Chapter 1

Introduction

For decades, finite element methods (FEM) have been widely used by engineers and physicists in the modeling of solid continua, and numerous extensions of the method have been developed to model more complex physical processes, including nonlinear kinematic and material behavior. In spite of these advances, traditional finite element methods have been plagued by recurrent issues of numerical accuracy pertaining to locking and poor mesh quality. Various strategies have been proposed to overcome some of these issues, though few have been able to address the underlying problem of element distorsion sensitivity.

This thesis presents a novel polytopal element framework in an effort to address the aforementioned issues as a whole. The use of arbitrary polygonal and polyhedral shapes in place of canonical element shapes seeks to resolve the issue of distorsion sensitivity directly, obviating issues of meshing and mesh quality, while maintaining many of the desirable features of the FEM and its extensions.

1.1 Historical Development

According to [14], finite element methods originated in the 1950s to address engineering challenges in the design of aircraft. The method was subsequently given a more rigorous mathematical treatment by early contributors (Irons, Melosh, Strang), and its usage permeated to other fields of study (namely, structural engineering). Following the advent of the isoparametric element concept, displacement-based finite element formulations gained

widespread popularity, though most early applications considered only linear kinematic and material behavior. Subsequently, advanced solution methodologies were developed to accommodate various sources of nonlinearity, including finite deformations, nonlinear constitutive behavior, and contact.

Over half a century after its initial development, the finite element method is still widely used, and recognized as the industry standard technology for modeling complicated structural and dynamic systems. It's reigning popularity can be attributed to several desirable features of the method:

- The compact support property of the isoparametric basis functions helps to facilitate more efficient solution methodologies.
- The kronecker delta property and precise description of mesh boundaries allow for a relatively straightforward application of boundary conditions and contact constraints.
- Numerical quadrature on element domains derived from product Gauss rules balances accuracy, efficiency, and stability. Domain quadrature rules also naturally accommodate nonlinear kinematic behavior and "black box" constitutive models.

However, despite these advantageous characteristics, finite element methods have suffered from two major (related) issues:

- I.) Standard element formulations are prone to the effects of numerical locking phenomena which can significantly degrade the accuracy of the method. These issues are more prevalent for low-order elements, and especially so for linear triangles and tetrahedra. Moreover, very thin or distorted elements tend to exhibit more severe pathologies.
- II.) The process of meshing complex geometries is encumbered by the aforementioned concerns over locking, to the extent that it becomes difficult if not impossible to produce quality discretizations using automated tools which do not require extensive human intervention.

Despite recent efforts to pursue automated quad-dominant [32] and hex-dominant [18] meshing algorithms which seek to optimize certain mesh quality metrics, the inherent problem of element distorsion sensitivity still remains. Consequently, substantial efforts have been made to address the locking problem through a variety of different approaches. An overview of locking and its remedies are given in the following section.

1.1.1 Locking in Finite Elements

Locking, as a general phenomenon presented in [3], is characterized by a loss of solution accuracy and/or convergence for particular choices of material or discretization parameters. In mathematical terms, an approximation method is deemed *robust* (with respect to a given problem parameter) if its numerical solution converges "uniformly" to the exact solution under mesh refinement, for all values of the indicated problem parameter. Conversely, a method is said to exhibit *locking* if the accuracy of the numerical solution degenerates as the chosen problem parameter approaches some limiting value.

As a specific example, one of the most commonly discussed and addressed forms of locking in computational solid mechanics is the issue of volumetric locking, wherein displacement-based element formulations suffer from a marked loss of accuracy when utilized to model the deformation of nearly incompressible materials. For a linear elastic material model, the parameter dependency in question relates to the Poisson's ratio of the material. Other forms of locking may manifest as a sensitivity to geometric/discretization parameters, including: shear locking, which is linked to the aspect ratio of continuum elements subjected to bending-dominated deformations; membrane locking, which occurs in curved shell elements (see [47]); and trapezoidal locking, which affects the bending response of distorted four-node quadrilateral elements (see [24]).

