12 July 2021 Report

Brad Burkman

12 July 2021

I didn't have an internet connection for much of the week, so I spent my time digging into scikit-learn. The code is really well annotated, with examples.

Contents

1	New Things I Explored this Week						
2	2 Class_Weight = "Balanced"						
3	Oversampling and Undersampling						
	3.1 Results for Original Dataset	. 4					
	3.2 Naïve Random Oversampling	. 8					
	3.3 Oversampling with SMOTE	. 9					
	3.4 Undersampling with RandomUndersampler	. 9					
4	Balancing Class Weights in Decision Trees	12					

1 New Things I Explored this Week

- Scikit-Learn class_weight = "balanced" Parameter Made a big difference in linear models, not in tree models.
- Oversampling and Undersampling in Imbalanced-Learn I have just started on these. Undersampling is surprisingly effective.
- Balancing class weights in Decision Trees Dissertation topic?

2 Class_Weight = "Balanced"

Using the class_weight = 'balanced' argument in ML models greatly improved the recall and balanced precision in many models.

There's a file, test_class_weight.py, that illustrates what class_weights does.

Many models have a class_weight parameter, some don't.

In the table below,

- cw tells whether the model has a class_weight parameter.
- PB tells whether using the class_weight parameter gives a significant performance boost.
- bf1 is the balanced f1 score.
- ullet Two bf1 scores indicates without o with class_weight = "balanced"
- MLPClassifier gets this good result with these parameters: MLPClassifier(alpha=1e-05, hidden_layer_sizes=(5, 2), random_state=1, solver='lbfgs')

Type	CW	Model	РВ	bf1	Comments
Ensemble	No	AdaBoostClassifier		37%	
	No	BaggingClassifier		48%	
	Yes	ExtraTreesClassifier	Yes	$5 \to 15\%$	
	No	${\bf Gradient Boosting Classifier}$		51%	
	Yes	${\bf Random Forest Classifier}$	Yes	$\mathrm{nan} \to 8\%$	
		StackingClassifier			Stacks several classi-
					fiers together. Not its
					own classifier.
		VotingClassifier			Same
Linear	Yes	LogisticRegression	Yes	$47 \rightarrow 89\%$	
	Yes	Perceptron	Yes	$80 \rightarrow 88\%$	
	Yes	RidgeClassifier	YES	$\mathrm{nan} \to 89\%$	
	Yes	${\bf Ridge Classifier CV}$	YES	$\mathrm{nan} \to 89\%$	
	Yes	SGDClassifier	YES	$37 \rightarrow 90\%$	
Naive Bayes	No	GaussianNB		66%	
Neighbors	No	KNeighborsClassifier		8%	
	No	KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=3) 6%			
	No RadiusNeighborsClassifier				Error: No neighbors
					found within radius.
					Perhaps not applicable for binary?
Neural Network	No	MLPClassifier		63%	
SVM	Yes	LinearSVC	YES	$34 \rightarrow 86\%$	
	Yes	NuSVC			"Specified nu is infea-
					sible."
	Yes	SVC	Yes	$\mathrm{nan} \to 72\%$	
Tree	Yes	DecisionTreeClassifier	NO	$57 \rightarrow 48\%$	
	Yes	${\bf ExtraTreeClassifier}$	NO	$31 \to 27\%$	

3 Oversampling and Undersampling

When you have an imbalanced dataset, of, say, 200 nonfatal accidents for each fatal accident, oversampling and undersampling try to balance the training set (NOT the test set).

Oversampling artificially creates, in our case, 200 new fatal accidents for each original fatal, so that the number of fatal and nonfatal is equal. It could do it by just repeating each one 200 times (naïve method), or by creating new fatal records that are like other fatal records and unlike nonfatal ones.

Undersampling cuts out 199 of every 200 nonfatal records so that the sets are balanced. It could choose randomly or with some heuristic.

