

# Math 4610 Lecture Notes

## Root Finding Problems for Real Values Function of One Variable \*

Joe Koebbe

January 4, 2020

---

\*These notes are part of an Open Resource Educational project sponsored by Utah State University

---

## Root Finding Problem: Definition of a Root Finding Problem

---

There are many mathematical problems are cast in terms of finding a point in some interval,  $(a, b)$ , where a function,  $f$ , is zero. Finding such locations amounts to the solution of a root-finding problem. For example, in a standard first semester calculus course, the process of finding extreme values of a real-valued function,  $g$ , is presented. The problem in one variable can be recast or transformed with some work into the problem of determining locations where the derivative,  $g'$ , is zero. This is true since a necessary condition for the existence of a local minimum or local maximum value of a differentiable function at a point  $x^*$  is that the derivative be zero. In this case, the problem of determining the location of a minimum or maximum value of a function is rewritten as finding the zeros of the derivative of the function. That is, find all points,  $x^*$ , such that

$$g'(x^*) = 0.$$

The result is a root finding problem for the derivative of a function.

The following is a general definition of the root finding problem for a real-valued function of a single real variable.

---

**Definition 1 The General Root Finding Problem:** *Given a real-valued function,  $f$ , of a single real variable find a point or points,  $x^*$ , in the domain of the function such that*

$$f(x^*) = 0$$

*The value,  $x^*$ , is called a root or zero of the function  $f$ .*

---

Solution of the general root finding problem seems like it should be easy. However, there are many sources of error and difficulties that are hidden within the definition of the function.

There are all kinds of issues that arise in solving root finding problems. For example, the function may have multiple roots that are close together. This is an issue if, for example, the multiplicity of the root you are looking for is in question. It might be the case that roots located close together may appear as multiple roots due to roundoff error or machine precision issues. In this case, it could be difficult to detect the difference in the locations of the roots. In searching for a specific root, say the largest or smallest, we may find other roots that are not of interest. To deal with all of the issues in this problem, we will develop a number of algorithms that can be used to overcome the problems that arise.

More often than not, we will need to locate roots that cannot be represented exactly due to finite precision in number representation. For example, finding the roots of

$$\sin(x) = 0$$

is easy from an analytic point of view. This is a problem covered in all trigonometry courses in high school and college. The zeros are  $x_n = n \pi$  where  $n$  is an arbitrary integer. If  $n$  is not equal to zero, the root is an irrational number and cannot be represented exactly in finite precision. So, we must be prepared to settle for an approximation of the roots of a function. It should be noted that an algebraic solution will be available only in cases where  $f(x)$  has a simple definition, say a linear or quadratic polynomial. Also, we might be able to guarantee a solution exists, but there may be no analytic means of finding a root or multiple roots for the given function.

As a simple example of proving the existence of roots, consider the function

$$p(x) = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 5x^3 + \pi x^4 + e^1 x^5$$

This is a polynomial of degree five. For any polynomial of odd degree, we know from our algebra background there is at least one real root. Since  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree five, there must be at least one real root. However, based on the coefficients, it will likely be the case that there is no analytic method for computing a root for this problem.

One very complicated root finding problem involves one of the oldest unsolved problems in all of mathematics. The problem is the Riemann conjecture or Riemann hypothesis regarding the distribution of prime numbers in amongst all real numbers. The Riemann-Zeta function is

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

where  $s$  represents an arbitrary complex number. This function is at the heart of the Riemann-conjecture and the distribution of prime numbers. This innocent looking formula is still not completely understood and the Riemann conjecture has eluded all efforts at a solution for more than 100 years. It should be noted that the distribution of primes is central in the development of data encryption strategies in cyber-security applications.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Using Fixed Point Iteration

---

As a first attempt at determining the location of a root for a function, we might consider a modification of the root finding problem as follows. Given a function,  $f$ , we can rewrite the root finding equation

$$f(x) = 0$$

as

$$x = x - f(x) = g(x)$$

The resulting equation is called a fixed point equation or fixed point problem

$$x = g(x)$$

We will use the fixed point problem to define an algorithm for locating roots of a function. So, suppose we have an initial guess at the solution of the fixed point equation,  $x_0$ , that may or may not satisfy the equation. We can substitute the value into the fixed point function to obtain

$$x_1 = g(x_0)$$

If  $x_0 = x^*$  then the output will be the same as the input,  $x_1 = x^*$ . If not, the value can be used as another approximation of  $x^*$ . We can repeat this process ad infinitum. A general formula for the iteration starts by providing an initial guess,  $x_0$ , and then compute

$$x_{k+1} = g(x_k)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . This iteration will produce an infinite sequence

$$\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$$

of approximations to the solution of the fixed point problem. Since the fixed point problem is equivalent to the root finding problem, we can treat the sequence as approximations of the root finding problem.

