# Synchronization

## Synchronization Motivation

- When threads concurrently read/write shared memory, program behavior is undefined
  - Two threads write to the same variable; which one should win?
- Thread schedule is non-deterministic
  - Behavior changes when re-run program
- Compiler/hardware instruction reordering
- Multi-word operations are not atomic

## Question: Can this panic?

```
Thread 1 Thread 2

p = someComputation(); while (!pInitialized)

pInitialized = true; ;

q = someFunction(p);

if (q != someFunction(p))

panic
```

## Why Reordering?

- Why do compilers reorder instructions?
  - Efficient code generation requires analyzing control/data dependency
  - If variables can spontaneously change, most compiler optimizations become impossible
- Why do CPUs reorder instructions?
  - Write buffering: allow next instruction to execute while write is being completed

#### Fix: memory barrier

- Instruction to compiler/CPU
- All ops before barrier complete before barrier returns
- No op after barrier starts until barrier returns

## Too Much Milk Example

	Person A	Person B
12:30	Look in fridge. Out of milk.	
12:35	Leave for store.	
12:40	Arrive at store.	Look in fridge. Out of milk.
12:45	Buy milk.	Leave for store.
12:50	Arrive home, put milk away.	Arrive at store.
12:55		Buy milk.
1:00		Arrive home, put milk away. Oh no!

#### **Definitions**

**Race condition:** output of a concurrent program depends on the order of operations between threads

**Mutual exclusion:** only one thread does a particular thing at a time

 Critical section: piece of code that only one thread can execute at once

Lock: prevent someone from doing something

- Lock before entering critical section, before accessing shared data
- Unlock when leaving, after done accessing shared data
- Wait if locked (all synchronization involves waiting!)

#### Too Much Milk, Try #1

- Correctness property
  - Someone buys if needed (liveness)
  - At most one person buys (safety)

```
    Try #1: leave a note if (!note)
        if (!milk) {
            leave note
            buy milk
            remove note
            1
```

## Too Much Milk, Try #2

```
Thread A
                              Thread B
leave note A
                              leave note B
if (!note B) {
                              if (!noteA) {
  if (!milk)
                                if (!milk)
    buy milk
                                   buy milk
remove note A
                              remove note B
```

## Too Much Milk, Try #3

Thread A Thread B

leave note A leave note B

while (note B) // X if (!noteA) { // Y

do nothing; if (!milk)

if (!milk) buy milk

buy milk; }

remove note A remove note B

Can guarantee at X and Y that either:

- (i) Safe for me to buy
- (ii) Other will buy, ok to quit

#### Lessons

- Solution is complicated
  - "obvious" code often has bugs
- Modern compilers/architectures reorder instructions
  - Making reasoning even more difficult
- Generalizing to many threads/processors
  - Even more complex: see Peterson's algorithm

#### Roadmap

Concurrent Applications

Shared Objects

Bounded Buffer Barrier

Synchronization Variables

Semaphores Locks Condition Variables

Atomic Instructions

Interrupt Disable Test-and-Set

Hardware

Multiple Processors Hardware Interrupts

#### Locks

- Lock::acquire
  - wait until lock is free, then take it
- Lock::release
  - release lock, waking up anyone waiting for it
- 1. At most one lock holder at a time (safety)
- 2. If no one holding, acquire gets lock (progress)
- 3. If all lock holders finish and no higher priority waiters, waiter eventually gets lock (progress)

#### Too Much Milk, #4

Locks allow concurrent code to be much simpler:

```
lock.acquire();
if (!milk)
  buy milk
lock.release();
```

## Lock Example: Malloc/Free

```
char *malloc (n) {
    heaplock.acquire();
    p = allocate memory
    heaplock.release();
    return p;
}

void free(char *p) {
    heaplock.acquire();
    put p back on free list
    heaplock.release();
    return p;
}
```

#### Rules for Using Locks

- Lock is initially free
- Always acquire before accessing shared data structure
  - Beginning of procedure!
- Always release after finishing with shared data
  - End of procedure!
  - Only the lock holder can release
  - DO NOT throw lock for someone else to release
- Never access shared data without lock
  - Danger!

