Collaboration Assignment • Team Workflow with Git & GitHub

Why this matters

Data-science work is rarely solo. This mini-project shows you how software teams share code smoothly—skills that translate directly to labs, internships, and jobs.

Team Formation

Create teams of 2-3 classmates during lab or in the Canvas "Team Signup" page. If you are unmatched by **Wednesday 5 PM**, the TA will auto-assign partners.

Objectives

By the end of the assignment, your team will:

- 1. Use fork \rightarrow clone to start from a common template.
- 2. Create a new branch, add or edit files, and push changes.
- 3. Open a pull request (PR) and assign a teammate as reviewer.
- 4. Pull, merge, and resolve at least one conflict.
- 5. Produce a clean **commit history** showing contributions from *each* member.

Starter Template

We've posted a small repo with three placeholder files here:

https://github.com/osuds101/collab-template

It contains:

README.md # task list

Task Checklist

Step	Who	Tool	Notes
1. Fork	One member	GitHub Web	Creates team-specific
collab-template			copy.
2. Add teammates	Owner	$Settings \rightarrow$	Give push access.
as collaborators		Collaborators	
3. Clone fork to	Everyone	Git Hub Desktop or	git clone <fork-url></fork-url>
local machines		Git Bash	
4. Create feature	Everyone	Desktop: Branch \rightarrow	Work only on your
branch		NewCLI: git	branch.
		checkout -b	
		feature/ <name></name>	
5. Edit a file	Everyone	VS Code / RStudio	Each member modifies
			different file.
$6. \ \mathtt{add} \to \mathtt{commit} \to$	Everyone	Desktop or CLI	Use descriptive commit
push			messages.
7. Open Pull	Everyone	GitHub Web	Assign a teammate to
Request			review.
8. Review &	Reviewer	GitHub Web	Use $Squash \ \mathcal{E} \ merge.$
merge PR			
9. Pull merged main	Everyone	git pull origin	Keeps local copy
		main	up-to-date.

Step	Who	Tool	Notes
10. Intentional conflict	Any member	Edit same line in README.md, push branch, open PR → resolve conflict in web editor.	Demonstrates conflict handling.

What to Submit

• One team member submits a short response file named submission.md (place it in the repo root and push):

```
# Collaboration Assignment Submission
Team Members: Jane Doe (jdoe), Alex Lee (alee)
Repo URL: https://github.com/<team>/collab-template
Conflict file resolved: README.md
```

• Submit the repo URL in Canvas (Assignment Website URL).

Grading Rubric (40 pts)

Criterion	Excellent ()	Pts
Repo forked & public	URL opens; template structure intact	5
Each member has 1 commit	git logauthor shows activity	10
Feature branch used & PR	Branch name seen; PR conversation in	5
merged	"Closed" tab	
Conflict demonstrated &	Merge commit or "Resolved via web	10
resolved	editor" visible	
Repo clones without errors	TA can git clone successfully	5
Commit messages clear	Messages describe change, not "update"	5

 $Total = 40 \ points$

Hints & Troubleshooting

- Pull first. Push later. 90 % of merge headaches vanish if you stay updated.
- PR reviewers: leave at least one comment (even "LGTM") before merging.
- If PAT prompts repeat on Windows, open **Git Credential Manager** and delete stale entries, then push again.
- Stuck? Post in **#git-help** Slack channel—include error text or screenshot.

Due Date

Sunday 11:59 PM (Pacific) — Week 3.

Late work: -10 % per day, up to 3 days.

Submissions after 3 days will be marked as 0.

When you finish, you'll have practiced the exact workflow used by countless open-source and professional teams.