**Botany 2021 Genome Assembly**

This module was written by Jacob Landis ([jbl256@cornell.edu](mailto:jbl256@cornell.edu)) for the *de novo* genome assembly and annotation workshop initially conducted at the Virtual Botany 2020 meeting but implemented again on July 18thth, 2021 for the Botany 2021 conference. All of the data used here are publicly available from the Short Read Archive and other data repositories. This module uses the CyVerse framework and a virtual machine that has been created with all of the necessary programs already installed. The associated presentations and analyses scripts for the entire module can be found on GitHub (<https://github.com/bcbc-group/NMGWorkshop2021>). If you have questions about anything, especially trying to run some of these with your own data and on your local server/cluster, please contact me.

**Data gathering**

If you haven’t yet, make sure that all the necessary data and files have been transferred to your scratch directory after mounting the image from the CyVerse data store and that you have the necessary permissions to move around freely in the data folders. The cp command may take 5-10 minutes to transfer everything over from the mounted folder

sudo cp -r /mnt/NMW2021Data/Botany2021NMGWorkshop/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/ /scratch/

sudo chown -R username /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/

**Data subset**

To make it possible for most of these steps to actually complete in the allotted time we have, we are going to use small subset of the raw data. It might seem that a lot of these analyses are fast to complete, but I would encourage you to try them on your own with the full data sets to get an idea of how long they might take even for a species with a small genome.

cd /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/raw\_data/Ugibba/genome

zcat SRR10676752\_1.fastq.gz | head -n 400000 > Polish\_test\_R1.fastq.gz

zcat SRR10676752\_2.fastq.gz | head -n 400000 > Polish\_test\_R2.fastq.gz

gunzip Ugibba\_PacBio\_to\_use.fastq.gz

head -n 200000 Ugibba\_PacBio\_to\_use.fastq > Ugibba\_PacBio\_subset.fastq

**Short-read assembly only**

Using adapter cleaned Illumina short read data (paired end 150 bp) whole genome sequencing, the first step would be to use MaSuRCa to run an assembly with only Illumina reads. In the sr\_config.txt of Masurca we will tell it only use the Illumina data. To run the program, there are only two lines of code to use:

cd /scratch

mkdir Masurca\_Illumina

cd Masurca\_Illumina

cp /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/Genome\_assembly/scripts/sr\_config\_Illumina\_only.txt /scratch/Masurca\_Illumina

cat sr\_config\_Illumina\_only.txt

/opt/MaSuRCA-3.4.2/bin/masurca sr\_config\_Illumina\_only.txt

./assemble.sh

Even though we won’t use this for any of the downstream analyses, we will compare this assembly in terms of number contigs, N50, assembled base pairs, and presence of BUSCO genes to the other assemblies we run.

**Long-read assembly only**

There are many options for using long-read only data, both Nanopore and PacBio. A fast option is wtdbg2, which takes raw long-reads and uses a fuzzy De Bruijin graph to assemble. Another option which gives better results is Flye, which can take either raw or error-corrected long-reads. The program was built for raw reads, so those results are usually better than using error corrected data.

For wtdbg2, it is two lines of code again

cd /scratch

mkdir wtdbg2

cd wtdbg2

/opt/wtdbg2/wtdbg2 -x rs -g 1.0g -i /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/raw\_data/Ugibba/genome/Ugibba\_PacBio\_subset.fastq -t 3 -fo Ugibba\_PacBio

/opt/wtdbg2/wtpoa-cns -t 3 -i Ugibba\_PacBio.ctg.lay.gz -fo Ugibba\_PacBio.ctg.fasta

For Flye, which does a better job in the assembly but takes longer to complete, it is one line of code. Here we are going to be using the uncorrected PacBio data, 6 threads in the assembly, 8 iterations of polishing with minimap2. Polishing with the error prone long reads will not fix all of the problems that may arise, but it does help some. We also need to tell the program and estimated genome size, in our case, 78 MB. This analyses takes a while to run, so we are not going to run it here today. Using the same exact data as wtdbg2, this analyses will take about 10 hours to run compared to 10 minutes.

cd /scratch

mkdir Flye

cd Flye

nohup /opt/MaSuRCA-3.4.2/Flye/bin/flye --pacbio-raw /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/raw\_data/Ugibba/genome/Ugibba\_PacBio\_subset.fastq --out-dir Flye\_assembly\_raw/ --genome-size 78m --threads 3 --iterations 8 > my.log 2>&1 &

echo $! > save\_pid.txt

**Error correcting/polishing**

This section consists of two parts, error correcting the long-reads (either PacBio or Nanopore) with the much higher quality Illumina data prior to use in an assembly, such as for the MaSuRCA hybrid assembly we will do next, or using the Illumina data to polish a completed assembly such as with the Flye assembly we just did. Polishing an assembly that is done primarily with Illumina data will help fix some mistakes that were created during the assembly but it doesn’t greatly change the overall quality in terms of percent of BUSCO genes found. However, polishing a long-read only assembly with the Illumina data can make a huge difference in terms of what genes can be found. We will start first with error correcting the long-reads to be used for an assembly. To do this we will use the program FMLRC (FM Index Long-Read Correction). The first step is to build a Multi-String Burrows Wheeler Transform (MSBWT) index from the Illumina reads. This takes a long time so we are going to skip it for now and we are providing the end results in the form of the msbwt.npy file.

