## STAT 8700 Homework 1

Brian Detweiler Friday, September 2, 2016

- 1. Suppose we observe y successes in n trials where the probability of success in each trial is  $\theta$  and suppose we use a Beta(1,1) prior for  $\theta$ . Show that the posterior mean can be written as a weighted average of the prior mean and the observed proportion of successes, meaning that the posterior mean will always fall between those two values.
- 2. Suppose we observe y successes in n trials where the probability of success in each trial is  $\theta$ .
- (a) Prove that if we choose a Beta(1,1) (Uniform) prior then the posterior variance will be smaller than the prior variance.
- (b) Show that the above isn't necessarily the case if we choose a general  $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$  prior. That is, find set of values for  $\alpha, \beta, n, y$  where the above is not true.
- 3. Suppose we wish to estimate the proportion of a voting population that support a particular ballot initiative. We choose to use a Uniform prior for the proportion of voters who support the initiative. A random sample of 100 voters is polled and 55 are in favor of the ballot initiative.
- (a) Find the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ .
- (b) What is the posterior mean and variance?
- (c) The binobp command in the Bolstad package in R will calculate the posterior for binomial data and a beta prior. It requires 4 inputs (in order):  $y, n, \alpha, \beta$ . The output includes a graph of the prior and posterior distributions. Include this graph in your assignment.
- (d) The command abline(v=location, col="colour") adds a vertical line to a plot, where location should be replaced by the x co-ordinate of the vertical line, and colour should be replaced by the actual color. Add 3 vertical lines to your plot from the previous part: a black line representing the observed proportion of voters who support the initiative, a red line representing the prior mean, and a blue line representing the posterior mean.
- (e) Also included in the output from binobp is a table of posterior quantiles. A 95% credible interval for the posterior distribution can be found by using the 0.025 and 0.975 quantiles. What is this 95% credible interval for your posterior distribution? (Note this interval is exactly what people wrongly assume the classical confidence interval is, that is there is a 95% chance that  $\theta$  will take a value inside this interval).
- (f) What is of interest to us is whether or not the initiative will pass (that is, receive a majority of Yes votes). The R<sup>2</sup>command pbeta computes the CDF of a beta distribution and requires 3 inputs (in order): The value where you wish to evaluate the CDF,  $\alpha, \beta$ . Use this to calculate our posterior probability that the

Furthermore, suppose we observe a single bus, numbered 200. Find the posterior distribution of M (up to a constant of proportionality).

- (c) Use software (for example Wolfram-Alpha or Maple) to find the constant of proportionality for the posterior, and thus find the posterior mean and variance.
- (d) If we had decided to use the improper uniform prior  $p(M) \propto 1$ , would this have produced a proper or improper posterior distribution? Show your work.