

Data analysis for the journal

Prepare and merge data

SSP grades

Removing the one person who is in progress

Check that all observation coincide and insert the values

Renaming questions

Tidying up

Replacing missing values with mean of column

Only 5 values

SA, GPRO final and MT grades

Load SA scores

Load MT and final scores

Load Peer Grade scores

Load Khan scores

Merge all data sources created above

SA and Midterm

Midterm and Khan and SSP

Making additional dataset with dropout status

Data cleaning

Removes all PDP students and all incomplete entries, resulting in only 77 students.

Deleting unnecessary columns

Normalizes all scores.

This is used for the ROC and cost analysis.


Training and test samples


This is used for the ROC and cost analysis. 75% of the sample size of 72 students.


Set the seed to make your partition reproducible


Data Analysis


```
## [1] 0.4259259
```


























































Analysis with linear models

Choosing with lasso (single questions and other predictors)

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: Exam ~ midterm + PeerCombinedScore + Q107 + Q82 + Q46
## Model 2: Exam ~ midterm + Q82
##   Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq    F    Pr(>F)
## 1      48 1.3363
## 2      51 1.9369 -3  -0.60065  7.1919 0.0004406 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```



Choosing with lasso (categories and other predictors)



```
## [1] 0.03768883
```

```
## [1] 0.1048714
```

Using best subset selection

Firstly for single questions in SSP

```
## [1] "midterm"
```

```
## [1] "midterm" "Q82"
```

```
## [1] "midterm" "Q46"      "Q82"
```

```
## [1] "midterm" "Q46"      "Q61"      "Q82"
```

```
## [1] "midterm" "Q46"      "Q61"      "Q82"      "Q107"
```

Fits a linear regression based on the single questions from above:

Secondly for the SSP categories:

```
## [1] "midterm"
```

```
## [1] "midterm"      "PeerCombinedScore"
```

```
## [1] "midterm"      "PeerCombinedScore" "HighSchoolTrust"
```

```
## [1] "midterm"      "PeerCombinedScore" "HighSchoolTrust"
```

```
## [4] "Selfcontrol"
```

```
## [1] "midterm"                "PeerCombinedScore"
## [3] "HighSchoolTrust"         "PersonalTraitComparison"
## [5] "Selfcontrol"
```

Fits a linear regression based on the categories from above:

Forwards and backwards selection

Questions - which are even significant

```
## Single term additions
##
## Model:
## Exam ~ 1
##
##      Df Sum of Sq  RSS    AIC Pr(>Chi)
## saRowAvg      1   0.40551 3.9953 -136.61 0.022327 *
## saNumComp      1   0.55154 3.8493 -138.62 0.007166 **
## midterm        1   2.18456 2.2162 -168.43 1.156e-09 ***
## KhanCount      1   0.43402 3.9668 -137.00 0.017889 *
## PeerSubmissionScore 1   0.79019 3.6106 -142.08 0.001079 **
## PeerFeedbackScore 1   0.43818 3.9626 -137.05 0.017320 *
## PeerCombinedScore 1   0.67523 3.7256 -140.38 0.002708 **
## Q2             1   0.56035 3.8405 -138.74 0.006689 **
## Q9             1   0.32292 4.0779 -135.50 0.042496 *
## Q25            1   0.35073 4.0501 -135.87 0.034197 *
## Q36            1   0.31477 4.0860 -135.40 0.045300 *
## Q43            1   0.52056 3.8802 -138.19 0.009126 **
## Q75            1   0.42485 3.9760 -136.87 0.019211 *
## Q82            1   1.10691 3.2939 -147.03 7.642e-05 ***
## Q99            1   0.47949 3.9213 -137.62 0.012564 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

## Single term additions
##
## Model:
## Exam ~ 1
##
##      Df Sum of Sq  RSS    AIC Pr(>Chi)
## saNumComp      1   0.55154 3.8493 -138.62 0.007166 **
## midterm        1   2.18456 2.2162 -168.43 1.156e-09 ***
## PeerSubmissionScore 1   0.79019 3.6106 -142.08 0.001079 **
## PeerCombinedScore 1   0.67523 3.7256 -140.38 0.002708 **
## Q2             1   0.56035 3.8405 -138.74 0.006689 **
## Q43            1   0.52056 3.8802 -138.19 0.009126 **
## Q82            1   1.10691 3.2939 -147.03 7.642e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Sig.level 0.05

Sig.level 0.01

Categories - which are even significant

