## **MATH 571**

# Taught by Tom Weston Scribed by Ben Burns

## **UMass Amherst**

Spring 2022

#### **CONTENTS**

1	Elliptic Curves		
	1.1	Point addition	
	1.2	Special Cases	
		Introducing other fields	
	1.4	Classifying E	
2	Elli	ptic Curves over Finite Fields	

## 1 Elliptic Curves

In the 1980s, Lenstra found a way to apply the very developed theory of elliptic curves to cryptography and factorization.

**Definition 1.** An elliptic curve is a plane cubic curves given by an equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with  $a, b \in Q$  s.t  $\Delta = 4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ 

Remark 2. Most general equation, the Weierstrass equation:  $y^2 = a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ 

**1.1 Point addition** Define  $E := y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . The key thing is the addition law. Given P, Q points on E, construct a third point  $P \oplus Q$ 

**Theorem 3** (Bezout's Theorem). A curve of degree d and a curve of degree d' have dd' points of intersection

Two cocentric circles won't have any intersections  $\rightarrow$  requires complex numbers.

Take elliptic curve of degree 3, and a line of degree one. By Bezout's Theorem, there will be two points of intersection. Two of which are P and Q, and call the third R. Set  $P \oplus Q$  to be the reflection of R across the x-axis. With a few other conditions, we get a group law.

**Example.**  $y^2 = x^3 - 15x + 18$ . P = (7, 16) Q = (1, 2)

$$y-2=\frac{7}{3}(x-1) \implies y=\frac{7}{3}x-\frac{1}{3}. \text{ Insert into elliptic curve } (\frac{7}{3}x-\frac{1}{3})=x^3-15x+18 \implies \frac{49}{9}x^2-\frac{14}{9}x+\frac{1}{9}=x^3-15x+18 \implies x^3-\frac{49}{9}x^2+\ldots=0. \text{ Move all terms to one side, and solve the cubic.}$$

Don't need the cubic equation, because we know that P and Q are on the intersection, or x=7 and x=1 are two zeros.  $(x-1)(x-7)(x-x_0) \implies x^3-(8+x_0)x^2+\ldots$ , equate the quadratic coefficients  $\frac{-49}{9}=-(8+x_0) \implies x_0=\frac{-23}{9}$ . Therefore R has an x value of  $\frac{-23}{9}$ .

Caveats: if we take the same point twice, take the tangent line rather than a secant line. If you take two points on a vertical line, your third is the projective point at infinity.

$$E(\mathbb{R}) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\} \cup \{O\}$$
, where O is the point at infinity.

Assuming we have the two points  $P = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $Q = (x_2, y_2)$ , where  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

1) (Secant) Line PQ

$$Y = y_1 + \lambda(X - x_1), \lambda = \frac{y_2 - y}{x_2 - x}$$

2) Insert into cubic

$$(y_1 + \lambda(X - x_1))^2 = X^3 + \alpha X + b$$
  
 $0 = X^3 + (-\lambda)X^2 + \dots$ 

We know this must factor into  $(X - x_1)(X - x_2)(X - x_3)$  since P and Q are on the line and on E.

3) Equate coefficient of X<sup>2</sup>

$$-\lambda^2 = -(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$$
$$x^3 = \lambda^2 - x_1 - x_2$$

4) Plug  $X = x_3$  into line

$$y_3 = t_1 + \lambda(x_3 - x_1)$$

5) 
$$P \oplus Q = (x_3, -y_3)$$

*Remark* 4. This exercise is not to suggest memorizing this algorithm, just to demonstrate that there is a general solution method for two points with distinct x values on E.

1.2 Special Cases Now we address more special cases of point addition

1) 
$$\mathbb{O} \oplus \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$$
,  $\mathbb{P} \oplus \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{P}$ .

2) 
$$P = (x, y)$$

$$-P = (x, -y)$$
 (reflection across x-axis)

$$P \oplus -P = 0$$

3)  $P \oplus P$ : The only difference from the general case is that, here,  $\lambda$  is the slope of the tangent line of E at P, which

can be determined by implicit differentiation 
$$\implies$$
  $2YY' = 3X^2 + a \implies Y' = \frac{3X + a}{2Y} \implies \lambda = \frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1}.$ 

*Remark* 5. In this 3rd case, if  $y_1$  is zero, this obviously doesn't work. However, that is just where P is on the x-axis, and is therefore its own reflection, so  $P \oplus P = P \oplus -P = O$ 

**Proposition 6.**  $E(\mathbb{R}) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\} \cup \{O\}$ , where O is the point at infinity, is an abelian group under the operation  $\oplus$  with identity O.

#### **Proof**

Binary operation  $\oplus$  which preserves  $E(\mathbb{R})$ . Check axioms.

- 1) Identity:  $P \oplus O = O \oplus P = P$  for all P.
- 2) Inverses:  $P \oplus -P = \mathbb{O}$
- 3) Abelian: Computing secant lines with different order of endpoints gives the same line, so ⊕ commutes
- 4) Associativity: In principle, this can be done by algebra with exhaustive case study. Alternatively,
- $\rightarrow$  4.1) do this in projective geometry, use Pascal's theorem
- $\rightarrow$  4.2) Develop theory of algebraic curves enough, it becomes obvious (tensor product with Picard group, that is a group and is associative, so this is associative)

### 1.3 Introducing other fields

*Remark* 7. We don't actually care about  $E(\mathbb{R})$ , but variations are useful in cryptography

**Definition 8.** 
$$E(Q) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\} \cup \{0\} \subset E(\mathbb{R})$$

*Remark* 9. It is possible for there to be no rational points and  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is just  $\mathbb{O}$ 

**Claim**:  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is a subgroup of  $E(\mathbb{R})$  under  $\oplus$ 

- 1)  $O \in E(Q)$  (either by definition of E(Q) or since O is (0, 0, 1) in projective geometry
- 2)  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \implies -P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ , obvious since  $-P = (x_1, -y_1)$
- 3)  $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \implies P \oplus Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . All special cases are obvious. For the general case, all of the suboperations are closed under rational numbers, so the entire operation is a rational operation.