Early efforts to address concerns over locking sought to develop more robust discretizations through the use of higher-order elements. In [2] and [40], Babuška and Suri justified the ability of high-order elements to overcome the effects of volumetric locking (for triangular discretizations). The improved convergence behavior of these elements made them an attractive option for seeking efficiency gains, well. Nonetheless, higher-order elements were generally seen as too complex in comparison with the standard low-order

elements commonly used for commercial applications; many cite the relative difficulty of obtaining lumped mass matricies for high-order elements (for explicit dynamics applications). Moreover, the accuracy of high-order isoparametric elements can be severely degraded if the elements posess curved edges, as demonstrated in [21].

As an alternative to the standard displacement-based FEM, some have argued for the use of mixed finite element methods (MFEM), which provide a separate interpolation of the displacement, strain, and stress fields, utilizing a 3-field Hu-Washizu variational principle in the construction of the weak form. While these methods are generally seen as robust in the face of locking (as noted in [2] and [3]), they are nonetheless subject to potential issues of stability, i.e. the Babuška-Brezzi – or inf-sup conditions (refer to [1], [5].) Additionally, mixed methods tend to be less efficient in comparison with displacement-based FEM, and are not as flexible in their ability to handle arbitrary constitutive relationships.

In an effort to retain some of the beneficial characteristics of mixed finite element methods, mixed assumed strain methods (alternately the method of incompatible modes) were formalized for geometrically linear problems in [36], and extended to nonlinear problems in [34] and [35]. Such methods are derived from a 3-field variational principle, and rely upon energy orthogonality between the enhanced strain field and the resulting stress field to eliminate the need for an independent stress interpolation space, thereby yielding a 2-field formulation. Though the method is effective in treating a variety of geometric locking phenomena, it nonetheless leads to spurious instabilities in both linear and non-linear problems (see [41] and [28], respectively), even for element formulations satisfying the inf-sup conditions.

Other attempts to adapt mixed formulations for more general use with arbitrary material models without relying upon an explicit interpolation of the stress or strain fields have resulted in *selective reduced integration* (SRI) and equivalent *strain projection* techniques. These methods were fairly successful in overcoming the issues of volumetric locking via the B-bar projection approach for linear problems discussed in [19], and via the F-bar approach for nonlinear problems discussed in [11]. Though these methods can be both effective and efficient, their success has been limited to the problem of volumetric locking;

they are less successful with regard to treating other forms of locking, and can lead to stability problems if the strain projection spaces are not selected carefully.

At the opposite end of the spectrum – in recognition of the inherrent issues of stability plauging and reduced integration techniques, methodologies employing orthogonal hourglass control were suggested, as in [15]. These approaches consider the use of low-order quadrature rules to avoid common locking phenomena, supplemented by artificial stiffness terms to maintain stability while preserving essential convergence characteristics. While these formulations tend to be highly efficient computationally (particularly for explicit dynamic analyses), the obvious disadvantage of these approaches relates to their reliance upon user-specified artificial stiffness and viscosity parameters. Appropriate selection of these parameters is not always a trivial matter, and often warrants problem-specific investigations via sensitivity analyses.

Ultimately, the foregoing methodologies have had only limited success in resolving the full spectrum of locking problems, particularly with regard to geometric locking phenomena. Moreover, many of the proposed enhancements are limited to specific element types (typically low-order quadrilaterals or hexahedra), and are not readily generalizable to other discretizations. To a large extent, the propensity for locking in FEM is controlled by the standard isoparametric elements' sensitivity to geometric distorsion. In other words, model accuracy is too often the product of the chosen discretization. For this reason, considerable efforts have been invested in recent years towards the development of alternative discretizations and numerical methods, a few of which are discussed in the following section.

1.1.2 Alternative Numerical Methods and Discretizations

Driven by concerns over meshing and element quality, a wide variety of alternative approximation methods have been explored in recent decades. The general consensus is that the construction of an approximation space should be disconnected (to a variable extent) from the particular choice of discretization. In particular, isoparametric finite element basis functions are conveniently defined in terms of the discretization, but may not necessarily result in a suitable approximation space for the given problem at hand.

In direct response to these considerations, so-called *meshfree* methods were developed in an effort to construct approximation spaces that are defined independently of a chosen spatial discretization. Meshfree methods encompass a broad class of approximation schemes, including smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH), the element-free Galerkin (EFG) method, and the reproducing kernel particle method (RKPM), among others. A majority of these methods rely upon an a priori specification of weighting functions used to construct an associated set of polynomially reproducing basis functions. The resulting meshfree basis yields relatively smooth solutions which are less sensitive to the specific choice and distribution of weighting functions.