```
## Single term additions
##
## Model:
```



```
## Exam ~ 1
##
##          Df Sum of Sq    RSS      AIC Pr(>Chi)
## saRowAvg      1   0.40551 3.9953 -136.61  0.022327 *
## saNumComp      1   0.55154 3.8493 -138.62  0.007166 **
## midterm        1   2.18456 2.2162 -168.43 1.156e-09 ***
## KhanCount       1   0.43402 3.9668 -137.00  0.017889 *
## PeerSubmissionScore 1   0.79019 3.6106 -142.08  0.001079 **
## PeerFeedbackScore  1   0.43818 3.9626 -137.05  0.017320 *
## PeerCombinedScore  1   0.67523 3.7256 -140.38  0.002708 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

## Single term additions
##
## Model:
## Exam ~ 1
##          Df Sum of Sq    RSS      AIC Pr(>Chi)
## saNumComp      1   0.55154 3.8493 -138.62  0.007166 **
## midterm        1   2.18456 2.2162 -168.43 1.156e-09 ***
## PeerSubmissionScore 1   0.79019 3.6106 -142.08  0.001079 **
## PeerCombinedScore  1   0.67523 3.7256 -140.38  0.002708 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```


Sig.level 0.05

Sig.level 0.01

Doing crossvalidation for all models

post.lasso.lselmfit1 and lmcatfit1 are the same model so only one

lmQ5 is stable in the bottom:



```
## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:45:46 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrr}
```

```

## \hline
## & df & AIC \\
## \hline
## null.model & 2.00 & 21.86 \\
## post.lasso.1se & 4.00 & -18.46 \\
## lmfit2 & 4.00 & -18.46 \\
## lmfit3 & 5.00 & -25.53 \\
## lmfit4 & 6.00 & -32.44 \\
## lmfit5 & 7.00 & -38.86 \\
## lmcatfit2 & 4.00 & -17.32 \\
## lmcatfit3 & 5.00 & -20.52 \\
## lmcatfit4 & 6.00 & -21.67 \\
## lmcatfit5 & 7.00 & -23.17 \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}

## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:45:46 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrr}
## \hline
## & df & AIC \\
## \hline
## null.model & 2.00 & 25.83 \\
## post.lasso.1se & 4.00 & -10.50 \\
## lmfit2 & 4.00 & -10.50 \\
## lmfit3 & 5.00 & -15.59 \\
## lmfit4 & 6.00 & -20.51 \\
## lmfit5 & 7.00 & -24.93 \\
## lmcatfit2 & 4.00 & -9.36 \\
## lmcatfit3 & 5.00 & -10.57 \\
## lmcatfit4 & 6.00 & -9.74 \\
## lmcatfit5 & 7.00 & -9.25 \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}


## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:45:46 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
## \hline
## & Estimate & Std. Error & t value & Pr(>|t|) \\
## \hline
## (Intercept) & -0.40 & 0.12 & -3.40 & 0.00 \\
## midterm & 0.68 & 0.10 & 7.03 & 0.00 \\
## Q46 & 0.26 & 0.09 & 3.04 & 0.00 \\
## Q61 & -0.20 & 0.06 & -3.27 & 0.00 \\
## Q82 & 0.67 & 0.15 & 4.51 & 0.00 \\
## Q107 & 0.19 & 0.07 & 2.85 & 0.01 \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}

```

```
## \end{table}
## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:45:46 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrr}
## \hline
## & 2.5 \% & 97.5 \% & \\
## \hline
## (Intercept) & -0.63 & -0.16 & \\
## midterm & 0.49 & 0.88 & \\
## Q46 & 0.09 & 0.43 & \\
## Q61 & -0.33 & -0.08 & \\
## Q82 & 0.37 & 0.97 & \\
## Q107 & 0.06 & 0.32 & \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}
```

