

Time-Decay Coefficient Model

We only need two packages for this: `tidyverse` for data manipulation and `lme4` for mixed-effects model estimation.

```
library(tidyverse)
library(lme4)

team_results <- read_csv("team_results.csv")
```

Creating an exponential time decay function to apply recency weighting to observations in parameter estimation based on `half_life` variable — observations from `half_life` days away from the current date will receive 50% as much weight as present day observations.

```
time_decay_function <- function(game_date, half_life, current_date = Sys.Date()) {

  game_date <- as.Date(game_date)
  current_date <- as.Date(current_date)

  days_elapsed <- as.numeric(difftime(current_date, game_date, units = "days"))

  decay_value <- (1/2) ^ (days_elapsed / half_life)

  return(decay_value)
}
```

The next step is to apply the `half_life` function to create time weights for the model. We filter to only data since 2000 to match the new (reduced) scope of the project.

The chosen half-life initially is 2 years. (This can be tweaked easily in the below code)

```
model_half_life <- 365 * 2

model_data <- team_results %>%
  mutate(time_weight = time_decay_function(date, model_half_life)) %>%
  filter(year(date) >= 2000)
```

Now we fit the models using `lmer`:

```
off_model <- lme4::lmer(score ~ (1 | team) + (1 | opponent) + location,
  data = model_data, weights = time_weight)

def_model <- lme4::lmer(opp_score ~ (1 | team) + (1 | opponent) + location,
  data = model_data, weights = time_weight)
```

We extract the random effects:

```

off_eff <- ranef(off_model) %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  filter(grpvar == "team") %>%
  select(team = grp, o_effect = condval, o_sd = condsd)

def_eff <- ranef(def_model) %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  filter(grpvar == "team") %>%
  select(team = grp, d_effect = condval, d_sd = condsd)

net_eff <- inner_join(off_eff, def_eff, by = "team") %>%
  mutate(net_effect = o_effect - d_effect)

```

Now we have our “team rankings”! (at least a first pass at them)

```

net_eff_clean <- net_eff %>%
  select(team, o_effect, d_effect, net_effect)

```

Top 10 teams in attack, per the model. The `o_effect` term can be interpreted as “how many more goals than the average team do we expect this team to score against their opponent’s defense?”

```

net_eff_clean %>%
  arrange(-o_effect) %>%
  head(10)

```

##	team	o_effect	d_effect	net_effect
## 1	France	1.970701	-1.736731	3.707432
## 2	Portugal	1.946859	-1.811944	3.758802
## 3	Spain	1.874129	-1.929302	3.803431
## 4	Brazil	1.856678	-1.943289	3.799967
## 5	Belgium	1.852972	-1.650775	3.503747
## 6	England	1.785587	-1.840038	3.625625
## 7	Argentina	1.750375	-2.014496	3.764871
## 8	Germany	1.729724	-1.307942	3.037666
## 9	Japan	1.712916	-1.214916	2.927832
## 10	Netherlands	1.686269	-1.507787	3.194055

Top 10 teams in defense, per the model. The `d_effect` term can be interpreted as “what’s the difference between how many goals this team would allow vs. their opponent and the average team?”

```

net_eff_clean %>%
  arrange(d_effect) %>%
  head(10)

```

##	team	o_effect	d_effect	net_effect
## 1	Argentina	1.750375	-2.014496	3.764871
## 2	Brazil	1.856678	-1.943289	3.799967
## 3	Spain	1.874129	-1.929302	3.803431
## 4	Colombia	1.216939	-1.927538	3.144477
## 5	England	1.785587	-1.840038	3.625625
## 6	Uruguay	1.355811	-1.836223	3.192034

```
## 7    Portugal 1.946859 -1.811944  3.758802
## 8      France 1.970701 -1.736731  3.707432
## 9    Croatia 1.223542 -1.688496  2.912038
## 10     Italy 1.424980 -1.674131  3.099110
```

Top 10 best teams by “net effect,” linear combo of offense and defense:

```
net_eff_clean %>%
  arrange(-net_effect) %>%
  head(10)
```

```
##      team o_effect d_effect net_effect
## 1    Spain 1.874129 -1.929302  3.803431
## 2    Brazil 1.856678 -1.943289  3.799967
## 3  Argentina 1.750375 -2.014496  3.764871
## 4    Portugal 1.946859 -1.811944  3.758802
## 5      France 1.970701 -1.736731  3.707432
## 6    England 1.785587 -1.840038  3.625625
## 7     Belgium 1.852972 -1.650775  3.503747
## 8 Netherlands 1.686269 -1.507787  3.194055
## 9     Uruguay 1.355811 -1.836223  3.192034
## 10  Colombia 1.216939 -1.927538  3.144477
```