
Reply to the provisional acceptance letter

Primary subject: 60C05. Secondary subject: 11B37.

I have incorporated all the proposed changes. Only two points are not clear to me.

1. *Please enter the correct reference number here or compile the TeX file before submitting. Here and elsewhere.*

On my local computer the pdf is compiled with correct reference numbers. The [?] sign is the result of one-pass auto-compile of the tex file uploaded.

In the big commented submission pdf one may see that the first included version on page 4 (auto-compiled from tex) has [?] signs but the second version on page 6 (compiled on my computer) is ok.

What should I do?

2. *This Filler may be a bit too long. You may need to remove one sentence. We can wait and see if you prefer.*

If the length is ok then I prefer to keep everything. If the filler is too long then I am ready to sacrifice the following passage:

This property of geometric series also has an economic intuition. Your final welfare b_k equals your initial welfare b_1 plus all interest payments, where α is the nominal rate of compound interest.

Below is the content of the past cover letters:

Reply to the referee (2021-08-30)

1. Totally agree. Updated the text.
2. Partially agree. However I think that numbering equation in a very short filler is too much. So I replaced the proposed (1) by (the geometric series equation).
3. Totally agree. Updated the text.
4. Not needed as the filler still fits one page limit after modifications.

Original cover letter (2021-08-25)

A simpler (I hope) proof of a more general result about expected number of dice rolls than in <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00029890.2020.1693213>.

The list of submitted files:

- `filler-nsided.tex`: the main tex file.
 - `filler-nsided.pdf`: compiled pdf.
 - `geometric_series.png`: the picture. The corresponding tikz-code is commented out in the tex file.
-