#### Will this code work?

```
if (p == NULL) {
                                newP() {
   lock.acquire();
                                   p = malloc(sizeof(p));
   if (p == NULL) {
                                   p->field1 = ...
                                   p->field2 = ...
     p = newP();
                                   return p;
   lock.release();
use p->field1
```

## Example: Bounded Buffer

```
tryget() {
                                   tryput(item) {
                                      lock.acquire();
    item = NULL;
    lock.acquire();
                                      if ((tail – front) < size) {
    if (front < tail) {
                                        buf[tail % MAX] = item;
      item = buf[front % MAX];
                                        tail++;
      front++;
                                      lock.release();
    lock.release();
    return item;
Initially: front = tail = 0; lock = FREE; MAX is buffer capacity
```

#### Question

 If tryget returns NULL, do we know the buffer is empty?

 If we poll tryget in a loop, what happens to a thread calling tryput?

#### **Condition Variables**

- Waiting inside a critical section
  - Called only when holding a lock

- Wait: atomically release lock and relinquish processor
  - Reacquire the lock when wakened
- Signal: wake up a waiter, if any
- Broadcast: wake up all waiters, if any

## Condition Variable Design Pattern

```
methodThatWaits() {
                                  methodThatSignals() {
  lock.acquire();
                                     lock.acquire();
  // Read/write shared state
                                    // Read/write shared state
  while (!testSharedState()) {
                                    // If testSharedState is now true
     cv.wait(&lock);
                                     cv.signal(&lock);
  // Read/write shared state
                                    // Read/write shared state
  lock.release();
                                     lock.release();
```

## Example: Bounded Buffer

```
get() {
                                  put(item) {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
                                    while ((tail – front) == MAX) {
  while (front == tail) {
                                      full.wait(lock);
     empty.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[tail % MAX] = item;
  front++;
                                    tail++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = 0; MAX is buffer capacity empty/full are condition variables

## **Pre/Post Conditions**

- What is state of the bounded buffer at lock acquire?
  - front <= tail</pre>
  - front + MAX >= tail
- These are also true on return from wait
- And at lock release
- Allows for proof of correctness

## **Pre/Post Conditions**

```
methodThatWaits() {
                                             methodThatSignals() {
  lock.acquire();
                                               lock.acquire();
  // Pre-condition: State is consistent
                                               // Pre-condition: State is consistent
  // Read/write shared state
                                               // Read/write shared state
                                               // If testSharedState is now true
  while (!testSharedState()) {
     cv.wait(&lock);
                                               cv.signal(&lock);
  // WARNING: shared state may
                                               // NO WARNING: signal keeps lock
  // have changed! But
 // testSharedState is TRUE
                                               // Read/write shared state
 // and pre-condition is true
                                               lock.release();
 // Read/write shared state
  lock.release();
```

#### **Condition Variables**

- ALWAYS hold lock when calling wait, signal, broadcast
  - Condition variable is sync FOR shared state
  - ALWAYS hold lock when accessing shared state
- Condition variable is memoryless
  - If signal when no one is waiting, no op
  - If wait before signal, waiter wakes up
- Wait atomically releases lock
  - What if wait, then release?
  - What if release, then wait?

#### Condition Variables, cont'd

- When a thread is woken up from wait, it may not run immediately
  - Signal/broadcast put thread on ready list
  - When lock is released, anyone might acquire it
- Wait MUST be in a loop while (needToWait()) { condition.Wait(lock); }
- Simplifies implementation
  - Of condition variables and locks
  - Of code that uses condition variables and locks

## Structured Synchronization

- Identify objects or data structures that can be accessed by multiple threads concurrently
  - In OS/161 kernel, everything!
- Add locks to object/module
  - Grab lock on start to every method/procedure
  - Release lock on finish
- If need to wait
  - while(needToWait()) { condition.Wait(lock); }
  - Do not assume when you wake up, signaller just ran
- If do something that might wake someone up
  - Signal or Broadcast
- Always leave shared state variables in a consistent state
  - When lock is released, or when waiting

#### Remember the rules

- Use consistent structure
- Always use locks and condition variables
- Always acquire lock at beginning of procedure, release at end
- Always hold lock when using a condition variable
- Always wait in while loop
- Never spin in sleep()

#### Mesa vs. Hoare semantics

#### Mesa

- Signal puts waiter on ready list
- Signaller keeps lock and processor

#### Hoare

- Signal gives processor and lock to waiter
- When waiter finishes, processor/lock given back to signaller
- Nested signals possible!

# FIFO Bounded Buffer (Hoare semantics)

```
get() {
                                 put(item) {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
  if (front == tail) {
                                    if ((tail – front) == MAX) {
    empty.wait(lock);
                                      full.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[last % MAX] = item;
  front++;
                                    last++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                  // CAREFUL: someone else ran
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = 0; MAX is buffer capacity empty/full are condition variables

# FIFO Bounded Buffer (Mesa semantics)

- Create a condition variable for every waiter
- Queue condition variables (in FIFO order)
- Signal picks the front of the queue to wake up
- CAREFUL if spurious wakeups!

