## Theoretical Background

1. a is an unknown parameter. On  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , find out the region where the following equation is elliptic, hyperbolic, or parabolic, and study their dependence on a.

$$(a+x)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2xy\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} - y^2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

To classify a second order PDE of the form

$$a(x,y)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2b(x,y)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + c(x,y)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$$

we must consider  $b^2-ac$ . For the given equation this yeilds  $x^2y^2+(a+x)y^2$ . Thus the regions depend on the parameter a. When y=0 the equation is always parabolic. When  $a>\frac{1}{4}$  the equation is hyperbolic everywhere. When  $a=\frac{1}{4}$  the equations is parabolic at  $x=-\frac{1}{2}$  and hyperbolic elsewhere. When  $a<\frac{1}{4}$  then

$$\begin{cases} x = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4a}}{2} & \text{parabolic} \\ \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - 4a}}{2} < x < \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - 4a}}{2} & \text{elliptic} \\ otherwise} & \text{hyperbolic} \end{cases}$$

2. We prove the maximum principle for the solution to the Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Prove that there exists a constant C depending only on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| \le C \left( \max_{\partial \Omega} |g| + \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f| \right)$$

Here  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\Gamma$  being its boundary.  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial \Omega$  is its closure. Several hints:

(a) You could use the following property: assume v is subharmonic, i.e.  $-\Delta v \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then

$$v(x) \le \frac{1}{V} \int_{B(x,r)} v(y) \mathrm{d}y$$

Here B(x,r) is a ball centered at x with radius r, and V is the volume of the ball. Given this, you could prove the maximum of v is achieved at the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

(b) Try to show the function  $u + \frac{|x|^2}{2n}\lambda$  is subharmonic. Here  $\lambda = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f|$ .

Assume v is subharmonic, then

$$v(x) \le \frac{1}{V} \int_{B(x,r)} v(y) \mathrm{d}y$$

This implies that the maximum of v is achieved at the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

## Finite Differencing

3. (a) Prove  $\Delta_{-} + \Delta_{+} = \left(\mathcal{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \Delta_{0}$  and  $\Delta_{-}\Delta_{+} = \Delta_{0}^{2}$ . Here  $\mathcal{E}$  is the shifting operator,  $(\mathcal{E}u)_{j} = u_{j+1}$ , and the definitions for  $\Delta_{+}$  and  $\Delta_{-}$  are consistent with what we has in class.  $(\Delta_{0}u)_{j} = u_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

First consider the left-hand side of the first relation.

$$(\Delta_{-} + \Delta_{+}) u_i = u_i - u_{i-1} + u_{i+1} - u_i = u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}$$

Then, the right-hand side of the first relation.

$$\left(\mathcal{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \Delta_0 u_i = \left(\mathcal{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = u_i + u_{i+1} - u_{i-1} - u_i = u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}$$

Thus, the two operators are equal. Next, consider the left-hand side of the second relation.

$$\Delta_{-}\Delta_{+}u_{i} = \Delta_{-}(u_{i+1} - u_{i}) = u_{i+1} - u_{i} - u_{i} + u_{i-1} = u_{i+1} - 2u_{i} + u_{i-1}$$

Then, the right-had side of the second relation.

$$\Delta_0^2 u_i = \Delta_0 \left( u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = u_{i+1} - u_i - u_i + u_{i-1} = u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}$$

Thus, the two operators are equal.

(b) Determine the constants c and d so that

$$\partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left( \Delta_+^2 - \Delta_+^3 \right) u(x) = ch^2 \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$$
$$\partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \Delta_0^2 u(x) = dh^2 \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^4)$$

Here we assume u(x) is smooth enough.