Even though we can generate any number of approximations of the solution of the fixed point problem in this way, there is no guarantee that any of these approximations are close to the solution we desire. If a tolerance is specified apriori there is no guarantee that the sequence will be close to anything. In mathematical terms, what we want is

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x^*$$

That is, we would really like the sequence to converge to a root. We will return to this topic after writing a bit of code and presenting an example.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Coding Fixed Point Iteration

---

One can easily write a routine or computer code that implements fixed point iteration. The following code provides a template of how a reusable routine might be written:

---

```
//
// Author: Joe Koebbe
//
// Routine Name:      fproot
// Programming Language: Java
// Last Modified:     09/10/19
//
// Description/Purpose: The routine will generate a sequence of numbers
// using fixed point iteration.
//
// Input:
//
// FunctionObject f - the function defined in the root finding problem
// double x0 - the initial guess at the location of a fixed point
// double tol - the error tolerance allowed in the approximation of the
//              root finding problem
// int maxit - the maximum number of iterations allowed in the fixed point
//              iteration.
//
// Output:
//
// double x1 - the last number in the finite sequence that is an
//              approximation in the root finding problem
//
public double fproot(FunctionObject f, double x0, double tol, int maxit) {
    //
    // initialize the error in the routine so that the iteration loop will be
    // executed at least one time
    // -----
    //
    double error = 10.0 * tol;
    //
    // initialize a counter for the number of iterations
    // -----
    //
    int iter = 0;
    //
    // loop over the fixed point iterations as long as the error is larger
    // than the tolerance and the number of iterations is less than the
    // maximum number allowed
    // -----
    //
    while(error > tol && iter < maxit) {
        //
        // update the number of iterations performed
        // -----
        //
        iter++;
    }
}
```

```

//
// compute the next approximation
// -----
//
double x1 = x0 - f(x0);
//
// compute the error using the difference between the iterates in the
// loop
// ----
//
error = Math.abs(x1 - x0);
//
// reset the input value to be the new approximation
// -----
//
x0 = x1;
//
}
//
// return the last value computed
// -----
//
return x1;
//
}

```

---

There are a couple of features in the code that need to be explained.

- To make this work in the Java programming language, the method would need to be embedded in a class. That is, the code is not a standalone code.
- The first argument is an Java Object that needs to be created. The object is used to provide the function evaluation for any real input.
- The second argument is the initial guess at the solution of the problem.
- Since we know we are going to end up with at best an approximation of a root, the third argument in the function is an error tolerance that is acceptable to the calling routine.
- The final argument passed in limits the number of iterations allowed in the method. Note that if you are not careful, an infinite loop might be created due to the approximations used everywhere.

If we apply the code to any problem, we are assuming that the solution will pop out the end. There is no guarantee that this is the case. It is important to establish conditions that will guarantee the code will produce an approximate solution of the fixed point problem and thus provide a root for the original function,  $f$ .

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Analysis of Functional Iteration Using Taylor Series Expansion

---

The general iteration formula, given  $x_0$ , is the following.

$$x_{k+1} = g(x_k)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We also know that for the fixed point problem, the solution satisfies the equation

$$x^* = g(x^*)$$

Subtracting the two equations gives

$$x_{k+1} - x^* = g(x_k) - g(x^*)$$

The Taylor expansion of  $g(x_k)$  about the solution  $x^*$  is given by

$$g(x_k) = g(x^*) + g'(x^*)(x_k - x^*) + \frac{1}{2}g''(x^*)(x_k - x^*)^2 + \dots$$

Substituting the expansion into the equation above and truncating the series gives

$$x_{k+1} - x^* \approx g(x^*) + g'(x^*)(x_k - x^*) - g(x^*) = g'(x^*)(x_k - x^*)$$

Taking absolute values the last equation can be written as

$$|x_{k+1} - x^*| \leq |g'(x^*)| \cdot |x_k - x^*|$$

One can read the previous expression as the difference (or error) in  $x_{k+1}$  is less than the magnitude of the derivative of the fixed point iteration function,  $g$ , times the difference (or error) in the previous approximation,  $x_k$ . Using

$$e_k = |x_k - x^*|$$

allows use to relate the error at successive steps as

$$e_{k+1} \leq |g'(x^*)| \cdot |e_k|$$

To get convergence to the fixed point (or root) we would like the error to be reduced at each step. This requires the condition

$$|g'(x^*)| < 1$$

For the general fixed point problem, this condition is required for convergence to the fixed point,  $x^*$ , or solution of the root finding problem. Note that this is a significant drawback of fixed point iteration as a means of solving root finding problems.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: An Example Using Functional Iteration

---

Suppose that we are interested in computing the roots of

$$f(x) = e^x - \pi$$

Analytically we can compute the solution by solving for  $x$  in the equation

$$e^x - \pi = 0$$

The value is  $x = \ln(\pi) \approx 1.144729886$ . This is a very simple problem. However, it is always a good idea to test general methods on simple problems while developing algorithms and coding these up for use on real problems. Let's apply functional iteration to this root finding problem. First, we will need to create an associated function that defines a fixed point problem. One possibility is to choose

$$g_1(x) = x - f(x) = x - (e^x - \pi) = x - e^x + \pi$$

Let's check the condition for convergence by computing the derivative of  $g$  near at the solution above.

$$g_1'(x) = 1 - e^x = 1 - \pi \approx -2.14159245 \rightarrow |g_1'(x)| \approx 2.14159245$$

The value is bigger than 1 which means the sequence of iterates is not going to converge. So, the choice of  $g(x)$  will not work.

As another option, consider a modification of the function. If

$$f(x) = e^x - \pi = 0$$

then

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{5}(e^x - \pi) = 0$$

which allows us to write

$$g_2(x) = x - \frac{1}{5}(e^x - \pi)$$

with derivative

$$g_2'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{5}e^x$$

and near the solution

$$|g_2'(x)| = |1 - \frac{1}{5}\pi| < 1.0$$

So, we can expect better results in this case.