The departure from defining an approximation space on a structured partition of the domain presents a number of challenges, however. Specifically, meshfree methods still require the definition of a background mesh to effect numerical integration of the weak form, partially invalidating the namesake of the method. Moreover, because the meshfree basis functions tend to be non-polynomial in form (and because they are not compactly supported/overlapping on element domains), they are more challenging to accurately integrate. Chen et. al. have proposed a means of overcoming these issues in [6] through a variationally consistent integration scheme, though this approach is succeptible to numerical instabilities. Additionally, because the basis functions do not in general exhibit the kronecker delta property, the application of boundary conditions and contact constraints becomes less straightforward; several techniques have been proposed to address this issue, including Lagrange multiplier methods, Nitsche's method, and mesh blending at domain boundaries.

As another alternative, discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods have gained recent attention due to their more flexible represention of solution fields as piece-wise polynomials over individual elements, resulting in solution discontinuities at element boundaries. To stabilize the resulting approximation space, DG methods supplement the weak form with interior penalty terms which seek to minimize these discontinuities. DG methods are advantageous in that they may accommodate arbitrary element shapes, and exhibit desireable distorsion robustness characteristics.

DG approaches are not without their own problems, however. One commonly cited issue relates to the fact that DG methods can become sensitive to the choice of penalty parameters used to weakly enforce inter-element continuity and boundary conditions; the selection of these parameters is problem, dependent. Additionally, DG methods tend to suffer from poor numerical conditioning problems if the discretization does not conform with relatively stringent regularity requirements, thereby limiting the types of discretizations used with the method.

The more recent weak Galerkin (WG) finite element method first introduced by Wang and Ye for elliptic problems in [45], and for parabolic equations by Li and Wang in [22], considers an approximation space consisting of functions defined on polygonal element domains which are consistent with the weak gradient of functions independently defined on shared element edges. The discrete approximation spaces under consideration are typically low-order polynomials (commonly just constants) defined on elements and their edges. However, there are some non-trivial choices that must be made regarding an optimal selection of these polynomial spaces for the sake of computational efficiency (discussed in greater detail in reference [27]). The method has so-far only been applied to the solution of linear problems.

The computational accuracy of the weak Galerkin approach has been explored by Mu et. al. in [25], showing that for certain problems, the weak Galerkin method converges at rates comparable to those of the standard FEM. Lin et. al. performed a comparative study between the weak Galerkin, discontinuous Galerkin, and mixed finite element methods in [23], demonstrating some of the competitive and desirable characteristics of WG in contrast to DG or MFEMs (i.e. no need for penalty parameters, and definiteness of the resulting linear system of equations).

Mu et. al. adapted the method for use on arbitrary polytopal meshes in [26] and [27], albeit with a number of shape regularity restrictions placed on the elements. In general, the implementation of WG considers the mesh degrees of freedom as belonging to both the elements and their edges, however in [27] it is noted that the local element degrees of freedom can be expressed in terms of the bounding edge degrees of freedom alone to

improve computational efficiency. A modified approach by Wang et. al. in [46] instead chooses to express functions on edges only implicitly. Although this approach successfully eliminates the computational expense associated with edge-based degrees of freedom, it requires the inclusion of an additional interior penalty term in the weak form, similiar to a discontinuous Galerkin method.

In the wake of these investigations, it became clear that many of the desirable properties of the FEM (compact support, kronecker delta, quadrature efficiency, DoF efficiency, stability, and inter-element compatibility—ere qualities worth preserving. To this end, efforts were made toward improving and generalizing existing element formulations for arbitrary element shapes – polygons and polyhedra. Rather than relying upon shape functions defined through an isoparametric transformation from a parent element domain, recent polytopal element methodologies have explored various techniques for constructing approximants directly on physical element domains. In doing so, issues regarding distorsion sensitivity of the elements are largely obviated, and new opportunities for discretizing the domain with irregular shapes are made possible. In like fashion, recent advances in meshing technologies have made polyhedral element methods a readily feasible option. Very recently, Ebeida et. al. have released VoroCrust ([13]) a robust voronoi discretization tool based on constrained Poisson disk sampling. Concurrent technologies have also advanced polyhedral mesh generation via boolean incresection of a background hexahedral mesh with a piece-wise linear boundary representation (or B-rep).

