

1 Orthonormality of Slater determinant

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \phi_{i_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) \dots \phi_{i_N}(\mathbf{r}_N, \sigma_N) \\
\Rightarrow \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{N!} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \left[\sum_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \phi_{i_1}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) \dots \right] \left[\sum_{j_1 \dots j_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \phi_{j_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) \dots \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_N} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_N} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \left[\int d\mathbf{r}_1 \phi_{i_1}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) \phi_{j_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1) \right] \dots \left[\int d\mathbf{r}_N \phi_{i_N}^*(\mathbf{r}_N, \sigma_N) \phi_{j_N}(\mathbf{r}_N, \sigma_N) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Each integral is a δ_{ij} assuming the orbitals are orthonormal.

$$\Rightarrow \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N}^2 = \frac{N!}{N!} = 1$$

2 Taking overlaps of Slater determinants in a basis

The expression from the § 1 can be generalized to different determinants, and for an arbitrary basis:

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi' \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_N} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_N} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \langle \phi_{i_1} | \psi_{j_1} \rangle \dots \langle \phi_{i_N} | \psi_{j_N} \rangle \quad (1)$$

The ordering of the first index is irrelevant, and it cancels the prefactor:

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi' \rangle = \sum_{j_1 \dots j_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \langle \phi_1 | \psi_{j_1} \rangle \dots \langle \phi_N | \psi_{j_N} \rangle \quad (2)$$

This is the form of a determinant. In fact, for a determinant expressed in matrix form for a basis, $|\alpha\rangle$ with overlap $S_{\alpha, \alpha'}$, denoted $\Phi_{\alpha i} = \langle \alpha | \phi_i \rangle$,

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi' \rangle = \text{Det} (\Phi_{i, \alpha}^T S_{\alpha, \alpha'} \Psi_{\alpha', j}) \quad (3)$$

This is because $\Phi_{i, \alpha}^T S_{\alpha, \alpha'} \Psi_{\alpha', j}$ results in a matrix of the overlaps:

$$\Phi_{i, \alpha}^T S_{\alpha, \alpha'} \Psi_{\alpha', j} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle \phi_1 | \psi_N \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle \phi_N | \psi_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle \phi_N | \psi_N \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

3 Energy of a Slater determinant wavefunction

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \phi_{i_\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \\
\langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= \langle \Phi | \hat{T} | \Phi \rangle + \langle \Phi | \hat{V}_{\text{ext}} | \Phi \rangle + \langle \Phi | \hat{V}_{\text{int}} | \Phi \rangle + E_{II} \\
\langle \Phi | \hat{T} | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \phi_{i_\alpha}^*(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \left[\sum_{\gamma} -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\gamma}^2 \right] \prod_{\beta=1}^N \phi_{j_\beta}(\mathbf{r}_\beta, \sigma_\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

The steps that proceed are: commute all ϕ_{j_β} that commute with each ∇_{γ}^2 , leave the one that doesn't behind. The ones that commute will form an inner product that utilizes orthonormality to ensure $i_\alpha = j_\alpha$. Now we get the same

redundancy as when computing the norm, and a similar simplification follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi | \hat{T} | \Phi \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \sum_{\gamma} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \prod_{\alpha \neq \gamma} \phi_{i_\alpha}^*(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \prod_{\beta \neq \gamma} \phi_{j_\beta}(\mathbf{r}_\beta, \sigma_\beta) \phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \nabla_\gamma^2 \phi_{j_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \sum_{\gamma} \prod_{\alpha \neq \gamma} \left\{ \int d\mathbf{r}_\alpha \phi_{i_\alpha}^*(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \phi_{j_\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \right\} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma \phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \nabla_\gamma^2 \phi_{j_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \sum_{\gamma} \prod_{\alpha \neq \gamma} \delta_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma \phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \nabla_\gamma^2 \phi_{j_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the sum over j_β is consumed, setting all of $i_\alpha = j_\alpha$ for $\alpha \neq \gamma$, however this also determines that $i_\gamma = j_\gamma$ by process of elimination. This produces a sum of $N!$ identical terms.

$$\implies \langle \Phi | \hat{T} | \Phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma \phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \nabla_\gamma^2 \phi_{j_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma)$$

Thus the kinetic energy of the product wavefunction is simply the sum of the kinetic energies of the orbitals. This will occur the same for any one-body property, since for these operators, we can form the inner product that sets the $i_\alpha = j_\alpha$ for all α .

$$\implies \langle \Phi | [\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}}] | \Phi \rangle = \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}, \sigma_i) \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_i(\mathbf{r}, \sigma_i)$$

For two body operators, something similar happens. Consider \hat{V}_{int} .