---



---

### Root Finding Problems: Example Results Tabulated

---

For the two examples, the output for the two choices of the iteration function  $g_1(x)$  or  $g_2(x)$ .

---

Table 1: Results for Functional Iteration for Two Different Iteration Functions

Iteration No.	$g_2(x) = x - (e^x - \pi)$	error	$g_1(x) = x - (e^x - \pi)$	error
01	1.08466220	8.46621990E-02	1.42331100	0.423310995
02	1.12129271	3.66305113E-02	0.41406250	1.00924850
03	1.13584745	1.45547390E-02	2.04270363	1.62864113
04	1.14140379	5.55634499E-03	-2.52713394	4.56983757
05	1.14349020	2.08640099E-03	0.53457117	3.06170511
06	1.14426863	7.78436661E-04	1.96944773	1.43487656
07	1.14455843	2.89797783E-04	-2.05567694	4.02512455
08	1.14466619	1.07765198E-04	0.95790958	3.01358652
09	1.14470625	4.00543213E-05	1.49325967	0.535350084
10	1.14472115	1.49011612E-05	0.18326997	1.30998969
11	1.14472663	5.48362732E-06	2.12372398	1.94045401

---

So, two completely different results are obtained. One converges with a slight modification to the first. The first function produces a sequence that does not converge and the second produces the correct result up to machine precision. That is,  $x^* = 1.14472663$  with absolute error  $5.48362732E - 06$ . This is one of the reasons why functional iteration is not used as much. The problem is that there are infinitely many choices for the fixed point equation. Some will provide convergence and others will not come close.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Convergence of Functional Iteration

---

If we end up using functional iteration, it will also pay to know how fast the sequence converges. Fewer iterations means faster results with few computations. The convergence of the sequence is determined by the same calculations as in the convergence justification above.

$$|x_{k+1} - x^*| \leq |g'(x^*)| \cdot |x_k - x^*|$$

For functional iteration the convergence rate is defined by

$$\text{rate of convergence} = |g'(x^*)|$$

The smaller the magnitude of the derivative,  $|g'(x^*)|$ , the faster the convergence will be.

As an example, consider changing the parameter  $\frac{1}{5}$  used to modify the iteration function,

$$g_2(x) = x - (e^x - \pi) \rightarrow g_2(x) = x - \frac{1}{5}(e^x - \pi)$$

to keep the original root the same in the previous section. If the parameter is decreased, the rate of convergence should be faster. This is covered in one of the homework tasks.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Continuous Functions and the Bisection Method

---

On the positive side of things, the fixed point approach in the previous section requires very little of the function in the root finding problem. The only requirement is that  $f$  is a function at every input value. It is usually very easy to implement fixed point iteration for this type of problem. It may be difficult if not impossible to come up with a fixed point problem that will provide convergence to any fixed point. Due to slow convergence and issues finding a fixed point equation that works, functional iteration is limited in applicability in the real world.

So, we need to develop alternative algorithms for the root finding problem. In this section, we will assume that the function,  $f$ , is continuous on a closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$  where we expect to find a root. The main mathematical tool used in this case is the Intermediate Value Theorem for continuous functions.

---

**Theorem:** Suppose the function,  $f$ , is continuous on the closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $M$  is any value between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$  then there exists a value  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(c) = M$ ,

---

Now, if  $f(a) \geq 0 \geq f(b)$  (or vice-versa) then there is at least one value,  $c$  in the interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $f(c) = 0$ . If we determine end-points of an interval such that  $f(a) < 0$  **and**  $0 < f(b)$  (or vice versa), we know there is also a root of the function somewhere in the interval we have selected. There is a simple condition that can be test to verify an interval contains an interval. That is,

$$f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$$

This is enough to determine that the function crosses the horizontal axis at at least one point in the interval.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Bisection and Convergence

---

Once we have determined an interval  $[a, b]$  such that  $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$  we can start work to determine the location of a root in the initial interval. We proceed by bisecting the original interval  $[a, b]$  into two equal subintervals

$$[a, b] = [a, c] \cup [c, b]$$

where

$$c = \frac{a + b}{2}$$

Since there is at least one root on  $[a, b]$  there are three possibilities that can occur in the bisection. These are:

- $f(c) = 0$ ,
- $f(a) \cdot f(c) < 0$  which implies there is a root in  $[a, c]$ , or
- $f(c) \cdot f(b) < 0$  which implies there is a root in  $[c, b]$ .

If the first condition is true, we have the root,  $x^* = c$  and we are done searching. In the second case, we can redefine the search interval to  $[a, c]$  and in the third case, the search interval will be redefined to be  $[c, b]$ . Once we have redefined the search interval, we repeat the bisection on this new search interval. The bisection will reduce the size of the search interval by a factor of two. We just need to translate this idea into a computer code in some language.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: A (First) Simple Bisection Code in C

---

The following routine, written in something like C implements the Bisection Method.