#pip install msbwt

#msbwt cffq -p 8 Ugibba\_error\_correction\_msbwt/ SRR10676752\_1.fastq SRR10676752\_2.fastq

This part can take quite a bit of time to complete, depending on the amount of Illumina data. Once this is complete, FMLRC will independently correct each read by comparing low frequency k-mers in the long-reads and replace them with the closet matching high frequency k-mer in the short-read data. The format here is call the program, specify the directory where the FM-index resides, then specify the raw data to be correct, and the output file, finally specify the number of threads. The error correction step may only take a few minutes to run.

cd /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/Genome\_assembly/Error\_correction

/opt/rust-fmlrc-0.1.4/target/release/fmlrc2 msbwt.npy /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/raw\_data/Ugibba/genome/Ugibba\_PacBio\_subset.fastq Ugibba\_PacBio\_subset\_corrected.fasta -t 3

For polishing an assembled genome, there are many options. For one we will use POLCA (POLishing by Calling Alternatives), which is bundled with MaSuRCA. This approach maps the Illumina data to the assembly using bwa mem, then it calls variants using FreeBayes. Variants replace the assembled bases with the highest scoring alternative allele, resulting in a polished consensus. To save some time, instead of using all of the Illumina data we have, we are going to use a small subsample of 100,000 sequences.

First we need to make sure BWA is installed in your path so that the Polca can find it. Two ways to do this: 1) either add the location of the binary to your Path, or 2) install bwa with apt get. For this tutorial, we are going to do the latter.

sudo apt update

sudo apt install bwa

cd /scratch

mkdir Polca\_polishing

cd Polca\_polishing

cp /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/Genome\_assembly/Completed\_assemblies/Ugibba\_FLYE\_assembly.fasta /scratch/Polca\_polishing

/opt/MaSuRCA-3.4.2/bin/polca.sh -a Ugibba\_FLYE\_assembly.fasta -r ' /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/raw\_data/Ugibba/genome/Polish\_test\_R1.fastq.gz /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/raw\_data/Ugibba/genome/Polish\_test\_R2.fastq.gz' -t 3 -m 1000M

**Hybrid approach**

Now with the addition of the error correct long-reads, the next assembly will be a hybrid assembly in MaSuRCA using both the Illumina short-reads and the error corrected long-reads. In the MaSuRCA control file make to specify the location of all the reads needed. To start the program is the exact same for the Illumina only run:

cd /scratch

mkdir Masurca\_hybrid

cd Masurca\_hybrid

cp /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/Genome\_assembly/scripts/sr\_config\_hybrid.txt /scratch/Masurca\_hybrid

cat sr\_config\_hybrid.txt

/opt/MaSuRCA-3.4.2/bin/masurca sr\_config\_hybrid.txt

./assemble.sh

**Quality control of assembly**

To test the quality of the assemblies, there are a few metrics that can be explored. These include number of contigs, N50, assembled bases, and presence of BUSCO genes. For the structural characteristics, there are several options. One quick option is NanoPlot. This will create plots and also give a summary of the input. You can either specify a fasta file for an assembly or fastq for PacBio/Nanopore raw reads:

cp -r /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/Genome\_assembly/Completed\_assemblies /scratch/completed\_assemblies

cd /scratch/completed\_assemblies

If this is the first time trying to run docker, there are a few commands necessary to get all the necessary pieces installed. If you are using NanoPlot and/or BUSCO on a local server, all of these steps may not be necessary.

sudo rsync -aP /var/lib/docker/ /scratch/docker

sudo mv /var/lib/docker /var/lib/docker.old

sudo service docker start

docker pull ezlabgva/busco:v5.1.2\_cv1

docker pull staphb/nanoplot

sudo service docker restart

We are going to start off checking the stats for a Flye assembly that was created earlier. To test other assemblies, including those that we generated during this session, just change the file name and location after the --fasta option.

docker run -v /scratch/completed\_assemblies:/data -w /data/ staphb/nanoplot:latest NanoPlot --fasta Ugibba\_FLYE\_assembly.fasta --N50

I recommend checking the stats for the three different assemblies we made (Illumina only, MaSuRCA hybrd, and Flye) and the published assembly from 2017.

Another way to determine how good an assembly is, either genome or transcriptome, is to look at the parentage of BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) genes found. There are several lineage specific libraries in BUSCO and you should use the one that is the most specific for your taxa of interest. If you study grasses you would use Poales, or tomato would use Solanales. The more narrow you can get the more BUSCO genes you will be looking for. We will use Embryophyta which encompasses seed plants and includes 1,375 BUSCO genes. First is to make sure we have the embryophyta library uploaded:

cd /scratch

mkdir busco

cd busco

cp /scratch/completed\_assemblies/\*.fasta /scratch/busco

cp -r /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/embryophyta\_odb9 /scratch/busco

docker run -u $(id -u) -v $(pwd):/busco\_wd ezlabgva/busco:v5.1.2\_cv1 busco -i Ugibba\_pruned\_assembly.fasta --out Ugibba\_pruned -c 3 --auto-lineage --mode geno

Once you run this for the four different assemblies that you already investigated, move the short\_summaries.txt files from each run into a new folder called “Ugibba\_assembly\_comparison”. You should have four files. Now we will use the supplied python script with the Busco package to plot the results using ggplot in R:

python3 /scratch/Botany2020NMGWorkshop/Genome\_assembly/scripts/generate\_plot.py -wd Busco\_Summaries