For these reasons, efficient and robust polyhedral element formulations are in high demand, leading to a proliferation of new approaches. A number of these are discussed in the following section.

1.2 Recent Developments in Polytopal Discretizations

Polytopal element methods combine many of the attractive features of FEM with the geometric flexibility afforded by arbitrary element shapes, the primary motivation for which arises from the aforementioned concerns over discretization sensitivity. Most of these methods share a few distinguishing features in common with one another: mesh

degrees of freedom are borne by nodes – the geometric verticies of (low-order) elements; nodal basis functions are compactly supported over adjoining element domains, and satisfy the kronecker delta property; basis functions are defined directly on the element's physical domain, rather than on a parent domain.

Where these methods differ is in the way that they choose to define an element's shape functions (if at all). These approaches may be loosely organized into three distinct categories: methods which explicitly define the element's basis functions via a continuous interpolation scheme; methods which define the element's shape functions only implicitly – i.e. "virtual" element methods; and methods which form a discrete representation of the element's shape functions via an approximation scheme. These three methodologies are elaborated upon in the following sections.

1.2.1 Continuous Interpolation on Arbitrary Polytopes

In an effort to generalize the core idea behind isoparametric element coordinates which yield a point-wise definition of the element's shape functions and their gradients within a given element domain, various efforts to explicitly define shape functions directly on arbitrary polytopal domains has led to the creation of a broad family of interpolation schemes, collectively referred to as *generalized barycentric coordinates*.

At a minimum, shape functions which fall into this category must: form a partition of unity, satisfy linear completeness, and interpolate the nodal data (i.e. satisfy the kronecker delta property). These coordinates are uniquely defined according to the standard barycentric coordinate system for simplicial domains. For arbitrary polytopal domains, numerous such coordinate systems exist, including Wachspress' coordinates [44], mean values coordinates [16], harmonic coordinates [20], and maximum entropy coordinates [39], among others.

Though generalized barycentric coordinates have been applied in the context of finite elements, their development has largely been propelled by the graphics community on account of their relatively smooth interpolatory properties. However, thier smooth (non-polynomial) character presents a challenge with regard to accurate numerical integration. Consequently, relatively high-order quadrature schemes are required to achieve reason-

able accuracy and satisfaction of patch tests. More recently, a polynomial projection scheme has been suggested in [42] to remedy these integrated errors for linear problems; for general nonlinear problems, a gradient correction scheme has been proposed in [43] and [8]. These developments have illuminated new possibilities for defining more efficient quadrature rules on polytopes while still satisfying the essential requirements for convergence.

Yet in spite of these developments, many existing coordinate schemes are sill limited by moderate to severe restrictions on element shape/convexity, and produce sharp gradients in the presence of degenerate geometric features. These concerns, and a recognition of the fact that the shape functions need not be defined point-wise for finite element applications, have motivated research efforts toward discrete representations of element interpolants.

1.2.2 Virtual Element Methods

The virtual element method (VEM) summarized in [9] is a relatively new approach, and based in part upon the older concept of mimetic finite differences (MFD). Although the method supposes that continuous basis functions exist within arbitrary polytopal elements, the VEM never explicitly defines how these shape functions vary on element interiors. Instead, the VEM supposes that these "virtual" functions may be separated (via projection operators) into polynomial and non-polynomial parts, which are handled in different ways. The cornerstone of the method is the idea that the bilinear form for a given element may be decomposed into two distinct parts: a term which guarantees variational consistency (Galerkin exactness) involving the low-order polynomial part of the shape functions, and a term which provides stability involving the non-polynomial part. The consistency term must be integrated exactly, but the stability term can be evaluated approximately. Because this decomposition relies upon the linearity of the bilinear form, direct generalizations of the method to nonlinear problems are not immediately available.

VEM has been applied to three-dimensional linear elasticity problems in [17]. In [10], a VEM formulation for low-order elements which accommodates nonlinear "blackbox" constitutive algorithms is presented, and in [7] an extension to finite deformations (with appropriate stabilization terms) is introduced. Given the means by which these

approaches exploit the use of a projected uniform gradient to integrate the weak form, however, it is unclear how they could be extended to accommodate higher-order elements.