Classification tree

Trying to predict passed failed with classification tree



```
##      Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
## 0.0000  0.2000   0.4667   0.5062  0.8167   1.0000
```

```


##      Min. 1st Qu.  Median      Mean 3rd Qu.      Max.
##
## numeric(0)
##      midterm  PeerFeedbackScore      Q82
##      5.0846561      2.9761905      2.1701940
##      PeerCombinedScore PeerSubmissionScore  KhanCount
##      1.7006803      0.8503401      0.8474427
##      Q3      Q35      Q81
##      0.8474427      0.8474427      0.8474427
##      Q77      Q42      Q56
##      0.4960317      0.4251701      0.4251701
##      saNumComp      Q10      Q11
##      0.4251701      0.3306878      0.3306878
##      Q14      Q43
##      0.3306878      0.3306878
##
## Call:
## rpart(formula = PassFail ~ ., data = Qdatapf)
##      n= 54
##
##      CP nsplit rel error      xerror      xstd
## 1 0.3850932      0 1.0000000 1.0879228 0.0470589
## 2 0.2254057      1 0.6149068 0.8485273 0.1540407
## 3 0.1001803      2 0.3895011 1.0173625 0.1815511
## 4 0.0100000      3 0.2893208 1.0637392 0.1987262
##
## Variable importance
##      midterm  PeerFeedbackScore      Q82
##      26      15      11
##      PeerCombinedScore PeerSubmissionScore  KhanCount
##      9      4      4
##      Q3      Q35      Q81
##      4      4      4
##      Q77      Q42      Q56
##      3      2      2
##      saNumComp      Q10      Q11
##      2      2      2
##      Q14      Q43
##      2      2
##
## Node number 1: 54 observations,      complexity param=0.3850932
##      mean=0.4259259, MSE=0.244513
##      left son=2 (42 obs) right son=3 (12 obs)
##      Primary splits:
##      midterm      < 0.7569994 to the left,      improve=0.3850932, (0 missing)
##      PeerFeedbackScore < 0.8968959 to the left,      improve=0.2695652, (0 missing)
##      Q43      < 0.7777778 to the left,      improve=0.2156655, (0 missing)
##      PeerCombinedScore < 0.8753333 to the left,      improve=0.2007401, (0 missing)
##      Q82      < 0.6111111 to the left,      improve=0.1808518, (0 missing)
##      Surrogate splits:
##      KhanCount < 0.7842105 to the left,      agree=0.815, adj=0.167, (0 split)
##      Q3      < 0.8571429 to the left,      agree=0.815, adj=0.167, (0 split)
##      Q35      < 0.2777778 to the right, agree=0.815, adj=0.167, (0 split)

```

```


##      Q81      < 0.8333333 to the left,  agree=0.815, adj=0.167, (0 split)
##      Q82      < 0.8333333 to the left,  agree=0.815, adj=0.167, (0 split)
##
## Node number 2: 42 observations,      complexity param=0.2254057
##   mean=0.2619048, MSE=0.1933107
##   left son=4 (35 obs) right son=5 (7 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##     PeerFeedbackScore < 0.8844334 to the left,  improve=0.3665689, (0 missing)
##     Q43                < 0.7777778 to the left,  improve=0.2573851, (0 missing)
##     Q82                < 0.6111111 to the left,  improve=0.2573851, (0 missing)
##     Q42                < 0.7777778 to the left,  improve=0.2311384, (0 missing)
##     Q56                < 0.5714286 to the right, improve=0.2137830, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##     PeerCombinedScore < 0.8569526 to the left,  agree=0.929, adj=0.571, (0 split)
##     PeerSubmissionScore < 0.910241 to the left,  agree=0.881, adj=0.286, (0 split)
##     saNumComp          < 0.9545455 to the left,  agree=0.857, adj=0.143, (0 split)
##     Q42                < 0.7777778 to the left,  agree=0.857, adj=0.143, (0 split)
##     Q56                < 0.3571429 to the right, agree=0.857, adj=0.143, (0 split)
##
## Node number 3: 12 observations
##   mean=1, MSE=0
##
## Node number 4: 35 observations,      complexity param=0.1001803
##   mean=0.1428571, MSE=0.122449
##   left son=8 (27 obs) right son=9 (8 obs)
##   Primary splits:
##     Q82 < 0.6111111 to the left,  improve=0.3086420, (0 missing)
##     Q56 < 0.5714286 to the right, improve=0.2571225, (0 missing)
##     Q59 < 0.4 to the right, improve=0.2571225, (0 missing)
##     Q86 < 0.4444444 to the right, improve=0.2160000, (0 missing)
##     Q88 < 0.25 to the right, improve=0.2160000, (0 missing)
##   Surrogate splits:
##     Q77 < 0.4444444 to the right, agree=0.857, adj=0.375, (0 split)
##     Q10 < 0.4166667 to the right, agree=0.829, adj=0.250, (0 split)
##     Q11 < 0.6111111 to the right, agree=0.829, adj=0.250, (0 split)
##     Q14 < 0.4444444 to the right, agree=0.829, adj=0.250, (0 split)
##     Q43 < 0.7777778 to the left,  agree=0.829, adj=0.250, (0 split)
##
## Node number 5: 7 observations
##   mean=0.8571429, MSE=0.122449
##
## Node number 8: 27 observations
##   mean=0.03703704, MSE=0.03566529
##
## Node number 9: 8 observations
##   mean=0.5, MSE=0.25