---

```
double bisectionMethod(typedef'd f, double a, double b, double tol,
                      int maxiter)
{
    //
    // set up some parameters and local variables to do the work
    // -----
    //
    double c;
    double error;
    int iter;
    //
    // check the endpoints - if either is 0, we already have a root
    // -----
    //
    if(f(a)==0) return a;
    if(f(b)==0) return b;
    //
    // check for a root in the interval
    // -----
    //
    if(f(a)*f(b) >= 0.0) throw an error or print a message
    //
    // set the error and iteration counter
    // -----
    //
    error = 10.0 * tol;
    iter = 0;
    //
    // use a while loop to go until the tolerance is met or the maximum
    // number of iterations has been exceeded
    // -----
    //
    while(error > tol && iter < maxiter) {
        //
        // update the iteration counter and compute the midpoint of the current
        // interval
        // -----
        //
        iter++;
        c = 0.5 * ( a + b );
        //
        // compute the sign change value
        // -----
        //
        double val = f(a) * f(c);
        //
        // reassign the end point based on the location of the root
        // -----
    }
```

```

    //
    if(val<0.0) {
        b = c;
    } else {
        a = c;
    }
    //
    // compute the error in the approximation - this assumes a<b
    // -----
    //
    error = b - a
    //
}
//
// return the midpoint as it is more accurate
// -----
//
return c;
//
}

```

---

The first argument in the C method needs to be changed to a pointer to a function as an input to the method. This is left up to the reader to do. It should be noted that once an interval has been determined on which the function value changes sign, the Bisection Method will continue until a root is found, at least up to machine precision. We can take advantage of this property to redesign the algorithm to take a specific number of iterations instead of checking the error.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: The Bisection Method and Error Reduction

---

The fact the the interval size is being reduced in each iteration of bisection can be used as follows. The length of the original interval can be computed and used to bound the error in any approximation of a root. That is,

$$|x - x^*| \leq |b - a|$$

A sequence of intervals is created by the Bisection method that contains a root. We can use subscripts to define the intervals as the bisection proceeds. If we use  $[a_i, b_i]$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$  where each new interval is selected after the previous interval is bisected. Note that if we are assuming  $[a_0, b_0] = [a, b]$  in this argument. So, we can write the following set of inequalities

$$|x - x^*| < b_k - a_k < \frac{1}{2}(b_{k-1} - a_{k-1}) < \dots < \frac{1}{2^k}(b_0 - a_0) = 2^{-k}(b - a)$$

This means that once the interval  $[a, b]$  has been determined, the reduction in the error between iterations is computable.

Suppose that we specify an error tolerance that is acceptable, say  $10^{-d}$  where  $d$  is the number of digits of accuracy. Then we can define the number of iterations to reduce the error to the desired tolerance as follows.

$$2^{-k}(b - a) < 10^{-d}$$

Using a bit of algebra

$$2^{-k} < \frac{10^{-d}}{(b - a)} \rightarrow -k < \log_2 \left( \frac{10^{-d}}{(b - a)} \right)$$

or flipping the inequality using a negative multiplier

$$-\log_2 \left( \frac{10^{-d}}{(b - a)} \right) < k$$

This gives us the total number of iterations needed to reduce the error to the desired tolerance. So, we can rewrite the code to take advantage of this calculation.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: An Alternative Bisection Method Code

---

The alternative C code to implement the alternate Bisection method where the number of iterations is computed ahead of time is the following.

---

```
double bisectionMethod(typedef'd f, double a, double b, double tol) {
    //
    // set up some parameters and local variables to do the work
    // -----
    //
    double c;
    double error;
    //
    // check the endpoints - if either is 0, we already have a root
    // -----
    //
    if(f(a)==0) return a;
    if(f(b)==0) return b;
    //
    // check for a root in the interval
    // -----
    //
    if(f(a)*f(b) >= 0.0) throw an error or print a message
    //
    // compute the number iterations needed to meet the tolerance given
    // -----
    //
    maxiter = - 2.0 * log2( tol / ( b - a ) );
    //
    // compute the iterations
    // -----
    for(int i=0; i<maxiter; i++) {
        //
        // compute the midpoint of the current interval
        // -----
        //
        c = 0.5 * ( a + b );
        //
        // compute the sign change value
        // -----
        //
        double val = f(a) * f(c);
        //
        // reassign the end point based on the location of the root
        // -----
        //
        if(val<0.0) {
            b = c;
        } else {
            a = c;
        }
        //
    }
}
```



```
}  
//  
// return the midpoint as it is more accurate  
// -----  
//  
return c;  
//  
}
```

---

Note that the output value will be an approximation of a root in the original interval,  $[a, b]$  that satisfies the desired tolerance.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Bisection Method Examples

---

It is always a good idea to test the code you write. Using the example from our tests of functional iteration we can determine whether or not the Bisection Method works and how this compares with the fixed point approach. So, we will consider the example in the section on functional iteration. That way, we can compare the results using Bisection to our previous work.

So, we will work with the easy example,

$$f(x) = e^x - \pi = 0$$

and apply the Bisection method on the interval  $[-2.2, 6.8]$ . Note that we do not need to come up with an alternate definition of the problem as in the case of functional iteration. The results shown include functional iteration and the Bisection method and are computed towards a tolerance of  $10^{-7}$ .

