

Statistical Modeling

CH.9 - Working with Collinear Data

SS 2021 || Prof. Dr. Buchwitz

Wir geben Impulse

- 1 Organizational Information
- 2 Multicollinearity
- 3 Principal Components
- 4 Principal Component Regression
- 5 Ridge Regression

Session	Topic
1	Simple Linear Regression
2	Multiple Linear Regression
3	Regression Diagnostics
4	Qualitative Variables as Predictors
5	Transformation of Variables
6	Weighted Least Squares
7	Correlated Errors
8	Analysis of Collinear Data
9	Working with Collinear Data
10	Variable Selection Procedures
11	Logistic Regression
12	Further Topics

- 1 Organizational Information
- 2 Multicollinearity
- 3 Principal Components
- 4 Principal Component Regression
- 5 Ridge Regression

- When multicollinearity is present, the least squares estimates of the individual regression coefficients tend to be **unstable** and can lead to erroneous inferences.
- In the last session we discussed the problem of multicollinearity and ways to diagnose this problem. We found that eliminating predictors from the analysis does not always work and in most analytical settings is not a feasible option.
- We consider two alternative approaches for dealing with multicollinearity:
 - Imposing or searching for constraints on the regression parameters.
 - Using alternative estimation techniques (e.g. principal components regression and ridge regression).

- 1 Organizational Information
- 2 Multicollinearity
- 3 Principal Components**
- 4 Principal Component Regression
- 5 Ridge Regression

- The principal components method is based on the fact that any set of p predictors X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p can be **transformed** to a set of p **orthogonal** variables.
- The new orthogonal variables are known as the **principal components** and are denoted by C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p .
- Each variable C_j is a linear function of the standardized variables $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \dots, \tilde{X}_p$.

$$C_j = v_{1j}\tilde{X}_1 + v_{2j}\tilde{X}_2 + \dots + v_{pj}\tilde{X}_p \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

Principal Components

- The coefficients of the linear functions are chosen so that the variables C_1, \dots, C_p are orthogonal.
- The coefficients for the j -th principal components C_j are the elements of the j -th eigenvector that corresponds to the eigenvalue λ_j , the j -th largest eigenvalue of the correlation matrix of the p variables.

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & \cdots & V_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & \cdots & v_{1p} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} & \cdots & v_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{p1} & v_{p2} & \cdots & v_{pp} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: French Economy Data

P241

##	YEAR	IMPORT	DOPROD	STOCK	CONSUM
## 1	49	15.9	149.3	4.2	108.1
## 2	50	16.4	161.2	4.1	114.8
## 3	51	19.0	171.5	3.1	123.2
## 4	52	19.1	175.5	3.1	126.9
## 5	53	18.8	180.8	1.1	132.1
## 6	54	20.4	190.7	2.2	137.7
## 7	55	22.7	202.1	2.1	146.0
## 8	56	26.5	212.4	5.6	154.1
## 9	57	28.1	226.1	5.0	162.3
## 10	58	27.6	231.9	5.1	164.3
## 11	59	26.3	239.0	0.7	167.6
## 12	60	31.1	258.0	5.6	176.8
## 13	61	33.3	269.8	3.9	186.6
## 14	62	37.0	288.4	3.1	199.7
## 15	63	43.3	304.5	4.6	213.9
## 16	64	49.0	323.4	7.0	223.8
## 17	65	50.3	336.8	1.2	232.0
## 18	66	56.6	353.9	4.5	242.9

Data Description

YEAR Year of Observation.

IMPORT Import Volume.

DOPROD Domestic Production.

STOCK Stock Formation.

CONSUM Domestic Consumption.

Variables are measured in billion French francs.

Principal Components

- It can be shown that the variance of the j -th principal component is $\text{Var}(C_j) = \lambda_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Therefore the variance-covariance matrix of the principal components is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_p \end{pmatrix}$$

- All the off-diagonal elements are zero because the principal components are orthogonal. The value of the j -th diagonal element λ_j is the variance of C_j , the j -th principal component.
- The principal components are arranged so that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \lambda_p$, which means that the first component has the largest variance.