```




Predicting exam score excluding midterm

Trying to predict the exam score excluding midterm as predictor since it is part of the total score.



Choosing with lasso (single questions and other predictors):



```
## [1] 0.0407761
```

```
## [1] 0.1188645
```

Even though lasso does not choose them some seems to be significant anyway:

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
```

```
##
```

```
## Model 1: Exam ~ Q99 + Q82 + Q57 + Q56 + Q46 + Q43 + Q36 + Q25 + Q6 + Q2 +
```

```
##      PeerCombinedScore + PeerSubmissionScore + KhanCount + saRowAvg
```

```
## Model 2: Exam ~ Q82
```


```
##   Res.Df    RSS   Df Sum of Sq    F    Pr(>F)
```

```
## 1      39 1.3290
```


```
## 2      52 3.2939 -13   -1.9649 4.4354 0.0001448 ***
```

```
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Choosing with lasso (categories and other predictors)



```
## [1] 0.07597109
```

```
## [1] 0.1209675
```

Using best subset selection

Firstly single SSP questions

```
## [1] "Q82"
```

```
## [1] "Q56" "Q82"
```

```
## [1] "PeerSubmissionScore" "Q56" "Q82"
```

```
## [1] "Q46" "Q56" "Q82" "Q99"
```

```
## [1] "PeerCombinedScore" "Q46" "Q56"
```

```
## [4] "Q82" "Q99"
```

Fits a linear regression based on the single questions from above:

```
##          df      AIC
## lmfittotal1  3   8.211992
## lmfittotal2  4   1.982298
## lmfittotal3  5  -4.424863
## lmfittotal4  6 -11.323344
## lmfittotal5  7 -19.068621
```

Secondly SSP categories


```
## [1] "PeerSubmissionScore"
## [1] "saRowAvg"          "PeerSubmissionScore"
## [1] "saRowAvg"          "PeerSubmissionScore" "Selfcontrol"
## [1] "saRowAvg"          "PeerSubmissionScore" "HighSchoolTrust"
## [4] "Selfcontrol"
## [1] "saRowAvg"          "PeerSubmissionScore"
## [3] "HighSchoolTrust"   "PerceivedAcademicAbilities"
## [5] "Selfcontrol"
```

Fits a linear regression based on the categories from above:

Table

```
##          df      AIC
## lmcatfittotal1  3 13.169684
## lmcatfittotal2  4 12.039546
## lmcatfittotal3  5 10.301624
## lmcatfittotal4  6  8.535013
## lmcatfittotal5  7  8.418489
```

