



# US Chartbook

v0.0, October 14, 2020

Open source notes on US economic activity



## Notes

**Very early stage draft:**  
Contents not reliable



## Contact

**Brian Dew**

 [brian.w.dew@gmail.com](mailto:brian.w.dew@gmail.com)

 [@bd\\_econ](https://twitter.com/bd_econ)

 [bdecon/US-chartbook](https://github.com/bdecon/US-chartbook)

# Contents

## Overall Economic Activity

- Types of Activity
- Economic Growth
- Components of Growth

## Overall Financial Activity

- Sectoral Balances
- Borrowing and Liabilities
- Assets
- Wealth

## Households

- Demographics
- Income
- Spending and Saving
- Balance Sheet
- Poverty

## Businesses

- Industry Composition\*
- Production and Sales
- Investment
- Profit
- Balance Sheet

## Government

- Spending and Investment
- Revenue\*
- Balance Sheet

## External Sector

- Balance of Payments
- International Investment Position
- Trade
- Exchange Rates

## Labor Markets

- Employment
- Unemployment
- Participation
- Hours
- Wages
- Productivity
- Union Membership

## Capital Markets

- Equity Markets
- Interest Rates
- Money and Monetary Policy

## Prices

\* Forthcoming

# Overall Economic Activity

This analysis of the United States economy begins with the most popular measure of economic activity, **Gross Domestic Product (GDP)**. GDP estimates the production of goods and services in a given location during a given period of time. According to the Bureau of Economic Analysis, the seasonally-adjusted annualized value of goods and services produced in the US was \$19,520 billion in the second quarter of 2020, compared to an inflation-adjusted equivalent of \$21,721 billion in 2019 Q4 and \$9,843 billion in the first quarter of 1989.

The US population is growing by about sixth-tenths of a percent per year. GDP per capita (see —), adjusted for inflation to 2020 Q2 dollars, had increased to \$65,986 in 2019 Q4 from \$40,307 in 1989 Q1, and is currently \$59,170.

**GDP per capita**  
in 2020 Q2 dollars




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Types of Economic Activity

GDP calculated using the **expenditures approach** is the sum of major types of domestic spending: consumer spending, private investment, and government spending and investment. To capture only domestic production, foreign spending on US produced goods and services is added, while imports (spending on non-US-produced goods and services) are subtracted.

### Expenditure Types

per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Much of the increase in real GDP per capita over the past 30 years comes from consumer spending. Domestic consumer spending (see —) is equivalent to \$39,701 per person in 2020 Q2, a price-adjusted increase of \$13,542 since 1989. Gross private domestic investment (see —) is equivalent to \$9,484 per person in 2020 Q2, and government spending and investment (see —) totals \$11,638 per person. Net exports equivalent to \$1,653 per person are subtracted to reflect only domestic production (see —).

### Expenditure Types

per capita, annualized, 2020 Q2 dollars

	2020 Q2	2019 Q4	2000 Q1	1989 Q1
— Gross Domestic Product	\$59,170	65,986	51,832	41,662
— Consumer Spending	39,701	44,797	33,449	26,159
— Gross Private Domestic Investment	9,484	11,383	8,809	5,669
— Government Spending and Investment	11,638	11,555	10,718	10,468
— Net Exports	-1,653	-1,841	-1,292	-430
Exports	5,420	7,209	4,410	2,300
Less: Imports	7,073	8,968	5,690	2,668

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis


The less-discussed **income approach** calculates the level of overall economic activity from the sum of income payments from production and certain expenses incurred in production. Income from production is the payout to labor and capital. Income for labor is referred to as “compensation of employees, paid” in the national accounts and includes wages and salaries as well supplements to wages and salaries such as employer-paid health insurance premiums and retirement account contributions. Income received as profit is referred to as the “net operating surplus” in national accounts and includes interest payments, rental profits, business proprietor profits, and corporate profits.

Additionally some income does not get paid out to these groups. Taxes on imports and production, such as tariffs, sales tax, property tax, and licensing fees are tracked separately. If the government provides subsidies, which are income payments for production that did not occur, they must be subtracted from income measures of production. Lastly, a growing portion of potential income goes toward replacing and maintaining buildings and equipment used in production. This expense is recorded as “consumption of fixed capital” in the national accounts and referred to as depreciation below.

The Bureau of Economic Analysis [report](#) seasonally-adjusted and annualized **Gross Domestic Income** (GDI) of \$19,464 billion in 2020 Q2, compared to an inflation-adjusted equivalent of \$21,695 billion in 2019 Q4. Real GDI per capita was \$59,001 in 2020 Q2 and \$65,906 in 2019 Q4.

### Income Types

*per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Gross labor income per capita is equivalent to \$33,007 in 2020 Q2 (see —) and \$35,128 in 2019 Q4, on an annualized, seasonally-adjusted, and inflation-adjusted basis. Profits per person total \$14,344 in 2020 Q2 (see —) and \$15,902 in 2019 Q4, following the same adjustments. Indirect taxes less subsidies per capita total \$937 in 2020 Q2 (see —) and \$4,334 in 2019 Q4. Lastly, depreciation per capita is \$10,714 in 2020 Q2 (see —) and \$10,542 in 2019 Q4.

### Income Types

*per capita, annualized, 2020 Q2 dollars*


	2020 Q2	2019 Q4	2000 Q1	1989 Q1
Gross Domestic Income	\$59,001	65,906	52,750	41,529
— Labor	33,007	35,128	29,828	23,284
Wages and Salaries	26,834	28,628	24,658	19,215
Supplements	6,173	6,500	5,170	4,069
— Profit	14,344	15,902	11,872	9,313
— Indirect Taxes	937	4,334	3,386	2,745
Taxes on Production and Imports	4,231	4,581	3,620	2,956
Less: Subsidies	3,294	246	234	211
— Depreciation	10,714	10,542	7,664	6,187

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

The **production approach** to GDP identifies how individual industries contribute to domestic production by calculating the **value added** by each industry during the production process. The value added by an industry or sector group is its sales or gross output minus any **intermediate inputs** used in production. The Bureau of Economic Analysis [report](#) GDP by industry, which is summarized briefly in this subsection by grouping the various private industries into broad categories.

The first category combines private goods producing industries: agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting (0.7 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2); mining (0.7 percent of GDP); construction (4.4 percent); and manufacturing (10.5 percent), with trade, transportation, and utilities (TTU, combined 15.7 percent of GDP). The second category is finance, insurance, and real estate (FIRE, 23.4 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2) combined with the information industry (5.7 percent of GDP), labeled as FIRE+.

The remaining private services-providing industries include: professional and business services (12.9 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2); education, health care, and social services (8.3 percent of GDP); arts, entertainment, and recreation (2.4 percent). Separately, public-sector value added in production, at the federal, state, and local levels, is captured by the government category (13.3 percent of GDP).



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

In 2020 Q2, private goods producing industries and trade, transportation, and utilities combined value added per person is \$18,956, on an annualized basis, compared to \$21,431 in 2019 Q4 (see —). Private finance, insurance, real estate, and information industry services combined value added per person is \$17,219 in 2020 Q2 and \$17,625 in 2019 Q4 (see —).

All other private services-producing industries combined value added per person is \$15,131 in 2020 Q2 and \$18,597 in 2019 Q4 (see —). Government value added is \$7,865 per person in 2020 Q2 and \$8,301 in 2019 Q4 (see —).

**Production Types**  
per capita, annualized, 2020 Q2 dollars

	2020 Q2	2020 Q1	2019 Q4	2005 Q1	1997 (A)
— Goods and TTU	\$18,956	21,229	21,431	20,060	17,121
Manufacturing	6,225	6,985	7,108	6,625	5,454
Construction	2,588	2,798	2,797	3,734	3,506
Retail Trade	3,341	3,672	3,743	3,537	2,786
— FIRE+	17,219	17,399	17,625	13,566	10,536
— Other Services	15,131	18,173	18,597	14,885	12,603
Education & Healthcare	4,909	5,757	5,864	4,502	3,871
Professional & Business	7,654	8,373	8,422	6,117	4,968
Information	3,382	3,445	3,475	1,806	1,137
— Government	7,865	8,240	8,301	8,614	8,421

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis




## Household inputs to production

It's useful to consider household inputs when analyzing economic output. For example, is the population growing? Are more people working? Are people working more hours? Is the economy more productive in its use of labor? These questions all add import context to discussion of aggregate output.

The US population is increasing (see —), though at a slower rate than in the past. Over the past 30 years, the US has added 80 million people. This means the amount of goods and services produced needed to increase by 30 percent over the period to maintain a constant standard of living. Employment of any amount (see —) comes from around half of the population during the peak of an economic expansion as a tighter labor market and higher wages pull people into employment, and falls during a recession as people lose jobs. Hours worked per worker per week (see —) are also influenced by economic conditions. During an economic expansion, more hours of work are available to those who want them. During a recession and when demand for goods and services is relatively low, hours of work are cut.

### Population


millions of people



Source: BEA

### Employment Rate

share of population



Source: CPS microdata

### Hours Worked

average per worker per week




Source: CPS microdata

By multiplying the population, employment rate, and average hours worked, we approximate the aggregate hours worked in the US. We can then divide real GDP by aggregate hours worked to estimate GDP per hour of work, or productivity (see —). During a recession, economic theory suggests that productivity increases as less-productive workers disproportionately lose jobs: less-productive firms close and existing firms lay off less-productive workers.

In 2020 Q2, real GDP was equivalent to roughly \$72.72 per hour of work, compared to \$68.71 in 2020 Q1, \$68.60 in 2019 Q4, \$66.53 in 2015 Q4, and \$44.26 in the first quarter of 1989. Comparing the latest data to the pre-COVID data covering 2019 Q4, annualized real GDP is \$19,520 billion in the latest data and \$21,722 billion in 2019 Q4. Aggregate hours worked total 268 billion in the latest quarter and 317 billion in 2019 Q4.

### GDP per hour of work

2020 Q2 dollars



Source: Author's calculations



## Economic Growth

Economists are concerned with changes in production. Decreased production can result in material hardship for ordinary people. This chartbook subsection covers changes in production measured as the rate of growth of overall economic activity, and also describes how the major categories of activities discussed in the previous sector contribute to overall growth.

GDP (see ■) decreased at an annual rate of 31.4 percent during the second quarter of 2020, compared to a decrease of 5.0 percent in the first quarter of 2020, and an increase of 2.4 percent in 2019 Q4. This annualized rate of quarterly growth averaged 2.5 percent over the three years from 2017–2019. Over the most recent three years, which include the COVID-19 pandemic, real GDP growth averaged -0.7 percent.

### Real Gross Domestic Product Growth

*quarterly growth at seasonally adjusted annual rate, percent*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



### Notes on economic growth

GDP is not a tool for describing how goods and services are distributed in society. GDP is an aggregate measure, the sum of individual activities. As a result, the experiences of individuals can [differ](#) drastically from the overall outcome. For example, GDP can increase while the vast majority of people receive no additional goods or services.

Additionally, production (GDP) isn't a measure of inputs, it's a measure of outputs. In fact, production can increase without an increase in inputs, a situation economists call productivity growth. This is an important consideration given the aging US population and serious concerns over environmental impacts of increased production.

Also note that real (price-adjusted) GDP incorporates changes in quality. This means that real GDP can increase even if the quantity produced does not change. Distribution, productivity, and prices are discussed in later sections.

### A note on annualized rates

US statistical agencies traditionally report annualized data. For example, the level of GDP in a given quarter is reported as the production that would occur over an entire year at the rate of production in the quarter. When this concept is applied to quarterly growth rates, as in the previous chart, it indicates what the quarterly change in production would be if it were sustained for an entire year.

Because annual rates amplify short-term changes in production, some analysis has instead used quarterly rates when discussing changes in production during the COVID-19 pandemic. It's also worth noting that many other countries' statistical agencies report quarterly—not annualized—growth rates. However, to be consistent with reported data, the chartbook will generally use annualized rates.




## Components of Growth

The **expenditure approach** also gives insight into the sources of changes in overall economic activity. In the second quarter of 2020, consumer spending (see ■) subtracted 24.01 percentage points from overall real GDP growth. Private domestic investment (see ■) subtracted 8.77 percentage points from real GDP growth, government spending and investment (see ■) contributed 0.77 percentage point, and net exports (see ■) contributed 0.62 percentage point.

### Real GDP Growth by Expenditure Type

percentage point contribution to GDP growth




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

The **income approach** enables decomposing annualized production growth into gross labor income (see ■), profit (see ■), indirect taxes less subsidies (see ■), and depreciation (see ■).

In the second quarter of 2020, gross domestic income decreased at an annual rate of 33.5 percent, following a decrease of 2.5 percent in 2020 Q1 and an increase of 3.3 percent in 2019 Q4. In the latest quarter, labor income subtracted 11.79 percentage points from overall growth, following a contribution of 1.11 percentage points in 2020 Q1. Profit income subtracted 4.21 percentage points in the second quarter of 2020 and 4.38 percentage points in 2020 Q1. Changes in indirect tax revenue and surpluses subtracted 18.24 percentage points from aggregate income growth in the latest quarter and contributed 0.37 percentage point in 2020 Q1.

### Real Gross Domestic Income Growth

percentage point contribution to GDI growth



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis




The **production approach** calculates GDP as the sum of value added–gross output minus intermediate inputs–in each sector. The broad groupings discussed above are used to identify contributions from: goods-producing sectors combined with trade, transportation, and utilities (see ■), finance, insurance, and real estate plus information (see ■), other service-providing sectors (see ■), and government (see ■).

In 2020 Q2, the combined contribution to GDP growth from private goods-producing industries and trade, transportation, and utilities is -12.4 percentage points, following a subtraction of 1.1 percentage points in 2020 Q1, and compared to a contribution of 0.5 percentage point in 2019 Q4. The group of private service-providing industries that include finance, insurance, real estate, as well as the information industry subtracted 0.5 percentage point in 2020 Q2, subtracted 1.3 percentage points in 2020 Q1, and contributed 1.1 percentage points in 2019 Q4.

Other private services-providing industries, which are wide-ranging and described above, subtracted 16.5 percentage points from real GDP growth in 2020 Q1, following a subtraction of 2.4 percentage points in 2020 Q1, and compared to a contribution of 0.5 percentage point in 2019 Q4. Combined federal, state, and local government subtracted 1.9 percentage points in 2020 Q2, subtracted 0.3 percentage point the prior quarter, and contributed 0.3 percentage point in 2019 Q4, prior to the pandemic.

### Real GDP Growth by Industry Group

percentage point contribution to GDP growth




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Changes to GDP can also be assigned to changes in **household inputs**: population (see ■), employment rates (see ■), average hours worked (see ■), and total economy productivity (see ■).

In 2020 Q2, population growth contributed 0.31 percentage point to annualized GDP growth, and, for comparison, added 0.57 percentage point in 2019 Q4. Changes in the employed share of the population subtracted 49.33 percentage points in the latest quarter, and added 1.61 percentage points in the fourth quarter of 2019. Changes in average hours worked subtracted 4.71 percentage points from GDP growth in the latest quarter and added 0.38 percentage point in 2019 Q4. Lastly, productivity contributed 22.35 percentage points to GDP growth in 2020 Q2, compared to a reduction of 0.19 percentage point in 2019 Q4.

**Real GDP Growth by Household Inputs**

*percentage point contribution to GDP growth*



Source: Author's Calculations



## Components of Economic Growth

annualized percentage point contribution to real GDP/GDI growth

moving averages

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	3- year	10- year	30- year
<span style="color: red;">■</span> <b>Gross Domestic Product</b>	-31.4	-5.0	2.4	2.6	1.5	-0.7	1.3	2.1
<span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Consumer Spending	-24.01	-4.75	1.07	1.83	2.47	-0.78	0.88	1.53
Durable Goods	0.00	-0.93	0.22	0.44	0.85	0.29	0.40	0.41
Non-durable Goods	-2.05	0.97	-0.10	0.43	0.71	0.25	0.27	0.31
Services	-21.95	-4.78	0.96	0.96	0.90	-1.32	0.21	0.81
<span style="color: blue;">■</span> Gross Investment	-8.77	-1.56	-0.64	0.34	-1.04	-0.31	0.54	0.52
Non-residential	-3.67	-0.91	-0.04	0.25	0.01	0.10	0.50	0.49
Residential	-1.60	0.68	0.22	0.17	-0.08	-0.08	0.12	0.03
Change in inventories	-3.50	-1.34	-0.82	-0.09	-0.97	-0.33	-0.08	-0.00
<span style="color: lightblue;">■</span> Government	0.77	0.22	0.42	0.37	0.86	0.38	0.02	0.22
Federal	1.17	0.10	0.26	0.31	0.58	0.28	0.00	0.07
State and Local	-0.40	0.12	0.16	0.06	0.28	0.09	0.02	0.15
<span style="color: green;">■</span> Net Exports	0.62	1.13	1.52	0.04	-0.79	0.05	-0.12	-0.13
Exports	-9.51	-1.12	0.39	0.10	-0.54	-0.64	0.11	0.39
Imports	10.13	2.25	1.13	-0.06	-0.25	0.70	-0.24	-0.52
<span style="color: purple;">■</span> Goods and TTU	-12.45	-1.09	0.49	1.08	0.02	-0.37	0.40	0.77
Manufacturing	-4.10	-0.70	0.00	0.53	0.04	-0.14	0.04	0.29
Construction	-1.12	0.02	0.00	0.00	-0.12	-0.06	0.04	-0.02
Retail Trade	-1.75	-0.39	0.14	0.21	-0.04	-0.04	0.08	0.17
<span style="color: red;">■</span> FIRE+	-0.53	-1.27	1.12	0.28	0.67	0.44	0.59	0.71
<span style="color: blue;">■</span> Other Services	-16.47	-2.36	0.47	1.14	0.42	-0.69	0.28	0.47
Education & Healthcare	-4.54	-0.59	0.19	0.17	0.12	-0.23	0.06	0.15
Professional & Business	-3.84	-0.24	0.39	0.63	0.31	0.18	0.34	0.30
Information	-0.29	-0.15	0.38	0.37	0.53	0.28	0.27	0.24
<span style="color: orange;">■</span> Government	-1.93	-0.30	0.34	0.14	0.44	-0.04	-0.02	0.09
<span style="color: lime;">■</span> Population	0.31	0.40	0.57	0.57	0.43	0.51	0.65	0.95
<span style="color: green;">■</span> Employment Rate	-49.33	-2.73	1.61	1.95	-0.30	-3.22	-0.59	-0.37
<span style="color: blue;">■</span> Average Hours	-4.71	-3.23	0.38	0.76	0.49	-0.20	0.06	-0.04
<span style="color: lightblue;">■</span> Productivity	22.35	0.61	-0.19	-0.72	0.87	2.26	1.20	1.61
<b>Gross Domestic Income</b>	-33.5	-2.5	3.3	0.8	1.2	-0.9	1.4	2.2
<span style="color: magenta;">■</span> Labor	-11.79	1.11	1.59	0.13	-0.31	0.33	0.94	1.16
<span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Profit	-4.21	-4.38	1.30	0.28	0.95	-0.36	0.42	0.62
<span style="color: teal;">■</span> Depreciation	0.70	0.37	0.34	0.51	0.40	0.44	0.36	0.41
<span style="color: purple;">■</span> Indirect Taxes	-18.24	0.37	0.07	-0.09	0.15	-1.26	-0.30	0.01

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis and Author's Calculations

## Real GDP Growth by State

percentage point change in real GDP



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

\*For the year ending 2020 Q2, no states had real GDP growth of more than five percent, no states had real GDP growth between two and five percent, no states had less than two percent GDP growth, and 51 states had negative GDP growth.

## Real GDP Growth by State

quarterly growth at seasonally adjusted annualized rate

total growth, 2020 Q2

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	1-year*	3-year	10-year
<b>United States</b>	-31.4	-5.0	2.4	2.6	1.5	-9.0	-3.8	12.2
<b>Pacific</b>	-30.9	-4.1	5.8	2.3	4.1	-8.0	-0.1	25.6
Washington	-25.5	-2.6	2.9	5.4	2.8	-5.8	7.1	36.6
California	-31.5	-4.3	6.6	1.6	4.9	-8.2	-0.8	25.7
Oregon	-31.9	-4.0	4.9	3.4	0.6	-8.2	-0.1	21.2
Hawaii	-42.2	-8.9	2.7	1.5	-1.0	-13.9	-12.5	0.9
Alaska	-33.8	-6.0	-0.5	3.6	-0.1	-10.5	-10.1	-10.8
<b>Mountain</b>	-29.0	-3.3	3.4	5.5	2.6	-7.0	1.0	18.2
Utah	-22.4	-3.9	4.0	6.7	-1.3	-4.6	5.8	30.2
Colorado	-28.1	-1.3	2.5	6.0	3.9	-6.3	2.6	26.5
Arizona	-25.3	-3.2	4.9	4.7	3.0	-5.6	1.7	20.1
Idaho	-32.4	-1.9	5.6	4.7	3.3	-7.5	2.9	19.4
Montana	-30.8	-4.8	3.5	6.0	1.8	-7.8	-3.0	8.5
Nevada	-42.2	-4.9	2.7	4.7	1.8	-12.3	-5.8	5.9
New Mexico	-28.3	-4.7	1.7	6.7	5.8	-7.2	-0.4	5.3
Wyoming	-32.5	-10.5	-0.2	4.2	-1.4	-11.0	-7.0	-11.8
<b>West South Central</b>	-29.4	-6.8	0.6	4.3	0.2	-8.8	-2.6	17.1
Texas	-29.0	-6.2	0.7	4.8	0.2	-8.4	-1.5	24.4
Oklahoma	-31.1	-7.3	-2.6	1.6	-0.8	-10.9	-5.6	12.7
Arkansas	-27.9	-4.0	1.9	1.8	1.5	-7.9	-5.9	5.7

continued on next page . . .