Principal Components

```
d <- head(P241[,c("DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")], 11)
d.pca <- prcomp(d, center=TRUE, scale=TRUE)
C <- d.pca$x
round(C, 4)
```

##		PC1	PC2	PC3
## 1		-2.1259	0.6387	-0.0207
## 2		-1.6189	0.5555	-0.0711
## 3		-1.1152	-0.0730	-0.0217
## 4		-0.8943	-0.0824	0.0108
## 5		-0.6442	-1.3067	0.0726
## 6		-0.1904	-0.6591	0.0266
## 7		0.3596	-0.7437	0.0428
## 8		0.9718	1.3541	0.0629
## 9		1.5593	0.9640	0.0236
## 10		1.7670	1.0152	-0.0450
## 11		1.9311	-1.6627	-0.0806

Principal Components

```
cormat <- cor(d)
eigen(cormat) # Eigen Decomposition of Correlation Matrix
```

```
## eigen() decomposition
## $values
## [1] 1.999154934 0.998154176 0.002690889
##
## $vectors
##           [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
## [1,] 0.70633041 0.03568867 0.706982083
## [2,] 0.04350059 -0.99902908 0.006970795
## [3,] 0.70654444 0.02583046 -0.707197102
```

```
round(var(C),4) # Variance-Covariance Matrix of PCs
```

```
##           PC1      PC2      PC3
## PC1 1.9992 0.0000 0.0000
## PC2 0.0000 0.9982 0.0000
## PC3 0.0000 0.0000 0.0027
```

Remember

Multicollinearity leads to heterogeneous sizes of eigenvalues so that one eigenvalue is much smaller than the others. When one eigenvalue is exactly zero a perfect linear relationship (special case of extreme multicollinearity) among the original variables exists.

The variance-covariance matrix of the new variables only has entries on the main diagonal (which correspond to the eigenvalues) and zeros in all other places (as the variables are orthogonal).

Principal Components

- The principal components lack simple interpretation as they are a *mixture* of the (standardized) original variables.
- Since λ_j is the variance of the j -th principal component, a value of $\lambda_j \approx 0$ shows that the respective principal component C_j is equal to a constant. That constant is the mean value of C_j (which is zero as the variables have been standardized).
- Inspecting the eigenvectors of the previous example shows that *only* the variables CONSUM and DOPROD play a relevant role when determining C_3 .

```
##      X1_tilde      X2_tilde      X3_tilde
## 0.706982083  0.006970795 -0.707197102
```

$$\tilde{X}_1 \approx \tilde{X}_3 \quad \text{as} \quad v_{23} \approx 0.007 \approx 0$$

- 1 Organizational Information
- 2 Multicollinearity
- 3 Principal Components
- 4 **Principal Component Regression**
- 5 Ridge Regression

Principal Component Regression

- We consider the model for the *French Economy Dataset*

$$\text{IMPORT} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{DOPROD} + \beta_2 \text{STOCK} + \beta_3 \text{CONSUM} + \epsilon$$

- This model expressed using the standardized variables

$$\tilde{Y} = (y_i - \bar{y})/s_y \text{ and } \tilde{X}_j = (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)/s_{x_j} \text{ yields}$$

$$\tilde{Y} = \theta_1 \tilde{X}_1 + \theta_2 \tilde{X}_2 + \theta_3 \tilde{X}_3 + \epsilon'$$

- Utilizing the principal components of the standardized predictors the model can be written as

$$\tilde{Y} = \alpha_1 C_1 + \alpha_2 C_2 + \alpha_3 C_3 + \epsilon'$$

Principal Component Regression

```
# Data Preparation
d <- head(P241,11)
d_scaled <- as.data.frame(scale(d))
d_prcomp <- as.data.frame(cbind(IMPORT=d_scaled$IMPORT,
                               prcomp(d[,c("DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")],
                                         center=TRUE, scale=T)$x))

# Model Estimation
mod1 <- lm(IMPORT ~ 1 + DOPROD + STOCK + CONSUM, data=d)
(mod2 <- lm(IMPORT ~ -1 + DOPROD + STOCK + CONSUM, data=d_scaled))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = IMPORT ~ -1 + DOPROD + STOCK + CONSUM, data = d_scaled)
##
## Coefficients:
##   DOPROD   STOCK   CONSUM
## -0.3393   0.2130   1.3027
```

```
(mod3 <- lm(IMPORT ~ -1 + PC1 + PC2 + PC3, data=d_prcomp))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = IMPORT ~ -1 + PC1 + PC2 + PC3, data = d_prcomp)
##
## Coefficients:
##   PC1   PC2   PC3
## 0.6900 0.1913 1.1597
```