- Easily extends to case where queue is LIFO, priority, priority donation, ...
  - With Hoare semantics, not as easy

# FIFO Bounded Buffer (Mesa semantics, put() is similar)

```
get() {
  lock.acquire();
                                   delete self;
                                   item = buf[front % MAX];
  myPosition = numGets++;
  self = new Condition;
                                   front++;
  nextGet.append(self);
                                   if (next = nextPut.remove()) {
  while (front < myPosition
                                     next->signal(lock);
       || front == tail) {
    self.wait(lock);
                                   lock.release();
                                   return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = numGets = 0; MAX is buffer capacity nextGet, nextPut are queues of Condition Variables

## Implementing Synchronization

Concurrent Applications

Semaphores

Locks

Condition Variables

Interrupt Disable

Atomic Read/Modify/Write Instructions

Multiple Processors Hardware Interrupts

## Implementing Synchronization

#### Take 1: using memory load/store

See too much milk solution/Peterson's algorithm

#### Take 2:

```
Lock::acquire()
    { disable interrupts }
Lock::release()
    { enable interrupts }
```

#### Lock Implementation, Uniprocessor

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                  Lock::release() {
  disableInterrupts();
                                    disableInterrupts();
  if (value == BUSY) {
                                    if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    waiting.add(myTCB);
                                       next = waiting.remove();
    myTCB->state = WAITING;
                                       next->state = READY;
    next = readyList.remove();
                                       readyList.add(next);
    switch(myTCB, next);
                                    } else {
                                       value = FREE;
    myTCB->state = RUNNING;
  } else {
                                    enableInterrupts();
    value = BUSY;
  enableInterrupts();
```

## Multiprocessor

- Read-modify-write instructions
  - Atomically read a value from memory, operate on it, and then write it back to memory
  - Intervening instructions prevented in hardware
- Examples
  - Test and set
  - Intel: xchgb, lock prefix
  - Compare and swap
- Any of these can be used for implementing locks and condition variables!

#### Spinlocks

```
A spinlock is a lock where the processor waits in a loop
  for the lock to become free

    Assumes lock will be held for a short time

    Used to protect the CPU scheduler and to implement locks

Spinlock::acquire() {
 while (testAndSet(&lockValue) == BUSY)
Spinlock::release() {
 lockValue = FREE;
 memorybarrier();
```

### How many spinlocks?

- Various data structures
  - Queue of waiting threads on lock X
  - Queue of waiting threads on lock Y
  - List of threads ready to run
- One spinlock per kernel?
  - Bottleneck!
- Instead:
  - One spinlock per lock
  - One spinlock for the scheduler ready list
    - Per-core ready list: one spinlock per core

### What thread is currently running?

- Thread scheduler needs to find the TCB of the currently running thread
  - To suspend and switch to a new thread
  - To check if the current thread holds a lock before acquiring or releasing it
- On a uniprocessor, easy: just use a global
- On a multiprocessor, various methods:
  - Compiler dedicates a register (e.g., r31 points to TCB running on the this CPU; each CPU has its own r31)
  - If hardware has a special per-processor register, use it
  - Fixed-size stacks: put a pointer to the TCB at the bottom of its stack
    - Find it by masking the current stack pointer

#### Lock Implementation, Multiprocessor

```
Lock::acquire() {
                                      Lock::release() {
  disableInterrupts();
                                        disableInterrupts();
  spinLock.acquire();
                                        spinLock.acquire();
  if (value == BUSY) {
                                        if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    waiting.add(myTCB);
                                           next = waiting.remove();
    suspend(&spinlock);
                                           scheduler->makeReady(next);
  } else {
                                        } else {
                                           value = FREE;
    value = BUSY;
                                        spinLock.release();
  spinLock.release();
                                        enableInterrupts();
 enableInterrupts();
```

#### Compare Implementations

```
Semaphore::P() {
                                      Semaphore::V() {
  disableInterrupts();
                                        disableInterrupts();
  spinLock.acquire();
                                        spinLock.acquire();
  if (value == 0) {
                                        if (!waiting.Empty()) {
    waiting.add(myTCB);
                                          next = waiting.remove();
    suspend(&spinlock);
                                          scheduler->makeReady(next);
  } else {
                                        } else {
                                           value++;
    value--;
                                        spinLock.release();
  spinLock.release();
                                        enableInterrupts();
 enableInterrupts();
```