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	1-year*	3-year	10-year
continued from previous page . . .								
Louisiana	-31.4	-11.9	1.4	4.3	-0.3	-10.6	-6.4	-13.4
<b>South Atlantic</b>	-28.7	-4.5	2.6	2.9	1.1	-7.9	-2.9	11.0
Georgia	-27.7	-4.0	1.6	2.4	1.2	-7.8	-2.2	17.9
Florida	-30.1	-4.3	3.4	3.0	1.0	-8.1	-1.4	16.2
South Carolina	-32.6	-8.2	2.7	4.7	-1.4	-9.7	-4.4	14.5
North Carolina	-30.5	-3.5	2.7	2.9	2.1	-8.2	-3.7	8.7
District of Columbia	-20.4	-1.2	2.7	1.7	1.6	-4.8	-1.0	8.0
Maryland	-27.7	-3.6	2.8	1.8	1.8	-7.6	-5.2	6.6
Virginia	-27.0	-5.0	2.7	4.5	0.9	-7.1	-2.9	4.4
West Virginia	-29.6	-6.7	-4.9	0.1	-0.3	-11.1	-7.9	-3.3
Delaware	-21.9	-11.4	1.7	-0.4	0.3	-8.5	-5.8	-3.6
<b>West North Central</b>	-30.6	-4.5	1.8	2.6	0.8	-8.8	-5.9	7.4
North Dakota	-27.6	-1.6	-0.2	-0.8	1.6	-8.4	-2.9	35.8
Nebraska	-31.0	-3.4	4.1	5.8	4.6	-7.5	-4.3	12.7
South Dakota	-28.8	-2.4	2.4	4.5	2.3	-7.1	-6.2	11.1
Kansas	-30.3	-3.5	2.4	0.9	1.4	-8.7	-5.5	10.6
Minnesota	-31.3	-6.8	2.4	2.7	0.6	-9.4	-6.5	10.3
Iowa	-28.2	-2.0	-1.3	2.4	0.6	-8.2	-6.3	7.4
Missouri	-32.1	-5.1	1.8	2.7	-1.2	-9.4	-6.2	-3.4
<b>East North Central</b>	-32.8	-6.6	1.1	2.6	-0.3	-10.2	-6.8	5.1
Ohio	-33.0	-5.6	1.5	2.8	-0.1	-9.9	-6.3	8.0
Michigan	-37.6	-7.9	0.9	3.4	0.3	-12.0	-9.2	6.8
Wisconsin	-32.6	-8.8	2.9	0.2	0.8	-10.8	-6.1	5.3
Indiana	-33.0	-5.2	1.9	3.3	1.6	-9.6	-5.3	5.0
Illinois	-29.7	-6.3	-0.2	2.8	-1.9	-9.3	-6.7	2.0
<b>New England</b>	-32.3	-4.8	1.0	1.6	-0.1	-9.8	-5.3	3.4
Massachusetts	-31.6	-4.3	0.4	2.3	1.3	-9.5	-3.0	12.6
New Hampshire	-36.9	-2.2	-0.5	0.3	-2.8	-11.4	-7.0	4.8
Maine	-34.4	-6.5	3.4	4.6	-0.4	-9.8	-5.5	-1.8
Vermont	-38.2	-5.8	0.9	1.5	-2.8	-12.1	-10.7	-5.4
Rhode Island	-32.4	-5.2	2.3	-2.4	-1.6	-10.6	-7.8	-5.5
Connecticut	-31.1	-6.0	1.9	0.6	-1.1	-9.8	-8.1	-8.5
<b>East South Central</b>	-35.6	-4.0	1.2	2.7	1.1	-10.4	-7.2	3.1
Tennessee	-40.4	-3.9	-0.1	2.5	1.8	-12.5	-8.3	8.1
Alabama	-29.6	-3.2	1.3	2.8	0.4	-8.2	-4.9	2.2
Kentucky	-34.5	-5.0	2.3	2.7	1.0	-10.1	-7.2	2.0
Mississippi	-32.9	-3.7	2.9	3.3	0.5	-9.0	-8.4	-6.7
<b>Middle Atlantic</b>	-35.6	-5.5	1.4	0.9	1.8	-11.2	-7.0	2.7
Pennsylvania	-34.0	-5.8	1.4	2.5	1.7	-10.3	-6.0	6.2
New York	-36.3	-6.2	1.3	-0.5	2.4	-11.9	-7.5	3.7
New Jersey	-35.6	-3.3	1.5	2.5	0.5	-10.3	-7.0	-3.8

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

# Financial Accounts

The Federal Reserve [report](#) transactions and levels of financial assets and liabilities in the US **financial accounts**. This includes balance sheets for households, businesses, and governments. Sector-specific data are covered in the section of the chartbook that corresponds to the sector and overall financial activities of the US are discussed in this section.

## Sectoral Balances

A high-level overview of US financial activities can be provided by dividing the world into three sectors: the US private sector (see ■), the US government (see ■), and the rest of the world (see ■), then examining the net lending and borrowing between the groups, which must sum to zero at an aggregate level. That is, if one sector is running a deficit, another sector must be running a surplus.

A sector runs a surplus in a given accounting period when its aggregate income is higher than its outlays. When this occurs the sector becomes a lender of the savings created by having more income than expenses. Likewise, if a sector spends more than it takes in, it borrows the difference. Therefore, when the government sector runs a deficit and is a net borrower, it is creating a surplus for other sectors because it is taking in fewer payments through taxes than it is making through spending.

### Sectoral Financial Balance

*net lending (+) or borrowing (-), NIPA basis, by sector, as share of GDP*




In 2020 Q2, the US private sector was a net lender (running a surplus) of the equivalent of 24.6 percent of GDP, far above the 2.6 percent surplus in 2015 Q1. The rest of the world was a net lender to the US to the equivalent of 2.9 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2, compared to 2.4 percent in 2015 Q1. Balancing these transactions, the government (federal, state, and local combined) was a net borrower (running a deficit) of the equivalent of 27.5 percent of GDP, compared to 5.0 percent in 2015.

Within the private sector, households were net lenders of the equivalent of 23.3 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2 (see ■), while the net financial balance of private businesses—corporate and noncorporate—was equivalent to 1.2 percent of GDP (see ■).

### Domestic Private Sector Financial Balance

*net lending (+) or borrowing (-), NIPA basis, by sector, as share of GDP*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



## Liabilities

Calculating the contribution of different sectors to the **total change in borrowing** can highlight risks to economic activity. For example, the tech bubble of the late 1990s and early 2000s shows up as a large increase in corporate borrowing. The housing bubble from the 1990s to 2007 shows up as an increase in household borrowing. Government borrowing increased following the collapse of the housing bubble, in an effort to compensate for the massive fall in wage income. Keep in mind, however, that the vast majority of liabilities in the domestic economy are to other domestic parties.

Total domestic liabilities increased nine percent over the year ending 2020 Q2, after adjusting for inflation. Federal government borrowing contributed 5.2 percentage points to the total (see ■), while the state and local government contributed 0.2 percentage point (see ■). Households and nonprofits contributed 0.4 percentage point over this three year period (see ■), corporate businesses contributed 2.5 percentage points (see ■), and non-corporate businesses contributed 0.7 percentage point (see ■).

### Real Debt Growth

contribution to one-year percent change in liabilities, adjusted by PCE price deflator



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis



### Real Debt Growth

contribution to one-year real growth

moving averages

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	3- year	10- year	30- year
Total	8.97	3.11	5.36	3.99	4.39	3.91	3.42	3.96
Corporate Business	2.50	0.63	3.99	1.94	2.65	1.89	1.66	1.36
Debt Securities	0.70	0.28	0.20	0.26	0.20	0.22	0.34	0.32
Loans	0.58	0.59	0.18	0.22	0.23	0.35	0.07	0.08
Non-corporate Business	0.73	0.43	0.34	0.53	0.47	0.53	0.30	0.40
Commercial Mortgages	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.06
Household & Nonprofit	0.41	0.37	0.32	0.29	0.30	0.31	-0.07	0.85
Home Mortgages	0.29	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	-0.28	0.58
Consumer Credit	0.02	0.10	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.19
State & Local Government	0.18	0.45	-0.39	0.13	0.00	-0.04	0.16	0.40
Federal Government	5.15	1.24	1.09	1.10	0.97	1.22	1.38	0.95

Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis





## Wealth

**Total US wealth** is the tangible assets of all non-corporate sectors of the US, plus the market value of domestic corporate equities, less US financial obligations to the rest of the world. The ratio of US total wealth, excluding public lands, to GDP increased to 5.26 in 2020 Q2 from 3.65 in 1989 Q1. The market value of corporate equities (see ■) increased to a 2.23 multiple of GDP in 2020 Q2 from 0.56 in 1989 Q1. The market value of residential real estate (see ■) increased to 1.77 times GDP from 1.33 in 1989. The other category (see ■), which includes tangible assets other than residential real estate less US financial obligations to the rest of the world, decreased to 1.26 from 1.76 in 1989.

### Total US Wealth to GDP Ratio

*total US wealth divided by GDP*



Source: Federal Reserve



## Investment

**Gross private fixed investment**, as measured in the national accounts, includes residential fixed investment, such as the construction and improvement of houses, apartment buildings, and other residential property (see ■), but does not include spending on durable goods, such as automobiles, appliances, or furniture. Non-residential private fixed investment includes the construction and improvement of offices, warehouses, factories, and other commercial and industrial property (see ■), as well as purchases of equipment, software, and intellectual property products. Additionally, the change in private inventories (see ■) at the end of the accounting period, whether intentional or unintentional, affects GDP growth in the period. Inventory investment is grouped in the national accounts with gross private investment, but is not fixed investment.

In the second quarter of 2020, private fixed investment, which does not include inventory investment, totals \$3.4 trillion, equivalent to 17.6 percent of GDP. Non-residential (business) fixed investment totals \$2.6 trillion, or 13.6 percent of GDP, while residential fixed investment totals \$780.2 billion (4.0 percent of GDP). During the quarter, private fixed investment subtracted 5.27 percentage points from real GDP growth. Non-residential fixed investment subtracted 3.67 percentage points, while residential fixed investment subtracted 1.60 percentage points. The change in private inventories subtracted 3.50 percentage points.

### Private Fixed Investment

*percentage point contribution to real GDP growth*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



# Households

This section covers the household sector of the economy, including demographics, personal income and outlays, residential investment, household balance sheets, home ownership, housing, and poverty.

## Demographics and Household Formation

The **total US population** is 329 million. The Census divides the population into those living in households (about 97 percent of the total) and those living in **group quarters**, such as prisons (1.5 million people), jails (750,000 people, of which 480,000 are pre-trial), nursing homes (1.3 million people), barracks (around 300,000), dormitories (around 2.6 million), group homes (300,000), and shelters (200,000). The numbers for group quarters populations are likely low estimates, as they are derived from older sources than the population estimate. The 2020 Census will provide detailed information on the exact share of each population in each category of living arrangement.

Among those living in **households**, roughly 74 million are children under the age of 18, 198 million are age 18 to 64, and 53 million are age 65 or older. These numbers and the group quarters populations do not sum to the total population because of differences in sources as well as some overlap between the two categories, particularly for those in school dormitories.


## Household Formation

Household formation measures the change in occupied housing units, and should keep pace with population growth, all else equal. During the housing bubble, the homeownership rate increased and household formation exceeded population growth. Following the collapse of the housing bubble, household formation was often below population growth and home ownership decreased as foreclosures converted homeowners into renters.

As of 2020 Q2, there are 126.8 million total occupied housing units in the US, of which 40.8 million (32.1 percent) are rented, and 86.0 million (67.9 percent) are owner-occupied. There was an average annual net total increase of 2.3 million housing units over the year ending 2020 Q2, the result of 924,000 net fewer renter households and 3.2 million net new owner-occupied households. Over the year ending 2020 Q2, the total number of occupied housing units increased by 1.9 percent, compared to an increase of 1.2 percent in 2020 Q1. Owner-occupied units contributed 2.6 percent to total household formation on average over the year (see ■), compared to a reduction of 0.8 percent from rented units (see ■).

### Household Formation by Type

one-year moving average of annual growth rates, percent



Source: Census Bureau, Housing Vacancies and Homeownership



## Headship Rate

Individual decisions about starting a household or living with family are influenced by economic conditions. The ratio of households to people age 16 or older is referred to as the [aggregate headship](#) rate. This measure fell substantially following the collapse of the housing bubble and dropped rapidly during the COVID-19 pandemic as people moved in with family. The headship rate reached a low of 49.15 percent during May 2020, and is currently 49.86 percent, as of September 2020. In February 2020, the headship rate was 50.04 percent.

### Aggregate Headship Rate

persons age 16 or older  
seasonally adjusted



Source: Author's calculations



## Age

In discussions on demographics, **aging** is often described as a serious headwind to economic growth in major advanced economies. The increased share of many countries' population that is of retirement age means a smaller share are working and borrowing and a larger share are receiving pension benefits and lending to the financial system. These trends can be overcome by a workforce that is more efficiently able to provide goods and services. In part due to a shorter life-expectancy in the US, this problem is more pronounced in Japan and western Europe, but is still an important issue for the US.

### Age

share of population, percent



Source: Author's calculations from CPS

The CPS civilian non-institutionalized population is 325 million in the year ending September 2020, with growth of 0.3 percent over the past year, though other Census population growth estimates are around 0.6 percent. By age, 22.6 percent are under the age of 18 and 16.7 percent are age 65 or older. In 1989, the US population was 244 million, with 26.3 percent under 18 and 12.0 percent 65 or older. The pre-retirement age (45-64) share of the population has increased to 25.3 percent in the year ending September 2020 from 18.9 percent in 1989.

Mapping American Community Survey data to commuter zones gives insight on the age of the population in local labor markets. In 2019, among commuter zones with a population of at least 100,000, the commuter zone (listed by largest city) with the highest share of its population under 18 is Provo, UT (32.6 percent), followed by Laredo, TX (31.9 percent), and Brownsville, TX (31.1 percent). The commuter zones with lowest share of the local population under 18 were Sarasota, FL (15.3 percent), Ocala, FL (16.4 percent), and State College, PA (16.7 percent).

The age 65 or older population is disproportionately concentrated in Florida. The commuter zone with the highest share of its population over 64 is Sarasota, FL (34.2 percent), followed by Ocala, FL (33.6 percent), and Cape Coral, FL (30.4 percent). The commuter zones with lowest local over-64 population share were Provo, UT (8.4 percent), Laredo, TX (10.1 percent), and Odessa, TX (10.3 percent).

### Age Group Share of Commuter Zone Population, 2019

Age 0 to 17



Age 65+



Source: American Community Survey, Dorn



## Education

Education is central in many discussions of the future of the US economy. Though very expensive in forgone years of earnings and often also expensive in tuition and other costs, education typically provides individuals with higher earnings. In response to changing job opportunities from globalization and other policy decisions, household spending on education has increased considerably, resulting in a much **more educated population**.

Over the year ending September 2020, 83.0 million people over the age of 25, or 37.3 percent of the total, have at least a bachelor's degree, with 31.3 million of those, or 14.1 percent of the total, holding an advanced degree such as a master's degree, medical or law degree, or PhD. An additional 57.5 million people have some college coursework but no degree or have an associate degree. A total of 61.9 million have a high school diploma but no college, while 20.1 million have no high school diploma.

### Highest Level of Education

age 25+ population, in millions, September 2020



Source: Author's Calculations from CPS



The share of the population with a bachelor's degree or advanced degree increased by 11.4 percentage points since 2000. The increase is even more pronounced among those who are employed; 43.7 percent have a college degree or advanced degree in September 2020, an increase of 12.8 percentage points since 2000. Some argue households compensated for a weak labor market and lack of worker bargaining power by borrowing large sums of money for education. Given the extent of the increase in education, and the typical wage premium of education, labor income should have increased substantially more than it actually did.

### Education Distribution

share of age 25+ population, percent

Total



Employed



Source: Author's Calculations from CPS



## Income to Persons

**Personal income** includes labor income (see ■), measured as compensation of employees, capital income (see ■), measured as the sum of proprietor income, rental income, and dividend and interest income, and welfare income (see ■), measured primarily as government social benefits less contributions to social insurance.

In August 2020, annualized personal income is \$59,003 per capita. Labor income totals \$34,510 per person; capital and proprietor income is \$16,208 per person; and welfare or transfer income is \$8,285 per person.

### Personal Income

real per capita income, thousands of US Dollars



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis; Author

### Personal Income by Source


per capita, annualized, August 2020 US Dollars

	Aug '20	Jul '20	Jun '20	May '20	Aug '19	Aug '17
Personal income	59,003	60,871	60,856	61,917	57,416	55,069
■ Labor	34,510	34,222	33,946	33,409	35,317	33,859
Wages and salaries	28,095	27,835	27,598	27,175	28,756	27,515
Supplements	6,415	6,388	6,348	6,234	6,561	6,344
■ Capital	16,208	16,090	16,070	15,947	16,789	16,143
Proprietors' income	5,030	4,916	4,877	4,580	5,200	4,873
Rental income	2,456	2,436	2,416	2,444	2,436	2,343
Personal interest income	4,990	4,965	4,943	5,022	5,183	5,128
Personal dividend income	3,732	3,773	3,835	3,902	3,971	3,799
■ Welfare	8,285	10,559	10,840	12,560	5,310	5,066
Social security	3,276	3,277	3,279	3,302	3,189	3,004
Medicare	2,550	2,544	2,541	2,542	2,436	2,252
Medicaid	2,139	2,120	2,087	2,053	1,921	1,876
Unemployment insurance	1,917	4,011	4,287	4,159	85	98
Veterans' benefits	440	438	437	436	407	368
Other	2,162	2,343	2,314	4,171	1,507	1,533
Less welfare contributions	-4,338	-4,314	-4,289	-4,244	-4,381	-4,216

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Personal Income

percentage point contribution to real personal income growth



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Aggregate real personal income increased at an annualized rate of 36.37 percent in 2020 Q2. Labor income subtracted 17.85 percentage points from overall growth, capital income subtracted 6.03 percentage points, and welfare income contributed 60.25 percentage points.

## Personal Income by Source

percentage point contribution to real personal income growth

*moving averages*

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	3- year	10- year	30- year
Personal income	36.37	2.80	1.99	1.13	-0.02	5.30	3.75	3.01
■ Labor	-17.85	1.56	1.79	0.14	-0.32	0.21	1.16	1.40
Wages and salaries	-15.38	1.58	1.63	0.09	-0.25	0.17	1.00	1.12
Supplements	-2.47	-0.02	0.16	0.05	-0.07	0.04	0.16	0.27
■ Capital	-6.03	0.03	0.35	0.71	-0.07	0.20	0.99	0.74
Proprietors' income	-4.47	0.06	0.31	0.93	-0.20	-0.12	0.19	0.26
Rental income	-0.07	0.09	0.06	0.01	0.11	0.09	0.23	0.20
Personal interest income	-0.86	-0.41	0.11	-0.14	0.44	0.02	0.12	0.03
Personal dividend income	-0.62	0.29	-0.13	-0.08	-0.42	0.21	0.45	0.26
■ Welfare	60.25	1.21	-0.16	0.29	0.36	4.88	1.61	0.87
Social security	0.27	0.47	0.10	0.09	0.03	0.20	0.17	0.16
Medicare	0.54	0.09	0.11	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.16
Medicaid	1.13	0.06	-0.11	0.11	0.26	0.12	0.14	0.15
Unemployment insurance	24.88	0.33	0.00	-0.00	-0.02	1.93	0.51	0.21
Veterans' benefits	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.02
Less welfare contributions	1.57	-0.30	-0.18	0.02	0.06	-0.06	-0.14	-0.18

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



The Bureau of Economic Analysis [reports](#) an inflation-adjusted one-year change in after-tax income per person (see —) of 3.4 percent in August 2020, 7.7 percent in July 2020, and 1.3 percent in August 2019. Over the past year, the measure has averaged 4.1 percent. During the three years before the COVID-19 pandemic, per capita after-tax income grew at an average annual rate of 2.4 percent.

### After-Tax Income Growth

annual growth, per capita real disposable personal income, percent



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

### Distribution of Personal Income

Labor income, which includes wages and salaries as well as self-employment income, is the vast majority of personal income. Over calendar year 2019, 52 percent of people have any labor income (see ■). Only 44 percent of people have labor income above the single-person poverty threshold of \$12,760.

