# EE379K Enterprise Network Security Lab 2 Report

Student: Brian Cheung bc32427
Professor: Mohit Tiwari
TA: Antonio Espinoza
Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering
The University of Texas at Austin

September 24, 2019

# Part 1 - Vulnerable Web Apps

The task was to implement

#### 1a - Set up a web-service in a container

For this lab, the Damn Vulnerable Web App (DVWA) was set up as a webservice in a Docker container using the following guide [1] and set to low difficulty.

#### **PHP Injection**

The objective of this section was to implement a PHP injection that printed out the path to the current directory, the contents of the current directory, the contents of the root of the file system, and the number of processes running in the system. This was done by uploading a PHP script (part-1/php\_injection.php) to the DVWA and navigating to the file location. The following image1 is the output of the script:



Figure 1: Screenshot of the server's output after executing the PHP script.

#### Observations:

- When running ls / on the server and the VM, there are a few notable differences. The server shows some hidden files such as ., .., and .dockerenv, while the VM does not. However, the VM displays some files and directories that the server doesn't such as cdrom/, initrd.img, initrd.img.old, lost+found/, core/, snap/, vmlinuz, vmlinuz.old.
- Running ps aux --no-headers | wc -1 from the VM's terminal resulted in 226 processes instead of the 15 processes when running the command on the server.

• These differences stem from the way Docker creates and manages containers. Docker uses cgroups to manage resource allocation and isolates the container's processes from the host machine through the use of namespaces. Each container also has its own root file system, which explains the different views of the filesystem when running 1s /. [2, 3]

#### 1b - Getting familiar with strace

In order to detect a DVWA exploit, a tool called strace was used to log all the system calls made by the container. This can be done by attaching strace to the process called containerd, which executes the system calls on behalf of the Docker container. To attach strace to containerd, the PID of containerd must be passed as an argument to strace. To get the PID of containerd, the following command was run in the terminal:

```
$ ps -ef | grep containerd
root 1155 1 0 09:36 ? 00:00:08 /usr/bin/containerd
```

Then to monitor the system calls made by DVWA run the following command:

```
$ sudo strace -p 1155 -o strace.txt -f
```

Then run DVWA in a different terminal window:

```
$ docker run --rm -it -p 80:80 vulnerables/web-dvwa
```

For demonstration purposes, a bash command was injected into the input in the 'Command Injection' tab:

```
; echo "malware" > /tmp/maliciousfile
```

28552 dup2(3, 1)

The semi colon closes off the ping command making the server run the bash command that follows. The following lines identify the malicious system calls made during the exploit:

```
28552 execve("/bin/sh", ["sh", "-c", "ping -c 4; echo \"malware\" > /t"...], [/* 9 ...
28552 open("/tmp/maliciousfile", O_WRONLY|O_CREAT|O_TRUNC, 0666) = 3
28552 fcntl(1, F_DUPFD, 10) = 10
28552 close(1) = 0
28552 fcntl(10, F_SETFD, FD_CLOEXEC) = 0
```

= 1

```
28552 close(3) = 0

28552 write(1, "malware\n", 8) = 8

28552 dup2(10, 1) = 1

28552 close(10) = 0

28552 exit_group(0) = ?
```

## Part 2 - SELinux

The task was to implement

### 2a - Set up

# Conclusion

The lab took about 40 hours which was a bit longer than expected. It was pretty interesting learning about socket connections in different languages and how GET requests are built using socket connections. I think some parts of the lab were a little unclear and needed further clarification. Overall, this lab served its purpose in providing a more hands-on experience that helped improve my understanding of networking.

## References

- [1]
- [2] J. Skilbeck, "Docker: What's Under the Hood?," January 2019.
- [3] S. Grunert, "Demystifying Containers Part I: Kernel Space," March 2019.