Research and implementation of multi-dataset training for image classification with discrepant taxonomies

A master thesis in the field of computer science by Björn Buschkämper

1st supervisor: Dr. Petra Bevandic 2nd supervisor: M.Sc. Riza Velioglu

Submitted in the research group "HammerLab" of the faculty of technology for the degree of Master of Science

at

Universität Bielefeld

May 3, 2025

Abstract

Scientific documents often use LaTeX for typesetting. While numerous packages and templates exist, it makes sense to create a new one. Just because.

Contents

I	Inte	RODUCT	ION	I
	1.1	Why?		1
	1.2	How?		1
	1.3	Making	g this template <i>yours</i>	1
	1.4	Feature	es	2
		1.4.1	Typesetting mathematics	2
		1.4.2	Typesetting text	3
	1.5	Chang	ing things	4
2 ME	Мет	HODOL	OGY	5
	2.1	Synthe	tic Taxonomy Generation	5
		2.1.1	The Need for a Controlled Ground Truth	5
		2.1.2	Our Approach: Building Synthetic Datasets	5
		2.1.3	Formal Definitions	6
		2.1.4	Randomized Domain Generation	6
		2.1.5	Modeling Cross-Domain Relationships	7
Bi	BLIOG	RAPHY		II

I INTRODUCTION

In which the reasons for creating this package are laid bare for the whole world to see and we encounter some usage guidelines.

This package contains a minimal, modern template for writing your thesis. While originally meant to be used for a Ph. D. thesis, you can equally well use it for your honour thesis, bachelor thesis, and so on—some adjustments may be necessary, though.

I.I WHY?

I was not satisfied with the available templates for LaTeX and wanted to heed the style advice given by people such as Robert Bringhurst or Edward R. Tufte. While there *are* some packages out there that attempt to emulate these styles, I found them to be either too bloated, too playful, or too constraining. This template attempts to produce a beautiful look without having to resort to any sort of hacks. I hope you like it.

I.2 How?

The package tries to be easy to use. If you are satisfied with the default settings, just add

\documentclass{mimosis}

at the beginning of your document. This is sufficient to use the class. It is possible to build your document using either LETEX, or LuaLETEX. I personally prefer one of the latter two because they make it easier to select proper fonts.

1.3 Making this template yours

Prior to using this template, the first thing you want to do is probably a little bit of customisation. You can achieve quick changes in look and feel by picking your own fonts. With the fontspec package loaded and XŢEYEXor LuaEYTEXas your compiler, this is pretty simple:

```
\setmainfont{Your main font}
\setsansfont{Your sans-serif font}
\setmonofont{Your monospaced font}
```

Make sure to select nice combinations of that are pleasing to *your* eyes—this is your document and it should reflect your own style. Make sure to specify font names as they are provided by your system. For instance, you might want to use the following combination:

```
\setmainfont{Libre Baskerville}
\setsansfont[Scale=MatchLowercase]{IBM Plex Sans}
\setmonofont[Scale=MatchLowercase]{IBM Plex Mono}
```

If these fonts exist on your system, your normal text will look a little bit different from the other font used in this example PDF, while your sans-serif font will pair nicely with your monospaced font. You can also remove the Scale directive, but I find that most fonts pair better if they are adjusted in size a little bit. Experiment with it until you finds a combination that you enjoy.

XILTEX and Lual ITEX also offer you a way to change the font that is used for mathematical equations. If installed, the garamond-math package permits you to choose from different stylistic sets that slightly change how certain mathematical symbols look. For instance, the following command changes 'Fraktur' symbols:

```
\setmathfont{Garamond-Math.otf}[StylisticSet={6}]
```

I.4 FEATURES

The template automatically imports numerous convenience packages that aid in your typesetting process. Table 1.1 lists the most important ones. Let's briefly discuss some examples below. Please refer to the source code for more demonstrations.

I.4.I Typesetting mathematics

This template uses amsmath and amssymb, which are the de-facto standard for typesetting mathematics. Use numbered equations using the equation environment. If you want to show multiple equations and align them, use the align environment:

$$V \coloneqq \{1, 2, \dots\} \tag{1.1}$$

$$E := \{(u, v) \mid \operatorname{dist}(p_u, p_v) \le \epsilon\}$$
(1.2)

Package	Purpose
amsmath	Basic mathematical typography
amsthm	Basic mathematical environments for proofs etc.
babel	Language settings
booktabs	Typographically light rules for tables
bookmarks	Bookmarks in the resulting PDF
csquotes	Language-specific quotation marks
dsfont	Double-stroke font for mathematical concepts
graphicx	Graphics
hyperref	Hyperlinks
multirow	Permits table content to span multiple rows or columns
paralist	Paragraph ('in-line') lists and compact enumerations
scrlayer-scrpage	Page headings
setspace	Line spacing
siunitx	Proper typesetting of units
subcaption	Proper sub-captions for figures

Table 1.1: A list of the most relevant packages required (and automatically imported) by this template.