Total income (see ■) reaches 72 percent of people in 2019. Total income includes after-tax labor income plus welfare and capital income. People who did not receive any income by the total income measure typically live with people who receive income. Values of high-earners are not shown because of space constraints. The top five percent income threshold is around \$270,000 in 2019.

### Distribution of Personal Income, 2019

thousands of US Dollars, by percentile



Source: CPS ASEC

## Contributions to Personal Income Growth

Annual data on personal income [detail](#) the number of people receiving various categories of income and the average payment. As a result, it is possible to match changes in aggregate personal income from changes in payments and changes in who is receiving payments.

In 2019, aggregate personal income increased by 6.8 percent after adjusting for changes in prices. Compared to 2018, more people were working in 2019, which explains 1.1 percentage points of the overall change. Changes in per person wage and salary income, which reflects wage increases and changes in hours worked, explain 2.6 percentage points. Income payments from owning property increased substantially in 2019 and explains 1.5 percentage points of the total change. More people receiving property income explains 0.3 percentage point. The remaining growth is explained by social security and retirement income.

### Sources of Personal Income Growth, 2019

*percentage point contribution to aggregate growth*



Source: Census Bureau

## Household Income

Given massive variance in personal income, with half of the population receiving no market income, many people rely on the income of other members of their household for survival. Next, we discuss household income, which measures the combined income of all people in a given housing unit. Like personal income, household income is distributed very unevenly in the US.

### Real Median Household Income

*thousands of US dollars*



Source: Economic Policy Institute, Census

Black median household income in 2019 was \$46,073, compared to an inflation adjusted equivalent of \$42,447 in 2018. White, non-Hispanic median household income was \$76,057 compared to \$71,922 in 2018. Hispanic median household income in 2019 was \$56,113 compared to \$52,382 in 2018. Asian median household income was \$87,194 in 2019 and \$88,774 in 2018. Data for 2000–2013, shown with dashed lines, are calculated by EPI, to be more-comparable over time despite changes to the survey design in 2013 and to the processing of survey data in 2017.

## Household Spending and Saving

The previous subsection examines money coming into households while this section covers money leaving households. **Consumer spending** includes household purchases of goods and services, certain spending for households, such as Medicare and Medicaid, and estimates for services furnished without payment. As an example of a special estimate, homeowners are considered to rent their homes to themselves.

Over the past 30 years, increased consumer spending represents the majority of economic growth. Consumer spending generally increases as households have more income and falls when households have less income. This affect is visible in both the long-run and during the course of a business cycle, with consumer spending generally falling or slowing during a recession. During the COVID-19 pandemic, some categories of spending were lower as a result of business closures and restrictions.

**Personal saving** occurs when households have income in excess of their expenses. Savings are invested, often providing additional income, and are used for future expenses, such as costs incurred during retirement.

### Spending

Consumer spending is comprised of two broad expenditure types: goods and services. Spending on goods includes durable goods (goods with a useful life of at least three years), such as cars, furniture, or recreational goods, and nondurable goods, such as groceries, clothing, and gasoline. Spending on services includes housing, health care, restaurants and bars, transportation services, financial services, and other services.

#### Expenditure Types

per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Total consumer spending is \$13.1 trillion in 2020 Q2, compared to a price-adjusted \$14.5 trillion in 2020 Q1 and \$14.7 trillion in 2019 Q4. On a per person basis, consumer spending is \$39,701 in 2020 Q2, of which \$13,221 are spent on goods (see —) and \$26,480 on services (see —). In the fourth quarter of 2019, before the pandemic, consumer spending on goods was \$13,630 per person, and spending on services was \$31,179 per person, after adjusting for inflation.

#### Shelter Costs

per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Within consumer spending on services, housing and utilities spending totals \$8,430 on an annualized and per person basis in 2020 Q2 (see —) and \$8,367 in 2019 Q4. Construction or improvement of housing is considered residential fixed investment, not consumer spending, but can be combined with spending to analyze patterns in shelter costs. In 2020 Q2, residential investment totals \$2,365 per person (see —), compared to \$2,533 in the pre-COVID data covering 2019 Q4.

Combining the categories from the previous two charts allows separation of spending on goods, spending on services other than shelter, and spending on housing, utilities, and residential construction. Because of adjustments for changes in prices, these categories will not necessarily sum to the total in past data. It's also worth noting that these are not traditional categories, but are created because they more intuitively represent the costs associated with the household sector of the economy.

### Expenditure Types

per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Consumer spending on services other than housing and utilities totals \$18,050 per person, on an annualized basis, in 2020 Q2 (see —), compared to \$21,999 in 2020 Q1, and \$22,812 in 2019 Q4. Spending on non-housing services has decreased 20.9 percent since 2019 Q4. Shelter costs, which combine housing, utilities, and residential fixed investment, are \$10,795 per person in 2020 Q2 (see —), \$10,994 in 2020 Q1, and \$10,899 in 2019 Q4. Shelter spending peaked at \$11,992 per person in the third quarter of 2005, during the housing bubble.

### Expenditure Types

per capita, annualized, 2020 Q2 dollars

	2020 Q2	2019 Q4	2000 Q1	1989 Q1
Total	\$39,701	44,797	33,449	26,159
— Goods	13,221	13,630	9,026	6,772
Motor Vehicles and Parts	1,469	1,596	1,367	1,029
Furniture and HH Equipment	1,067	1,103	535	371
Recreational Durable Goods	1,430	1,303	264	77
Groceries	3,447	3,275	2,797	2,778
Clothes and Shoes	873	1,149	838	622
— Services ex. Shelter	18,050	22,812	17,490	13,475
Health Care Services	6,065	7,706	5,087	4,605
Transportation	869	1,460	1,312	911
Recreational	879	1,815	1,432	1,022
Food and Accommodations	1,867	3,083	2,418	2,221
Financial and Insurance	3,583	3,619	3,523	2,090
— Shelter	10,795	10,899	10,398	8,929
Housing Services and Utilities	8,430	8,367	7,220	6,268
Residential Fixed Investment	2,365	2,533	3,178	2,660

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Next, we examine how changes in consumer spending on goods (see ■), services excluding housing and utilities (see ■), and shelter (see ■, calculated as housing and utilities plus residential fixed investment), affect GDP growth. These categories subtracted 24.0 percentage points from GDP growth in 2020 Q2 and subtracted 4.8 percentage points in 2020 Q1, compared to an addition of 1.1 percentage points in 2019 Q4, before the pandemic.

## Consumer Spending and Residential Investment

percentage point contribution to real GDP growth



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

In the the second quarter of 2020, household spending on goods subtracted 2.1 percentage points from GDP growth, household spending on services other than housing and utilities subtracted 22.5 percentage points, and shelter spending and investment subtracted one percentage points.

## Consumer Spending and Residential Investment

percentage point contribution to real GDP growth

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	moving averages		
						3- year	10- year	30- year
Total	-24.01	-4.75	1.07	1.83	2.47	-0.78	0.88	1.53
■ Goods	-2.06	0.03	0.12	0.87	1.57	0.54	0.68	0.72
Motor Vehicles and Parts	0.05	-0.78	0.08	0.01	0.26	0.00	0.10	0.07
Furniture and HH Equipment	-0.13	-0.07	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.06	0.09	0.08
Recreational Durable Goods	0.75	0.10	0.10	0.27	0.40	0.25	0.19	0.22
Groceries	-0.27	1.33	-0.09	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.11	0.09
Clothes and Shoes	-0.96	-0.75	0.11	-0.04	0.20	-0.07	0.01	0.06
■ Services (ex. Shelter)	-22.52	-4.74	0.97	0.75	0.73	-1.50	0.05	0.58
Health Care Services	-7.59	-2.00	0.54	0.09	0.44	-0.50	0.06	0.19
Transportation	-2.81	-0.66	0.01	0.15	0.10	-0.21	-0.01	0.03
Recreational	-4.41	-1.05	0.09	-0.03	0.10	-0.39	-0.08	0.02
Food and Accommodations	-5.43	-1.67	0.00	0.11	0.21	-0.48	-0.06	0.02
Financial and Insurance	0.05	-0.11	0.19	0.12	0.00	0.06	0.04	0.13
■ Shelter	-1.03	0.64	0.21	0.38	0.09	0.10	0.27	0.26
Housing Services and Utilities	0.57	-0.04	-0.01	0.21	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.23
Residential Fixed Investment	-1.60	0.68	0.22	0.17	-0.08	-0.08	0.12	0.03

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Consumer spending is also [reported](#) on a monthly basis. Inflation- and population-adjusted consumer spending decreased 3.7 percent over the year ending August 2020 (see —), far below the previous year rate (an increase of 1.8 percent over the year ending August 2019).

### Consumer Spending Growth

annual growth, per capita real personal consumption expenditures, percent




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Changes to consumer spending (see —) are largely the result of changes to income (see ■) and changes to the rate at which income is saved (see ■). Changes to other outlays (see ■) reflect changes in interest payments, fines and fees, and charitable giving.

Real per capita consumer spending decreased at an average rate of 9.4 percent over the four quarters ending 2020 Q2. Changes to disposable income added 11.2 percentage points, changes to saving subtracted 21.2 percentage points, and changes to other outlays added 0.5 percentage points. Over the past three years, real per capita consumer spending growth has averaged -1.7 percent, with income growth contributing an average of 5.4 percentage points and saving subtracting an average of 7.1 percentage points.

### Contributions to Consumer Spending

percentage point contribution to real per capita PCE growth, one-year moving average



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Saving

The portion of after-tax income that is not spent by households is considered **personal saving**, from an economic accounting perspective. Personal saving as a share of disposable personal income is the *personal saving rate*. Households use savings for investment and because income can be more volatile than expenses. However, economists also point out that aggregate personal saving is a direct reduction in corporate profits, as it represents income to persons that was at some point a business expense, but that does not get returned to businesses as revenue through consumer spending.

As of August 2020, the Bureau of Economic Analysis [report](#) a rate of personal saving of 14.1 percent (see —). Since February 2020, the personal saving rate increased by a total of 5.8 percentage points.

### Personal Saving Rate

*personal saving as a share of disposable personal income*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis




## Consumer Sentiment

The University of Michigan conducts a regular monthly [survey](#) to gauge individuals' **consumer sentiment** (see —). The measure is based on questions related to personal finances, business conditions, and buying conditions. An increase in consumer sentiment means individuals feel more confident about economic conditions and are more willing to make large purchases or take on debt. As of September 2020, the latest value of the consumer sentiment index is 80.4, compared to 74.1 in August 2020 and 93.2 in September 2019.

### Consumer Sentiment

*index, 1996=100*



Source: University of Michigan



## Household Balance Sheets

### Liabilities

The Federal Reserve [reports](#) total liabilities of households and nonprofits of \$16.48 trillion in 2020 Q2. The vast majority—\$10.59 trillion or 64.3 percent of the total—are home mortgages (see ■). Consumer credit liabilities (see ■) which include auto loans, credit card debt, student loans, and other personal loans, total \$4.08 trillion (24.8% of the total). The remaining liabilities (see ■) are primarily attributable to nonprofits.

The ratio of household and nonprofit debt to disposable personal income has fallen to 90.0 percent in 2020 Q2 from its housing-bubble peak of 136.0 percent in 2007 Q4. Over the past three years, nominal household and nonprofit debt has increased 9.5 percent while nominal disposable personal income has increased 23.5 percent. As a result, the ratio of household and nonprofit debt to disposable personal income has fallen by 11.6 percentage points.

### Household and Nonprofit Debt

by type, as share of disposable personal income



Source: Federal Reserve and Bureau of Economic Analysis

Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY) [analysis](#) of Equifax data shows \$14.3 trillion in total consumer debt in 2020 Q2, which is equivalent to 77.9 percent of disposable personal income. Over the past three years, total consumer debt has increased by \$1.43 trillion compared to an increase of \$3.48 trillion in disposable personal income. As a result, the ratio of total consumer debt to disposable personal income has fallen by 8.7 percentage points over this period.

### Mortgages and Consumer Credit

share of disposable personal income, percent



Source: Federal Reserve Bank of New York and Bureau of Economic Analysis



Trends in **household debt** over the past three years, measured in both the US Financial Accounts and the New York Fed Consumer Credit Panel, show consumer credit growing in line with income while mortgage debt falls relative to income. Minor discrepancies between the two data sources arise because the Financial Accounts include debt of nonprofit institutions and the Consumer Credit Panel does not include persons without a social security number.

According to the same FRBNY data, mortgage debt, including home equity lines of credit, totalled \$10,151 billion in 2020 Q2, equivalent to 55.5 percent of disposable personal income (DPI). Student loans totalled \$1,537 billion, or 8.4 percent of DPI; auto loans totalled \$1,343 billion (7.3 percent of DPI); and credit card debt totalled \$817 billion (4.5 percent of DPI).

Over the past three years, the ratio of total mortgage debt to disposable personal income fell by 6.2 percentage points, compared to a decrease of 0.7 percentage points for student loans, a decrease of 0.7 percentage points for auto loans, and a decrease of 0.8 percentage points for credit card debt

### Household Debt Outstanding

trillions of US Dollars

			share of disposable personal income				
	2020 Q2	2020 Q1	'20 Q2	'20 Q1	'17 Q2	'13 Q1	'03 Q1
Financial Accounts Total*	\$16.48T	\$16.45T	90.0	98.5	101.6	112.2	108.5
■ Mortgage Debt Total	\$10.59T	\$10.51T	57.9	62.9	65.9	76.8	74.8
■ Consumer Credit	\$4.08T	\$4.14T	22.3	24.8	24.8	23.6	24.0
■ Other	\$1.81T	\$1.80T	9.9	10.8	10.9	11.7	9.7
Consumer Credit Panel Total	\$14.27T	\$14.30T	77.9	85.6	86.6	90.9	87.2
Mortgage Debt Total	\$10.15T	\$10.10T	55.5	60.5	61.7	68.7	62.5
Mortgage	\$9.78T	\$9.71T	53.4	58.2	58.6	64.2	59.6
Home Equity Revolving	\$0.38T	\$0.39T	2.0	2.3	3.0	4.5	2.9
Consumer Credit	\$4.12T	\$4.20T	22.5	25.2	24.9	22.2	24.7
■ Auto Loan	\$1.34T	\$1.35T	7.3	8.1	8.0	6.4	7.7
■ Credit Card	\$0.82T	\$0.89T	4.5	5.3	5.3	5.3	8.3
■ Student Loan	\$1.54T	\$1.53T	8.4	9.2	9.1	8.0	2.9
Other	\$0.42T	\$0.43T	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.5	5.8

Source: Federal Reserve, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Consumer Credit

The Federal Reserve also [report](#) consumer credit credit on a monthly basis. Consumer credit totals \$4.14 trillion US dollars on a seasonally-adjusted and annualized basis in August 2020. Over the past year, consumer credit increased by 0.4 percent, while after-tax income increased by 5.4 percent. As a result, the ratio of consumer credit to disposable income decreased by a total of 1.2 percentage points. In August 2020, total consumer credit is equivalent to 24.0 percent of annualized August 2020 disposable income (see —).

The latest comparable figure from the FRBNY data discussed in the previous section, which covers 2020 Q2, shows consumer credit is equivalent to 22.5 percent of one year of disposable personal income (see —). Over the past year, the ratio decreased by a total of 2.5 percentage points.

### Consumer Credit

share of disposable personal income, percent



Source: Federal Reserve; FRBNY



## Financial Obligations

Payments to service debt, along with rent, auto lease payments, homeowner's insurance, and property tax are considered financial obligations. The Federal Reserve [report](#) financial obligations as a share of disposable personal income. As of 2020 Q2 the financial obligations ratio is 13.6 percent (see —). The measure peaked at 18.1 percent in 2007 Q4, during the housing bubble.

### Financial Obligations Ratio

share of disposable personal income, percent



Source: Federal Reserve



## Assets


Assets of households and nonprofits were valued at \$135.4 trillion in 2020 Q2, equivalent to 695 percent—or 6.95 years—of GDP. Of this, \$40.9 trillion, or 30.2 percent of the total, are tangible assets and \$94.5 trillion, or 69.8 percent, are financial assets.

Tangible, or non-financial, assets include peoples' homes as well as consumer durable goods, such as cars, furniture, and appliances. The market value of owner-occupied real estate is \$30.8 trillion in 2020 Q2, equivalent to 1.58 years of GDP (see ■). Consumer durable goods have a replacement value of \$5.8 trillion, or 0.3 years of GDP. Tangible assets are reported for the combined household and nonprofit sector and include real estate and equipment belonging to nonprofits, which totals \$4.3 trillion in 2020 Q2.

Financial assets include equity in businesses—corporate and non-corporate—with a market value of \$44.3 trillion, or 2.3 years of GDP (see ■), in 2020 Q2. Debt securities and loan assets total \$11.8 trillion, or 0.6 years of GDP (see ■). Cash and deposits, including money market accounts, total \$15.7 trillion, or 0.8 years of GDP (see ■). All other financial assets total \$22.8 trillion.

### Selected Household and Nonprofit Assets

share of GDP



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Household and nonprofit assets grew by 3.6 percent over the year ending 2020 Q2. Owner-occupied real estate contributed 0.9 percentage points to total growth, and business equity did not contribute significantly.

### Contributions to Real Growth in Household and Nonprofit Assets

contribution to one-year percent change in assets, adjusted by PCE price deflator



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Household and Nonprofit Assets

various measures:	trillions of USD	share of GDP		real annual growth rate		
	2020 Q2	2020 Q2	2019 Q2	One-year	Three-year	20-year
Total Assets	\$135.4	695.0	609.5	3.6	3.9	3.2
Non-financial assets	40.9	209.8	183.8	3.7	4.0	2.8
Owner-occupied real estate	30.8	157.9	137.6	4.2	4.4	3.0
Consumer durable goods	5.8	29.9	26.5	2.3	2.1	1.4
Nonprofit assets	4.3	22.1	19.7	1.7	4.1	4.2
Financial assets	94.5	485.2	425.6	3.5	3.9	3.3
Deposits, incl. money market	15.7	80.4	60.6	20.4	7.9	4.5
Debt securities and loans	11.8	60.4	53.9	1.6	5.3	4.5
Business equity	44.3	227.4	206.0	0.3	3.8	2.9
Corporate equities	31.9	163.7	149.5	-0.6	3.7	2.8
Noncorporate business equity	12.4	63.8	56.5	2.5	3.9	3.2


Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Return on Assets

The increase in assets as a share of GDP also means that the return on total household assets has fallen, as measured by disposable income as a share of household assets. As of 2020 Q2, disposable income was equivalent to 13.5 percent of total assets (see —), compared to an average rate of 16.0 percent during the 1990s.

## Return on Household Assets

disposable personal income as share of household and nonprofit total assets, percent



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Wealth/Net Worth

Wealth **varies** substantially by race and ethnicity. Net worth, measured as total assets minus total liabilities, captures the overall financial position—or wealth—of families. In 2019, white non-Hispanic families' average net worth was \$980,550, compared to \$142,330 for black non-Hispanic families, and \$165,540 for Hispanic families of any race. Additionally, the wealth of a typical (median) family is much lower than the average wealth of families, the result of a concentration of wealth among the wealthiest families.

### Racial Wealth Gap

net worth by race/ethnicity, thousands of US dollars, 2019




Source: Federal Reserve, Survey of Consumer Finances

The market value of assets of households has risen much faster than their total liabilities, causing a **substantial increase in net worth**. In 2020 Q2, household and nonprofit institution net worth was \$119.0 trillion, equivalent to 6.5 years of disposable personal income; the result of total assets of \$135.4 trillion and total liabilities of \$16.5 trillion.

In 2020 Q2, inflation-adjusted net worth increased by 3.8 percent (see ■), and inflation adjusted after-tax income increased by 11.9 percent (see —). Over the past three years, real net worth grew at an average rate of 4.3 percent, while real after-tax income grew at an average rate of 3.6 percent

### Net Worth and After-Tax Income Growth

one-year percent change in net worth and after-tax income, adjusted by PCE price deflator



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis



## Wealth and Income

Wealth, when measured as assets minus liabilities, can be a source of income, but does not correspond perfectly to income. For example, early-career professionals with student debt may have a negative net worth and a high income. That said, data on family income by wealth percentile show that income tends to increase with wealth.

Additionally, the before-tax income of the wealthiest ten percent of families (see —) has **increased** substantially more than the income of other groups. The top ten percent of families by wealth, percentiles 90 to 100 with a mean wealth of \$5.7 million and a median wealth of \$2.6 million in 2019, have a median annual income of \$236,203 in 2019 and \$155,693 in 1989, after adjusting for prices. Median income for the group increased \$80,510, or 51.7 percent, over the 30-year period.

**Before-Tax Income by Wealth Percentile**  
median annual income, thousands of 2019 USD




Source: Federal Reserve


In contrast, families in the third quartile of wealth (50th to 74.9th percentiles, mean wealth of \$700,000 in 2019, see —) have a median income of \$70,250 in 2019 and \$62,277 in 1989, an increase of \$7,973 (12.8 percent). Median income for families in the second quartile (25th to 49.9th percentiles, mean wealth of \$236,000 in 2019, see —) increased \$3,239 (7.4 percent) to \$46,833 in 2019, from \$43,594 in 1989. For the bottom quarter of families by wealth (see —), median income increased \$8,766 or 42.2 percent to \$29,525, over the 30 years ending 2019. The bottom quarter of families have a negative mean wealth in 2019 and a median wealth of virtually zero.