Nonetheless, VEM formulations are able to tolerate geometric degeneracies and element non-convexity without encountering serious numerical difficulties, though their good behavior in the presence of these features is often governed by an ad hoc approximation of the stabilization terms. A more rigorous development of the corresponding stability terms for more complicated element domains remains to be explored.

1.2.3 Approximate Interpolation on Arbitrary Polytopes

In contrast with the previously described approaches, yet another strategy considers the representation of the element's shape functions in an approximate way, while enforcing a few essential requirements, namely: polynomial reproducibility, inter-element compatibility, and weak form consistency.

In [29] and [31], Rashid and co-workers explored the variable element topology finite element method (VETFEM), characterized by an approximate representation of the element's shape functions as low-order polynomials satisfying weak continuity requirements at element boundaries. Within this framework, the element shape functions are determined by a local minimization problem, resulting in polynomial shape functions which optimize specified continuity and smoothness objectives. This minimization procedure is constrained by the requirements of consistency and reproducibility to guarantee satisfaction of linear patch tests. Dohrmann and Rashid later extended this approach to higher-order elements in [12], instead focusing on a direct construction of shape function derivatives, rather than of the shape functions themselves.

The VETFEM may be viewed as a non-conforming finite element method, as the minimization process altogether allows for residual discontinuities at inter-element boundaries. Nonetheless, because the elements satisfy weak contunity requirements, the method exhibits proper convergence characteristics. Additionally, because the VETFEM yields a point-wise representation of the shape functions as low-order polynomials, direct integration of the weak form can be carried out using relatively efficient domain quadrature rules.

Although the VETFEM was developed to handle arbitrary polygonal elements, it was observed to suffer from sensitivity to geometric degeneracies and element non-convexity. In response to these issues, a discrete data polyhedral finite element method (DDPFEM) proposed in [33] was suggested to exploit the fact that for typical solid mechanics applications, it is generally only necessary to evaluate the element's shape functions (and their derivatives) at a discrete number of quadrature points. The proposed method shares several characteristics in common with the VETFEM, utilizing a similarly posed constrained minimization procedure to obtain the precise values and gradients of the shape functions at discrete points on the element's interior. One of the cited challenges with this approach pertains to the appropriate selection of an efficient quadrature rule for the elements.

Nonetheless, the initial thoughts put forward by the DDPFEM ultimately led to the development of the partitioned element method (PEM) presented in [30]. The PEM proceeds by partitioning an element into polygonal quadrature cells, and allowing the element's shape functions to vary according to a local polynomial defined within each of these cells, resulting in piece-wise polynomial shape functions which are discontinuous at quadrature cell boundaries. The polynomial coefficients defined in each cell are obtained by minimizing the discontinuities in the shape functions across all cell interfaces, subject to the necessary consistency and reproducibility constraints. The original presentation in [30] considers the shape functions to be approximate solutions of Laplace's equation on the partitioned element. Later developments have dispensed with this supposition, instead only penalizing discontinuities in the shape functions (and their gradients) at cell boundaries.

Subsequently, Bishop has proposed a very similar partitioned element scheme in [4], wherein the elements and their faces are subdivided into simplifies, resembling a local FE discretization of the element domain. The shape functions are obtained as the solution to Laplace's equation on this subdivision. Because this approach utilizes an FE-like discretization, the resulting shape functions are piece-wise linear and C^0 continuous, thereby avoiding the need for a penalty term to enforce continuity. However, the method still requires the use of a gradient correction scheme to account for quadrature error and recover

consistency with the weak form.

In light of these developments, we choose to recognize a new class of methods, herein collectively referred to as partitioned element methods. These may be viewed as a generalization of the original PEM presented in [30]. It is the subject of this thesis to further explore these methods, and to expose their particular merits and potential shortcomings.

1.3 Scope of the Present Work

In this work, we have forth a general framework for partitioned element methods – a collection of different approaches for constructing approximate representations of element shape functions on arbitrary polytopes. These methods are characterized by several distinguishing features:

- (1) Elements are discretized (partitioned) into non-overlapping polygonal cells. These cells are used to inform the quadrature rule of the element.
- (2) A local approximation space is defined on the partitioned element geometry.
- (3) A set of BVPs and appropriate constraints are posed, whose solutions yield the shape functions of the element.
- (4) A stable numerical scheme is developed to obtain approximate solutions to these BVPs using the approximation space defined in (2).