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi | \hat{V}_{\text{int}} | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \phi_{i_\alpha}^*(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \prod_{\beta=1}^N \phi_{j_\beta}(\mathbf{r}_\beta, \sigma_\beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \prod_{\alpha \neq \gamma, \delta} \left\{ \int d\mathbf{r}_\alpha \phi_{i_\alpha}^*(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \phi_{j_\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \right\} \\
&\quad \times \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left[\frac{\phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{i_\delta}^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_{j_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{j_\delta}(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\{j_\beta\}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \prod_{\alpha \neq \gamma, \delta} \delta_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left[\frac{\phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{i_\delta}^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_{j_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{j_\delta}(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\alpha \neq \gamma, \delta$, $i_\alpha = j_\alpha$, but this time we cannot further deduce that $i_\gamma = j_\gamma$ since there are two options instead: $i_\gamma = j_\gamma$ and $i_\delta = j_\delta$, or $i_\gamma = j_\delta$ and $i_\delta = j_\gamma$. The sum over j_α is consumed by the delta functions (keeping in mind that observation). In the case that $i_\gamma = j_\gamma$, the Levi-Civita symbol becomes squared and the term is positive. In the other case, they differ by an exchange of indices, thus the product is negative.

$$\begin{aligned}
\implies \langle \Phi | \hat{V}_{\text{int}} | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{i_\alpha\}} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left\{ \left[\frac{\phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{i_\delta}^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_{i_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{i_\delta}(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left[\frac{\phi_{i_\gamma}^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{i_\delta}^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_{i_\delta}(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_{i_\gamma}(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left[\frac{\phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_\gamma(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\delta(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left[\frac{\phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_\delta(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\gamma(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left[\frac{\phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_\gamma(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\delta(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \int d\mathbf{r}_\gamma d\mathbf{r}_\delta \left[\frac{\phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta) \phi_\delta(\mathbf{r}_\gamma, \sigma_\gamma) \phi_\gamma(\mathbf{r}_\delta, \sigma_\delta)}{|\mathbf{r}_\gamma - \mathbf{r}_\delta|} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Putting this analysis together gives us our result.

4 Derivation of the Hartree-Fock equations

I suppress spin degree of freedom for simplicity.

We approach it via the method of Lagrange multipliers. We vary the $\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r})$ subject to the constraints $\langle \phi_i | \phi_i \rangle = 1$. Basic theory of Lagrange multipliers requires that

$$\delta \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle - \sum_i \delta \langle \phi_i | \phi_i \rangle = 0$$

So varying ϕ_i^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r} \delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \delta \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \delta \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \end{aligned}$$

The right side two-body terms are identical to the left side up to renaming the summation index and integration variables.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r} \delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &= \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r} \delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left\{ \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}} \right) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle - \sum_i \delta \langle \phi_i | \phi_i \rangle &= 0 \\ \iff \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r} \delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left\{ \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}} \right) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \right\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r})$ is arbitrary, the term in the brackets must be zero for all i . These are the Hartree-Fock equations.

5 Proof of Koopman's theorem

Here are the Hartree-Fock equations written more explicitly:

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + \sum_{j, \sigma_j} \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^{\sigma_j*}(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j^{\sigma_j}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \right] \phi_i^\sigma(\mathbf{r}) - \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^{\sigma_j*}(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i^\sigma(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \phi_j^\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i^\sigma \phi_i^\sigma(\mathbf{r}) \quad (5)$$

Taking an inner product of (5) with $\langle \phi_i |$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i^\sigma &= \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left\{ \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + \sum_{j, \sigma_j} \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^{\sigma_j*}(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j^{\sigma_j}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \right] \phi_i^\sigma(\mathbf{r}) - \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_j^{\sigma_j*}(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i^\sigma(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \phi_j^\sigma(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left[\hat{T} + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider the Slater determinant with the k th orbital missing, denoted $|\Phi_{N-1}^{(k)}\rangle$. (See eq. ??).

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi_{N-1}^{(k)} | \hat{H} | \Phi_{N-1}^{(k)} \rangle &= \sum_{i \neq k} \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \left[\hat{T} + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \neq k} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \neq k} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}\end{aligned}$$

The one-body terms come readily, since they are simply missing one term in the sum (when $i = k$). The two-body terms have two series of terms for both the direct and exchange terms that are missing, when $i = k$ and when $j = k$. I'll focus on the direct terms, since the exchange follow for identical reasons.

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \langle \Phi_{N-1}^{(k)} | \hat{H} | \Phi_{N-1}^{(k)} \rangle - \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= - \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \left[\hat{T} + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_k(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &+ \text{Exchange terms}\end{aligned}$$

Now the two direct terms will be identical if we exchange both $\mathbf{r} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{r}'$ in the second integral. The same simplification follows for the exchange term which I neglected to write out.

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \langle \Phi_{N-1}^{(k)} | \hat{H} | \Phi_{N-1}^{(k)} \rangle - \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle &= - \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \left[\hat{T} + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \\ &- \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &+ \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \phi_k(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}\end{aligned}$$

which now matches the right hand side of the expression for ε_k . This completes the proof for subtraction of orbitals from the Slater determinant, the same sequence of steps works with adding an orbital, since now $\langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle$ does not contain the added orbital, and hence the result switches sign.