The US history of slavery and inter-generational white wealth show up in current data from the Survey of Consumer Finances. The racial wealth gap discussed above cannot be explained by borrowing or access to credit. White families have substantially more financial assets including stocks and are much more likely to receive inheritance. Income for black families is also substantially lower—about half of white family income. Persistent structural inequalities are seen in income data, but are also evident from measures of wealth and assets.

**Measures of Wealth and Income by Race or Ethnicity**  
*by family, mean, thousands of 2019 USD*



In 2019, among the 60.8 percent of white families who own stocks, the average value of stock holdings is \$433,900. The return on these assets is a supplement to labor income and the assets themselves provide cushion against unexpected expenses. Meanwhile, black families have relatively few financial assets; only 33.5 percent of black families own stocks, with median stock holdings of \$76,300.



Changes in net worth come from the revaluation of assets and from net investment of income. Changes to the value of assets, for example capital gains from an increase in the market value of corporate equities, explain most of the changes in net worth (see ■). Each period households also receive income and decide investment, saving, and borrowing. Net investment equals capital expenditures less depreciation plus net lending/borrowing; positive net investment results in an increase in net worth. Since 1989, household net investment has averaged 10 percent of disposable personal income. Income that goes to net investment at this historical-average rate (see ■) can be separated from periods where the rate of net investment is above or below this historical average (see ■). This distinction can identify how changes in disposable personal income, and changes in decisions about how to use that income, combine to affect net worth. Changes in data sources or from natural disasters are also identified as other volume changes (see ■).

## Net Worth Growth

*contribution to one-year percent change in net worth, nominal*



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis




In the the second quarter of 2020, holding gains contributed 1.8 percentage points to the 4.4 percent change in net worth. Income invested at the 1989-onward average rate of 10.2 percent would have contributed 1.5 percentage points; an additional 1.3 percentage points were added as household net investment was 19.0 percent of disposable person income in 2020 Q2. Other volume changes subtracted 0.2 percentage points. Over the past three years, nominal one-year growth of net worth averaged 6.1 percent. Holding gains contributed 4.3 percentage points on average; net investment of income contributed 2.8 percentage points; and other volume changes contributed 0.1 percentage points.



## Homeownership

The **homeownership rate** measures the percent of occupied housing units that are owner-occupied, as opposed to rented. In 2004, near to the peak of the housing bubble, the overall homeownership rate reached 69.2 percent. As of 2020 Q2, the Census Bureau [report](#) a rate of home-ownership of 67.9 percent (see —). Over the past three years, the overall US homeownership rate increased by a total of 4.2 percentage points.

### Homeownership Rate



Source: Census Bureau

Census data also show large differences in homeownership rates by race and ethnicity. Around three-quarters (76.0 percent in 2020 Q2) of non-Hispanic white households own their home (see —), compared to fewer than half of black and Hispanic households.


During the housing bubble, the homeownership rate for black households increased by nearly ten percentage points, peaked at 49.7 percent in the second quarter of 2004, and fell to 40.6 percent in 2019 Q2. The current homeownership rate for black households is 47.0 percent, as of 2020 Q2 (see —). The rate for Hispanic households of any race is 51.4 percent in 2020 Q2, the highest on record (see —).

Use caution when interpreting homeownership rates affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Individuals who are renters or homeowners are captured by the measure, but when an individual moves in with family and stops being a head of household, they are dropped from the measure. Therefore when renters move in with family the homeownership rate increases. Recent increases in homeownership rates reflect renters moving in with family.

As seen during the collapse of the housing bubble, it is possible for someone to be a homeowner but have no equity in their home, for example if the market price of the home falls below the principal remaining on the mortgage. Trends in owner's equity as a share of the market value of real estate show substantial improvement since the lows following the collapse of the housing bubble, when millions of homes were in foreclosure.

As of 2020 Q2, the Federal Reserve [report](#) owners' equity is 65.6 percent of residential real estate (see —). Over the past three years, the owners' equity share increased by a total of 3.3 percentage points. Over the past year, the share increased by a total of 0.6 percentage point. The current share is substantially below the 1989 average of 67.9 percent.

### Owner's Equity Share of Real Estate



Source: Federal Reserve

## Housing

### Owner-Occupied Housing Wealth

contributions to one-year percent change in regional owner-occupied housing wealth




Source: Federal Reserve and Census Bureau



The Census Bureau [tracks](#) the issuance of new residential building permits, which offer insight into planned residential construction. In August 2020, a seasonally-adjusted annual rate of 1,476,000 new residential housing units were authorized by building permits (see [—](#)). Permits issued decreased by 7,000 (-0.5 percent) over the previous month, increased by 5,000 (0.3 percent) over last August, and increased by 311,000 (26.7 percent) total over the past five years.

### Residential Construction

building permits issued, in thousands



Source: Census Bureau



The Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) [housing price index](#) (see —) captures changes in the price of the same home. The index increased by 6.5 percent over the year ending July 2020. Among Census Divisions, the fastest one-year housing price index growth rate in July 2020 was 7.7 percent in the Mountain Division, which includes Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming.

### House Price Index

seasonally adjusted index, one-year percent change



Source: Federal Housing Finance Agency

### Housing Price Growth

seasonally adjusted, one-year percent change


	Jul '20	Jun '20	May '20	Apr '20	Jul '19	Jul '18	Jul '17	'03-'05 Average	'09-'12 Average
Mountain	7.7	7.6	6.4	6.9	7.4	8.9	8.5	11.1	-4.2
East South Central	7.7	6.2	5.6	5.9	5.9	5.2	6.1	5.1	-1.6
New England	7.0	5.3	3.7	5.4	4.4	4.9	6.1	10.3	-2.2
South Atlantic	6.8	6.2	5.2	5.4	5.3	7.1	6.3	11.3	-3.7
East North Central	6.7	5.7	5.1	5.9	5.4	6.3	5.9	4.3	-2.4
<b>United States</b>	6.5	5.8	5.0	5.6	5.2	6.4	6.3	9.2	-2.5
West North Central	6.2	5.8	5.2	5.4	4.4	6.3	4.9	5.4	-1.1
Pacific	5.9	5.5	4.3	5.6	5.0	7.4	8.2	18.4	-3.9
Middle Atlantic	5.7	4.8	4.5	5.1	4.0	5.2	4.9	11.3	-2.2
West South Central	5.4	4.9	4.4	5.1	5.0	4.7	5.8	4.3	0.3

Source: Federal Housing Finance Agency

In August 2020, the Census Bureau [report](#) seasonally-adjusted single family new homes sales totalling 1,011,000 (see —). Over the past year, new homes sales increased 0.4 percent.

### New Home Sales

new single family homes sold, in thousands



Source: Census Bureau

## Poverty

In 2019, income from labor and capital ownership, called *market income*, was below the Census Bureau threshold for poverty for 73.3 million people in the US, equivalent to 22.5 percent of the population. An adjusted measure called *disposable income* includes market income plus income from government programs and tax credits, and subtracts taxes paid. According to the Census Bureau Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM), **38.1 million people are in poverty** based on their disposable income, equivalent to 11.7 percent of the population. Government programs and tax credits moved the income of 35.2 million people above the poverty threshold.

For purposes of program eligibility and economic data, poverty is defined by having income below a certain threshold. The processes for calculating poverty vary, with the Official Poverty Measure (OPM) based on three times a price-adjusted 1963 minimal food budget, and the SPM based on food, shelter, clothing, and utilities costs and additionally capturing program benefits and taxes, along with other adjustments.

While some fully-employed people are in poverty, **the vast majority of poor people are either children, elderly, disabled, caregivers, or students**. These groups represent 68.6 percent of those in poverty in 2019. If the missing labor income required to keep a person out of poverty is not supplied in the form of capital income or welfare income, the person will be poverty, by definition. As a result, these groups that are disproportionately work-limited in some way have much higher rates of poverty.

### In Poverty, 2019 millions of people



Source: CPS ASEC

### Poverty Measures, 2019



Source: CPS ASEC; Author's Replication of Bruenig, see [link](#)



The share of a group whose combined labor, capital, and welfare income is below the poverty line is the poverty rate for the group. In 2019, students, caregivers, and the disabled had the highest rates of poverty. Those fully-employed have a low rate of poverty.

By age, market income (see ■) leaves the elderly particularly vulnerable to poverty as they are not as likely to have labor income. After social benefits and taxes (disposable income [see ■]), the elderly have much lower rates of poverty than other age cohorts. Higher survivorship for the wealthy also has the effect of reducing poverty in very old ages. Disposable income still leaves young adults and those just below social security and medicare age (late 50s and early 60s) vulnerable to poverty, relative to other ages.


#### Amount of Poverty by Age in billions of 2019 US Dollars



Source: CPS ASEC, 2014 adjusted for inflation with CPI-U-RS

#### Share of local population in bottom third of housing-adjusted income, 2018

Share of commuting zone householders with after-housing-expense annual income below \$13,573



Source: American Community Survey, Author's Calculations

The Census Bureau [report](#) the number of people taken out of poverty by various government programs, along with how many people are put in poverty by various expenses. In 2019, Social Security payments lift income above the poverty line for 26.5 million people, by far the most effective program for reducing poverty.

Census estimates that assume 100 percent participation in programs show that refundable tax credits, such as the earned income tax credit and child tax credit, lift 7.5 million people out of poverty in 2019. These tax credits are phased-in (not fully-refundable) and increase as someone's labor income increases. Because of the phase-in, these tax credits do not reach the poorest of poor people. [Additionally](#), the tax credits are not received by many who are eligible and are subject to high private administrative costs in the form of payments for tax preparation services. Overall, phased-in tax credits have more impact on the model of poverty than on poverty.

In terms of elements that add to the number of people in poverty, medical expenses are the most significant, and cause the disposable income of 7.7 million people to fall below the poverty line. Work expenses additionally put five million people in poverty.

### Effect of Individual Elements on Poverty Headcount

*individual element effect on number of people in poverty, millions, 2019*



Source: Census Bureau Supplemental Poverty Measure

# Businesses

The factories, offices, and equipment that workers use to produce goods and services are all important to the economy. This section looks at the business sector, with data covering business investment, retail sales, industrial production, corporate profits, and the financial activities of businesses. While the US has some state-owned enterprises, such as the US Postal Service, the Tennessee Valley Authority, and Amtrak, the data contained in this section cover private businesses.

## Investment

Production by private businesses requires capital goods, such as buildings, equipment, and software. When businesses purchase such items, defined as having a useful life of more than one year, it is considered **fixed investment**, or investment in fixed assets. From an accounting perspective, these transactions are considered an exchange of assets (cash in exchange for capital goods) rather than an expense.

Over time, these capital goods wear down, a process called consumption of fixed capital or depreciation. Depreciation is the expense related to capital goods from an accounting perspective. Businesses must decide whether to replace or add to the existing stock of capital goods, and their new purchases of capital goods and inventory investment are considered **gross investment**. Accordingly, net investment is gross investment minus depreciation, and measures whether the stock of capital goods is expanding.

Net investment is important for many reasons. In the short run, the production and installation of capital goods adds directly to GDP and increases the level of economic activity. In the long run, **investments in fixed assets make workers more productive**, as they allow businesses to produce more goods and services with the same hours of work.

### Investment and Depreciation

share of GDP, percent



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



In the the second quarter of 2020, gross private business investment totals \$2,297 billion on a seasonally-adjusted annualized basis, equivalent to 11.8 percent of GDP (see —). Private business investment in fixed assets totals \$2,647 billion, or 13.6 percent of GDP (see —). Private business depreciation totals \$2,311 billion in the quarter, or 11.8 percent of GDP (see —). As a result, net investment is negative \$14 billion, or -0.1 percent of GDP (see —).

In 2019 Q4, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, private business gross investment was \$2,839 billion. Since 2019 Q4, annualized gross investment decreased 19.1 percent. Net investment was \$569 billion in 2019 Q4, but collapsed completely from 2019 Q4 to 2020 Q2, as gross investment fell while depreciation was relatively constant.

Note that gross investment includes fixed investment and inventory investment, or the **change in private inventories**. Changes to private inventories capture the difference between sales and production. Reduced production of new inventory explains much of the overall reduction in gross investment during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Business investments in fixed assets are grouped into three categories: structures, equipment, and intellectual property (for example software and R&D). Investment in structures was \$584 billion in 2020 Q2, equivalent to 3.0 percent of GDP (see —). Equipment investment was \$1,057 billion or 5.4 percent of GDP (see —), and intellectual property investment was \$1,006 billion or 5.2 percent of GDP (see —).

**Business Fixed Investment by Type**  
share of GDP, percent



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Contribution to Growth

Business fixed investment subtracted 3.67 percentage points from GDP growth in 2020 Q2, far below the average contribution of 0.10 percentage point over the three years prior to the pandemic. In 2020 Q2, investment in structures subtracted 1.11 percentage points from GDP growth (see —), investment in equipment subtracted 2.03 percentage points (see —), and investment in intellectual property products subtracted 0.53 percentage point (see —).

## Business Fixed Investment

percentage point contribution to real GDP growth



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Business Fixed Investment

percentage point contribution to real GDP growth

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	moving averages		
						3-year	10-year	30-year
Total	-3.67	-0.91	-0.04	0.25	0.01	0.10	0.50	0.49
Structures	-1.11	-0.11	-0.16	0.11	0.05	-0.08	0.05	-0.00
Equipment	-2.03	-0.91	-0.10	-0.10	-0.23	-0.02	0.24	0.29
Information processing	0.51	-0.25	-0.02	-0.01	0.02	0.11	0.12	0.21
Computers and peripherals	0.38	-0.11	0.08	-0.05	0.11	0.06	0.03	0.12
Industrial equipment	-0.28	-0.07	-0.12	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.02
Transportation equipment	-1.78	-0.50	0.12	-0.13	-0.32	-0.15	0.05	0.04
Intellectual property products	-0.53	0.11	0.21	0.24	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.20
Software	-0.10	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.08	0.14	0.13	0.12
Research and development	-0.31	-0.04	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.07

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



Productive business investments also show up as **new orders for core capital goods**. The category excludes the more-volatile aircraft orders as well as defense-related orders, and is derived from a Census Bureau [survey](#) of shipments, inventories, and orders.


New orders for manufactured core capital goods excluding aircraft totalled \$68 billion in August 2020, equivalent to 3.9 percent of GDP (see [—](#)). New orders increased by 2.8 percent over the past year.



## Inventories

Changes in private inventories are often grouped with investment in national accounts. For purposes of flow measures such as GDP, inventory changes capture a situation where goods were produced but not sold and therefore are not included in consumption or investment. This situation can allow a drop in spending that was not predicted to be obscured in GDP growth figures. However, BEA [report](#) an inflation-adjusted ratio of inventories to sales in manufacturing and trade businesses (see [—](#)).

When thinking about the longer-term trends in these data, it's important to note that sales of businesses include services while inventories include only goods. Over the past 30 years, sales have shifted towards services, which reduces the inventories to sales ratio, all else equal.



Census [report](#) the nominal ratio of inventories to sales for the total business sector (see [—](#)). In July 2020, the ratio of inventories to sales was 1.33, compared to 1.37 in June 2020, and 1.39 in July 2019. The inflation adjusted version from BEA shows inventories at 1.37 times sales in July 2020, compared to 1.40 in July 2019. From 2011 to 2014, real monthly inventories were 1.38 times real monthly sales, on average.

## Corporate Profits

The national accounts include detailed information on **aggregate corporate profits**, which are an important determinant in the business cycle. In the second quarter of 2020, aggregate corporate profits were \$1.83 trillion, or 12.9 percent of net national income. Of this, \$1.36 trillion, equivalent to 9.6 percent of net national product, were paid out as dividends (see ■), \$225 billion were retained (see ■), and \$237 billion went to corporate income tax (see ■).

### Destination of Corporate Profits

share of net national income




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Aggregate corporate savings (corporate profits less dividends and corporate profit tax) are the result of net investment and non-business saving. Investment (see ■) is a source of aggregate profit because it is revenue for one party but not an expense for the other. Non-business saving, which includes household (see ■), government (see ■), and rest of world saving (see ■), necessarily reduces aggregate corporate profits because it is money that did not return to businesses as revenue.

### Sources of Corporate Saving

contribution to corporate saving, as share of gross national income



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Business Debt

As of 2020 Q2, nonfinancial business debt—the debt security and loan liabilities of nonfinancial businesses—both corporate and non-corporate—totals \$17,604 billion, with \$11,041 billion (62.7%) held by corporate businesses. Over the past three years, nonfinancial business debt has increased faster than overall economic activity. As a share of GDP, nonfinancial business debt increased by 17.8 percentage points to 90.3 percent in 2020 Q2 from 72.6 percent in 2017 Q2. The vast majority of the increase, 3.8 percentage points, comes from nonbank loans (see ■ ).

### Nonfinancial Business Debt

by type, as share of GDP



Source: Federal Reserve and Bureau of Economic Analysis



The debt of the domestic financial sector includes agency and government-sponsored enterprise (GSE) backed securities (see ■ ), corporate and foreign bonds, loans (see ■ ), and open market paper. The long-term increase in financial sector debt reflects the emergence and growth of various asset-backed securities. In addition to home mortgage-backed securities, the domestic financial sector issues debt securities based on commercial mortgages, auto loans, credit card, student debt, and even restaurant revenue.

Domestic financial sector debt has fallen as a share of GDP to 88.9 percent in 2020 Q2 from a housing-bubble peak of 124.3 percent in 2009 Q1.

### Financial Sector Debt

by type, as share of GDP



Source: Federal Reserve and Bureau of Economic Analysis




## Industrial Production

A monthly index produced by the Federal Reserve [shows](#) industrial production decreased by 7.7 percent over the year ending August 2020, following a decrease of 7.4 percent over the year ending July 2020. One-year growth in manufacturing production was -6.9 percent in August 2020, and manufacturing subtracted 5.2 percentage points from the overall change in industrial production. Over the same period, mining subtracted 2.3 percentage points from the overall change, and electric and gas utilities contributed 0.1 percentage point.

By market group, production of consumer goods subtracted 0.5 percentage point from one-year industrial production growth in August 2020. Production of business equipment subtracted 1.4 percentage points, production of nonindustrial supplies subtracted 1.1 percentage points, and production of materials subtracted 4.5 percentage points.

### Industrial Production

index, 2012=100



Source: Federal Reserve



### Industrial Production Growth

One-year growth


	contribution to total				rate, percent			
	Aug '20	Jul '20	Jun '20	Aug '19	Aug '20	Jul '20	Jun '20	Aug '19
Total index	-7.7	-7.4	-10.7	0.3	-7.7	-7.4	-10.7	0.3
Manufacturing	-5.2	-5.5	-8.3	-0.3	-6.9	-7.3	-11.1	-0.5
■ Durable manufacturing	-3.0	-3.1	-5.1	0.2	-8.0	-8.3	-13.9	0.5
Motor vehicles & parts	-0.1	0.0	-1.1	0.0	-1.9	0.7	-22.9	0.3
■ Nondurable manufacturing	-1.8	-2.0	-2.8	-0.4	-5.1	-5.4	-7.5	-1.2
■ Mining	-2.3	-1.8	-2.3	0.7	-17.9	-13.9	-17.0	5.2
■ Utilities	0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.5	0.3	0.8	-1.3
■ Consumer goods	-0.5	-0.7	-2.0	-0.3	-1.8	-2.2	-6.8	-0.9
Consumer durables	-0.1	-0.1	-0.9	-0.0	-2.2	-1.4	-14.2	-0.5
Automotive products	0.0	0.1	-0.6	0.0	0.0	3.1	-19.5	0.2
Consumer nondurables	-0.4	-0.6	-1.1	-0.2	-1.6	-2.5	-4.6	-1.1
Foods and tobacco	-0.1	-0.2	-0.5	-0.2	-0.9	-2.0	-4.8	-2.1
Chemical products	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	0.1	-3.7	-3.6	-4.3	1.2
Consumer energy products	0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.0	2.5	1.3	-1.1	-0.8
■ Equipment & nonindustrial supplies	-2.6	-2.7	-3.6	0.1	-10.0	-10.7	-13.9	0.5
Equipment	-1.4	-1.5	-2.1	0.1	-12.1	-13.0	-18.0	0.9
Industrial equipment	-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.1	-10.0	-11.4	-14.2	-2.9
Nonindustrial supplies	-1.1	-1.2	-1.5	0.0	-8.2	-8.8	-10.5	0.0
Construction supplies	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	0.1	-7.0	-7.4	-8.9	1.4
Business supplies	-0.8	-0.8	-1.0	-0.1	-8.9	-9.7	-11.6	-0.8
■ Materials	-4.5	-3.9	-5.1	0.4	-10.0	-8.6	-11.2	1.0
Consumer parts	-0.2	-0.3	-0.5	-0.1	-7.7	-8.8	-21.0	-3.8
Equipment parts	-0.3	-0.3	-0.5	0.1	-7.2	-7.3	-10.2	1.2
Chemical materials	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-4.8	-3.5	-5.1	-1.6
Energy materials	-2.2	-1.7	-2.0	0.6	-13.0	-9.5	-11.4	3.6

Source: Federal Reserve

Market group data show the lack of growth in the production of consumer goods, equipment, and nonindustrial supplies over the past decade.