---

Table 2: Results for Functional Iteration Compared to Bisection

Iteration No.	Bisection	Bisection error	Functional Iteration	Functional Iteration error
01	2.30000019	1.15527022	1.08466220	8.46621990E-02
02	5.00000715E-02	1.09472990	1.12129271	3.66305113E-02
03	1.17500019	3.02702188E-02	1.1358474	1.45547390E-02
04	0.612500131	0.532229841	1.14140379	5.55634499E-03
05	0.893750191	0.250979781	1.14349020	2.08640099E-03
06	1.03437519	0.110354781	1.14426863	7.78436661E-04
07	1.10468769	4.00422812E-02	1.14455843	2.89797783E-04
08	1.13984394	4.88603115E-03	1.14466619	1.07765198E-04
09	1.15742207	1.26920938E-02	1.14470625	4.00543213E-05
10	1.14863300	3.90303135E-03	1.14472115	1.49011612E-05
11	1.14423847	4.91499901E-04	1.14472663	5.48362732E-06
12	1.14643574	1.70576572E-03	No Data	No Data
13	1.14533710	6.07132912E-04	No Data	No Data
14	1.14478779	5.78165054E-05	No Data	No Data
15	1.14451313	2.16841698E-04	No Data	No Data
16	1.14465046	7.95125961E-05	No Data	No Data
17	1.14471912	1.08480453E-05	No Data	No Data
18	1.14475346	2.34842300E-05	No Data	No Data
19	1.14473629	6.31809235E-06	No Data	No Data
20	1.14472771	2.26497650E-06	No Data	No Data
21	1.14473200	2.02655792E-06	No Data	No Data
22	1.14472985	1.19209290E-07	No Data	No Data
23	1.14473093	9.53674316E-07	No Data	No Data
24	1.14473033	3.57627869E-07	No Data	No Data

---

The results actually show that the functional iteration approach actually converges faster and is more efficient. However, as mentioned earlier, the functional iteration approach requires the definition of an alternative problem. Bisection works as long as the function in question is continuous on a closed and bounded interval. The guarantee is that once a root is bracketed the method will trdge along until an approximate value for the root is determined up to a a given tolerance.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Differentiable Functions

---

The next method that we can cover is Newton's method. This method is based on using some simple calculus manipulations to determine an iterative method for approximating roots of a nonlinear function. So, consider a function  $f(x)$  that is twice differentiable in some open interval containing a root of the function. There are a couple of ways to develop Newton's method. For this set of notes, suppose that,  $x_0$ , is provided as an approximation of the root. We can expand the function using the unknown root,  $x^*$ , and the approximation,  $x_0$ . That is, using  $x_0$  as the center of the expansion,

$$f(x^*) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0) (x^* - x_0) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x_0) (x^* - x_0)^2 + \dots$$

The expansion can be truncated using Taylor's theorem with remainder to write

$$f(x^*) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0) (x^* - x_0) + \frac{1}{2} f''(\xi) (x^* - x_0)^2$$

where  $\xi$  is between  $x^*$  and  $x_0$ . This expansion works as long as the function,  $f(x)$ , is twice continuously differentiable. Mathematically, the differentiability condition implies that near  $x_0$  (and  $x^*$ ) the remainder term can be bounded as follows.

$$\frac{1}{2} f''(\xi) (x^* - x_0)^2 \leq C (x^* - x_0)^2$$

If  $x^*$  and  $x_0$  are sufficiently close, we can neglect this term and write the approximation

$$f(x^*) \approx f(x_0) + f'(x_0) (x^* - x_0)$$

Recall that  $f(x^*) = 0$ . So, we can write

$$0 \approx f(x_0) + f'(x_0) (x - x_0)$$

for any  $x$  near  $x^*$ . Using this, we can define another approximation using

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}$$

This formula suggests an iteration. Given the output,  $x_1$ , given the input  $x_0$ , we can continue this process and compute another approximation,  $x_2$ , using

$$x_2 = x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{f'(x_1)}$$

This leads to the definition of Newton's method.

---

---

### Root Finding Problems: Definition of Newton's Method

---

Given the work in the previous section of these notes, we can define Newton's method as follows. Given an initial guess,  $x_0$ , the sequence of points,  $x_k$ , given by

$$x_k = x_{k-1} - \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{f'(x_{k-1})}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  defines Newton's method for finding the roots of a function of a single variable. There are certain restrictions that must be met when using Newton's method.

- 
- The function must be twice continuously differentiable,
  - the derivative of the function cannot be zero at the root,  $x^*$ , and
  - the initial point must be chosen sufficiently close to the exact value of the root.
-

---

## Root Finding Problems: Newton's Method Example and Comparison

---

As in the development of fixed point iteration and the Bisection method, we will need to test out any code that we might write for implementing Newton's method. We will use the same example,

$$f(x) = e^x - \pi = 0$$

to show how this compares to our previous methods we have tried. The simple problem specified above will not truly test a general Newton code. However, it makes for a quick comparison of the methods.

---

Table 3: Results for Newton's Method Iteration Compared to Bisection

Iteration No.	Newton Method	Newton Method Error	Bisection Method	Bisection Method
01	0.0000000000000000	1.1447299136769349	2.30000019	1.15527022
02	2.1415927410125732	0.99686282733563836	5.00000715E-02	1.09472990
03	1.5106280957127742	0.36589818203583935	1.17500019	3.02702188E-02
04	1.2042015115607474	5.9471597883812510E-002	0.612500131	0.532229841
05	1.1464638070151236	1.7338933381887411E-003	0.893750191	0.250979781
06	1.1447314160015734	1.5023246384693323E-006	1.03437519	0.110354781
07	1.1447299136780633	1.1284306822290091E-012	1.10468769	4.00422812E-02
08	No Data	No Data	1.13984394	4.88603115E-03
09	No Data	No Data	1.15742207	1.26920938E-02
10	No Data	No Data	1.14863300	3.90303135E-03
11	No Data	No Data	1.14423847	4.91499901E-04
12	No Data	No Data	1.14643574	1.70576572E-03
13	No Data	No Data	1.14533710	6.07132912E-04
14	No Data	No Data	1.14478779	5.78165054E-05
15	No Data	No Data	1.14451313	2.16841698E-04
16	No Data	No Data	1.14465046	7.95125961E-05
17	No Data	No Data	1.14471912	1.08480453E-05
18	No Data	No Data	1.14475346	2.34842300E-05
19	No Data	No Data	1.14473629	6.31809235E-06
20	No Data	No Data	1.14472771	2.26497650E-06
21	No Data	No Data	1.14473200	2.02655792E-06
22	No Data	No Data	1.14472985	1.19209290E-07
23	No Data	No Data	1.14473093	9.53674316E-07
24	No Data	No Data	1.14473033	3.57627869E-07