Numerous formulations are possible within this framework; a few of these are explored within the scope of this thesis.

The proposed application area of interest for these methods is in nonlinear solid mechanics. The efficacy of the methods explored will be assessed within this context, particularly with regard to their ability to naturally accommodate nonlinear kinematic and material behavior. The robustness of the resulting elements, and their performance in the face of locking phenomena will be evaluated. Additionally, several methodologies which leverage the partitioned element framework to combat certain forms of locking will be discussed.

The remainder of this dissertation is organized as follows: chapter 2 establishes the context of nonlinear computational solid mechanics, chapter 3 presents the overarching framework for partitioned element methods, chapter 4 details a particular implementational framework for the PEM, chapter 5 provides a number of numerical investigations of the PEM, and chapter 6 concludes with a discussion of opportunities for further research and development.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ivo Babuška. Error-bounds for finite element method. *Numerische Mathematik*, 16:322–333, 1971.
- [2] Ivo Babuška and Manil Suri. Locking effects in the finite element approximation of elasticity problems. *Numerische Mathematik*, 62:439–463, 1992.
- [3] Ivo Babuška and Manil Suri. On locking and robustness in the finite element method. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 29(5):1261–1293, 1992.
- [4] J. E. Bishop. A displacement-based finite element formulation for general polyhedra using harmonic shape functions. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 97:1–31, 2014.
- [5] Franco Brezzi. On the existence, uniqueness and approximation of saddle-point problems arising from lagrangian multipliers. ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis - Modlisation Mathematique et Analyse Numrique, 8:129–151, 1974.
- [6] Jiun-Shyan Chen, Michael Hillman, and Marcus Rüter. An arbitrary order variationally consistent integration for galerkin meshfree methods. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 95:387–418, 2013.
- [7] H. Chi, L. Beirão da Veiga, and G. H. Paulino. Some basic formulations of the virtual element method (vem) for finite deformations. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 318:148–192, 2017.
- [8] Heng Chi, Cameron Talischi, Oscar Lopez-Pamies, and Glaucio H. Paulino. A paradigm for higher-order polygonal elements in finite elasticity using a gradient correction scheme. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 306:216– 251, 2016.
- [9] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, A. Cangiani, G. Manzini, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. Basic principles of virtual element methods. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 23:199–214, 2013.
- [10] L. Beirão da Veiga, C. Lovadina, and D. Mora. A virtual element method for elastic and inelastic problems on polytope meshes. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 295:327–346, 2015.
- [11] E. A. de Souza Neto, D. Perić, M. Dukto, and D. R. J. Owen. Design of simple low order finite elements for large strain analysis of nearly incompressible solids. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 33:3277–3296, 1996.
- [12] C. R. Dohrmann and M. M. Rashid. Polynomial approximation of shape function gradients from element geometries. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 53:945–958, 2002.

- [13] Mohamed Salah Ebeida. Vorocrust v. 1.0, Jul 2017.
- [14] Carlos A. Felippa. *Introduction to Finite Element Methods*. University of Colorado, Boulder, 2004.
- [15] D. P. Flanagan and T. Belytschko. A uniform strain hexahedron and quadrilateral with orthogonal hourglass control. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 17:679–706, 1981.
- [16] Michael S. Floater. Mean value coordinates. Computer Aided Geometric Design, 20:19–27, 2003.
- [17] Arun Gain, Cameron Talischi, and Glaucio H. Paulino. On the virtual element method for three-dimensional elasticity problems on arbitrary polyhedral meshes. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 282, 11 2013.
- [18] Xifeng Gao, Wenzel Jakob, Marco Tarini, and Daniele Panozzo. Robust hexdominant mesh generation using field-guided polyhedral agglomeration. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 36, 2017.
- [19] Thomas J. R. Hughes. The Finite Element Method–Linear Static and Dynamic Finite Element Analysis. Dover Publications, 2000.
- [20] Pushkar Joshi, Mark Meyer, Tony DeRose, Brian Green, and Tom Sanocki. Harmonic coordinates for character articulation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 26, 2007.
- [21] Nam-Sua Lee and Klaus-Jürgen Bathe. Effects of element distortions on the performance of isoparametric elements. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 36:3553–3576, 1993.
- [22] Qiaoluan H. Li and Junping Wang. Weak galerkin finite element methods for parabolic equations. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, 29:2004–2024, 2013.
- [23] Guang Lin, Jiangguo Liu, and Farrah Sadre-Marandi. A comparative study on the weak galerkin, discontinuous galerkin, and mixed finite element methods. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 273:346–362, 2015.
- [24] Richard H. MacNeal. A theorem regarding the locking of tapered four-noded membrane elements. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 24:1793–1799, 1987.
- [25] L. Mu, J. Wang, and Y. Wang. A computational study of the weak galerkin method for second-order elliptic equations. *Numerical Algorithms*, 63:753, 2013.
- [26] L. Mu, J. Wang, and X. Ye. Weak galerkin finite element method for second-order elliptic problems on polytopal meshes. *International Journal of Numerical Analysis* and Modeling, 12:31–53, 2015.