### Industrial Production Growth, Market Group

percentage point contribution to one-year growth




Source: Federal Reserve



Industry group data show a change in the composition of new industrial activity, towards mining and away from manufacturing.

### Industrial Production Growth, Industry Group

percentage point contribution to one-year growth




Source: Federal Reserve



The recent collapse has been broad-based. The monthly data are shown in detail below.

### Recent data in detail



Source: Federal Reserve




Of a subset of 12 industries that contribute the majority of industrial production, two increased **production** over the past year, ten decreased production, and none were unchanged (see ■). Over the year ending August 2020, aerospace & miscellaneous transportation equipment production decreased by 18.3 percent, mining production decreased by 17.9 percent, production of petroleum & coal products decreased by 14.5 percent, and machinery production decreased by 11.5 percent.

Over the year ending August 2020, six of the 12 industries increased **capacity**, five decreased capacity, and one was unchanged (see ■). Over the one-year period, production capacity for computer & electronic products increased by 3.8 percent, electric & gas utilities capacity increased by 3.0 percent, and production capacity for fabricated metal products decreased by 1.0 percent.

## Industrial Production and Capacity

One-year growth, percent, August 2020




Source: Federal Reserve

The Federal Reserve's monthly industrial production [report](#) also measures the economy's total industrial capacity. The extent to which the economy is using its industrial capacity is called **capacity utilization**, and calculated as industrial production as a share of total industrial capacity. Long-term, capacity utilization has fallen as many US factories and industrial production facilities closed. In August 2020, the industrial capacity utilization rate was 71.4 percent (see —), and the manufacturing capacity utilization rate was 70.2 percent (see —). Total capacity utilization has fallen by 13.7 percentage points since January 1989.

## Capacity Utilization

industrial production as a share of total capacity, percent



Source: Census Bureau

## Energy Production and Use

The Energy Information Administration [report](#) that US has seen a massive increase in **crude oil production** over the past six years. The infrastructure for much of this production was put in place when oil prices were higher, and the profitability of the sector depends on oil maintaining a certain price. A large portion of the increase in oil production comes from New Mexico, South Dakota, and Colorado.

### Crude Oil Production

millions of barrels per day



Source: Energy Information Administration




## Retail Sales

According to the [Census Bureau](#), retail and food service sales total \$537.5 billion in August 2020, equivalent to 33.0 percent of GDP on an annualized basis. Over the past year, retail and food service sales increased by 2.6 percent, without adjusting for prices (see —). Nonstore sales, which include online retailers, have increased by 22.4 percent over the same period (see —), and total \$83.1 billion, or 5.1 percent of GDP.

### Retail Sales and Food Services


annual growth, percent; nonstore is 3-month moving average



Source: Census Bureau

### Retail sales

share of disposable personal income



Source: Census, BEA



# Government

Public institutions are collectively referred to as the *public-sector* or the *government*. In the United States, the government has the authority to spend, tax, and create money, as well as to regulate economic and financial activity. The government also enforces and determines the ownership of property. These activities are all extremely important to production and distribution in the economy.


This chartbook section covers various government statistics, including contributions to current economic activity, receipts and expenditures, assets and liabilities, and government jobs.

## Current Economic Activity

The government has several roles in society and there are multiple ways to interpret its contribution to current economic activity. The contribution to overall economic activity from the government sector can be measured as: 1) the gross output of the sector minus intermediate inputs used in production (value added); 2) the government income payments to people and on behalf of people and taxes and social insurance contributions; or 3) the sum of government expenditures on final goods and services, including investment.

The value added in production by the government sector is composed primarily of the compensation of government employees. These employees provide all types of services: education, health care, transportation, utilities, sanitation, etc. Government value added also includes a residual term called [gross operating surplus](#), much of which reflects money spent replacing and maintaining government fixed assets.

**Value Added in Domestic Production**  
per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars




In the second quarter of 2020, the federal government value added in domestic production is \$837.7 billion, equivalent to \$2,539 per capita (see —). In 2019 Q4, federal government value added in production was equivalent to \$2,510 per capita, after adjusting for inflation.

State and local government value added in domestic production is \$1,756.9 billion in 2020 Q2 and \$1,850.1 billion in 2019 Q4, equivalent to \$5,326 and \$5,793 per capita, respectively (see —).

Payments from the government to people include both the wages and salaries of government workers and transfer payments, also referred to as government social benefits or welfare. Welfare is critically important to society, yet the word is stigmatized in the US. It's worth noting that a huge portion of US welfare payments are not cash transfers to people but payments to businesses on behalf of people. For example, the US overpays for prescription drugs and medical services on behalf of people. Those over-payments benefit shareholders and doctors. The US also structures certain welfare payments as complex phased-in tax credits, paid the following year, which have very high private administrative costs (around ten percent goes to tax preparation services) and exclude the poorest people.

Over the past thirty years, welfare payments, including those made to businesses, have mostly kept pace with consumer spending, while tax collection lagged behind income growth. Increased welfare payments on a per capita basis reflect price protections for the medical industry, as well as a larger share of the population receiving social security benefits. During the COVID-19 pandemic, consumer spending on services collapsed as businesses were closed, while government transfer payments to people hit record highs. As a result, estimates show seven million people taken out of poverty.

**Personal Income and Outlays**  
per capita, thousands of 2020 Q2 dollars




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

In 2020 Q2, government worker wages and salaries, not including benefits, were equivalent to \$4,309 per capita, following a price-adjusted \$4,460 in 2019 Q4 (see —). Welfare payments were equivalent to \$17,058 per capita in 2020 Q2, compared to \$9,436 per capita in 2019 Q4 (see —). In 1989 Q1, welfare payments were equivalent to \$3,809 per person.

Personal current taxes and social insurance contributions total \$10,535 per capita in 2020 Q2, \$11,091 in 2019 Q4, and \$6,977 in 1989 (see —).

Another approach to calculating the government sector effect on current economic activity is to add up spending on final goods and services. Government consumption and investment tends to be more stable than consumer spending or private investment, and thus tends to rise as a share of economic activity during recessions. This category does not include government transfer payments, which mostly become consumer spending.

**Consumption and Investment**  
share of GDP, percent



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

In 2020 Q2, federal non-defense spending and investment was \$628.3 billion, equivalent to 3.2 percent of GDP, compared to 2.6 percent of GDP in 2019 Q4 (see —). Federal spending on national defense was equivalent to 4.5 percent of GDP in the latest quarter and 4.0 percent in 2019 Q4 (see —). National defense spending was 6.9 percent of GDP in 1989 Q1.


In 2020 Q2, state and local government spending and investment was equivalent to 12.0 percent of GDP, compared to 10.8 percent in 2019 Q4 (see —).

## Government Spending and Investment

Government consumption expenditures and gross investment, which provide services and infrastructure, contributed 0.77 percentage point to real GDP growth in 2020 Q2, substantially above the average contribution of 0.45 percentage point over the past year, and far above the average of 0.24 percentage point since 1989. In 2020 Q2, federal defense spending and investment (see ■) contributed 0.18 percentage point, nondefense federal government spending and investment (see ■) contributed 0.98 percentage point, and state and local government (see ■) subtracted 0.40 percentage point.

### Government Consumption and Investment

percentage point contribution to real GDP growth



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

### Government Consumption and Investment

percentage point contribution to real GDP growth

	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q4	'19 Q3	'19 Q2	moving averages		
						3-year	10-year	30-year
Total	0.77	0.22	0.42	0.37	0.86	0.38	0.02	0.22
Federal total	1.17	0.10	0.26	0.31	0.58	0.28	0.00	0.07
■ National defense	0.18	-0.01	0.26	0.22	0.17	0.16	-0.04	0.01
Consumption expenditures	0.08	0.03	0.13	0.10	0.17	0.10	-0.03	0.01
Gross investment	0.10	-0.04	0.13	0.12	0.00	0.06	-0.01	0.00
■ Nondefense	0.98	0.11	0.00	0.09	0.41	0.12	0.04	0.06
Consumption expenditures	1.05	0.08	-0.03	0.05	0.35	0.11	0.03	0.05
Gross investment	-0.07	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.02
■ State & local total	-0.40	0.12	0.16	0.06	0.28	0.09	0.02	0.15
Consumption expenditures	-0.36	-0.13	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.12
Gross investment	-0.04	0.25	0.09	-0.06	0.21	0.05	0.01	0.03


Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Government current expenditures include consumption and investment as well as transfers such as government social benefits to persons. Government spending provides services and income to people. Government current receipts come primarily from taxes. When government expenditures exceed receipts, it is referred to as a *government deficit*, and corresponds to a private sector surplus. A large government deficit, relative to GDP gives, means the government is increasing household income and corporate profits.

Federal government expenditures total \$9.1 trillion, or 46.7 percent of GDP, in 2020 Q2. Receipts for the same period total \$3.5 trillion or 17.7 percent of GDP. In 2020 Q2, the federal government deficit was \$5,648 billion or 28.9 percent of GDP.

Combined state and local government expenditures total \$3.0 trillion, or 15.3 percent of GDP, in 2020 Q2. Receipts for the same period total \$3.5 trillion or 17.7 percent of GDP. In 2020 Q2, the combined state and local government surplus was \$470 billion or 2.4 percent of GDP.

### Receipts and Expenditures as Share of GDP



### Government Jobs

Government employment is a major source of household income. Government jobs are also disproportionately likely to provide health insurance and retirement benefits.

#### Government Employment

share of age 16+ population, percent



In September 2020, there were 21.8 million government jobs, equivalent to 8.4 for every 100 people in the age 16+ population (see —). The previous year, in September 2019, there were 22.6 million government jobs, equivalent to 8.7 percent of the age 16 or older population. Since February 2020, the US has lost 942,000 total government jobs.


## Government Balance Sheets

### Liabilities

In the second quarter of 2020, total public debt was \$26.5 trillion, equivalent to 135.6 percent of GDP. Of this, \$9.3 trillion, or 35.1 percent of the total, is held by private domestic investors (see ■). An additional \$7.0 trillion, or 26.6 percent of the total, is held by foreign investors (see ■). The remainder is held by the Federal Reserve (see ■) and various government agencies and trusts (see ■), such as the Social Security Trust Fund.

### Total Public Debt By Holder

percent of GDP



Source: Treasury


The ratio of public debt to GDP increased during the COVID-19 response, while the typical interest income from holding public debt fell because of lower interest rates. Treasuries and other government debt securities provide a safe asset for the balance sheets domestic households and businesses, and for foreign investors.

### Interest Expense

The Office of Management and Budget [reports](#) federal interest outlays of \$375.2 billion in fiscal year 2019, compared to \$325.0 billion in fiscal year 2018. Put into the context of the size of the economy, federal interest outlays in 2019 were equivalent to 1.8 percent of GDP, 1.6 percent of GDP in 2018, and an average of 2.9 percent in the 1990s, when interest rates were substantially higher.

### Federal Interest Outlays

share of GDP, percent



Source: FRED; OMB

While debt levels are much lower for the consolidated state and local government sectors, interest rates on municipal bonds are higher, and interest paid to investors is a larger share of government expenses at the state and local level.

## Assets


US government assets include financial assets but are mostly comprised of the non-financial assets of state and local governments (SLG), such as buildings and equipment. Land is not included in US measures of government assets.

In the second quarter of 2020, public/government assets excluding land are valued at \$23.7 trillion, equivalent to 121.6 percent of GDP. Of this, state and local government nonfinancial assets, such as buildings and equipment, are equivalent to 62.3 percent of GDP (see ■), and state and local government financial assets, such as insurance trust funds, are equivalent to 17.4 percent of GDP (see ■).

Federal government nonfinancial assets are equivalent to 18.7 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2 (see ■). Federal government financial assets have a market value equivalent to 23.3 percent of GDP (see ■).

### Government Assets

*does not include land, percent of GDP*



Source: Federal Reserve



# International Transactions

Transactions between the US and the rest of the world are recorded in the balance of payments as either current account transactions (which measure income) or capital and financial account transactions (which measure change in ownership of assets). This section discusses both types of transactions with a focus on the difference between payments from residents and payments to residents, referred to as the balance of payments. The section also covers trade in more detail and discusses trends in exchange rates.

## Balance of Payments


The **current account balance** captures international payments for goods and services as well as international transfers of money and net income received from ownership of foreign assets. It is the result of a set of payments to US residents, called current receipts, which are largely for exports of goods and services and returns on foreign assets, and a set of payments from US residents to the rest of the world, called current payments. Current payments are for goods and services imports, as well as transfers and payments for foreign ownership of US-based assets.

The current account balance can be decomposed into the balance on trade in goods (see ■), the balance on trade in services (see ■), the balance on primary income (such as wages or income from assets [see ■]), and secondary income (such as remittances and taxes [see ■]).

As of 2020 Q2, the US runs a current account deficit of 2.9 percent of GDP, primarily as the result of a trade deficit on goods of 4.1 percent of GDP. In 2020 Q1, the current account deficit was equivalent to 2.0, and the trade deficit was equivalent to 3.6 percent of GDP.

### Current Account Balance

balance on individual current account component, as percent of GDP



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

US current payments exceed current receipts and the US runs a persistent current account deficit. As a result, the extra flow of income (including in the form of goods and services) is balanced by an outflow of assets. Economic theory suggests that investment flows towards countries with lower labor costs and less capital per worker, as they have higher marginal productivity from additional capital. However, in the case of the US the opposite is happening, as net investment is flowing from less-developed countries with lower wages into the US. In other words, the US is borrowing money from less-developed countries to balance out its trade deficit, as shown in the financial accounts.

## Components of Current Account

share of GDP, percent

	2020	'20	'19	'19	'19	'19	moving averages	
	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	3-year	10-year
Current receipts	14.31	16.87	17.59	17.83	18.02	18.08	17.84	18.36
Exports	9.16	11.31	11.57	11.63	11.79	11.95	11.77	12.59
Goods	5.82	7.42	7.49	7.55	7.65	7.86	7.68	8.45
Durable	3.26	4.35	4.45	4.51	4.57	4.77	4.63	5.21
Nondurable	2.56	3.07	3.04	3.04	3.08	3.09	3.04	3.24
Services	3.35	3.89	4.08	4.08	4.14	4.09	4.09	4.15
Income receipts	4.44	4.89	5.36	5.48	5.55	5.44	5.34	5.02
Transfer receipts	0.71	0.67	0.66	0.72	0.68	0.69	0.73	0.74
Current payments	17.21	18.84	19.59	20.19	20.53	20.60	20.10	20.74
Imports	11.95	13.60	14.10	14.56	14.81	14.87	14.60	15.64
Goods	9.88	11.03	11.31	11.77	11.98	12.08	11.87	12.86
Durable	6.15	7.05	7.31	7.62	7.70	7.89	7.70	7.91
Nondurable	3.73	3.98	4.01	4.15	4.29	4.19	4.17	4.95
Services	2.08	2.57	2.78	2.80	2.83	2.79	2.73	2.77
Income payments	3.66	3.76	4.07	4.18	4.28	4.27	4.03	3.68
Transfer payments	1.60	1.47	1.42	1.44	1.44	1.46	1.46	1.42
Current account balance	-2.90	-1.96	-2.00	-2.36	-2.51	-2.52	-2.26	-2.38


Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Financial account transactions include the net domestic acquisition of foreign assets and the net domestic incurrence of foreign liabilities. The US **financial account balance** (see ■) is the net lending or borrowing of the combined domestic sectors with the rest of the world. The timing of payments lead to a statistical discrepancy (see ■), but the financial and capital account balance and current account balance otherwise sum to zero.

Over the year ending 2020 Q2, net domestic acquisitions of foreign assets were equivalent to 4.5 percent of GDP, while net domestic incurrence of foreign liabilities total 6.5 percent of GDP. Domestic net borrowing totals 3.0 percent of GDP.

## Financial Account Balance

percent of GDP



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis




## Trade

Transactions for goods and services between the US and foreign countries are [reported](#) monthly by the Census Bureau. Purchases of foreign goods and services by the US are imports (see —) and purchases of US goods and services by foreign countries are exports (see —). Trade in goods includes consumer goods, industrial equipment, and agricultural products. Trade in services is a bit more complicated and includes travel and tourism, business services, and charges for the use of intellectual property, among other services.

### US Imports and Exports, Monthly

*billions of USD, seasonally adjusted*



Source: Census Bureau


US imports of goods and services total \$239.0 billion in August 2020, compared to \$199.7 billion in May, and slightly below the year ago value, \$261.3 billion. Exports of goods and services are \$171.9 billion in August 2020, \$141.8 billion in May, and \$210.5 billion in August 2019. The trade balance, exports minus imports, shows a trade deficit of \$67.1 billion in August 2020, \$57.9 billion in May, and \$50.8 billion in August 2019. These values are seasonally adjusted but not adjusted for changes in prices or population.

While the US is less dependent on exports than most major economies, trade is far from inconsequential to domestic economic activity and quality of life. Additionally, over the past 40 years, a major shift in trade policy, the reduction of tariffs, lowered prices substantially but closed a large portion of the US factories with very little compensation for workers.

World Bank staff [calculate](#) the weighted average applied tariff rate on US imports. The measure shows the trend in applied tariffs, factoring in the actual composition of imports by trading partner. In 1989, the average tariff on US imports was 3.9 percent. During the 1990s and 2000s, the US entered into various trade agreements, while China joined the WTO, obtaining [most-favored nation](#) status with the US. In the latest data, covering 2018, the average tariff was 1.6 percent.

### Average Import Tariff Rate

*weighted average applied rate, percent*




Source: World Bank

Nonpetroleum goods and services imports (see —) were equivalent to 11.5 percent of GDP in the second quarter of 2020, while exports of nonpetroleum goods and services (see —) were equivalent to 8.7 percent of GDP.

### Imports and Exports, Nonpetroleum

*includes goods and services, but excludes petroleum products, share of GDP*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis


### Contribution to Overall Growth

The **trade balance** (exports of goods ■ and services ■ minus imports of goods ■ and services ■) acts as an adjustment to consumption and investment when calculating domestic production using the expenditure approach. A country with a positive trade balance, or trade surplus, produces more exports than its residents purchase in imports, therefore its trade balance is added to domestic purchases to calculate domestic production. The US runs a persistent trade deficit, which is subtracted from spending data to estimate domestic production.

Goods exports subtracted 6.56 percentage points from GDP growth in the second quarter of 2020 while services exports subtracted 2.95 percentage points. Good imports contributed 7.32 percentage points to GDP growth and services imports contributed 2.80 percentage points.

### International Trade

*percentage point contribution to real GDP growth*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Changes to the trade balance can come from many sources, such as changes in domestic or foreign preferences and income, changes in exchange rates, and changes in trade policy. The following table shows major categories of trade as a share of gross domestic product at various points over the past 30 years.

### Exports and Imports by Type

percentage point share of GDP

	period averages							
	2020 Q2	'20 Q1	'19 Q2	2016	2012 -13	2005 -06	1998 -99	1989 -93
Exports of goods and services	9.16	11.31	11.79	11.88	13.54	10.33	10.41	9.42
Exports of goods	5.82	7.42	7.65	7.70	9.34	7.32	7.52	6.84
Foods, feeds, and beverages	0.64	0.61	0.63	0.70	0.82	0.46	0.50	0.60
Industrial supplies & materials	1.90	2.48	2.48	2.07	2.96	1.92	1.55	1.65
Petroleum and products	0.50	0.94	0.92	0.53	0.90	0.28	0.11	0.12
Capital goods, except automotive	2.03	2.45	2.54	2.77	3.22	2.84	3.27	2.61
Automotive vehicles, & parts	0.32	0.70	0.76	0.80	0.91	0.77	0.79	0.67
Consumer goods, ex. food & auto	0.69	0.87	0.96	1.03	1.12	0.91	0.86	0.74
Durable goods	0.26	0.44	0.51	0.56	0.61	0.50	0.44	0.39
Nondurable goods	0.42	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.51	0.41	0.42	0.35
Exports of services	3.35	3.89	4.14	4.18	4.19	3.02	2.90	2.58
Transport	0.20	0.38	0.43	0.44	0.52	0.41	0.48	0.59
Travel	0.27	0.72	0.92	1.03	1.03	0.77	0.95	0.90
Intellectual property charges	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.77	0.59	0.44	0.29
Other business services	2.11	2.04	2.04	1.92	1.67	1.04	0.85	0.60
Imports of goods and services	11.95	13.60	14.81	14.61	16.76	15.89	12.63	10.38
Imports of goods	9.88	11.03	11.98	11.85	13.95	13.44	10.59	8.45
Foods, feeds, and beverages	0.75	0.72	0.72	0.70	0.69	0.54	0.46	0.43
Industrial supplies & materials	1.81	2.23	2.51	2.33	4.26	4.24	2.22	2.16
Petroleum and products	0.42	0.85	1.06	0.85	2.50	2.15	0.65	0.87
Capital goods, except automotive	2.99	3.00	3.20	3.17	3.37	3.00	3.03	2.04
Automotive vehicles, & parts	0.84	1.63	1.80	1.87	1.84	1.84	1.74	1.46
Consumer goods, ex. food & auto	2.87	2.80	3.10	3.12	3.19	3.20	2.47	1.83
Durable goods	1.25	1.28	1.53	1.63	1.71	1.75	1.29	0.97
Nondurable goods	1.62	1.51	1.56	1.49	1.48	1.46	1.18	0.86
Imports of services	2.08	2.57	2.83	2.77	2.81	2.45	2.04	1.93
Transport	0.26	0.42	0.50	0.49	0.53	0.57	0.54	0.55
Travel	0.05	0.46	0.65	0.58	0.60	0.61	0.63	0.61
Intellectual property charges	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.24	0.19	0.13	0.06
Other business services	1.41	1.31	1.28	1.28	1.24	0.83	0.54	0.38

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Import Penetration


Goods can be produced domestically or imported or some combination of the two. The import share of the total US demand for goods, measured as US produced goods and imported goods less exported goods, is also referred to as *import penetration*. This measure has risen considerably over the past thirty years. The majority of the long-term increase has been concentrated in consumer goods, while the decrease since 2011 has come primarily from petroleum and products.