First, we will determine c.

$$\begin{split} \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left( \Delta_+^2 - \Delta_+^3 \right) u(x) \\ &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ u(x+2h) - 2u(x+h) + u(x) - u(x+3h) + 3u(x+2h) - 3u(x+h) + u(x) \right] \\ &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ 2u(x) - 5u(x+h) + 4u(x+2h) - u(x+3h) \right] \\ &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ 2u(x) - 5 \left[ u(x) + h \partial_x u(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \partial_x^2 u(x) + \frac{h^3}{6} \partial_x^3 u(x) + \frac{h^4}{24} \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) \right] \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + 4 \left[ u(x) + 2h \partial_x u(x) + \frac{(2h)^2}{2} \partial_x^2 u(x) + \frac{(2h)^3}{6} \partial_x^3 u(x) + \frac{(2h)^4}{24} \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) \right] \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \left[ u(x) + 3h \partial_x u(x) + \frac{(3h)^2}{2} \partial_x^2 u(x) + \frac{(3h)^3}{6} \partial_x^3 u(x) + \frac{(3h)^4}{24} \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) \right] \right] \\ &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ h^2 \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{22}{24} h^4 \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) \right] = \frac{11}{12} h^2 \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^3) \end{split}$$

Thus,  $c = \frac{11}{12}$ . Next, determine d.

$$\begin{split} \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \Delta_0^2 u(x) &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h) \right] \\ &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ u(x) + h \partial_x u(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \partial_x^2 u(x) + \frac{h^3}{6} \partial_x^3 u(x) + \frac{h^4}{24} \partial_x^4 u(x) + \frac{h^5}{120} \partial_x^5 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^6) \right] \\ &- 2u(x) + u(x) - h \partial_x u(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{h^3}{6} \partial_x^3 u(x) + \frac{h^4}{24} \partial_x^4 u(x) - \frac{h^5}{120} \partial_x^5 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^6) \right] \\ &= \partial_x^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ h^2 \partial_x^2 u(x) + \frac{2}{24} h^4 \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^6) \right] = -\frac{1}{12} h^2 \partial_x^4 u(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \end{split}$$

Thus,  $d = -\frac{1}{12}$ .

(c) The two identities above tell you how to approximate  $\partial_x^2$  using forward differencing and central differencing. How many grid points do you need to get the second order approximation respectively using these two methods?

For forward differencing, you need 4 points to get a second-order approximation of  $\partial_x^2$ . Whereas, for central differencing, you only need 3 points to get a second-order approximation of  $\partial_x^2$ .

4. Write a computer code (using your favorite language) to determine, to highest possible order, a finite difference approximation to u''(x) based on the 5-point stencil  $\{x-h, x-\frac{1}{2}h, x, x+h, x+2h\}$ 

$$u''(x) \approx c_0 u(x-h) + c_1 u\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\right) + c_2 u(x) + c_3 u(x+h) + c_4 u(x+2h)$$

- (a) Compute  $c_j$ .
- (b) Check the order.

The linear system that must be solved is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & -\frac{1}{8} & 0 & 1 & 8 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{16} & 0 & 1 & 16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{2}{h^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution to this linear system is

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{32}{15} \\ -4 \\ \frac{5}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{15} \end{bmatrix}$$

This method is  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ .

## Finite difference method for an elliptic equation

- 5. We used Fourier method for stability analysis in 1D in class. Carry out the same analysis for 2D.
- 6. Derive the expldit formulae for Green's functions in 1D and prove they are piecewise linear function.
- 7. In 2D, to compute the Poisson equation, u'' = f with zero boundary condition on a rectangular domain, we discretize the domain by even grid points with mesh size h. Denote A the associated discretization matrix with central differencing (5-stencil). Show  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  is bounded independent of h. Explain why it suggests that the order of accuracy of the numerical method is second order. (Hint:
  - (a)  $\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = \sup \frac{\|A^{-1}v\|_{\infty}}{\|v\|_{\infty}}$ . (b) Fundeamental theorem for numerical convergence.)
- 8. Prove the discrete version of the Poincaré inequality,

$$\sum_{m,n} |U_{m,n}|^2 \le \sum_{m,n} |\partial_x U_{m,n}|^2$$

Here U is a matrix on 2D with zero boundary condition, and  $\partial_x U_{m,n}$  is the forward Euler representation of differentiation, definde by,  $\partial_x U_{m,n} = \frac{1}{h} (U_{m+1,n} - U_{m,n})$ .