File Systems Management and Examples



Today


- Some early file systems
- Efficiency, performance, recovery

Next

Distributed systems


A basic file system

- A small disk with only 256KB
 - 64 4KB blocks
 - To store user data, 56 of the blocks
 - To keep metadata about each file store, 5 blocks for i-nodes
 - Multiple i-nodes per block (they are not too big)



A basic file system

- A small disk with only 256KB
 - Some way to track free and allocated blocks, bit map: an i-bmap and a d-bmap
 - And to keep information about the file system, another block – the superblock
 - Includes magic number, number and size of blocks, ...
 - Read into memory at boot or when FS first touch








Reading a file from disk

- Open and read a file /foo/bar
 - To get started, the root inode must be well known

	data bitmap	inode bitmap		foo inode	bar inode		foo data	bar data[0]	bar data[1]
open(bar)			read						
						read			
				read					
							read		
					read				
read()					read				
								read	
					write				
read()					read				
					write				read


The UNIX V7 file system

- Unix V7 on a PDP-11
- Disk layout in classical UNIX systems
- Superblock
 - Information about the entire file system (size of the volume, number of inodes, ...)
 - And pointer to head of a free list of blocks
- The i-node region contained all the i-nodes of the disk
- Following the i-nodes data blocks in no particular order




The UNIX V7 file system

- Each i-node 64 bytes long
- I-node's attributes
 - File size, three times (creation, last access, last modif.),
 owner, group, protection info, # of dir entries pointing to it
- Tree structured as a DAG
- File names up to 14 chars (anything but "/" and NUL)
- A directory an unsorted collection of 16-bytes entries



The UNIX V7 file system

- Starting from file descriptor, get the i-node
 - A file descriptor table indexed by FD
 - To get to the i-node, pointer to i-node in the FD table?
 - No, associated with each FD there's a current pointer, where to put it?
 - i-node But multiple processes each w/ their own
 - File descriptor table? No, parent and children cannot share it
 - New table the open file description



The Fast(er) File System

- The original Unix FS good and simple
- The only problem performance
 - Things could get as bad as to have the FS delivering 2% of overall disk bandwidth
- Major problem Treat the FS as RAM with data spread all over – i-nodes, file blocks – as the disk space got fragmented
- Another problem original block size was too small – 512B
 - Low internal fragmentation but poor disk performance

FFS – Mind the disk


- For a faster FS, design it to be "disk aware"
- And a new approach to file systems research
 - Keep the same interface
 - Change the implementation
- Organization and policies reducing disk arm motion
 - Disk divided into cylinder groups
 - A copy of the superblock per group
 - Each with its own blocks & i-nodes
 - To allocate according to cylinder groups you need enough free space → save 10% of the disk just for that!

FFS – Mind the disk

- Keep related stuff together Put blocks likely to be accessed in sequence close
- For directories find a cylinder group with a low number of allocated directories and put the directory data and i-nodes in that group
- For files Allocated data blocks and i-nodes in the same group; place all files in a directory in the same cylinder group
- An exception for large files

FFS – Blocks and file sizes


- What's the right size of the block?
 - Candidates: Sector, track, cylinder, page large or small?
 - Decide base on median file size (instead of mean)
 - Clearly performance and space utilization are in conflict



 FFS handling of small files – sub-blocks (512B) and buffering


File system layout


- Disk divided into 1+ partitions one FS per partition (part of the high-level formatting)
- Sector 0 of disk MBR (Master Boot Record)
 - Used to boot the machine
- Followed by Partition Table (one marked as active)
 - (start, end) per partition; one of them active
- Booting: BIOS → MBR → Active partition's boot block → OS
- What else in a partition?



Older PC examples – CP/M file system


- Control Program for Microcomputers
- Run on Intel 8080 and Zilog Z80
 - 64KB main memory
 - 720KB floppy as secondary storage
- Separation bet/ BIOS and CP/M for portability
- Multiple users (but one at a time)
- The CP/M (one) directory entry format
 - Each block 1KB (but sectors are 128B)
 - Beyond 16KB Extent
 - (soft-state) Bitmap for free space





Older PC examples – MS-DOS file system

- Based on CP/M; used by the iPod
- Biggest improvement: hierarchical file systems (v2.0)
 - Directories stored as files no bound on hierarchy
 - No links so basic tree
- Directory entries are fixed-size, 32 bytes
- Names shorter than 8+3 characters are left justified and padded



The MS-DOS file system

- Attributes include:
 - Read-only to avoid accidental damage
 - Hidden to prevent it from appearing in a listing
 - Archived used by, for instance, a backup system
 - System Hidden and cannot be deleted using 'del'
- Time 5b for seconds, 6b for minutes, 5b for hours
 - Accurate only to ± -2 sec (2B 65,536 sec of 86,400 sec/day)
- Date 7b for year (i.e. 128 years) starting at 1980 (5b for day, 4b for month)
- Size is a 32bit number (so, theoretical up to 4GB files)

The MS-DOS file system

- Another difference with CP/M FAT
 - First version FAT-12: 12bit disk addresses & 512B blocks
 - Max. partition $2^{12}x$ 512 ~ 2MB
 - FAT with 4096 entries of 2 bytes each 8KB
- Later versions: FAT-16 and FAT-32 (actually only the low-order 28-bits are used)
- Disk block sizes can be set to multiple of 512B
- FAT-16:
 - 128KB of memory for the FAT table
 - Largest partition 2GB ~ with block size 32KB
 - Largest disk 8GB (MS-DOS limit of 4 partitions per disk)
- How do you keep track of free blocks?