As of 2020 Q2, imports of consumer goods excluding petroleum and petroleum products are equivalent to 13.3 percent of domestic consumption of goods (see ■). Petroleum-related imports claim 1.3 percent (see ■) and imports of all other goods, primarily capital goods, industrial supplies, and materials are equivalent to 14.9 percent (see ■).

From 1989 to 2011, imports of consumer goods excluding petroleum increased by the equivalent of 5.7 percent of domestic consumption of goods; petroleum and products imports increased by the equivalent of 6.3 percent; and all other goods increased by the equivalent of 6.4 percent. Since 2011, imports of consumer goods decreased by the equivalent of 3.0 percent of domestic goods demand; imports of petroleum and products decreased by the equivalent of 7.1 percent; and other imports decreased by the equivalent of 1.4 percent.

## Import Share of Goods

*import share of domestic-produced and imported goods less exports, by category*



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



The US Census Bureau [report](#) monthly data on US trade in goods, including by partner country. In August 2020, trade with the top 25 trading partners (see table) comprises 86.4 percent of total US trade in goods.

### US Trade in Goods

*census basis, millions of USD,  
not seasonally adjusted*

August 2020

August 2019

	Imports	Exports	Total	Imports	Exports	Total
Total, All Countries	203,518	118,068	321,587	215,646	138,310	353,956
China	40,816	11,036	51,852	41,151	9,415	50,566
Mexico	29,806	17,047	46,853	31,012	22,045	53,057
Canada	23,761	22,827	46,588	26,662	25,316	51,978
Japan	9,732	5,205	14,938	12,418	6,114	18,532
Germany	9,783	4,800	14,584	12,020	4,881	16,902
South Korea	6,187	4,074	10,261	6,776	4,670	11,447
Vietnam	8,381	789	9,171	6,832	1,367	8,200
Switzerland	6,449	2,333	8,782	3,321	1,190	4,511
United Kingdom	3,795	4,743	8,539	5,149	5,871	11,020
Taiwan	5,125	2,476	7,602	4,845	2,420	7,266
India	4,294	2,151	6,446	4,774	2,584	7,358
Netherlands	2,364	3,716	6,081	3,059	3,959	7,019
Italy	4,272	1,496	5,768	4,852	2,033	6,886
Ireland	4,832	813	5,645	4,770	793	5,563
France	3,786	1,784	5,570	4,318	3,053	7,371
Malaysia	3,841	986	4,828	3,904	1,063	4,967
Brazil	1,715	2,798	4,514	2,410	3,715	6,125
Thailand	3,306	806	4,113	3,027	1,087	4,115
Singapore	1,986	2,086	4,073	2,004	2,751	4,756
Belgium	1,563	2,382	3,945	1,349	3,286	4,636
Australia	1,182	2,060	3,243	1,040	2,169	3,209
Indonesia	1,748	608	2,357	1,820	618	2,439
Spain	1,273	971	2,244	1,511	1,241	2,752
Hong Kong	238	1,783	2,021	346	2,402	2,749
Israel	1,204	774	1,979	1,403	1,008	2,412

Source: Census Bureau


## International Investment Position

The US net international investment position (IIP) measures the difference between residents' foreign assets and liabilities. The Bureau of Economic Analysis [report](#) the US IIP data on a quarterly basis beginning in 2006, while prior data are annual.

In 2020 Q2, domestic holdings of foreign assets are equivalent to 147.9 percent of GDP (see —), compared to 106.3 percent in 2006 Q1. Domestic liabilities to the foreign sector total 214.7 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2 (see —), and 118.5 percent in 2006 Q1. As a result, net IIP, holdings of foreign assets minus liabilities, identifies the US as a net debtor to the result of the world, to the equivalent of 66.8 percent of GDP in 2020 Q2 (see ■) and 12.2 percent of GDP in 2006 Q1.

### International Investment

share of GDP, percent



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis




## Exchange Rates

Changes in the strength or weakness of the US Dollar (USD) can affect trade and financial flows. The dollar is said to be relatively strong when more units of foreign currency, for example Japanese Yen (JPY), British Pounds (GBP), Euros (EUR), or Canadian Dollars (CAD), are required to buy one USD.

As of October 9, 2020, one US dollar buys approximately: 1.31 Canadian dollars (see —), 106 Japanese Yen (see —), 0.85 Euros (see —), and 0.77 British Pounds (see —). Over the past three years, the nominal exchange rate between the US dollar and the Canadian dollar decreased by 1.6 percent, the USD-JPY rate decreased by 1.6 percent, the USD-EUR rate decreased by 7.1 percent, and the USD-GBP rate decreased by 6.1 percent.

### Selected Exchange Rates

units of foreign currency required to purchase one US dollar



Source: Federal Reserve



### Broad Dollar Index

trade-weighted foreign exchange rate index  
January 2006=100



Source: Federal Reserve



Fed [trade-weighted dollar indices](#) show weighted-average foreign exchange rates with US trading partners, which simplify thinking about the overall role of foreign exchange rates on the US external sector. The Broad Dollar Index (see —), which starts in 2006, summarizes foreign exchange rates between the US and trading partners, weighting rates by the amount of trade in both goods and services.

The latest index value, as of October 9, 2020, is 115.6, an increase of 15.6 percent since inception in 2006. Over the past three years, the index value has averaged 115.0, compared to an average of 110.4 over the previous three-year period.

## Selected Exchange Rates

units of foreign currency required to buy one US Dollar

	Oct 9, 2020	1-month moving average	1-year moving average	1-month percent change	1-year percent change	5-year percent change
 EUR	0.846	0.850	0.891	-0.1	-7.1	-4.6
 GBP	0.769	0.777	0.784	0.1	-6.1	17.0
 JPY	105.7	105.5	107.8	-0.5	-1.6	-11.5
 CAD	1.312	1.327	1.346	-0.3	-1.6	-0.7
 MXN	21.15	21.62	21.27	-1.7	8.1	25.8
 CNY	6.69	6.79	7.00	-2.0	-6.1	5.3
 CHF	0.910	0.916	0.958	-0.3	-8.5	-6.0
 HKD	7.75	7.75	7.77	-0.0	-1.2	-0.0
 INR	72.98	73.48	73.57	-0.5	2.7	11.7
 AUD	1.382	1.391	1.475	0.5	-7.0	-2.9
 NZD	1.500	1.506	1.563	0.2	-5.5	-3.5
 BRL	5.52	5.49	4.88	4.5	34.5	39.1
 KRW	1143.5	1167.8	1193.1	-3.5	-4.5	-2.7
 MYR	4.13	4.15	4.22	-0.9	-1.5	-6.3
 DKK	6.30	6.33	6.65	-0.0	-7.4	-4.8
 NOK	9.15	9.26	9.45	1.4	-0.1	9.9
 SEK	8.79	8.90	9.43	0.3	-11.7	5.7
 ZAR	16.41	16.68	16.30	-1.4	8.1	19.0
 SGD	1.353	1.364	1.383	-1.0	-2.0	-5.6
 TWD	28.62	29.02	29.88	-2.3	-7.2	-12.7

Source: Federal Reserve



## Labor Markets

Labor is the primary source of income for US households and is essential to the production of goods and services. The portion of labor that is provided by a household member to others outside of the household or to other households is considered *employment*. As of September 2020, 148.9 million people are employed (including self-employment).

Labor provided within a household is not captured by GDP compilation methods (expenditures, output, or income), though household surveys offer some insight into this important category of labor. The number of people who are considered employed divided by the total population is the employment rate or employment-to-population ratio, which is 45.8 percent as of September 2020.

### Labor Force Status of Population

millions of people




When a member of a household is not employed but looked for a job during the past four weeks or is on temporary layoff, they are considered **unemployed**. As of September 2020, there are 12.3 million unemployed people. The combined group of employed and unemployed people is the labor force. The number of unemployed people divided by the number of people in the labor force is the unemployment rate, currently 7.6 percent. The number of people in the labor force divided by the total population is the labor force participation rate, currently 49.6 percent.

People who are not employed and not unemployed are considered to be outside of the labor force. Non-participants usually comprise about half of the population, and total 163.9 million in September 2020. The category includes children (60.3 million), students (18.3 million), unpaid caregivers (13.3 million), those unable to work due to disability or illness (13.5 million), those who want a job but have given up looking (6.5 million), and retirees and the elderly (49.0 million).

### Labor Force Status Changes

percentage point contribution to one-year growth of age 15+ population



The labor force status of the US population varies by age, sex, and over time. Because very few people receive capital income, the share of the population with labor income is particularly important to overall levels of economic activity.

### Labor Force Status

*September 2020, thousands of people, not seasonally adjusted*

	Total, 15+	Men, 15-29	Men, 30-59	Men, 60+	Women, 15-29	Women, 30-59	Women, 60+
Population	264,883	32,208	61,280	34,761	31,913	63,555	41,166
Employed	148,921	17,704	49,887	11,712	16,376	43,357	9,886
Multiple jobs	6,522	551	2,140	383	856	2,233	359
Full-time	94,429	10,539	36,595	7,086	8,258	27,019	4,932
Part-time	54,492	7,165	13,292	4,626	8,117	16,338	4,954
Economic reasons	5,996	1,026	1,622	415	942	1,656	334
Unemployed	12,326	2,393	3,199	766	2,131	3,115	722
Not in Labor Force	103,636	12,110	8,195	22,284	13,406	17,084	30,558
Discouraged	6,517	1,196	1,284	686	1,062	1,561	728
Disabled/III	13,534	855	3,702	2,067	711	3,724	2,476
Family/Care	13,289	513	837	139	2,200	8,546	1,055
School	18,285	8,704	377	42	8,671	455	35
Retirement	49,028	175	1,354	19,171	200	2,081	26,048

Source: Author's Calculations from CPS

Additionally, changes over time in labor force status are particularly important to understanding both secular and cyclical trends in the economy.

### Labor Force Changes


*Change from September 2019 to September 2020, thousands of people*

	Total, 15+	Men, 15-29	Men, 30-59	Men, 60+	Women, 15-29	Women, 30-59	Women, 60+
Population	1,041	-369	-182	1,077	-366	-182	1,063
Employed	-10,981	-1,890	-2,783	-454	-2,057	-3,220	-577
Multiple jobs	-1,874	-233	-456	-154	-375	-462	-194
Full-time	-27,934	-3,344	-10,207	-1,676	-2,947	-8,513	-1,247
Part-time	16,952	1,454	7,424	1,222	889	5,293	670
Economic reasons	1,951	185	711	211	218	511	116
Unemployed	6,731	1,036	1,895	513	1,064	1,785	439
Not in Labor Force	5,291	485	706	1,018	627	1,253	1,201
Discouraged	2,243	345	566	239	217	669	206
Disabled/III	-880	-63	-90	-257	164	-208	-426
Family/Care	840	126	56	45	-48	481	180
School	-51	-142	-28	12	97	-12	22
Retirement	2,087	47	-19	887	35	4	1,133

Source: Author's Calculations from CPS

## Labor Share of Income

compensation of employees as share of gross domestic income, percent





Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis 

The labor share of income measures how much labor is paid relative to the total income in the economy in a year. While the laborer share of the population has fallen, and an increasing share of income goes to depreciation of capital including housing, cyclical patterns suggest worker bargaining power also affects the labor share of income. As of the second quarter of 2020, labor receives 55.9 percent of gross domestic income, and the labor share increased by a total of 2.5 percentage points over the past year. The labor share is 4.4 percentage points above its 30-year low of 51.6 percent in 2014 Q3, but 1.5 percentage points below the 30-year high of 57.4 percent in 1992 Q3.


## Gross Labor Income

In labor markets, unlike other markets, wages (the price of labor) tend not to be cut in response to a short-term decrease in demand; businesses instead employ fewer workers and/or cut hours. As a result, wage data give only a partial picture of the labor income received by households.

**Gross labor income** (compensation of employees in the national accounts), which captures both the amount of employment (see ) and the rate of compensation (see ) , decreased at an annualized and inflation-adjusted rate of 23.32 percent in 2020 Q2. Changes in wages contributed 26.80 percentage points, and changes in total hours worked subtracted 50.12 percentage points.

## Gross Labor Income Growth

percentage point contribution to gross labor income growth



Source: Author's Calculations 

## Employment

In September 2020, 75.0 percent of 25-54 years olds were employed, compared to 75.3 percent in August 2020. Over the past year, the age 25-54 employment rate has fallen by 5.1 percentage points. The current age 25-54 employment rate is 6.3 percentage points (equivalent to 7.9 million workers) below the average during 1998–99, a period with a particularly tight labor market.

### Employment Rate

*employed share of age 25–54 population*




Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

The employment rate shown above is based on a monthly survey that asks about employment during a specific week of the previous month. However, additional data is available on what share of a population works year-round rather than just during a specific week. This can be combined with data on hours worked to identify the *fully-employed*, or *full-time, full-year workers*, who are defined below as the those who usually work 35 hours per week or more for 50 weeks per year or more. The Census Bureau [report](#) 118 million fully-employed people in 2018, equivalent to 36 percent of the overall US population.

In 2018, fewer than half (43.0 percent) of commuter zones have at least a third of their population working full-time and full-year. A total of 18 commuter zones (out of 741), covering 2.6 million people, have a quarter of the population or less fully employed. Of commuter zones with 100,000 people or more, the top and bottom ten by fully-employed share of population are listed below.

### Commuter Zone Fully-Employed Rate

*full-time, full-year worker share of population, 2018*



Top 10:

41.2%	Bismarck, ND
40.9%	Madison, WI
40.8%	Arlington, VA
40.1%	Denver, CO
39.9%	Austin, TX
39.8%	Glenwood Springs, CO
39.7%	Des Moines, IA
39.4%	Nashville-Davidson, TN
39.3%	Fargo, ND
39.1%	Fredericksburg, VA

Bottom 10:

19.8%	Gallup, NM
22.4%	Hazard, KY
23.3%	Yuma, AZ
23.4%	Pikeville, KY
23.5%	Ocala, FL
23.6%	Corbin, KY
24.5%	Port Angeles, WA
24.6%	Greenville, MS
25.0%	Huntington, WV
25.0%	Altamont, OR

Source: American Community Survey

## Employment Rates of Largest Commuter Zones, 2018

	<i>all ages</i>		<i>age 25–54</i>	
	full-time & full-year	employed	full-time & full-year	employed
Los Angeles, CA	33.7	57.4	58.4	87.2
New York, NY	36.0	58.4	62.3	87.8
Chicago, IL	36.7	60.5	64.4	90.3
Houston, TX	36.2	57.4	63.2	88.0
Newark, NJ	37.7	59.9	65.1	89.7
Philadelphia, PA	35.1	60.6	62.0	89.8
Washington, DC	40.8	64.5	68.9	93.4
Boston, MA	36.7	64.4	63.6	91.9
San Francisco, CA	37.9	62.8	64.3	91.3
Atlanta, GA	38.0	60.0	66.6	90.9
Detroit, MI	32.9	58.0	59.6	88.1
Dallas, TX	39.1	60.3	67.1	89.8
Phoenix, AZ	34.4	58.0	62.2	89.0
Seattle, WA	37.3	63.0	62.6	91.5
Miami, FL	37.2	58.0	64.0	88.4


Source: American Community Survey

The share of the age 16 and older population that is employed in any amount at a point in time varies by state and over time. In August 2020, 35 states had an employment rate above below 60 percent, compared to 45 in April 2020. In August 2019, 19 states had an employment rate below 60 percent and 11 states had an unemployment rate above 65 percent. In August 2020, two states have an employment rate above 65 percent.

The states with the highest employment rates in August 2020 are Nebraska (67.1%), North Dakota (65.5%), and South Dakota (65.0%). The states with the lowest employment rates are New Mexico (47.9%), West Virginia (49.0%), and Mississippi (49.8%).

## Employment Rate by State

*employed share of population, percent*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Changes in Payroll Employment

The [establishment survey](#) from the monthly jobs report identifies how many nonfarm payroll jobs were added or lost in a given month (see ■).

The US added 661,000 jobs in September 2020, compared to 1,489,000 added in August 2020, and an average of 1,304,000 added over the past three months. US payrolls shed a combined 22.2 million jobs in March and April 2020 and have since recovered 11.4 million jobs (51.5 percent).

To maintain a steady employment rate with population growth, the US needs to add around 150,000 jobs per month. During the 12 months prior to the COVID-related job losses the US was adding an average of 194,000 jobs per month.

### Nonfarm Payroll Growth

one-month change in total employment, in millions



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics



## Nonfarm Payrolls by Industry Group

seasonally adjusted, thousands

levels

monthly change

combined


	Sep 2020	Sep 2019	Sep 2020	Aug 2020	Jul 2020	Mar '19 to Feb '20 avg	Since May 2020	Mar and Apr '20
<b>Total</b>	141,720	151,368	661	1,489	1,761	194	11,417	-22,160
Education & Health Services	23,189	24,323	40	170	219	55	1,384	-2,781
Government	21,803	22,650	-216	467	235	19	27	-969
Professional & Business Serv.	20,164	21,402	89	188	162	32	910	-2,296
Retail Trade	15,189	15,622	142	261	253	0	1,901	-2,384
Leisure & Hospitality	13,027	16,631	318	143	633	32	4,478	-8,318
Manufacturing	12,205	12,851	66	36	41	1	716	-1,363
Financial Activities	8,683	8,771	37	26	15	12	117	-279
Construction	7,245	7,524	26	17	31	18	689	-1,083
Wholesale Trade	5,622	5,913	18	10	-19	4	85	-397
Transportation & Warehousing	5,373	5,628	73	81	48	7	265	-569
Information	2,618	2,866	27	26	-11	4	9	-285
Mining & Logging	613	731	1	-8	-6	-2	-40	-61
Utilities	541	547	2	0	1	0	0	-3

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics



Employment rates vary over time, but also by age, gender, and education. Over the three months ending September 2020, the employment rate for most education groups is lower than it was during the same three months one year prior.

**Employment Rates**      ■ September 2020      ■ September 2019  
employed share of age group population, percent



Source: Author's Calculations from CPS




## Changes in Employment by Occupation

The COVID-19 pandemic changed the occupational composition of the US workforce. Business closures reduced the share of the US population that is employed in personal care and related services, administrative support, and sales. Many workers trained in these occupations lost or changed jobs and, additionally, some full-time workers became part-time. While employment increased in some occupations, such as healthcare support, the share of people employed full-time decreased in most occupational groups during the past three months, which end September 2020, compared to the same three months one year prior.

### Change in Occupational Employment, September 2020

*change in share of population employed, latest three months vs year ago, percentage points*



Source: Author's Calculation from CPS





## Unemployment

The headline unemployment rate, also known as the U3 unemployment rate, measures people who do not have a job but are looking for one or are on temporary layoff, as a share of the labor force (those employed and unemployed). BLS [reports](#) 12.6 million unemployed persons in September 2020, and an unemployment rate of 7.9 percent (see [—](#)), slightly below the August 2020 rate of 8.4 percent, but far above the September 2019 rate of 3.5 percent.

BLS also [report](#) a broader measure of unemployment, known as U6 or labor under-utilization. Labor under-utilization includes unemployed people counted in U3 as well as people who have given up looking for work and people who are part-time but would like to work full-time. In September 2020, the labor under-utilization rate is 12.8 percent (see [—](#)).

### Unemployment Measures

share of labor force, percent




Source: BLS

Unemployment is much more common for disadvantaged groups, with the black or African American unemployment rate typically double the white unemployment rate. A very tight labor market may have the effect of reducing racial discrimination in hiring. However, disadvantaged groups are more likely to lose jobs in a downturn. As a result, the full-employment portion of the business cycle is quite short for many people. Since February 2020, the black unemployment rate has increased by 6.3 percentage points to 12.1 percent (see [—](#)).