3.1 Results for Original Dataset

- I found every classifier in scikit-learn. I don't (yet) know what some of them do.
- For each classifier, if it accepted a class_weight="balanced" argument, I tried that too.
- For each classifier, there are two rows of the metrics Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and f1. The first row is raw, and the second is balanced. "Balanced Accuracy" is defined in scikit-learn. I used my own definition of "Balanced Precision," and used the balanced precision and accuracy to make "Balanced f1."
- The 2×2 array is the confusion matrix. What we want is for C[1][0], false negatives, to be small and C[1][1], true positives, to be large. We don't care if some of the nonfatal crashes are classified as fatal, but classifying almost everything as fatal (as in GaussianNB) is bad.

```
(128148, 658) (128148,) (127604,) (544,)
AdaBoostClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.52 32.69 12.41 17.99
56.15 99.12 12.41 22.06
[[31866
           35]
 [ 120
           17]]
BaggingClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.6 60.53 16.79 26.29
58.37 99.72 16.79 28.74
[[31886
           15]
 [ 114
           23]]
ExtraTreesClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.58 100.0 0.73 1.45
50.36 100.0 0.73 1.45
[[31901
            01
```

```
[ 136
           1]]
ExtraTreesClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.58 83.33 3.65 6.99
51.82 99.91 3.65 7.04
[[31900
            1]
 [ 132
            5]]
GradientBoostingClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.54 42.25 21.9 28.85
60.88 99.42 21.9 35.89
[[31860
          41]
 [ 107
           30]]
RandomForestClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.57 0.0 0.0 0.0
50.0 nan 0.0 nan
[[31901
           0]
            0]]
 [ 137
RandomForestClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.57 50.0 0.73 1.44
50.36 99.57 0.73 1.45
[[31900
            17
            1]]
 [ 136
LogisticRegression()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.61 62.5 21.9 32.43
60.92 99.74 21.9 35.91
[[31883
           187
 Γ 107
           30]]
LogisticRegression(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
95.54 6.82 74.45 12.49
```

```
85.04 94.46 74.45 83.27
[[30507 1394]
     35
          102]]
Perceptron()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.55 45.21 24.09 31.43
61.98 99.48 24.09 38.79
ΓΓ31861
           407
 Γ 104
           33]]
Perceptron(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
93.28 4.92 80.29 9.27
86.82 92.34 80.29 85.89
[[29776 2125]
 Γ
     27
          110]]
RidgeClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.57 0.0 0.0 0.0
50.0 nan 0.0 nan
[[31901
            0]
[ 137
            0]]
RidgeClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
93.12 5.09 85.4 9.6
89.28 92.58 85.4 88.85
[[29718 2183]
 Γ
     20
          117]]
RidgeClassifierCV(alphas=array([ 0.1, 1. , 10. ]))
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.57 0.0 0.0 0.0
50.0 nan 0.0 nan
ΓΓ31901
            07
 Γ 137
            0]]
RidgeClassifierCV(alphas=array([ 0.1,  1. , 10. ]), class_weight='balanced')
```

```
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
93.11 5.12 86.13 9.66
89.64 92.62 86.13 89.26
[[29713 2188]
 Γ
    19
          118]]
SGDClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.61 67.65 16.79 26.9
58.38 99.8 16.79 28.74
[[31890
          11]
[ 114
          23]]
SGDClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
92.56 4.5 81.02 8.52
86.82 91.64 81.02 86.0
[[29544 2357]
 26
         111]]
GaussianNB()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
15.28 0.47 93.43 0.93
54.19 52.35 93.43 67.1
[[ 4769 27132]
 [
     9 128]]
MLPClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.57 49.23 23.36 31.68
61.63 99.56 23.36 37.84
[[31868
          33]
 [ 105
           32]]
MLPClassifier(alpha=1e-05, hidden_layer_sizes=(5, 2), random_state=1,
              solver='lbfgs')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.56 47.73 30.66 37.33
65.26 99.53 30.66 46.88
[[31855
          46]
```

```
95
           42]]
LinearSVC()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.59 62.07 13.14 21.69
56.55 99.74 13.14 23.22
[[31890
           11]
 [ 119
           18]]
LinearSVC(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
97.88 11.63 59.85 19.48
78.95 96.84 59.85 73.98
[[31278
          623]
 55
           82]]
SVC()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
99.57 0.0 0.0 0.0
50.0 nan 0.0 nan
[[31901
            0]
 [ 137
            0]]
```

3.2 Naïve Random Oversampling

Instead of 127,604 nonfatal records and 544 fatal, we have 127,604 of each.

