliminate them if they exist.

**Initial State:**

Randomly generated location of x and y for the antibody, 4 cells, and a virus within a grid.

**Actions:**

Move(x, y) – Antibody moves to a position on a grid at x, y.

Inspect(x, y) – Antibody inspects a block on the grid at x, y.

Kill(x, y) – Antibody kills a virus on the grid at x, y.

Die() – Virus is killed by the antibody.

**Transition Model:**

If the Antibody is a neighbor of a cell it will inspect it, otherwise it will continue moving towards one.

Transition: Result(Position(CurrentLocation), Move(NeighboringLocation)) =  
Position(NeighboringLocation)

**Goal Test:**

The Goal test is determined by if all the cells, including the virus, have been inspected and the virus has been eliminated. When true, the algorithm will end.

Goal: Empty(CellStack) && Dead(Virus)

**Path Cost:**

The path cost for this implementation is based on the Euclidean distance between the two points on a grid of the initial and goal state.

P.E.A.S. Evaluation

**Performance:** Euclidean Distance

**Environment:** 2D Coordinate Plane

**Actuators:** Killing Virus/Inspecting Cells

**Sensors:** Direct Contact (Neighboring)

2. (15+15 points) Describe:

- i. the representation of your problem that is used to solve the problem using GA.

Genetic Algorithm uses the four cardinal directions stored as a bit string for encoding the path in a population with Euclidean distance as its fitness function. My selection method randomly picks a chromosome out of the population and using it as a parent. While not the most effective, it does work for this problem. Crossover and Mutation are performed randomly on the selected chromosome(s).

#### Chromosome Representation

00: Up, 01: Down, 10: Left, 11: Right

Ex: [00101101010010] = Up -> Left -> Right -> Down -> Down -> Up -> Left

- ii. the representation of your problem that is used to solve the problem using SA.

Simulated Annealing's objective function uses the Euclidean Distance to calculate the best path. Iterates k times to a limit of k\_max where it will halt if a solution is not found.

#### Temperature

Returns k / k\_max or 0 when the stack of cells is empty and the algorithm is complete.

#### Accepted Probability

Returns  $e^{\Delta\text{cost} / T}$  where  $\Delta\text{cost} = \text{current\_cost} - \text{new\_cost}$  and T is the current temperature.

3. (15+15 points) Mathematically define the:

Definitions:

AntibodyLocation(x, y) is the current location of the antibody

ChromosomePath(x, y) is the location where the chromosome ends at.

Initial(x, y) is the location we begin at.

Goal(x, y) is the ending location to in which we travel towards.

- i. fitness function for your problem for GA.

For Genetic Algorithm my fitness function uses the following algorithm:

**Cost:**  $\text{Cost}(\text{Initial}(x_1, y_1), \text{Goal}(x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}$

#### **Maximum Cost:**

$\text{MaximumCost}(\text{Initial}(x_1, y_1), \text{Goal}(x_2, y_2)) = \text{Cost}(\text{Initial}, \text{Goal}) + E * \text{Cost}(\text{Initial}, \text{Goal})$

where E is the extended allowed distance

This is because with the genetic algorithm we need to ensure our path accounts for obstacles that prevent the initial state from taking a direct path to the goal.

**Fitness:**  $\text{MaximumCost}(\text{AntibodyLocation}, \text{Goal}) - \text{Cost}(\text{ChromosomePath}, \text{Goal})$

- ii. objective function for your problem for SA.

For Simulated Annealing, it also uses the Euclidean distance formula, but without any extended costs as we are moving on a per cell basis rather than generating an entire path allowing us to account for obstacles during the algorithm runtime.

**Cost:**

$$\sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}$$

4. (15+15 points) Compare the two algorithms using:

- i. the accuracy of your solution.

Simulated annealing was about ~99% accurate. It had very few cases where it could not solve the problem. Meanwhile Genetic algorithm had ~93% as it had issues finding a solution when surrounded by 3 or more cells at any given time.

- ii. the time (in seconds) required to reach convergence. Show convergence plot for each algorithm.