### Unemployment Rate

unemployed share of labor force



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

### Unemployment Measures

seasonally adjusted, percent

	Sep '20	Aug '20	Jul '20	Jun '20	May '20	Apr '20	GFC peak	Date
Under-utilization Rate (U6)	12.8	14.2	16.5	18.0	21.2	22.8	17.2	Dec '09
Unemployment Rate (U3)	7.9	8.4	10.2	11.1	13.3	14.7	10.0	Oct '09
by race/ethnicity:								
White	7.0	7.3	9.2	10.1	12.4	14.2	9.2	Oct '09
Black	12.1	13.0	14.6	15.4	16.8	16.7	16.8	Mar '10
Hispanic	10.3	10.5	12.9	14.5	17.6	18.9	13.0	Aug '09
Asian	8.9	10.7	12.0	13.8	15.0	14.5	8.4	Dec '09

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics


## Reasons for unemployment

There are multiple reasons for unemployment, some of which do not qualify for unemployment insurance. During the trough of a business cycle, most unemployed are those who lost a job, for example from layoffs, or had a temporary job end (see ■). In contrast during the peak of the business cycle, a much larger share of the unemployed are re-entrants to the labor market, meaning they had previously left the labor force but are looking for a job again (see ■). Some unemployed are new-entrants who are looking for their first job (see ■). New entrants are not traditionally covered by unemployment insurance. Lastly, the unemployed include those who left a job voluntarily and are looking for a new one (see ■). This group is also traditionally ineligible for unemployment insurance claims.

In September 2020, 5.7 percent of the labor force were unemployed because of losing a job or having a temporary job end. Of these, 2.9 percent of the labor force are unemployed due to temporary layoff, equivalent to 36.9 percent of the unemployed. Additionally, 0.5 percent of the labor force were re-entrants, 1.3 percent were new entrants, and 0.3 percent were job leavers.

### Unemployment by Reason

unemployed share of labor force, by reason for unemployment



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics



### Unemployment Reasons and Employed Not at Work

share of labor force, percent

	Sep '20	Aug '20	Jul '20	Jun '20	May '20	Sep '19	Aug '19	Jul '19	Jun '19	May '19
Unemployed, Any Reason	7.9	8.4	10.2	11.1	13.3	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6
Job Loser	5.7	6.4	8.1	8.9	11.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6
Temporary Layoff	2.9	3.8	5.8	6.6	9.7	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Permanent Separation	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Re-entrant	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
New entrant	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4
Job Leaver	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

See also:

Employed, Not at Work	3.3	4.8	6.0	4.9	5.3	2.5	4.3	6.1	4.4	2.6
-----------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics; Author



## Duration of unemployment

US unemployment benefits are available for a relatively short duration, compared with other advanced countries. Therefore, those with an extended period of unemployment risk running out of unemployment benefits, causing a sharp reduction in income. Additionally, long periods of unemployment can make re-entering the labor market more challenging.

As of September 2020, BLS [reports](#) that 0.93 percent of the age 16+ population have been unemployed for 27 weeks or longer, compared to 0.51 percent in September 2019 (see [—](#)). This measure of long-term unemployment peaked at 2.96 percent of the population in April 2010, but had fallen to 0.36 percent in April 2020.

In September 2020, 2.78 percent of the age 16+ population are unemployed for at least 15 weeks, following 3.08 percent in August 2020, and 3.03 percent in July 2020.

### Long-term Unemployed

unemployed 27+ weeks, share of population



Source: BLS



### Long-term Unemployed

unemployed 15+ weeks, share of population




Source: BLS



Among those who are unemployed, the average (mean) duration of unemployment is 20.7 weeks, and the typical (median) duration of unemployment is 17.8 weeks, as of September 2020.

### Duration of Unemployment

in weeks



Source: BLS




## Unemployment by metro area

The Bureau of Labor Statistics [produce](#) local area estimates of unemployment, including the **unemployment rate for metro areas**. BLS report the share of the local area labor force that is unemployed in the largest 300 or so metropolitan areas. The following map captures recent changes by displaying the difference between the average unemployment rate over the past three months and the previous three months. A positive change indicates that the unemployment rate increased.

### Change in Unemployment Rate by Metro Area

*most recent three months change from previous three months  
in percentage points, latest three months end August 2020*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics


## Unemployment by state

The Bureau of Labor Statistics [report](#) the state unemployment rate—unemployed people as a share of the state labor force—each month, around two weeks after reporting the national unemployment rate. In August 2020, 10 states had an unemployment rate above ten percent, compared to 44 states in April 2020. In August 2019, no states had an unemployment rate above ten percent and only four states had an unemployment rate above five percent. In August 2020, 45 states have an unemployment rate above five percent.

The states with the highest unemployment rates in August 2020 are Nevada (13.3%), Rhode Island (13.0%), and New York (12.6%). The states with the lowest unemployment rates are Idaho (3.8%), Nebraska (3.9%), and Utah (4.2%).

## Unemployment Rate by State

*unemployed share of labor force, percent*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics


## Jobless Claims

The Department of Labor [report](#) 804,307 actual new claims for unemployment insurance (UI) under state programs (see —) during the week ending October 3, 2020, a one-week increase of 5,300. Over the past four weeks, new claims have averaged 806,600 per week. During the same four-week period last year, there were an average of 177,400 new claims per week.

For the week ending September 26, 2020, the Department of Labor [reports](#) 10,612,021 continued claims for unemployment insurance (insured unemployed) under state programs (see —), a one-week decrease of 1,010,300. One year prior, during the week of September 28, 2019, there were an average of 1,392,100 insured unemployed.

### New UI Claims

*initial claims per week, in millions,  
not seasonally adjusted*




Source: Department of Labor



### Continued UI Claims

*insured unemployed, in millions,  
not seasonally adjusted*



Source: Department of Labor



In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, traditional state-run unemployment insurance was boosted by federal programs that expanded eligibility for benefits and increased the amount of benefit payments. Over the past few months, many of the new and continuing claims for unemployment insurance have been through the federal programs.

Over the week ending October 3, 2020, there were 464,400 initial UI claims under the Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) program (see —), compared to 650,100 during the prior week, and an average of 601,300 initial claims per week over the past four weeks.

Federal program continuing claims total 13,354,785 in September 26, 2020 (see —). These include both claims under the PUA program and claims under the Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC) program. Combining federal program claims with state program claims indicates there are a total of 24.0 million insured unemployed persons during the week ending September 26, 2020, compared to 28.4 million one month prior, during the week ending September 5, 2020.

## Labor Force Participation

Those who are either employed or unemployed are considered to be in the labor force. Over the long term, the share of the US population that is in the labor force, called the labor force participation rate, has been falling because of the aging of the population (because labor force participation rates are lower among the elderly and an increasing share of the overall population are elderly). However, participation in the labor force is also cyclical and affected by economic conditions. For example, during a downturn people become discouraged by their labor force prospects and stop looking for work, removing them from the labor force.


Age is not the only demographic factor affecting labor force participation. The US population has become much more educated over the past 30 years, putting upward pressure on labor force participation, as participation rates tend to increase with education. Additionally, women were participating in the labor force at increasing rate in the US until the 2000s while men were not.

In the latest data, covering September 2020, 61.4 percent of people age 16 and older are in the labor force (see —), compared to 61.7 percent in August and 61.4 percent in July. In February 2020, when US confirmed cases of COVID-19 were still low, this labor force participation rate was 63.4 percent.

In September 2020, 67.6 percent of men age 16+ are in the labor force (see —), compared to 55.6 percent of women (see —). Since February 2020, labor force participation has decreased 1.8 percentage points among men, and decreased 2.3 percentage points among women.

### Labor Force Participation Rate

*labor force as share of age 16 and older population, percent*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics




## Reasons for labor force non-participation

The Current Population Survey (CPS) asks those who are not employed or looking for work about their major activities and reasons for not participating in the labor market. [Answers](#) vary by age in intuitive ways, and are influenced by labor market conditions.

### Labor Force Non-Participation

share of age 15+ population, percent



Source: Author's calculations from CPS

These labor force non-participants, which do not include those under the age of 15, total 100.7 million in September 2020, or 38.6 percent of the age 15 or older population, compared to 36.9 percent in September 2019. Slightly less than half of non-participants, and 19.0 percent of population, are retirees in September 2020 (see ■). A total of 13.7 million people, or 5.2 percent of the age 15 or older population, are out of the labor force due to disability or illness; 5.7 percent were out of the labor force for school, and 5.1 percent for family or caregiving reasons.


While the recession of 2001 appears mild in measures of expenditure, it was followed by a substantial reduction in the share of the population receiving labor income. The economy was losing jobs at an alarming rate long after the 2001 recession had officially ended, though labor market weakness was [partially masked](#) by a major housing bubble. Seven years after the recession of 2001, the burst of the housing bubble caused the great recession, which pushed many more people out of the labor force.

From March 2001 to the latest available twelve months of data, ending September 2020, an additional 3.3 percent of the age 18–64 population left the labor force. Changes in the demographic composition of the population affect the rate of participation. For example, the larger-than-normal population cohort born after World War II is reaching retirement age in this period. Changes in the age and sex distribution explain 1.1 percentage points of the cumulative decrease since March 2001 (see —).

Additionally, young people are staying in school longer, on average, reducing the age 18–64 labor force by 1.8 percent (see —). Disability and illness reduce the labor force by another 0.5 percent (see —). Less retirement among those age 18–64 increases the labor force by 0.7 percent, over the period (see —).

### Contribution to Labor Force Participation

cumulative percentage point contribution to age 18–64 labor force participation rate since March 2001



Source: Author's Calculations from CPS

Series in the chart are adjusted so that the distribution of the age 18–64 population by age and sex is constant and equal to its March 2001 value. The total effect of this adjustment on labor force participation is included separately in the chart, as [Age/Sex](#).




## Labor Force Flows

The current population survey interviews households up to eight times over 16 months, allowing insight into the labor force status of the same individual over time, and in particular, into **flows between different employment, unemployment, and other categories**. The Bureau of Labor Statistics [publish](#) many monthly indicators based on labor force flows, and others can be calculated directly from the public use data.

Among newly-employed workers, some were looking for work or otherwise considered unemployed the prior month, while others were not in the labor force. In September 2020, 7.4 million people were newly employed (on a gross basis). Of these, 55.2 percent were not looking for work in the prior month (see —). Over the past three months, an average of 50.5 percent of the newly employed were not looking for work the month prior (see —). With low unemployment, new employees are being pulled from outside of the labor force and bypassing unemployment. Three years ago, in September 2017, 70.8 percent of the newly employed were not looking for work month prior.

### Newly Employed, Not Previously Looking For Work

*share of newly employed that were not looking for work in the prior month*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics



The great recession worsened job-finding prospects for those not in the labor force (NILF) due to disability or illness. As a result, the flow into employment for people age 25 to 54 who are out of the labor force due to a disability or illness slowed considerably. Only over the past few years have these prospects recovered. Over the year ending September 2020, 8.1 percent of persons age 25–54 who were out of the labor force due to disability or illness in the prior year are now employed (see —). This one-year rate of job-finding has increased substantially from its 2010–2013 average of 5.8 percent

### Flow, Disability to Work

*NILF disability/illness, age 25 to 54, share employed one year later*



Source: Author's Calculations from CPS




## Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey

Some types of **turnover** in the labor market are healthy and mean people are better able find a new job if they do not like the one they have. Additionally, the job prospects outside of a firm affect the bargaining power of the workers inside of the firm. The Bureau of Labor Statistics [report](#) the number of job openings, hires, and separations in several industry groups on a monthly basis. Within separations, these data distinguish voluntarily leaving a job as *quits*.

In August 2020, there were 6.5 million total nonfarm job openings (see —) and 5.9 million hires completed (see —). In the same month there were 4.6 million nonfarm separations, of which 1.5 million were layoffs (see —) and 2.8 million were voluntary (see —). One year prior, in September 2019, there were 2.0 million layoffs, and 7.0 million job openings.

### Job Turnover

job openings, hires, quits, and layoffs, in millions




Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

The number of people who voluntarily separate (quit) a job in a given month, divided by the total number employed is the *quits rate*. The rate typically increases when workers are confident enough to leave one job for another one, and a high quits rate, particularly in low-paying industries, can be a sign of a tight labor market.

### Quits Rate

voluntary separations as share of workforce




Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

The quits rate within the accommodations and food services industries (which includes restaurants), is highly cyclical, and tends to rise when a tight labor market pulls people out of restaurant jobs and into higher paying jobs in other industries. In August 2020, the total quits rate in all industries was 2.0 percent (see —). The accommodations and food services quits rate was 4.1 percent (see —); the series high for the industry group was 6.3 percent in January 2001.

For additional context, there are 13.6 million unemployed persons in August 2020. The ratio of job openings to unemployed persons was 0.5 in August 2020 (see —), compared to 1.2 in the same month one year prior, and 0.9 in September 2017.

### Job Openings Per Unemployed Person


*job openings divided by total employment*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

### Quits Rate by Industry


*voluntary separations as share of total employment, selected industry groups, percent*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

### Job Openings Rate

*job openings share of jobs, by industry group, percent*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Hours Worked

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) report hours worked per week in both Current Employment Statistics (CES) and Labor Force Statistics (LFS).


Weekly hours for the total group of people at work in all industries average 39.0 in September 2020 (see —) in line with the 38.9 average weekly hours in February 2020. Weekly hours for this group average 39.6 from 1998 through 2000, and fell to a great recession low of 37.4 in February 2010.

Those in service occupations (see —) work fewer hours on average, with 34.1 average weekly hours in September 2020, substantially below the 35.1 average in February 2020. Those part-time for economic reasons (see —) work an average of 21.9 hours per week in September 2020.

In September 2020, production and non-supervisory workers (see —), about four of every five employees, worked 34.1 hours per week on average, slightly above the 33.7 average weekly hours in February 2020 and slightly below the 1998–2000 average of 34.4 hours.

### Hours Worked

average hours per week




Source: BLS

BLS also [report](#) a quarterly index tracking aggregate hours worked in nonfarm businesses (see ■). Total hours worked in nonfarm businesses decreased at an annual rate of 42.9 percent in 2020 Q2, following a decrease of 6.1 percent in 2020 Q1.

### Aggregate Hours Worked

quarterly growth at seasonally adjusted annual rate, percent



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Part-time Work

Part-time workers who would prefer full-time work are referred to as involuntary part time or part time for economic reasons in the labor force statistics produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. This group is comprised of people who don't have enough hours because of slack business conditions or who are unable to find full-time work.

### Part Time, Economic Reasons

percent of labor force



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics


As of September 2020, 6,300,000 people are working part time because of economic reasons, equivalent to 3.9 percent of the labor force (see —), the lowest level since March 2020 and substantially above the February 2020 rate of 2.6 percent. During the great recession, the involuntary part-time share of the labor force peaked at 6.0 percent in September 2010.

## More Than One Job

Multiple jobholding rates have been fairly stable from 2000 to 2019. The household survey used to identify people with more than one job asks about a specific reference week. As a result, the multiple jobholding rate is not intending to capture people who rely on a patchwork of multiple jobs over time, but work at one job in the survey reference week.

### Multiple Jobholders

percent of workers



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics


In September 2020, 6,482,000 people are working more than one job, equivalent to 4.4 percent of workers (see —), the lowest level since June 2020 and substantially below the February 2020 rate of 5.2 percent. The multiple jobholder share of workers peaked at 6.6 percent in November 1996.

## Wage Growth

The **usual wages of full-time workers** can be measured at various points in the income distribution using the Current Population Survey. BLS report these data by decile and quartile, with the most commonly used measure being the median usual weekly earnings.

BLS [calculations](#) (see —) for 2020 Q2 show nominal first decile usual weekly earnings of \$496.00, compared to \$454.00 in 2019 Q2, resulting in one-year growth of 9.3 percent. In the previous quarter, 2020 Q1, first decile usual weekly earnings grew by 5.9 percent over the year. Author's calculations from the CPS (see —) show three-month moving average first decile usual weekly earnings of \$490.00 in September 2020, \$496.00 in August 2020, and \$459.00 in September 2019. One-year growth was 8.0 percent for the three months ending September 2020, 10.0 percent for the three months ending August 2020, and 11.0 percent for the three months ending July 2020.

### Weekly Earnings, First Decile



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics and Author's Calculations

### Usual Weekly Earnings

full-time, wage and salary earners, age 16+, nominal USD

	2020 Q2	2020 Q1	2019 Q4	2019 Q3	2019 Q2	2018 Q2	2017 Q2	2016 Q2	2015 Q2
First decile	496	468	467	461	454	426	410	395	384
First quartile	670	630	623	611	611	585	574	545	524
Median	1002	957	936	919	908	876	859	824	801
Third quartile	1551	1513	1488	1462	1432	1384	1360	1313	1270
Ninth decile	2383	2320	2280	2275	2270	2112	2087	1997	1920

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

### Weekly Earnings Growth

full-time, wage and salary earners, age 16+, one-year growth, percent

	2020 Q2	2020 Q1	2019 Q4	2019 Q3	2019 Q2	2018 Q2	2017 Q2	2016 Q2	2015 Q2
First decile	9.3	5.9	5.2	7.0	6.6	3.9	3.8	2.9	1.9
First quartile	9.7	4.1	3.7	3.2	4.4	1.9	5.3	4.0	1.7
Median	10.4	5.7	4.0	3.6	3.7	2.0	4.2	2.9	2.7
Third quartile	8.3	4.3	3.5	3.8	3.5	1.8	3.6	3.4	2.1
Ninth decile	5.0	2.4	3.0	8.0	7.5	1.2	4.5	4.0	1.3


Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Nominal Hourly Wages

Over the year ending September 2020, nominal wages increased by 4.7 percent for all employees (see —) and increased by 4.6 percent for production and non-supervisory workers (see —), according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Comparing the latest three months to the previous three months, nominal wages decreased at an annual rate of 3.5 percent for all employees and decreased at an annual rate of 3.2 percent for production and non-supervisory employees.

## Average Hourly Earnings

nominal one-year growth, percent



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

By industry, 10 of 12 groups experienced real wage growth (wage growth above the increase in prices indicated by the consumer price index). The retail trade industry had the fastest nominal growth rate, at 6.6 percent, followed by 6.1 percent in financial activities and 5.1 percent in utilities.

## Average Hourly Earnings Growth by Industry

one-year growth, production and non-supervisory, September 2020




Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Employment Cost Index

The Bureau of Labor Statistics [publish](#) the employment cost index as a measure of changes in the cost of employing a fixed set of labor inputs. The index is less influenced by short-term changes in the industry and occupation composition of the US workforce. The publication separates total compensation, wages and salaries, and benefits.

### Employment Cost Growth

*private industry wage and salary workers,  
12-month percent change*



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics


In the second quarter of 2020, private industry wage and salary costs (see —) increased by 2.9 percent (12-month percent changes shown), following an increase of 3.3 percent in 2020 Q1, and an increase of 3.0 percent in 2019 Q4. Private sector benefit costs increased by 2.0 percent (see —) over the 12-months ending 2020 Q2, following an increase of 1.6 percent in 2020 Q1.



## Wage Growth Tracker

The Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta [publish](#) a wage growth tracker that captures the distribution of changes in nominal hourly wages of the same people over one year. This approach avoids some of the compositional changes that affect aggregate wage growth measures, though the sample used to calculate the data is affected by changes to respondents' employment status, and by survey response rates.

Replication of the wage growth tracker using the bd CPS shows matched-observation hourly wage growth of 4.0 percent in September 2020 (see —), and average wage growth of 3.7 percent over the three months ending September 2020 (see —). One year prior, in September 2019, three-month moving average wage growth was also 3.7 percent.



By observing the same person's wage at two points, one year apart, we see how many people do not receive a wage increase. The Atlanta Fed measures this as the share of individuals who have one-year hourly wage growth of between -0.5 and 0.5 percent. The Atlanta Fed approach is replicated using the bd CPS, and smoothed with a 3-month moving average. In September 2020, 14.4 percent of individuals had no hourly wage growth, compared to 14.1 in August 2020 (see —). One year prior, in September 2019, 13.2 percent of individuals had no wage growth.




## Labor Productivity

Labor productivity is [reported](#) by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and measured as **real output per hour of work** in the nonfarm business sector. Economic theory suggests that labor productivity is particularly important for long-term real economic growth. The measure captures the rate at which people, with all of the resources and equipment and infrastructure available to them, are able to produce goods and services with their work. An increase in labor productivity means real wages can increase without putting upward pressure on inflation. Alternatively, an increase in productivity means a society can meet its material needs with less work.

In 2020 Q2, labor productivity increased at an annual rate of 10.1 percent (see [■](#)), as the result of a decrease of 37.1 percent in real output and a decrease of 42.9 percent in hours worked. In the prior quarter, 2020 Q1, labor productivity decreased at an annual rate of 0.3 percent, as real output decreased at an annual rate of 6.4 percent and hours of work decreased at an annual rate of 6.1 percent. Over the past five years, labor productivity growth has averaged 1.5 percent, substantially below the 1989-onward average of 2.0 percent.

### Labor Productivity Growth

quarterly growth at seasonally adjusted annual rate, percent



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics



There are two areas to investigate in understanding trends in productivity growth rates. The first is the theory that the level of business net investment in equipment and other capital goods, particularly relative to the size of the workforce, determines productivity growth. Such investment allows more goods and services to be produced by the same hours of work. The second theory, sometimes called the *Kaldor-Verdoorn Law*, is that overall economic growth and capacity utilization determine productivity growth. In this scenario, an economy facing real resource constraints is more likely to find ways to produce goods and services more efficiently.