And now a short break ...



File system reliability – crash consistency

- The file system manages a set of persistent data structures to implement its abstractions
 - What if the system crashes or power fails while updating them? Crash consistency problems
- Consider a simple file removal (in UNIX)
 - 1. Remove the file from its directory
 - 2. Release the i-node to the pool of free i-nodes
 - 3. Return all disk blocks to the pool of free disk blocks
- If only (1) succeed before the system crashes
 - i-node and free blocks will be lost in limbo
- If (1) and (2) succeed
 - Free blocks are lost
- Alternative orderings don't help

fsck – The file system checker

- Basic early approach let inconsistency happen and fix them later, when rebooting
- fsck basic ideas


free list

Run before the file system is mounted

0 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 Free blocks

Solution – rebuild the free list

- Check if the superblock looks reasonable, e.g. size of the file system and blocks allocated
- Free and used blocks check; Build two tables a counter per block and one pass; every block should be in exactly one list



1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 Free blocks

than one

file

fsck – The file system checker

- Similar check for directories link counters kept in inodes
- Overall, a lot of work
 - Requires a thorough understanding of the file system
 - Very very slow, worst when considering growing disk capacities!
 - A bit of a brute-force approach just a couple of writes may have gone bad but we have to scan the whole disk
- A more focused approach ...

Journaling file systems

- Basic idea from databases
 - Update metadata (and possibly all data), transactionally all or nothing
- How? Write-ahead logging or journaling in OS-terms
 - Log your actions ahead
 - Once on disk, do what you planned
 - If actions complete successfully, remove the log
 - If not, rerun from the log
 - Of course, logged operations must be (made) idempotent
 - Log is of a fixed size, so free previous entries
- If a crash occurs, you may lose a bit, but the disk will be in a consistent state
- There are several options in use
 - Cedar (first one to use it), Ext3, Ext4, ReiserFS, NTFS

File system reliability – backups

- Need for backups
 - Bad things happen & while hardware is cheap, data is not
- Backup needs to be done efficiently & conveniently
 - Not all needs to be included /bin?
 - Not need to backup what has not changed incremental
 - Shorter backup time, longer recovery time
 - Still, large amounts of data compress?
 - Backing up active file systems
 - Security

File system reliability

Strategies for backup:

- Physical dump from block 0, one at a time
 - Simple and fast
 - You cannot skip directories, make incremental backups, restore individual files
- Logical dumps
 - Keep a bitmap indexed by i-node number
 - Bits are set for
 - Modified files
 - Every directories
 - Unmarked directories w/o modified files in or under them
 - Dump directories and files marked
 - Free list is not dump, but reconstructed

Log-structured file systems


- CPUs getting faster, memories larger, disks bigger
 - But disk seek time lags behind
 - Since disk caches are also getting larger → increasing number of read requests come from cache
 - Thus, most disk accesses are writes
- To make matter worse
 - Writes are normally done in very small chunks
 - To create a new file, writes for: directory i-node, directory block, file i-node, and file's blocks
 - RAID-4 and RAID-5 have the small write problem too
 - Low disk efficiency, most of the time gone on seek and rotational delay
- LFS strategy structure entire disk as a log to achieve the full bandwidth of the disk*

LFS – Disk as a log

- All writes initially buffered in memory
- Periodically write buffer to end of disk log
 - Each segment may contain, all mixed together, i-nodes, directory blocks, and data blocks
 - Each segment has a summary at the start
 - If the segment can be made to be large enough, we can use the full bandwidth of the disk
- When file opened, locate i-node, then find blocks
 - But i-nodes are not at a fixed position but scattered all around
 - Keep an i-node map in disk, index by i-node, and cache it
- To deal with finite disks: cleaner thread
 - Files overwritten, deleted ... compact segments from the front
 - Read summary, check with current i-node map if i-nodes are in use, create a new segment, mark the old one free

Virtual file systems


- Many machines use multiple file systems at once
 - Windows NTFS, legacy FAT, CD-ROM, ...
 - Unix ext2. ext3, NFS
 - Rather than exposing this to the user (Windows, using drive letters), UNIX integrates them
- Virtual file system (VFS)*
 - Key idea: abstract what is common to all FSs
 - Exposes the POSIX interface to user processes
 - FSs implement calls that the VFS interface will invoke



26

Virtual file systems

- Exposes the POSIX interface to user processes
 - Maintains key objects including superblock (describing the FS), v-node (describing the file) and directory
- FSs implement calls that the VFS interface will invoke
- When FS is registered, at boot time or when mounted
 - Provide list of addresses to the functions VFS requires
 - When a file is created, a v-node is created (in RAM) with data from the i-node and pointers to the table of functions



Next Time

- Multiple processors and distributed systems and ...
- research in operating systems