Blockchain Contract Audit

區塊鏈合約檢測服務

Project: SUMI

Report date: May 15th, 2023

Summary	p.03
Vulnerabilities list	p.05
Vulnerabilities Check	p.06
Conclusion	p.12
Disclaimer	p.13

Project name	SUMI
Network	BSC
Language	Solidity
Delivery Date	2023/5
Contract Address	0xd56A23c30D915e28B62aF91A5d7234Ea7A72456f

This audit report was summarised the smart contract verification service requested by SUMI team. The goal of this security audit is to guarantee that the smart contracts are perfect enough to avoid potential security vulnerability.

	Token Information
Fee	Yes
Fee Privilege	Yes
Ownership	Yes
Max Tx Amount	400000
Blacklist	None
Decimals	9
Max Supply	80000000
Mint/Burn	None/ Yes



Re-entrancy

If a contract has this vulnerability, when it calls an external contract, and does not update its status before sending funds, an attacker could continually call the withdraw function to transfer funds until all funds in the contract are depleted.

PASS

Overflow/underflow

When performing calculations on numbers, if the result exceeds or falls below the range of the type, an Overflow or Underflow vulnerability can occur.

PASS

Dependance on block.timestamp

Generating random numbers using global variables like timestamp can be predicted by attackers.

NONE

Use of tx.origin

When a contract uses tx.origin to verify user identity, malicious actors can exploit this vulnerability, masquerading as an address that can pass verification.

Use of selfdestruct

When a contract improperly uses the selfdestruct function, it can result in the contract being destroyed and its balance transferred to an address controlled by the attacker.

NONE

Storage conflict

If different variables share the same storage slot, it can lead to variables being maliciously altered by attacker.

PASS

Force receive token

If the balance of the contract is used as a check condition, the contract may become invalid if an attacker forces a transfer.

PASS

Using inline assembly

The use of assembly is error-prone and should be avoided.

Access vulnerability

Vulnerabilities in permissions may allow malicious actors to bypass identity checks for accessing functions, or to change the owner of the permissions.

PASS

Return value of low level call

This vulnerability refers to an issue where, during the execution of call(), a return value is typically given to indicate whether the function was successful or not. If this return value is not properly used, unexpected errors may occur.

Return value of transfer

This vulnerability refers to an issue where, during the execution of transfer(), a return value is typically given to indicate whether the transfer was successful or not. If this return value is not properly used, unexpected errors may occur.

Conclusion

This is an implementation of the ERC20 token standard that has been audited and found to have no vulnerabilities. Upon deploying the token contract, a trading pair with WETH is also created in the swap pool. Additional fees will be charged when trading tokens with the trading pair.

Audit Status: PASS

Disclaimer

Before you use this website to fill in basic information, upload information and apply to this service, you have to read this Terms of Service on the website thoroughly to protect your right.

We only audit common hacking issues in the above smart contracts, and do not guarantee the business model of this project. Investment involves risks, please consider carefully before purchasing.

