Tanner Expansion 2019

Ben Buzzee

August 23, 2019

Total reported harvest by permit type and area:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| areacode | crab\_count |
| NA | 0 |
| ABC | 345 |
| D | 217 |
| E | 7757 |

Total number of non-respondents:

## # A tibble: 6 x 3  
## # Groups: type [3]  
## type reported count  
## <chr> <chr> <int>  
## 1 SPORT no 139  
## 2 SPORT yes 1363  
## 3 SPORT& Subsistence no 6  
## 4 SPORT& Subsistence yes 50  
## 5 SUBSISTENCE no 21  
## 6 SUBSISTENCE yes 102

So we see that 166 people failed to respond.

Next, we will estimate the number of non-respondents that fished:

First we need to find the proportion of late responders that fished in each area:

## # A tibble: 6 x 3  
## # Groups: areacode [3]  
## areacode late count  
## <chr> <chr> <int>  
## 1 ABC no 67  
## 2 ABC yes 81  
## 3 D no 56  
## 4 D yes 67  
## 5 E no 2192  
## 6 E yes 654

So we will assume the proportion of non-responders that fished in each area is the same as the proportion of late responders that fished in each area.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| area | prop\_non\_rspd\_fished |
| ABC | 0.1009975 |
| D | 0.0835411 |
| E | 0.8154613 |

Next, we’ll find the mean harvest per area:

## # A tibble: 3 x 2  
## areacode mean  
## <chr> <dbl>  
## 1 ABC 2.48  
## 2 D 1.73  
## 3 E 2.72

Finally, to find our expanded harvest numbers, we will multiply total non-respondents, by the porportion we expected to fish in each area, times the average catch

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| areacode | crab\_count |
| ABC | 43 |
| D | 25 |
| E | 382 |

Next we’ll estimate standard errors for the above estimates:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| areacode | stderr |
| ABC | 16 |
| D | 24 |
| E | 138 |