

# **BCA Blockchain Contract Audit**

區塊鏈合約檢測服務

Project: EazySwapToken

Report date: May 30th, 2023

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Overflow/underflow
- ✓ Use of block.timestamp
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Use of selfdestruct
- ✓ Storage conflict
- ✓ Force receive token
- ✓ Using inline assembly
- ✓ Access vulnerability
- ✓ Return value of low level call
- ✓ Return value of transfer

<b>Vulnerabilities list</b>	p.02
-----------------------------	------

<b>Summary</b>	p.04
----------------	------

<b>Vulnerabilities Check</b>	p.06
------------------------------	------

<b>Conclusion</b>	p.12
-------------------	------

<b>Disclaimer</b>	p.13
-------------------	------

Project name	EazySwapToken
Network	BSC
Language	Solidity
Delivery Date	2023/5
Contract Address	NOT DEPLOYED YET

This audit report was summarised the smart contract verification service. The goal of this security audit is to guarantee that the smart contracts are perfect enough to avoid potential security vulnerability.

	Token Information
Fee	None
Fee Privilege	None
Ownership	Yes
Max Tx Amount	None
Blacklist	None
Decimals	18
Max Supply	500 thousand
Mint/Burn	Yes/None

# Re-entrancy

If a contract has this vulnerability, when it calls an external contract, and does not update its status before sending funds, an attacker could continually call the withdraw function to transfer funds until all funds in the contract are depleted.

**PASS**

# Overflow/underflow

When performing calculations on numbers, if the result exceeds or falls below the range of the type, an Overflow or Underflow vulnerability can occur.

**PASS**

# Dependance on `block.timestamp`

Generating random numbers using global variables like timestamp can be predicted by attackers.

**PASS**

# Use of `tx.origin`

When a contract uses `tx.origin` to verify user identity, malicious actors can exploit this vulnerability, masquerading as an address that can pass verification.

**NONE**

# Use of selfdestruct

When a contract improperly uses the selfdestruct function, it can result in the contract being destroyed and its balance transferred to an address controlled by the attacker.

**NONE**

# Storage conflict

If different variables share the same storage slot, it can lead to variables being maliciously altered by attacker.

**PASS**



# Force receive token

If the balance of the contract is used as a check condition, the contract may become invalid if an attacker forces a transfer.

**PASS**

# Using inline assembly

The use of assembly is error-prone and should be avoided.

**NONE**

# Access vulnerability

Vulnerabilities in permissions may allow malicious actors to bypass identity checks for accessing functions, or to change the owner of the permissions.

**PASS**

## Return value of low level call

This vulnerability refers to an issue where, during the execution of `call()`, a return value is typically given to indicate whether the function was successful or not. If this return value is not properly used, unexpected errors may occur.

**NONE**

# Return value of transfer

This vulnerability refers to an issue where, during the execution of `transfer()`, a return value is typically given to indicate whether the transfer was successful or not. If this return value is not properly used, unexpected errors may occur.

**PASS**

# Conclusion

This is an implementation of the ERC20 token standard that has been audited and found to have no vulnerabilities. **This smart contract has not been deployed yet at the time of the audit submission, so there is a risk of being modified. Investors should exercise caution.**

**Audit Status: PASS**

# Disclaimer

Before you use this website to fill in basic information, upload information and apply to this service, you have to read this Terms of Service on the website thoroughly to protect your right.

We only audit common hacking issues in the above smart contracts, and do not guarantee the business model of this project. Investment involves risks, please consider carefully before purchasing.