---

As we can easily see, Newton's method gives a good approximation within just a few iterations. In fact, in the next section, we will discuss how Newton's method converges along with definitions of different orders of convergence.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Convergence of Newton's Method

---

In this section, we are going to show that the sequence of approximations produced by Newton's method indeed converges to a single value. To start the analysis, we will define the error in an approximation,  $x_k$ , and the exact value,  $x^*$  by

$$e_k = x_k - x^*$$

Then we can write

$$e_{k+1} = x_{k+1} - x^* = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)} - x^* = e_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}$$

and so,

$$e_{k+1} = \frac{e_k f'(x_k) - f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}$$

Note that we know  $f(x^*) = 0$  since  $x^*$  is a root of  $f$ . Now, expanding the function in a Taylor series at  $x^*$  gives

$$0 = f(x^*) = f(x_k - e_k) = f(x_k) - e_k f'(x_k) + \frac{1}{2} e_k^2 f''(\xi)$$

where  $\xi$  is a point between  $x_k$  and  $x^*$ . We can solve for the numerator in the error expression as follows.

$$e_k f'(x_k) - f(x_k) = -\frac{1}{2} e_k^2 f''(\xi)$$

Therefore,

$$e_{k+1} = \frac{-\frac{1}{2} e_k^2 f''(\xi)}{f'(x_k)} = \frac{-\frac{1}{2} f''(\xi)}{f'(x_k)} e_k^2$$

Taking absolute values of both sides gives

$$|e_{k+1}| = \left| \frac{\frac{1}{2} f''(\xi)}{f'(x_k)} \right| |e_k|^2 \leq C |e_k|^2$$

where  $C$  depends on the quotient of the derivative terms.

Note that if  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $f'(x^*) \neq 0$ , then  $C$  is a nonnegative constant. This analysis indicates that the error at the next step,  $x_{k+1}$ , is bounded by the square of the error at the current approximation,  $x_k$ . This is a better result than either functional iteration or the Bisection method. In the next section we will define different rates of convergence.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Rate of Convergence Definitions

---

Using iterative methods requires an understanding of convergence of the sequence of approximations generated. First, it is important to be able to show that the sequence of approximations converges to the solution of the mathematical problem that is under consideration. In addition, we should be interested in how fast the sequence of approximations converges. Now for some definitions.

---

**Definition 2** Suppose that an algorithm generates a sequence of approximations,  $\{x_k\}$ , that converges to a value,  $x^*$ . Define the error in a sequence element by

$$e_k = x_k - x^*$$

If the sequence elements satisfy

$$|e_{k+1}| \leq C |e_k|^r$$

for a positive constant,  $C$ , not depending on  $k$ , the power  $r$  is called the rate of convergence of the sequence and thus the algorithm.

---

Using the definition of rate of convergence, it is easy to see from the analysis for the three root finding algorithms can be determined. The rate of convergence for functional iteration and the Bisection method is  $r = 1$  and the rate of convergence for Newton's method is  $r = 2$ .

The next definition gives terms for different types of convergence.

---

**Definition 3** Suppose that an algorithm produces a sequence of approximations,  $\{x_k\}$ , that converges to a value  $x^*$ . Then if the rate of convergence is  $r = 1$  the algorithm is said to be linearly convergent. If the rate of convergence is  $r = 2$ , the algorithm is said to be quadratically convergent. If the rate of convergence is between  $r = 1$  and  $r = 2$ , the algorithm is said to be super-linearly convergent.

---

As mentioned above, functional iteration is at best linearly convergent, the Bisection method is linearly convergent, and Newton's method is quadratically convergent. At this point none of the algorithms for root finding satisfy the definition of super-linear convergence. As we will see, the Secant method presented next will provide an example of a super-linearly convergent algorithm.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Approximating Newton's Method - the Secant Method

---

Newton's method requires the evaluation of both the function and derivative of the function at each iteration. In many cases it is either difficult, if not impossible, to evaluate the derivative of the function. In some cases the derivative may not even be available. In these cases, Newton's method will not work. To deal with this issue, we can approximate Newton's method by approximating the derivative of the function. The approximation we will use is

$$f'(x_k) \approx \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}$$

The use of the difference quotient requires only function evaluations to approximate the derivative. We can substitute this approximation into Newton's method to obtain

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)} \approx x_k - f(x_k) \frac{1}{\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}}$$

The result of this approximation is the Secant method that can be written in the following form. Given two initial values,  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , compute the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  using

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - f(x_k) \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . There are a couple of observations that are easy to see. First, the approximation of the derivative will result in a loss of accuracy and likely effect the convergence rate for the sequence. In fact, the convergence rate of the Secant method is  $r \approx (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . This is between linear convergence and quadratic convergence. Thus, the Secant method is a superlinearly convergent method.