#### Lock Implementation, Multiprocessor

```
Sched::suspend(SpinLock *lock) {
                                    Sched::makeReady(TCB *thread) {
  TCB *next;
  disableInterrupts();
                                      disableInterrupts ();
  schedSpinLock.acquire();
                                      schedSpinLock.acquire();
  lock->release();
                                      readyList.add(thread);
  myTCB->state = WAITING;
                                      thread->state = READY;
  next = readyList.remove();
                                      schedSpinLock.release();
  thread_switch(myTCB, next);
                                      enableInterrupts();
  myTCB->state = RUNNING;
  schedSpinLock.release();
  enableInterrupts();
```

### Lock Implementation, Linux

- Most locks are free most of the time
  - Why?
  - Linux implementation takes advantage of this fact
- Fast path
  - If lock is FREE, and no one is waiting, two instructions to acquire the lock
  - If no one is waiting, two instructions to release the lock
- Slow path
  - If lock is BUSY or someone is waiting, use multiproc impl.
- User-level locks
  - Fast path: acquire lock using test&set
  - Slow path: system call to kernel, use kernel lock

### Lock Implementation, Linux

### Semaphores

- Semaphore has a non-negative integer value
  - P() atomically waits for value to become > 0, then decrements
  - V() atomically increments value (waking up waiter if needed)
- Semaphores are like integers except:
  - Only operations are P and V
  - Operations are atomic
    - If value is 1, two P's will result in value 0 and one waiter
- Semaphores are useful for
  - Unlocked wait: interrupt handler, fork/join

### Semaphore Bounded Buffer

```
put(item) {
 get() {
   fullSlots.P();
                                    emptySlots.P();
    mutex.P();
                                    mutex.P();
    item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[last % MAX] = item;
   front++;
                                    last++;
    mutex.V();
                                    mutex.V();
                                    fullSlots.V();
   emptySlots.V();
    return item;
Initially: front = last = 0; MAX is buffer capacity
mutex = 1; emptySlots = MAX; fullSlots = 0;
```

# Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 1)

```
wait(lock) {
  lock.release();
  semaphore.P();
  lock.acquire();
signal() {
  semaphore.V();
```

# Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 2)

```
wait(lock) {
  lock.release();
  semaphore.P();
  lock.acquire();
signal() {
  if (semaphore is not empty)
    semaphore.V();
```

# Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 3)

```
wait(lock) {
  semaphore = new Semaphore;
  queue.Append(semaphore); // queue of waiting threads
  lock.release();
  semaphore.P();
  lock.acquire();
signal() {
  if (!queue.Empty()) {
    semaphore = queue.Remove();
    semaphore.V(); // wake up waiter
```

# Communicating Sequential Processes (CSP/Google Go)

- A thread per shared object
  - Only thread allowed to touch object's data
  - To call a method on the object, send thread a message with method name, arguments
  - Thread waits in a loop, get msg, do operation
- No memory races!

### Example: Bounded Buffer

```
get() {
                                  put(item) {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
                                    while ((tail – front) == MAX) {
  while (front == tail) {
                                      full.wait(lock);
     empty.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % MAX];
                                    buf[tail % MAX] = item;
  front++;
                                    tail++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
```

Initially: front = tail = 0; MAX is buffer capacity empty/full are condition variables

### Bounded Buffer (CSP)

```
while (cmd = getNext()) {
  if (cmd == GET) {
    if (front < tail) {</pre>
                                   } else { // cmd == PUT
       // do get
                                       if ((tail – front) < MAX) {</pre>
       // send reply
                                         // do put
                                        // send reply
       // if pending put, do it
      // and send reply
                                        // if pending get, do it
    } else
                                        // and send reply
      // queue get operation
                                      } else
                                        // queue put operation
```

### Locks/CVs vs. CSP

- Create a lock on shared data
  - = create a single thread to operate on data
- Call a method on a shared object
  - = send a message/wait for reply
- Wait for a condition
  - = queue an operation that can't be completed just yet
- Signal a condition
  - = perform a queued operation, now enabled

#### Remember the rules

- Use consistent structure
- Always use locks and condition variables
- Always acquire lock at beginning of procedure, release at end
- Always hold lock when using a condition variable
- Always wait in while loop
- Never spin in sleep()