## Union Membership

Membership in **unions and employee associations** has diminished in the United States over the past fifty years. Unionized jobs typically offer higher wages and better benefits and union membership tends to increase wages and benefits even in nonunion jobs. Therefore, some research argues, less union membership increases income inequality.

Over the 12 months ending September 2020, the share of jobs held by union and employee association members averaged 10.7 percent. In levels, there were 14.4 million union jobs, and 119.9 million nonunion jobs, on average over the period. This union membership rate averaged 10.3 percent during the 12 months ending September 2019, and 10.6 percent during the 12 months ending September 2018. Union jobs decreased by 238,000 from September 2019 to September 2020, while nonunion jobs decreased by 6,764,000.

### Union Membership and Coverage


union or employee association share of jobs, percent



Source: Author's Calculations from Current Population Survey

### Union Membership Rate by Industry

union or employee association member, percent



Source: Author's Calculations from CPS

Union membership rates vary substantially by industry. Public administration has the highest union membership rate, at 29.9 percent as of September 2020, followed by education and health with 17.7 percent, and construction and mining with 12.4 percent. The leisure and hospitality industry experienced the largest overall percentage point decrease in union membership rates over the past 30 years, and is currently 14.5 percentage points below its January 1989 rate of 18.0 percent. The lowest union membership rate is in finance and business services (3.3 percent). The union membership rate of the industry was 7.2 percent at its 30-year peak in March 1992.

# Financial Markets

The US equity markets and capital markets provide businesses and governments with funding for activities and fixed investments.

## Equity Markets

The **S&P 500** (see —) is a market-cap-weighted stock market index based on 500 large companies listed on US exchanges. The index is a broad measure of price levels in US equity markets. The S&P 500 closed at 3489 on October 14, 2020. The index is currently 2.8 percent below its one-year high of 3588 on September 2, 2020, and 59.2 percent above its one-year low of 2192 on March 23, 2020. The average over the past year is 3119; the index is 11.9 percent above its one-year moving average (see —).

### S&P 500

index



...recent data in detail




Source: Yahoo! Finance



According to historical stock market [data](#) from Robert Shiller, the inflation-adjusted trailing twenty year annual rate of return of the S&P 500 was 3.7 percent as of June 2020. Real returns are currently low relative to the average trailing twenty year real annual return of 10.1 percent during 1995–2005.

### S&P 500 Real Return

trailing period annualized real return




Source: Shiller, Author's Calculations



The total return on corporate equities includes both dividends and stock buybacks. US nonfinancial corporations have used stock buybacks to return money to investors, often at the expense of domestic fixed investment. To the extent that markets respond rationally, however, buybacks are no different from dividends.

### Corporate Equity Payout

*nonfinancial corporation net dividends paid and net issuance of equities, percent of GDP*



Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis

### Valuation

The [cyclically-adjusted price to earnings ratio](#) (CAPE) compares the current price of the S&P 500 to the previous ten-years of total S&P 500 returns, including dividends and buybacks (treated as dividends). Typically, earnings data cover the latest year of analyst forecasts for future earnings. Robert Shiller's CAPE intends to capture the full business cycle so that earnings are not biased by the idiosyncrasies of one point in a business cycle.

### Price to Earnings Ratio

*10-year total return PE ratio*




Source: Shiller

### Volatility

The Chicago Board Options Exchange uses S&P 500 options data to [identify](#) expectations of future volatility. This volatility measure, the VIX index (see —), was 26.4 on October 14, 2020, slightly above the average index value of 19.8 over the past three years.

### S&P 500 Volatility Index

*index, monthly average shown*



Source: Chicago Board Options Exchange


## Interest Rates

The US Federal Reserve System (Fed) has a congressional [mandate](#) to promote price stability and maximum employment. In practice, a Fed committee (the FOMC) determines the federal funds rate, which aims to influence interest rates in the broader economy. There are several channels through which interest rates affect broader economic activity, for example, lower interest rates stimulate investment in capital goods and the production of these capital goods employs people, who in turn spend their wages on other goods and services. In other words, the Fed's monetary policy can aim to be neutral or to stimulate or slow the economy.

The effective fed funds rate (see [—](#)) is 0.09 percent, as of October 13, 2020. The FOMC cut [interest rates](#) three times in 2019, for a total reduction of 75 basis points. Responding to the economic shock of the coronavirus, the FOMC cut rates twice in March 2020, by 150 basis points, bringing the lower bound of the federal funds rate range to zero. With rates near zero, the Fed has adopted several additional measures to increase liquidity in the global financial system.

### Effective Fed Funds Rate

percent, monthly average except for latest value



Source: Federal Reserve, Author's Calculations




Despite its full employment mandate, the Fed has traditionally responded to inflation and output. Economist John Taylor described a rule for determining the Fed Funds rate based on inflation and output. Versions of this Taylor Rule track the actual Fed Funds rate fairly closely during the 1990s and 2000s. Former Fed Chair Ben Bernanke [described](#) a modified Taylor rule based on core PCE inflation and a stronger response to the output gap (see [—](#)), which currently suggests a strongly negative policy rate.

## Real Interest Rates

Since the goods and services that can be purchased by a dollar change over time, inflation is important to money markets. A lender expecting the future purchasing power of the principal to be below its current purchasing power will charge a higher interest rate to make up for the difference. Interest rates that have been adjusted for expected inflation are referred to as real interest rates, and can offer insight into economic and financial conditions. Low real interest rates encourage borrowing and consumption and increased economic activity while high real interest rates discourage borrowing and encourage saving.

### Real Interest Rates

yield, inflation-index treasury, percent



Source: Federal Reserve

US Treasury inflation-indexed securities are used to measure real interest rates. Treasury yields in general are a good proxy for low-risk rates, and the treasury offers specific securities that have interest payments indexed to the consumer price index (CPI) rate of inflation.

The real yield for such treasuries with ten years to maturity is -0.96 percent, as of October 13, 2020 (see —), compared to -0.98 percent on September 11, 2020 . For a five-year maturity, the real yield is -1.21 percent in the latest data (see —), compared to -1.27 percent a month prior.

## Government Bonds

As of October 13, 2020, the constant maturity yield for a ten-year US Treasury bond (see —) is 0.74 percent, compared to 1.67 percent one year prior. The yield for a two-year Treasury (see —) is 0.16 percent, compared to 1.53 percent a year prior.

### Treasury Constant Maturity Yields

percent, monthly average shown except for latest value



Source: Federal Reserve

### Selected US Treasury Rates


constant maturity yield, percent

	Oct 13, 2020	Oct 9, 2020	Oct 6, 2020	Sep 11, 2020	Jul 14, 2020	Apr 14, 2020	Oct 10, 2019	Oct 13, 2015
Three-month	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.20	1.68	0.01
Two-year	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.23	1.53	0.64
Five-year	0.31	0.34	0.32	0.26	0.28	0.42	1.48	1.36
Ten-year	0.74	0.79	0.76	0.67	0.63	0.76	1.67	2.06
Thirty-year	1.52	1.58	1.56	1.42	1.30	1.41	2.16	2.89

Source: Federal Reserve

### Treasury Yield Curve

constant maturity yield, percent



Source: Federal Reserve

The **Treasury yield curve** shows the yield on different maturities of Treasury bonds and bills, usually from short-term, such as three-month, to long-term such as 30-year. This measure is at times described as *inverted*, which means the short-term-debt end of the curve is higher than the long-term-debt end. For example, if the yield on two-year treasuries is higher than the yield on ten-year treasuries.



As of October 13, 2020, the spread between a 10-year treasury bond and a three-month treasury bill is 0.63 percentage point (see —), compared to -0.01 percentage point one year prior. The spread between 10-year and 2-year treasuries (see —) was 0.58 percentage point on October 13, 2020, and 0.14 percentage point one year prior.

### 10-Year – 3-Month Spread

percentage point treasury yield spread



Source: Federal Reserve

### 10-Year – 2-Year Spread

percentage point treasury yield spread



Source: Federal Reserve

## Corporate Bonds

The US Treasury [publish](#) a yield curve for corporate bonds based on an market-weighted average of bonds rated AAA, AA, and A. This monthly measure shows a spot rate of 2.0 percent in August 2020 for high-quality corporate bonds with a maturity of 10 years, following a rate of 2.0 percent in July 2020. In August 2019, the spot rate was 2.9 percent.

### 10-Year, High Quality

spot rate, percent, monthly average



Source: Treasury


## Money and Monetary Policy

The Federal Reserve [report](#) the weekly average **money stock**, broadly, as M2, which includes cash and deposits such as savings accounts and checking accounts. In the week of September 28, 2020, the M2 measure of money averaged \$18.6 trillion, equivalent to 95.2 percent of GDP. Institution money market accounts, which are not included in M2, can be combined with M2 to create a slightly-broader-than-M2 measure of the money stock. These funds averaged \$3.0 trillion in the same week, equivalent to 15.1 percent of GDP.

A large increase in the amount of money held by individuals and institutions can be the result of a higher rate of saving, a larger government sector financial deficit, an increase in the money supply, a change in preferences for liquidity, or something else. In September 2020, the M2 plus institutional money funds measure increased over the equivalent previous year value by 25.9 percent.

### M2 and Institutional Money Funds

*one-year percent change, monthly average*



Source: Federal Reserve




## Fed Asset Purchases

During periods where the Fed funds rate is at or near zero the Fed has engaged in large scale asset purchases in an effort to further improve financial market conditions. These asset purchases show up on the Fed balance sheet, which is [reported](#) weekly.

In response to the collapse of the housing bubble, the Fed purchased U.S. Treasury bonds and mortgage-backed securities. Total assets held by the Federal Reserve (see [—](#)) increased from \$0.9 trillion in August 2008 to \$2.2 trillion in November 2008. Additional rounds of asset purchases, referred to as quantitative easing, increased the balance sheet to \$4.5 trillion by January 2014. As bonds mature they were replaced until October 2017, when the Fed allowed the size of its balance sheet to normalize. Total assets fell below \$3.8 trillion in August 2019.

### Federal Reserve Balance Sheet

total assets, trillion of USD



Source: Federal Reserve

Balance sheet normalization ended in September 2019 when the Fed increased operations in overnight and term repurchase agreement (repo) markets, following a sharp increase in rates in these markets. The Fed balance sheet increased to \$4.1 trillion by December 2019. More recently, in response to worsening financial conditions, the Fed began to purchase commercial bonds and to offer currency swaps with major US trading partners. The Fed balance sheet increased from \$4.2 trillion in February 2020 to \$7.1 trillion, as of the latest data, covering October 7, 2020. The total value of Fed assets increased by \$19 billion from the value one week prior.

### Federal Reserve Assets

billions of US Dollars

	Oct 7, 2020	Sep 30, 2020	Sep 9, 2020	Jul 8, 2020	Oct 9, 2019
<b>Total (see <a href="#">—</a>)</b>	7,074.6	7,056.1	7,010.6	6,920.7	3,950.0
U.S. Treasury securities	4,469.8	4,445.5	4,393.6	4,231.4	2,121.5
Mortgage-backed securities	1,982.8	1,982.8	1,949.6	1,911.4	1,467.3
Central bank liquidity swaps	15.8	23.9	72.1	179.1	0.0
Repurchase agreements	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	178.7
Loans	75.9	78.4	78.4	93.4	0.1
Payroll Protection Program	66.4	67.6	67.5	67.7	0.0
Net unamortized premium	329.6	328.7	323.7	310.0	115.5
Other	133.1	128.3	125.8	127.9	67.0

Source: Federal Reserve

## Prices

Changes in prices affect the amount of goods and services that can be purchased by a fixed amount of income. When measuring changes in prices, researchers consider both the quantity that can be purchased by a unit of currency, and also changes in item quality. To understand the overall change in prices faced by a certain group, such as consumers, researchers create a representative “consumption basket” of the goods and services purchased by the group, and track the changes in the basket, and the price of the basket, over time.

This section covers various measures of prices. Inflation—a general increase in the price level—is measured in the section by the one-year percent change of a price index. Additionally, there is some discussion of inflation expectations and a brief look at commodity prices.

## Consumer Price Index

Consumer prices increased by 1.4 percent over the year ending September 2020, according to the CPI for all urban consumers. Core inflation, which does not include the more volatile food and energy prices, was 1.7 percent.

### Consumer Price Index

annual growth, percent



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics




The prices of individual goods and services are obviously not all increasing at the same rate. Likewise, a large change in the price of one category of goods or services is not likely to cause a large change in the overall price level, particularly if the category is a small portion of the consumption basket or if consumers are able to substitute or reduce consumption of the category. The contribution of a category to overall inflation is an important consideration, and reflects both changes in price within a category and the relative importance of the category to the overall index (its share of the consumption basket).

In September 2020, medical care added 0.38 percentage point to overall CPI inflation, slightly above the category's September 2019 contribution of 0.30 percentage point. Housing contributed 0.84 percentage point to inflation in September 2020 substantially below added 1.28 percentage points in September 2019. Food away from home contributed 0.24 percentage point to inflation, and added 0.20 percentage point one year prior.

Communication contributed 0.10 percentage point to inflation in September 2020, slightly above the subtraction of 0.05 percentage point during the same month one year prior. Energy subtracted 0.48 percentage point in September 2020, slightly below the reduction of 0.32 percentage point in September 2019.

### Consumer Price Index

contribution to annual growth, percentage points



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics




As mentioned above, CPI inflation comes from changes in the prices of individual goods and services but is also affected by an occasional re-weighting of the components used in the index, based on changes in consumption habits. By considering both sources of changes, we can decompose medium- or long-term trends based on the contribution to overall inflation from different categories of spending.

As of September 2020, core goods contributed 0.22 percentage point to the overall non-seasonally-adjusted CPI inflation rate of 1.63 percent, while core services excluding shelter contributed 0.53 percentage point. Shelter contributed 0.85 percentage point, and food and energy subtracted 0.06 percentage point. One year prior, in September 2019, the corresponding CPI inflation rate was 2.00 percent; core goods contributed 0.14 percentage point, core services excluding shelter contributed 0.62 percentage point, shelter contributed 1.42 percentage points, and food and energy subtracted 0.17 percentage point.

### CPI Decomposition

*contribution to one-year change in CPI-U, percentage points*



Source: Author's calculations from BLS data



### Why isn't the CPI revised?

The consumer price index (CPI-U) is used in contracts that include cost adjustments. As a result, historical CPI-U data are not revised if there is a change to the way the CPI is calculated. For research purposes, however, it is ideal to have the most accurate measure of overall changes in prices faced by consumers. BLS also publish a research series, the [CPI-U-RS](#), which adjusts the historical data of the CPI-U to be consistent with the current methods of producing it.

## Relative Prices

While the previous section decomposes the overall change in prices to identify the contributions from categories of consumer spending, the next table instead shows the one-year percent change in prices for each category. Additionally, the weight that a category has in the overall index—the category share of the basket of goods and services—is included as the last column in the table.

### Selected CPI Categories

*one-year percent change*

	Sep '20	Aug '20	Jul '20	Jun '20	Feb '20	Sep '19	Sep '18	Weight, Sep '20
All items	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.6	2.3	1.7	2.3	100.0
Housing	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.7	42.077
Owners' equivalent rent	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.3	3.4	3.3	22.704
Rent of primary residence	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.8	3.8	3.6	7.730
Household furnishings & ops.	2.2	2.5	1.5	1.4	0.7	2.3	1.1	4.631
Transportation	-3.2	-4.1	-5.9	-8.2	1.7	-1.4	3.7	15.817
New vehicles	1.0	0.7	0.5	-0.2	0.4	0.1	0.5	3.728
Used cars and trucks	10.3	4.0	-0.9	-2.8	-1.3	2.6	-1.5	2.563
Medical care	4.2	4.5	5.0	5.1	4.6	3.5	1.7	8.698
Professional services	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.2	1.2	1.5	0.9	3.640
Hospital and related services	4.5	3.9	4.7	5.0	4.1	2.1	3.7	2.346
Health insurance	14.1	17.4	18.7	19.4	20.7	18.8	1.0	1.112
Food at home	4.1	4.6	4.6	5.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	7.579
Food away from home	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.6	6.157
Energy	-7.7	-9.0	-11.2	-12.6	2.8	-4.8	4.8	6.788
Recreation	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.6	1.5	1.0	0.2	5.769
Communication	2.7	2.7	2.4	0.9	1.0	-1.2	0.1	3.724
Education	1.4	1.7	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.6	3.031
Apparel	-6.0	-5.9	-6.5	-7.3	-0.9	-0.3	-0.6	2.999

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

Housing prices increased two percent over the year ending September 2020, slightly below the pre-COVID rate of 2.7 percent (covering the year ending February 2020). Medical care prices increased 4.2 percent, these prices grew 4.6 percent over the year ending February 2020. In contrast, prices of food consumed at home (groceries) increased 4.1 percent in the year ending September 2020 compared to 0.8 percent over the year ending February 2020.

Transportation prices decreased 3.2 percent over the year ending September 2020, far below the pre-COVID 1.7 percent increase. Energy prices decreased 7.7 percent in the latest month, compared to a 2.8 percent increase in February 2020. Energy prices are historically more volatile than other categories.

## Producer Prices

### Producer Price Index

all commodities, annual growth, percent



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics



The Bureau of Labor Statistics [report](#) prices producers receive for the various goods and services they produce. The producer price index for all commodities (see —) decreased by 1.5 percent over the year ending September 2020, slightly above the 12-month growth rate of -2.6 percent in September 2019.

## Inflation Expectations

Researchers gain insight on expected changes in prices through regular **surveys of consumers** and through **market data**. One market-based measure is known as the [inflation breakeven](#) and is calculated as the difference between the yield on a nominal treasury bond and the yield on a treasury inflation-protected bond of the same maturity. This difference represents the amount of inflation markets have priced in, on average, for the maturity of the bond.

### 5-year Expected Average Inflation

expected average annual rate, percent



Source: FRED; U. of Michigan



As of September 2020, consumers expect an average inflation rate of 2.7 percent over the next five years, (see —), compared to an expected rate of 2.4 percent in September 2019. Consumers had expected inflation to average 2.7 percent over the past five years, while actual inflation over the period was 1.7 percent.

As of October 14, 2020, markets expect an average inflation rate of 1.52 percent over the next five years (see —), compared to an expected rate of 1.33 percent on October 15, 2019. Markets had expected inflation to average 1.26 percent over the past five years, five years ago.




## Import/Export Price Index

The Bureau of Labor Statistics [report](#) changes in the prices of imports and exports. Over the year ending August 2020, US import prices fell 1.4 percent (see —), following a decrease of 2.8 percent in July and 3.9 percent in June. Excluding fuels, US import prices increased 0.8 percent in August 2020 and were virtually unchanged in July. Over the three years ending February 2020, prior to the US COVID-19 pandemic, US import prices increased at an average rate of 1.3 percent. Excluding fuels, import prices increased at an average rate of 0.3 percent during the same three-year pre-COVID period.

Prices of US exports (see —) fell 2.8 percent over the year ending August 2020, compared to 3.8 percent in July, 4.5 percent in June, and an increase of 1.5 percent on average during the three years ending February 2020.

### Import/Export Price Index

annual growth, percent



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics




## PCE Price Index

The Personal Consumption Expenditure (PCE) Price Index from the Bureau of Economic Analysis [captures](#) both changes in the price of goods and services as well as monthly changes in consumer behavior. The index is additionally updated over time to the latest methodology. As of August 2020, PCE inflation, measured as the one-year percent change in the overall index, is 1.4 percent, compared to 1.1 percent in July 2020, and 1.5 percent in August 2019. Core PCE inflation, which excludes food and energy, was 1.6 percent in August 2020, 1.4 percent in July 2020, and 1.9 percent in August 2019.

### Personal Consumption Expenditure Price Index

annual growth, percent



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis



## Commodity Prices

As of October 5, 2020, a barrel of west Texas intermediate (WTI) **crude oil** sells for \$39.12 (see —). Over the past year, this measure of oil prices fell 27.5 percent. Over the past three years, the price decreased 24.2 percent. Currently, the WTI price is \$94.76 per barrel below its peak price in June 2008.

### Oil Price

USD, west Texas intermediate crude, monthly average



Source: FRED



### Gold Price

USD, monthly average and latest value



Source: FRED



London Bullion Market data on gold prices is [available](#) through FRED. As of October 13, 2020, one troy ounce of gold sells for \$1,920.80 (see —), compared to an average of \$1,495.10 per ounce during October 2019. Following the great recession, the monthly average price of gold reached \$1,780.65 per ounce, in September 2011.

## Acknowledgments

Gabriel Mathy, Iordan Koulov, Lara Merling, Kevin Cashman, Rebecca Watts, Dean Baker, Eileen Appelbaum, John Schmitt, Mark Weisbrot, Alberto Rodelgo, Yevgeniya Korniyenko, Magali Pinat, Robert Blecker, Teasri Thiruvadhanthai, Rainer Köhler, Gersenda Varisco, Venkat Josyula, Tom Augspurger, Claudia Sahm, Mike Sieferling, Matt Bruenig, Andrew Paciorek, Skanda Amarnath, Ernie Tedeschi, and Vikas Sharma.