The convergence analysis will be given in the next section. Before going into the analysis of convergence of the Secant method a few remarks are in order.

- 
- The secant method is used when it is not possible to compute the derivative of the function. It might be the case the no closed formula is available for use in Newton's method.
  - Another issue involves the complexity of the derivative. In some problems the derivative may be too complex for evaluation and the use of an approximation may result in a more efficient algorithm.
  - In multi-dimensional problems, such as multivariable optimization problems, extensions of the secant method produce more efficient algorithms. In fact, many multivariable algorithms use some modification of the Secant method as a starting point.
- 

With these ideas in mind, the next section will present an analysis of the convergence of the Secant method.

---



---

## Root Finding Problems: Convergence Analysis for the Secant Method

---

In this section, the convergence of the Secant method is presented. The analysis starts by considering the error at each iteration as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{k+1} &= x_{k+1} - x^* \\
 &= x_k - f(x_k) \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} - x^* \\
 &= e_k - f(x_k) \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we can apply a bit of algebra to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{k+1} &= \frac{e_k (f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})) - f(x_k) (x_k - x_{k-1})}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} \\
 &= \frac{e_k (f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})) - f(x_k) (x_k - x^* + x^* - x_{k-1})}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{k+1} &= \frac{e_k (f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})) - f(x_k) (e_k - e_{k-1})}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} \\
 &= \frac{f(x_k) e_{k-1} - f(x_{k-1}) e_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}
 \end{aligned}$$

The next step in the proof involves factoring out the product of the errors  $e_k e_{k-1}$ . The result is the following

$$e_{k+1} = \frac{\left( \frac{f(x_k)}{e_k} - \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{e_{k-1}} \right) e_k e_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}$$

The next step will reduce the two ratios in the denominator of the expression. The function values will be expanded on a Taylor series about the location of the root. So, we can expand the first term as follows.

$$f(x_k) = f(x^* + e_k) = f(x^*) + f'(x^*) e_k + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_k^2 + O(e_k^3)$$

We know that  $f(x^*) = 0$  that gets rid of the first term. Then the ratio in our estimate can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{f(x_k)}{e_k} &= \frac{f'(x^*) e_k + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_k^2 + O(e_k^3)}{e_k} \\
 &= f'(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_k + O(e_k^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

The same sort of computation can be applied to the other term in the expression for the error. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{e_{k-1}} &= \frac{f'(x^*) e_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_{k-1}^2 + O(e_{k-1}^3)}{e_{k-1}} \\
 &= f'(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_{k-1} + O(e_{k-1}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

The error term in the denominator of each of these terms has been divided out. Taking the difference in the equations for  $e_k$  and  $e_{k+1}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{f(x_k)}{e_k} - \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{e_{k-1}} &= f'(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_k + O(e_k^2) - f'(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) e_{k-1} + O(e_{k-1}^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} f''(x^*) (e_k - e_{k-1}) + O(\max_k e_k^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to go back to the error formula above and do a bit more algebra on the form.

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{k+1} &= \frac{\left(\frac{f(x_k)}{e_k} - \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{e_{k-1}}\right) e_k e_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{f(x_k)}{e_k} - \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{e_{k-1}}\right) e_k e_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{x_k - x_{k-1}} \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{f(x_k)}{e_k} - \frac{f(x_{k-1})}{e_{k-1}}\right) e_k e_{k-1}}{x_k - x_{k-1}} \times \left(\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

This amounts to multiplying and dividing by a convenient form of one as seen above. We can replace the numerator in the first term of the product with the estimage from above and also notice that  $x_k - x_{k-1} = e_k - e_{k-1}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{k+1} &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} f''(x^*)(e_k - e_{k-1}) + O(\max_k e_k^2)\right) e_k e_{k-1}}{e_k - e_{k-1}} \times \left(\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}\right)^{-1} \\
&\approx \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} f''(x^*)(e_k - e_{k-1})\right) e_k e_{k-1}}{e_k - e_{k-1}} \times \left(\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}\right)^{-1} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2} f''(x^*)\right) e_k e_{k-1} \times \left(\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the term involving  $O(\max_k e_k^2)$  is assumed to be smaller than the other terms. Using the mean value theorem for derivatives, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{k+1} &\approx \left(\frac{1}{2} f''(x^*)\right) e_k e_{k-1} \times (f'(x^*))^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(x^*)}{f'(x^*)} e_k e_{k-1} \\
&\leq C e_k e_{k-1}
\end{aligned}$$

This form looks a bit like the form obtained in the analysis of Newton's method. The difference is that the errors from the previous two terms appear in the product. Newton's method depends on  $e_k e_k = e_k^2$ . This will effect the convergence rate.