*Remark* 10. A field is a set K with operations +,  $\cdot$  satisfying a collection of axioms that satisfy all the expected axioms as under real numbers  $(+, -, \cdot, /)$ 

**Example.** R, Q, C,  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p$  where p prime.

*Remark* 11. Modulus has to be prime since  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  can have elements without an inverse (not even integral domain)

**Definition 12.** For field K, an elliptic curve over K is  $Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$  where  $a, b \in K$  s.t  $\Delta_E = 4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ .

 $E(K) = \{(x, y) \in K \times K | Y^2 = X^3 + aX^2 + b \in K \} \cup \{O\} \text{ is an abelian group under } \oplus.$ 

**Example.** 
$$E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 | Y^2 = X^3 + aX^2 + b \pmod{p}\} \cup \{O\}$$
  
  $E = y^2 = x^3 + x + 1, K = \mathbb{F}_p$ 

χ	$x^3 + x + 1$	$y \text{ s.t } y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$
0	1	± 1
1	3	X
2	4	$\pm 2$
3	3	X
4	6	X
5	5	X
6	6	X

$$E(\mathbb{F}_7) = \{ \mathbb{O}, (0,1), (0,-1), (2,2), (2,-2) \}$$

$$(0,1) \oplus (2,2)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{2-1}{2-0} = \frac{1}{2} = 4$$

$$\Rightarrow x_3 = \lambda^2 - x_1 - x_2 = 16 - 0 - 2 = 14 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_3 = 1 + 4(0 - 0) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (0,1) \oplus (2,2) = -(0,1) = (0,-1)$$

#### **1.4 Classifying E** What kind of groups are we getting?

**Example.**  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is a finite abelian group.  $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| \le p^2 + 1$ , but we can do far better, since for each x coordinate can give us at most 2 y coordinates, so  $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| \le 2p + 1$ .

This bound still isn't best, but it's better

**Example.**  $E(\mathbb{R})$  is either  $S^1$  or  $S^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ , where  $S^1$  is the circle group under addition of angles.

Which one it is is detectable based on how many roots E has. Only 1 compact lie group of dimension 1, which is  $S^1$ .

**Example.**  $E(\mathbb{C})$  is the torus,  $S^1 \times S^1$ 

**Theorem 13** (Mordell-Weil Theorem).  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is a finitely generated abelian group  $\implies E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times T$ , where  $r \geqslant 0$ , and T is the torsion group. (which is finite)

**Example.**  $E(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , there is a point  $P_0 \in E(Q)$  s.t every point in E(Q) is  $nP_0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$nP_o := P_o \oplus P_o \oplus \cdots \oplus P_o \text{ for } n > 0 \text{, or } -P_o \oplus -P_o \oplus \ldots \oplus -P_o \text{ for } n < 0.$$

**Theorem 14** (Mazar, 1977).  $T \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$  *for* n = 1, 2, ..., 10, 12 *or*  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/n$  *for* n = 2, 4, 6, 8

"Mazar is the best number theorist of the 20th century, but I'm a bit biased" - man advised by Mazar.

What about r? Called the rank. r is 0, 50% of the time, and r = 150%.  $r \ge 2$  occurs but rarely. Record r is probably around 30, hypothesis is that r is unbounded.

There are certain algorithms to compute r and  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ 

Remark 15. There is a conjectural analytic formula for r. Bircht and Swinaton-Dyer

## 2 Elliptic Curves over Finite Fields

 $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + b$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ 

How big can  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ?

How to compute?

First approach: for each  $x = x_0$ , look at  $x_0^3 + \alpha x_0 + b = \left(\frac{x_0^3 + \alpha x_0^2 + b}{p}\right) + 1$  (Legandre symbol)

⇒ if this is a nonzero square, 2 points. For nonsquare, 0 points. zero, 1 points.

$$|\mathsf{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p})| = \sum_{\mathsf{x}_0 = 0}^{\mathsf{p} - 1} \left( \frac{\mathsf{x}_0^3 + \mathsf{a} \mathsf{x}_0^2 + \mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{p}} \right) + 1 + 1 = \mathsf{p} + 1 + \sum_{\mathsf{x}_0 = 0}^{\mathsf{p} - 1} \left( \frac{\mathsf{x}_0^3 + \mathsf{a} \mathsf{x}_0^2 + \mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{p}} \right) + 1$$

Since  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  is 1 or -1 equally often, expect sum to be fairly small.

**Theorem 16** (Riemann Hypothesis for elliptic curves over finite fields).  $|\sum_{x_0=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{x_0^3 + ax_0^2 + b}{p} \right)| \le 2\sqrt{p}$ ,

Really called the Hasse Theorem, but Hasse applied to the Nazi party, and Weston doesn't cite Nazis

$$\begin{array}{l} N_p = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \alpha_p = p+1-\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ |\alpha_p| \leqslant 2\sqrt{p} \\ |\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)| - p-1 \leqslant 2\sqrt{p} \end{array}$$