Crossvalidation



none seems to be a clear improvement to the null model:

```
## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:46:19 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrr}
## \hline
## & df & AIC \\
## \hline
## null.model & 2.00 & 21.86 \\
## post.lasso.1se.total & 3.00 & 8.21 \\
## lmfittotal1 & 3.00 & 8.21
```

```

##   lmfittotal2 & 4.00 & 1.98 \\
##   lmfittotal3 & 5.00 & -4.42 \\
##   lmfittotal4 & 6.00 & -11.32 \\
##   lmfittotal5 & 7.00 & -19.07 \\
##   lmcatfitttotal1 & 3.00 & 13.17 \\
##   lmcatfitttotal2 & 4.00 & 12.04 \\
##   lmcatfitttotal3 & 5.00 & 10.30 \\
##   lmcatfitttotal4 & 6.00 & 8.54 \\
##   lmcatfitttotal5 & 7.00 & 8.42 \\
##   \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}


##           df           AIC
## null.model      2 25.834734
## post.lasso.1se.total 3 14.178944
## lmfittotal1      3 14.178944
## lmfittotal2      4  9.938234
## lmfittotal3      5  5.520057
## lmfittotal4      6  0.610560
## lmfittotal5      7 -5.145732
## lmcatfitttotal1  3 19.136636
## lmcatfitttotal2  4 19.995482
## lmcatfitttotal3  5 20.246544
## lmcatfitttotal4  6 20.468917
## lmcatfitttotal5  7 22.341377

## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:46:19 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
##   \hline
##   & Estimate & Std. Error & t value & Pr(>|t|) \\
##   \hline
##   (Intercept) & -0.61 & 0.19 & -3.18 & 0.00 \\
##   PeerCombinedScore & 0.34 & 0.11 & 3.08 & 0.00 \\
##   Q46 & 0.34 & 0.10 & 3.33 & 0.00 \\
##   Q56 & -0.32 & 0.10 & -3.31 & 0.00 \\
##   Q82 & 0.95 & 0.17 & 5.73 & 0.00 \\
##   Q99 & 0.53 & 0.16 & 3.32 & 0.00 \\
##   \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}


## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:46:19 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrr}
##   \hline
##   & 2.5 \% & 97.5 \% \\
##   \hline
##   (Intercept) & -0.99 & -0.22 \\
##   PeerCombinedScore & 0.12 & 0.56 \\


```


```
## Q46 & 0.13 & 0.54 \\
## Q56 & -0.51 & -0.12 \\
## Q82 & 0.62 & 1.29 \\
## Q99 & 0.21 & 0.86 \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}
```





Normal Q-Q Plot






























```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Exam ~ factor(Q82), data = dfComplete)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.65104 -0.19238  0.04873  0.17830  0.39746
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      0.04687    0.25558   0.183  0.85524
## factor(Q82)0.333333333333333  0.22188    0.27997   0.792  0.43190
## factor(Q82)0.555555555555556  0.52441    0.25954   2.021  0.04881 *
## factor(Q82)0.666666666666667  0.63542    0.26601   2.389  0.02081 *
## factor(Q82)1          0.80859    0.28575   2.830  0.00673 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2556 on 49 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2727, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2133
## F-statistic: 4.593 on 4 and 49 DF,  p-value: 0.003143
```





Checking residuals for one model
















Histogram of res



Normal Q-Q Plot



Predicting dropouts

Trying to predict dropout based on exam results. Not many who have taken the exam has dropped out yet:

```
##
## active dropout      Q999
##      65          2      10
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = dropout ~ X1_T_mGPA, family = binomial, data = MedData)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1.9528  -1.0618   0.5672   0.9273   2.0954
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)  0.62142    0.08020   7.749 9.3e-15 ***
## X1_T_mGPA   -0.22490    0.01967 -11.435 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##      Null deviance: 1256.4  on 914  degrees of freedom
```



```
## Residual deviance: 1089.0  on 913  degrees of freedom
## (92 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: 1093
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

## % latex table generated in R 3.5.1 by xtable 1.8-3 package
## % Mon Nov 26 11:46:19 2018
## \begin{table}[ht]
## \centering
## \begin{tabular}{rrrr}
## \hline
## & active & dropout & Q999 \\
## \hline
## 0 & 40 & 0 & 1 \\
## 1 & 25 & 2 & 9 \\
## \hline
## \end{tabular}
## \end{table}
```

ROC, confusion matrix, and cost function

Analyses the best model without MT scores (i.e. `lmfittotal5`).

Prepare the data:



Confusion matrix:

```
##      obs
## pred 0 1
##      0 4 7
##      1 4 3
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "confusion.matrix"
```

Distribution of the predictions

Calculate ROC and cost function

Plot ROC and cost function



threshold at 0.70 – cost of FP = 1, cost of FN = 2

Area under the curve

[1] 0.575