To see the effect on the Secant method, we can set up an asymptotic relationship of the form

$$|e_{k+1}| \approx A|e_k|^r$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The idea is to determine  $r$ , the convergence rate for the method. Note that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  all iterations will behave the same. So

$$|e_k| \approx A|e_{k-1}|^r \rightarrow |e_{k-1}| \approx (A^{-1}|e_k|)^{1/r} = A^{-1/r}|e_k|^{1/r}$$

We are basically solving for the error at the  $(k-1)$  iteration in terms of the error at the  $k$  iteration. We can plug the values into the error term for the secant method as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|e_{k+1}| \approx A |e^k|^r &\approx C|e_k| |e_{k-1}| \\
&\approx C|e_k| |A^{-1/r}| |e_k|^{1/r} \\
&\approx C A^{-1/r} |e_k|^{1+1/r}
\end{aligned}$$

This can be translated into the following equation.

$$A^{1+1/r} |C^{-1}| \approx |e_k|^{-r+1+1/r}$$

The left hand side of the approximation is a constant that in general is nonzero while in the right hand side of the equation  $e^k \rightarrow 0$ . The only way this makes sens is if the exponent in the error is zero. That is,

$$1 - r + \frac{1}{r} = 0 \rightarrow r^2 - r - 1 = 0$$

The roots of the quadratic polynomial are

$$r = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

One of the roots is negative which means the root is not valid ( $r > 0$ ). The positive root is  $r \approx 1.62$ . So, the final result is

$$|e_{k+1}| \leq C |e_k|^{1.62}$$

---

---

### Root Finding Problems: Secants Method Example and Comparison

---

Again, we will use the same example

$$f(x) = e^x - \pi = 0$$

to test the Secant method and compare the results to the results obtained using Newton's method. It is important that the method will need to initial guesses to get started, while Newton's method requires a single starting point.

---

Table 4: Results for the Secant Method Compared to Newton's Method

Iteration No.	Secant Method	Secant Method Error	Newton Method	Newton Method Error
01	0.0000000000000000	1.1447299136769349	0.0000000000000000	1.1447299136769349
02	1.0000000000000000	0.14472991367693488	2.1415927410125732	0.99686282733563836
03	1.2463570908697517	0.10162717719281678	1.5106280957127742	0.36589818203583935
04	1.1373319288158861	7.3979848610488119E-003	1.2042015115607474	5.9471597883812510E-002
05	1.1443599214178914	3.6999225904343902E-004	1.1464638070151236	1.7338933381887411E-003
06	1.1447312840476851	1.3703707502088491E-006	1.1447314160015734	1.5023246384693323E-006
07	1.1447299134234061	2.5352875354656135E-010	1.1447299136780633	1.1284306822290091E-012

---

Comparing the results in the previous problem we can see that the results are roughly the same for both methods. The error in the Newton method approximation is just a little better than the Secant method. In this example, the computed convergence rate using the data generated is  $r \approx 1.678$  which is a bit higher than predicted in the previous section.

---

---

## Root Finding Problems: Summary of Results for Basic Methods

---

In this section the four basic methods are summarized and compared in terms of the pros and cons of each. The four methods, (1) functional iteration, (2) the Bisection method, (3) Newton's method, and (4) the Secant method.

1. **Functional Iteration:** This method is easy to develop and implement into a computer code. The downside is that it is important to create an equivalent fixed point problem that generates approximations that converge rapidly to the root of the original problem. In general, this may be a very challenging problem. For this reason functional iteration is not widely used. In many cases, when the approximations converge to the desired solution, the convergence is very slow.
  2. **Bisection Method:** This method requires a continuous function and an interval,  $[a, b]$ , such that  $f(a)f(b) < 0$ . Once an appropriate interval is determined Bisection will converge linearly to the a root of the original problem. Linear convergence is slow. The algorithm is relatively easy to implement into a computer code and given a tolerance for the solution, an exact number of iterations can be determined which makes for a more efficient computer code. Convergence of the Bisection method is linear at best.
  3. **Newton's Method:** The gold standard for finding roots of functions that are smooth is Newton's method. This method is quadratically convergent which means a small number of iterations are needed to get close to the root of a function. The requirements for successful use of Newton's method are (a) the function must be twice continuously differentiable, (2) the derivative of the function must not be zero near the solution, and (3) the initial guess must be sufficiently close to a root of the function. All of this restrictions can cause problems in real world problem.
  4. **The Secant Method:** The Secant method is used when the derivative of the function is either not available or the derivative is too expensive to compute. When this is the case, the derivative is approximated by a finite difference which requires two points on the secant line joining two points on the graph of the function. The Secant method is simpler than Newton's method, but is restricted in the same way as Newton's method. The convergence is superlinear and the method is significantly better than Bisection in terms of convergence.
-

---

## Root Finding Problems: General Problems and Hybrid Methods

---

In a real root finding problem, the location of the root may not be easy to estimate. This may cause the Newton method and Secant method to fail due to the requirement of starting sufficiently close to the exact value of the root. However, if we can determine an interval,  $[a, b]$ , where  $f(a)f(b) < 0$ , the Bisection method will converge albeit slowly. A strategy that starts with a few steps of Bisection to get closer to the root and then trying a Newton or Secant step might be a good alternative. This brings us to the idea of Hybrid root finding methods. There are any number of strategies for development of Hybrid methods. We will develop a strategy based on the following.

1. Find an interval  $[a, b]$  on which  $f(a)f(b) < 0$ . If  $f$  is continuous on the interval, there must be root in the interval due to an application of the Intermediate Value Theorem. We can compute a bound for the initial error in the approximation

$$e_0 = |b - a|$$

2. Next, reduce the error in the approximation one order of magnitude using the Bisection method. This will be fleshed out below.
3. Try a step of Newton's method.
  - (a) If the Newton step stays in the same interval we can continue with Newton steps until convergence.
  - (b) If the Newton step fails to stay in the interval from the Bisection iterations, go back to Bisection.
4. Output the value after Newton's method converges.

Now to how to reduce the error by an order of magnitude.

---

---

**Root Finding Problems: Multiple Root Example:**

---

This example,...

$$f(x) = \sin(10x^2 + 3)$$