Principal Component Regression

```
ev <- eigen(cor(d[,c("DOPROD","STOCK","CONSUM")]))$vectors

# Multiply eigenvectors with constant to match output in book
ev[,2] <- ev[,2] * -1; ev[,3] <- ev[,3] * -1

# Eigenvectors
ev
```

```
##           [,1]           [,2]           [,3]
## [1,] 0.70633041 -0.03568867 -0.706982083
## [2,] 0.04350059  0.99902908 -0.006970795
## [3,] 0.70654444 -0.02583046  0.707197102
```

Principal Component Regression

- The coefficients of the principal component regression can be calculated based on the regression coefficients from the model using the standardized values.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= 0.706 \theta_1 + 0.044 \theta_2 + 0.707 \theta_3 \\ \alpha_2 &= -0.036 \theta_1 + 0.999 \theta_2 + -0.026 \theta_3 \\ \alpha_3 &= -0.707 \theta_1 + -0.007 \theta_2 + 0.707 \theta_3\end{aligned}$$

- Conversely this relationship can be turned around to obtain the coefficients from the regression with standardized variables from the principal component regression.

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= 0.706 \alpha_1 + -0.036 \alpha_2 + -0.707 \alpha_3 \\ \theta_2 &= 0.044 \alpha_1 + 0.999 \alpha_2 + -0.007 \alpha_3 \\ \theta_3 &= 0.707 \alpha_1 + -0.026 \alpha_2 + 0.707 \alpha_3\end{aligned}$$

Principal Component Regression

```
# Calculate alpha (principal components) from theta (standardized variables)  
as.vector(coef(mod2) %**% ev)
```

```
## [1] 0.6899821 0.1913034 1.1596766
```

```
coef(mod3)
```

```
##          PC1          PC2          PC3  
## 0.6899821 0.1913034 1.1596766
```

```
# Calculate theta (standardized variables) from alpha (principal components)  
as.vector(ev %**% coef(mod3))
```

```
## [1] -0.3393426 0.2130484 1.3026815
```

```
coef(mod2)
```

```
##      DOPROD      STOCK      CONSUM  
## -0.3393426 0.2130484 1.3026815
```

Principal Component Regression

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Y} &= \theta_1 \tilde{X}_1 + \theta_2 \tilde{X}_2 + \theta_3 \tilde{X}_3 + \epsilon' \\ &= \alpha_1 C_1 + \alpha C_2 + \alpha_3 C_3 + \epsilon'\end{aligned}$$

- Although the above equations both hold, the C 's are **orthogonal**.
- The orthogonality bypasses (but not eliminates) the multicollinearity problem, however, the resulting relationship and therefore the coefficients are **not easily interpreted**.
- The α 's unlike the θ 's do not have simple interpretations as marginal effects of the original (standardized) predictor variables.

The final estimation results are always restated in terms of the θ 's or original β 's for interpretation!

Principal Component Regression

Based on the coefficients obtained from regressing the standardized variables the relationship can be expressed in terms of the original β_j 's using the following relationship:

$$\hat{\beta}_j = \frac{s_y}{s_j} \hat{\theta}_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$\beta_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{x}_2 - \dots - \hat{\beta}_p \bar{x}_p$$

This back-transform of the variables to the original scale is crucial for interpretation of the final results!

- Principal component regression can be used to **reduce collinearity in the estimation data**.
- this can be achieved by using **less than the full set of principal components** to explain the variation in the response.
- When all principal components are used the OLS solution can be exactly reproduced (as seen before).