- [27] Lin Mu, Junping Wang, and Xiu Ye. A weak galerkin finite element method with polynomial reduction. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 285:45–58, 2015.
- [28] Daniel Pantuso and Klaus-Jürgen Bathe. On the stability of mixed finite elements in large strain analysis of incompressible solids. Finite Elements in Analysis and Design, 28:83–104, 1997.
- [29] M. M. Rashid and P. M. Gullett. On a finite element method with variable element topology. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 190:1509–1527, 2000.
- [30] M. M. Rashid and A. Sadri. The partitioned element method in computational solid mechanics. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 237–240:152– 165, 2012.
- [31] M. M. Rashid and M. Selimotić. A three-dimensional finite element method with arbitrary polyhedral elements. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in En*gineering, 67:226–252, 2006.
- [32] J.-F. Remacle, J. Lambrechts, B. Seny, E. Marchandise, A. Johnen, and C. Geuzainet. Blossom-quad: a non-uniform quadrilateral mesh generator using a minimum cost perfect matching algorithm. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 89:1102–1119, 2012.
- [33] Mili Selimotić. Polyhedral Finite-Element Approximants in 3D Solid Mechanics. PhD thesis, University of California, Davis, 2008.
- [34] J. C. Simo and F. Armero. Geometrically non-linear enhanced strain mixed methods and the method of incompatible modes. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 33:1413–1449, 1992.
- [35] J. C. Simo, F. Armero, and R. L. Taylor. Improved versions of assumed enhanced strain tri-linear elements for 3d finite deformation problems. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 110:359–386, 1993.
- [36] J. C. Simo and M. S. Rifai. A class of mixed assumed strain methods and the method of incompatible modes. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 29:1595–1638, 1990.
- [37] Friedrich Stummel. The generalized patch test. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 16(3):449–471, 1979.
- [38] Friedrich Stummel. The limitations of the patch test. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 16:177–188, 1980.
- [39] N. Sukumar. Construction of polygonal interpolants: a maximum entropy approach. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 61:2159–2181, 2004.

- [40] Manil Suri. On the robustness of the h- and p-versions of the finite-element method. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 35:303–310, 1991.
- [41] Theodore Sussman and Klaus-Jürgen Bathe. Spurious modes in geometrically non-linear small displacement finite elements with incompatible modes. *Computers & Structures*, 140:14–22, 2014.
- [42] Cameron Talischi and Glaucio H. Paulino. Addressing integration error for polygonal finite elements through polynomial projections: A patch test connection. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 24:1701–1727, 2014.
- [43] Cameron Talischi, Anderson Pereira, Ivan F. M. Menezes, and Glaucio H. Paulino. Gradient correction for polygonal and polyhedral finite elements. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 102:728–747, 2015.
- [44] Eugene L. Wachspress. A Rational Finite Element Basis. Academic Press, 1975.
- [45] J. Wang and X. Ye. A weak galerkin finite element method for second-order elliptic problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 241:103–115, 2013.
- [46] X. Wang, N.S. Malluwawadu, F. Gao, and T.C. McMillan. A modified weak galerkin finite element method. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 271:319– 329, 2014.
- [47] Robert Winkler. Comments on membrane locking. Proceedings in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 10:229–230, 2010.