I've given the models that have a big improvement in Balanced f1 from the original dataset.

```
AdaBoostClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
92.72 5.0 89.05 9.47
90.89 92.46 89.05 90.72
[[29583 2318]
        [ 15 122]]

GradientBoostingClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
93.44 5.52 89.05 10.4
91.25 93.16 89.05 91.06
[[29814 2087]
```

```
[ 15 122]]
LinearSVC()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
95.67 6.6 69.34 12.06
82.57 94.27 69.34 79.91
[[30557 1344]
  [ 42 95]]
```

3.3 Oversampling with SMOTE

Synthetic Minority Ovesampling TEchnique

Doesn't really work with binary data, so, as expected, no great improvement.

3.4 Undersampling with RandomUndersampler

Undersampling cut the number of nonfatal records in the training set down to the same as the fatal records, 544. The number of records in the test set was unchanged, because we want our model to work in reality, not in our imaginary world.

Big improvements.

Note that for LinearSVC, the class weight being balanced still made a big difference.

```
AdaBoostClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
89.82 3.79 93.43 7.28
91.62 90.16 93.43 91.77
[[28648
        3253]
 Γ
          128]]
      9
BaggingClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.38 3.1 94.16 6.0
90.76 88.16 94.16 91.06
[[27866 4035]
 Γ
      8
          129]]
ExtraTreesClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.12 3.01 93.43 5.84
90.26 87.86 93.43 90.56
```

```
[[27782 4119]
 [
    9 128]]
ExtraTreesClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.49 3.06 91.97 5.92
89.72 88.01 91.97 89.95
[[27905 3996]
 Γ 11
        126]]
GradientBoostingClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
89.75 3.76 93.43 7.23
91.58 90.1 93.43 91.73
[[28627 3274]
 [ 9 128]]
RandomForestClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
86.17 2.71 89.78 5.26
87.97 86.64 89.78 88.18
[[27485 4416]
   14 123]]
RandomForestClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
85.72 2.71 92.7 5.26
89.19 86.63 92.7 89.56
[[27335 4566]
 [ 10 127]]
GaussianNB()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
90.02 1.95 45.26 3.73
67.74 82.22 45.26 58.38
[[28780 3121]
 Γ 75
          62]]
MLPClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
```

```
88.63 3.3 90.51 6.37
89.56 88.83 90.51 89.66
[[28270 3631]
 [ 13 124]]
MLPClassifier(alpha=1e-05, hidden_layer_sizes=(5, 2), random_state=1,
             solver='lbfgs')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.68 3.13 92.7 6.05
90.18 88.25 92.7 90.42
[[27965 3936]
 [ 10 127]]
LinearSVC()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
88.37 3.26 91.24 6.29
89.8 88.68 91.24 89.94
[[28187 3714]
[ 12
         125]]
LinearSVC(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
88.37 3.26 91.24 6.29
89.8 88.68 91.24 89.94
[[28187 3714]
 [ 12 125]]
SVC()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.2 3.03 93.43 5.88
90.3 87.93 93.43 90.6
[[27810 4091]
 [ 9
         128]]
SVC(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.2 3.03 93.43 5.88
90.3 87.93 93.43 90.6
[[27810 4091]
 9 128]]
```

```
DecisionTreeClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
87.27 2.78 84.67 5.38
85.97 86.94 84.67 85.79
[[27842 4059]
 21
          116]]
DecisionTreeClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
86.88 2.81 88.32 5.45
87.6 87.06 88.32 87.69
[[27715 4186]
     16
          121]]
ExtraTreeClassifier()
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
76.83 1.58 86.86 3.11
81.82 78.91 86.86 82.69
[[24496 7405]
     18
          119]]
ExtraTreeClassifier(class_weight='balanced')
Accuracy, Precision, Recall, f1
78.14 1.52 78.83 2.99
78.48 78.28 78.83 78.55
[[24925 6976]
 Γ
     29
          108]]
```

4 Balancing Class Weights in Decision Trees

I said in last week's report that, intuitively, trees make more sense for our classification problem. Decision trees accept the class_weight = 'balanced' argument, but it doesn't seem to make a difference. In the class_weight.py code references, the authors say

The "balanced" heuristic is inspired by Logistic Regression in Rare Events Data, King, Zen, 2001.

So it makes sense that setting class_weight='balanced' greatly affects models that rely heavily on logistic regression, but not so much for decision trees.

Question: Is there a way to modify the gini and entropy penalty functions in decision trees to incorporate class weights?

I think this might be on the scale of a dissertation topic.