Define new mathematical operators using \DeclareMathOperator. Some operators are already pre-defined by the template, such as the distance between two objects. Please see the template for some examples. Moreover, this template contains a correct differential operator. Use \diff to typeset the differential of integrals:

$$f(u) := \int_{v \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{dist}(u, v) \, \mathrm{d}v \tag{1.3}$$

You can see that, as a courtesy towards most mathematicians, this template gives you the possibility to refer to the real numbers $\mathbb R$ and the domain $\mathbb D$ of some function. Take a look at the source for more examples. By the way, the template comes with spacing fixes for the automated placement of brackets.

I.4.2 Typesetting text

Along with the standard environments, this template offers paralist for lists within paragraphs. Here's a quick example: The American constitution speaks, among others, of (i) life (ii) liberty (iii) the pursuit of happiness. These should be added in equal measure to your own conduct. To typeset units correctly, use the siunitx package. For example, you might want to restrict your daily intake of liberty to 750 mg.

Likewise, as a small pet peeve of mine, I offer specific operators for *ordinals*. Use \t th to typeset things like July 4^{th} correctly. Or, if you are referring to the 2^{nd} edition of a book, please use \t nd. Likewise, if you came in 3^{rd} in a marathon, use \t rd. This is my 1^{st} rule.

If you want to write a text in German and use German hyphenation rules, set the language of your text to german using \selectlanguage{ngerman}, or add

\PassOptionsToPackage{spanish}{babel}

before the \documentclass command to load a specific language. The languages ngerman, french, and english are loaded by default, with english being selected.

Quotation marks can be typeset using the \enquote{...} command from the csquotes package, which is preloaded by latex-mimosis. Depending on the currently selected language, quotes will look like "this", "this", or « this ». One must never use "ASCII" quotation marks or even 'apostrophe' symbols.

I.5 CHANGING THINGS

Since this class heavily relies on the scrbook class, you can use *their* styling commands in order to change the look of things. For example, if you want to change the text in sections to **bold** you can just use

\setkomafont{sectioning}{\normalfont\bfseries}

at the end of the document preamble—you don't have to modify the class file for this. Please consult the source code for more information.

2 Methodology

In which we describe the approach to creating and evaluating synthetic taxonomies for comparing multiple image classification datasets.

2.1 Synthetic Taxonomy Generation

2.I.I THE NEED FOR A CONTROLLED GROUND TRUTH

To evaluate our taxonomy generation methods, we need a reliable ground truth with known relationships between datasets. This presents a challenge, as most existing image classification datasets lack clear inter-dataset relationships:

- ImageNet [1, 5] uses WordNet's [2] hierarchical structure to organize classes. However, this strict hierarchy doesn't match our use case where we need to connect datasets with different class structures and partial overlaps.
- Open Images [4] contains approximately 9 million images with multiple labels per image
 generated by Google's Cloud Vision API¹. This multi-label approach makes it difficult to
 determine a single class for each image, which is required for our evaluation. Additionally,
 since most labels were automatically generated, it doesn't provide the verified ground truth
 we need.
- iNaturalist [3] offers a detailed taxonomy of plant and animal species, but its domainspecific nature makes it unsuitable for developing a general-purpose evaluation framework.

2.1.2 Our Approach: Building Synthetic Datasets

Instead of relying on existing taxonomies, we developed a method to generate synthetic datasets with controlled relationships. Our approach:

1. Define a set of "atomic concepts" that serve as building blocks for classes

¹https://cloud.google.com/vision

2 Methodology

- 2. Create multiple domains by sampling these concepts to form classes
- 3. Calculate inter-domain relationships based on shared concepts

This method allows us to precisely control the taxonomy structure while creating realistic relationships between domains. To generate images for these synthetic classes, we can leverage existing datasets by treating each original class as an atomic concept.

2.1.3 FORMAL DEFINITIONS

We define our synthetic taxonomy framework as follows:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 (Universe of atomic concepts)
 $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ (A class is a subset of concepts)
 $\mathcal{D}_i = \{\mathcal{C}_1^i, \mathcal{C}_2^i, ..., \mathcal{C}_k^i\}$ with $\forall j \neq k : \mathcal{C}_j^i \cap \mathcal{C}_k^i = \emptyset$ (A domain is a set of disjoint classes)
 $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, ..., \mathcal{D}_m\}$ (A taxonomy is a set of domains)
$$(2.1)$$

2.I.4 RANDOMIZED DOMAIN GENERATION

To create realistic domains, we use controlled randomness:

PARAMETER SAMPLING

We sample the number of classes per domain and the number of concepts per class from truncated normal distributions to ensure realistic variation while maintaining control. Since normal distributions are unbounded, we use a truncated version:

$$f(x|\mu,\sigma,a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)}{\sigma\left[\Phi\left(\frac{b-\mu}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]} & \text{if } a \le x \le b\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where:

- ϕ is the standard normal PDF
- Φ is the standard normal CDF
- a and b are lower and upper bounds

We implement this using SciPy's truncnorm module², handling SciPy's standardization of bounds internally:

$$X \sim \text{TruncNorm}(\mu, \sigma^2, a, b)$$

Domain Generation Algorithm

To generate a domain \mathfrak{D}_i , we follow these steps:

1. **Sample domain size:** Determine how many concepts l to use:

$$l \sim [\text{TruncNorm}(\mu_{\text{classes}}, \sigma_{\text{classes}}^2, 1, n)]$$

2. **Create concept pool:** Randomly select *l* concepts from the universe:

 $P = \{a, b, c, ...\}$ where a, b, c, ... are sampled without replacement from \mathcal{U}

- 3. Initialize domain: $\mathfrak{D}_i = \{\}$
- 4. **Generate classes:** While concepts remain in the pool $(P \neq \emptyset)$:
 - a) Sample class size s_i :

$$s_j \sim [\text{TruncNorm}(\mu_{\text{class_size}}, \sigma_{\text{class_size}}^2, 1, |P|)]$$

- b) Form class \mathscr{C}_{i}^{i} by selecting s_{i} concepts randomly from P
- c) Remove selected concepts from $P: P = P \setminus \mathscr{C}_i^i$
- d) Add class to domain: $\mathfrak{D}_i = \mathfrak{D}_i \cup \{\mathscr{C}_i^i\}$

This algorithm ensures that each concept is assigned to exactly one class within the domain, maintaining our disjointness constraint.

2.1.5 Modeling Cross-Domain Relationships

Once we've generated multiple domains, we need to model the relationships between them to create our ground truth.

²https://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy/reference/generated/scipy.stats.truncnorm.html

2 Methodology

Simulating Neural Network Predictions

Our taxonomy generation method assumes that neural network classifiers will predict related classes across domains with certain probabilities. To simulate this, we create "perfect" synthetic probabilities based on concept overlap.

RELATIONSHIP CALCULATION

For any two domains \mathcal{D}_A and \mathcal{D}_B , we calculate the probability of classifying an instance of class \mathcal{C}_i^A as class \mathcal{C}_i^B using:

NaiveProbability
$$(i, j) = \frac{|\mathscr{C}_{i}^{A} \cap \mathscr{C}_{j}^{B}|}{|\mathscr{C}_{i}^{A}|}$$

$$P_{i,j} = \text{NaiveProbability}(i, j) + \frac{1 - \text{NaiveProbability}(i, j)}{|\mathscr{D}_{B}|}$$
(2.2)

Where:

- NaiveProbability(i,j) is the proportion of concepts in class \mathscr{C}_i^A that also appear in class \mathscr{C}_i^B
- The second term distributes remaining probability mass evenly across all classes in domain \mathcal{D}_B , simulating the behavior of a neural network when encountering concepts it hasn't seen before

A Concrete Example

To illustrate this approach, consider two domains:

- Domain A: $\mathfrak{D}_A = \{\mathscr{C}_1^A = \{1, 2\}, \mathscr{C}_2^A = \{3, 4\}\}$
- Domain B: $\mathfrak{D}_B = \{\mathscr{C}_1^B = \{1, 2, 4\}, \mathscr{C}_2^B = \{5, 6\}\}$

For the relationship $\mathscr{C}_1^A \to \mathscr{C}_1^B$:

- NaiveProbability(1, 1) = $\frac{|\{1,2\} \cap \{1,2,4\}|}{|\{1,2\}|} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$
- $P_{1,1} = 1 + \frac{1-1}{2} = 1$

For the relationship $\mathscr{C}_2^A \to \mathscr{C}_1^B$:

• NaiveProbability(2, 1) = $\frac{|\{3,4\} \cap \{1,2,4\}|}{|\{3,4\}|} = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5$

•
$$P_{2,1} = 0.5 + \frac{1 - 0.5}{2} = 0.5 + 0.25 = 0.75$$

This example shows how our framework captures partial relationships between classes and simulates how a neural network might handle concepts that don't perfectly match across domains.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei. "ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database". In: *CVPR09*. 2009.
- C. Fellbaum. WordNet: An Electronic Lexical Database. The MIT Press, 1998. ISBN: 9780262272551.
 DOI: 10.7551/mitpress/7287.001.0001. URL: https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/7287.001.0001.
- 3. G. V. Horn, O. M. Aodha, Y. Song, Y. Cui, C. Sun, A. Shepard, H. Adam, P. Perona, and S. Belongie. *The iNaturalist Species Classification and Detection Dataset*. 10, 2018. DOI: 10. 48550/arXiv.1707.06642. arXiv: 1707.06642[cs]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06642 (visited on 05/03/2025).
- 4. A. Kuznetsova, H. Rom, N. Alldrin, J. Uijlings, I. Krasin, J. Pont-Tuset, S. Kamali, S. Popov, M. Malloci, A. Kolesnikov, T. Duerig, and V. Ferrari. "The Open Images Dataset V4: Unified image classification, object detection, and visual relationship detection at scale". *IJCV*, 2020.
- 5. O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein, A. C. Berg, and L. Fei-Fei. *ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge*. 30, 2015. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1409.0575. arXiv: 1409.0575[cs]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0575 (visited on 03/19/2025).