Reduction of Multicollinearity in the Data

- The C_j 's have sample variances $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ equal to their eigenvalues.

```
eigen(cor(d[,c("DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")]))$values
```

```
## [1] 1.999154934 0.998154176 0.002690889
```

- Since C_3 has very small variance, the linear function defining C_3 is **approximately equal to zero** and is the source of collinearity in the data.

Reduction of Multicollinearity in the Data

- We exclude C_3 from the analysis and consider the two possible remaining regression models

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Y} &= \alpha_1 C_1 + \epsilon \\ \tilde{Y} &= \alpha_1 C_1 + \alpha_2 C_2 + \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

There are two important things to note here:

- 1 In an regression equation where the full set of potential predictor variables under consideration are orthogonal, the estimated values of the regression **coefficients are not altered** when subsets of these variables are either introduced or deleted.
- 2 Both models lead to estimates for **all** three of the original standardized coefficients θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 .

Reduction of Multicollinearity in the Data

```
mod_prcomp1 <- lm(IMPORT ~ -1 + PC1, data=d_prcomp)
mod_prcomp2 <- lm(IMPORT ~ -1 + PC1 + PC2, data=d_prcomp)
mod_prcomp3 <- lm(IMPORT ~ -1 + PC1 + PC2 + PC3, data=d_prcomp)
```

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
PC1	0.69*** (0.05)	0.69*** (0.03)	0.69*** (0.02)
PC2		0.19*** (0.04)	0.19*** (0.03)
PC3			1.16 (0.61)
R ²	0.95	0.99	0.99
Adj. R ²	0.95	0.99	0.99
Num. obs.	11	11	11

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$

Table 2: Statistical models

Reduction of Multicollinearity in the Data

```
# Coefficients for standardized predictors when using one principal component
coefs1 <- coef(mod3)[1] * ev[,1]
names(coefs1) <- c("DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")
coefs1
```

```
##      DOPROD      STOCK      CONSUM
## 0.48735534 0.03001463 0.48750301
```

```
# Coefficients for standardized predictors when using two principal components
coefs2 <- coef(mod3)[1] * ev[,1] + coef(mod3)[2] * ev[,2]
names(coefs2) <- c("DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")
coefs2
```

```
##      DOPROD      STOCK      CONSUM
## 0.4805280 0.2211323 0.4825616
```

Reduction of Multicollinearity in the Data

```
s <- apply(d[,c("IMPORT", "DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")], 2, sd)
m <- apply(d[,c("IMPORT", "DOPROD", "STOCK", "CONSUM")], 2, mean)
```

```
# Model with one PC for non-standardized data
```

```
coefs_org1 <- s[1]/s[2:4] * coefs1
intercept_org1 <- unname(m[1] - sum(m[2:4]*coefs_org1))
(beta_org1 <- c(Intercept=intercept_org1, coefs_org1))
```

```
##      Intercept      DOPROD      STOCK      CONSUM
## -7.74582557   0.07381387   0.08269039   0.10734749
```

```
# Model with two PCs for non-standardized data
```

```
coefs_org2 <- s[1]/s[2:4] * coefs2
intercept_org2 <- unname(m[1] - sum(m[2:4]*coefs_org2))
(beta_org2 <- c(Intercept=intercept_org2, coefs_org2))
```

```
##      Intercept      DOPROD      STOCK      CONSUM
## -9.13010782   0.07277981   0.60922012   0.10625939
```


Reduction of Multicollinearity in the Data

- The following table shows that the coefficients are dependent on the number of incorporated principal components.
- As each component explains additional variance the R^2 increases with the number of considered principal components.

	std_PC1	org_PC1	std_PC2	org_PC2	std_PC3	org_PC3
Intercept	NA	-7.746	NA	-9.130	NA	-10.128
DOPROD	0.487	0.074	0.481	0.073	-0.339	-0.051
STOCK	0.030	0.083	0.221	0.609	0.213	0.587
CONSUM	0.488	0.107	0.483	0.106	1.303	0.287

Constraints on the Regression Coefficients

Caution when using Principal Component Regression

- 1 Organizational Information
- 2 Multicollinearity
- 3 Principal Components
- 4 Principal Component Regression
- 5 Ridge Regression

