

- SMA better since coupling is smaller
 - Double pole approx - 2006 paper Kieron
 - output coupling

X & Y
 occupied & unoccupied

2nd deriv. Hessian
 $\rightarrow f_{HXC} \frac{(A+B)}{(A-B)}$

Week 8/9 Questions

May 28, 2020

In a non-spin decomposed DFT treatment, Casida's equations are 7.138 with

$$C_{qq'} = \omega_q^2 \delta_{qq'} + 4\sqrt{\omega_q \omega_{q'}} M_{qq'} \quad q = (i, a).$$

Suppose you have 2 transitions only, with $\omega_1 = 9$ eV and $\omega_2 = 12$ eV, and the matrix elements of M are $M_{11} = 3$ eV, $M_{22} = 2$ eV, and $M_{12} = 0.2$ eV.

1. Find the exact transition frequencies.
2. Repeat (1) in the Tamm-Dancoff Approximation. What percent error does it make in each ω ? What percent error does it make in the shift from the Kohn-Sham transition frequencies?

3. Repeat (1) in the Small Matrix Approximation, answering the same questions as in (2).

4. Repeat (3) for the single-pole approximation. Which is the bigger source of error, SMA or TDA?

In fact, the Kohn-Sham oscillator strengths are $f_1 = \frac{9}{10}$ and $f_2 = \frac{1}{10}$.

5. Calculate the exact oscillator strengths. Check the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn sum rule.

6. Repeat (5) in TDA and report percent errors. Is the sum rule satisfied?

7. Repeat (3) and (4) for oscillator strengths.

8. Using Lorentzians of width 0.2, plot the absorption spectra for (a) KS, (b) exact, (c) in TDA, (d) in SMA. Comment.

1) $CZ = \Omega^2 Z$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 9^2 + 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 3 & 4\sqrt{9 \cdot 12} \cdot 0.2 \\ 4\sqrt{9 \cdot 12} \cdot 0.2 & 12^2 + 4 \cdot 12 \cdot 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 189 & 8.31384 \\ 8.31384 & 240 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega_{\text{exact}} = \pm 15.5345 \text{ eV}, \pm 13.6996 \text{ eV}$$

3) $C^{\text{SMA}} = \begin{pmatrix} 189 & 0 \\ 0 & 240 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega = \pm 15.4919 \text{ eV}, \pm 13.7477 \text{ eV}$

% Errors 0.274%, 0.3513%

2) $C^{\text{TDA}} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 + 2 \cdot 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 + 2 \cdot 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$

consider A off-diags as well

$$\Omega = 15 \text{ eV}, 16 \text{ eV}$$

% errors 9.492%, 2.996%

KS-shift \rightarrow higher errors

overall bigger error; induces bigger error to

TDA not follow sum-rule
 SMA - follows same value - same as KS value
 SPA - over estimate, not follow sum rule

SMA & SPA overlap

KS-shifts errors

K=2M

4) SPA \rightarrow TDA + SMA from prob. 2; mixed up approx.

5) $f_1 = \frac{9}{10}$ & $f_2 = \frac{1}{10} \Rightarrow$ KS oscillator strengths

$$f_n = \frac{2\Omega_n}{3} \sum_{u=1}^3 |\langle \psi_n | \hat{r}_u | \psi_0 \rangle|^2$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 |x_i^T (A - B)^{1/2} z_n|^2$$

$$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$$

Gabe: Diag. C mat

& inverse

eqn 7.145

Bhupalee

$$X_i^{KS} = \frac{3f_i}{2\omega_i}$$

$$(A - B)^{1/2} \rightarrow \omega^{1/2}$$

06/07/20: Week 8/9 Questions

2) TDA approximation

$$\underline{A} X = \underline{\Omega} X$$

$$\det(\underline{A} - \underline{\Omega} \underline{1}) X = 0$$

$$\underline{\Omega}^{TDA} = \{14.86, 16.14\}$$

$$\% \text{ error} = \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 8.47\% & 3.90\% \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{since } \underline{C} = (\underline{A} - \underline{B})^{1/2} (\underline{A} + \underline{B}) (\underline{A} - \underline{B})^{1/2},$$

$$A_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \omega_i + 2 M_{ij}$$

$$A_{11} = 9 + 2 \cdot 3 = 15$$

$$A_{12} = 2(0.2) = 0.4 = A_{21}$$

$$A_{22} = 12 + 2(2) = 16$$

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$$

KS transition freqs:

$$\Delta \omega_{\text{exact}} = \omega_{\text{exact}} - \omega_s = \{4.6996, 3.5345\}$$

$$\Delta \omega_{TDA} = \omega_{TDA} - \omega_s = \{5.85969, 4.14031\}$$

$$\% \text{ error KS shift} = \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 24.68\% & 17.14\% \end{matrix}$$

3) Small matrix approx.

$$C_{ij} = 0 \quad \underline{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 189 & 0 \\ 0 & 240 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{C} \underline{Z} = \underline{\Omega}^2 \underline{Z}$$

$$\underline{\Omega}^{SMA} = \{\pm 13.747, \pm 15.4919\}$$

$$\% \text{ error} = \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 0.3513\% & 0.2741\% \end{matrix}$$

$$\Delta \omega_{SMA} = \{4.7477, 3.4919\}$$

$$\% \text{ error KS shift} = \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 1.02\% & 1.20\% \end{matrix}$$

4) Single Pole Approx. - combines TDA & SMA

$$\underline{\Omega}^{SPA} = \{15, 16\}$$

$$\% \text{ error} = \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 9.492\% & 2.996\% \end{matrix}$$

$$\Delta \omega_{SPA} = \{6, 4\}$$

$$\% \text{ error KS-shift} = \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ 27.67\% & 13.1698\% \end{matrix}$$

\Rightarrow TDA greater source for error.

5) eqn 7.146) $f_n = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left| x_i^T (\underline{A} - \underline{B})^{1/2} \underline{z}_n \right|^2$

eqn 7.52) $f_n = \frac{2\Omega_n}{3} \sum_{u=1}^3 \left| \langle \psi_n | \hat{r}_n | \psi_0 \rangle \right|^2 \begin{pmatrix} \omega_p & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{pe} \end{pmatrix}$ ↑
eigenvectors

$\sum_{n=1}^3 \left| \langle \psi_n | \hat{r}_n | \psi_0 \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{3f_n}{2\Omega_n}$

components
↓

$\hat{r}_n | \psi_0 \rangle = \sum_i \left(\frac{2\Omega_n}{3f_n} \right)^{1/2} | \psi_n \rangle$

$\hat{r}_n = \sum_i r_{ni} \hat{r}_{ni}$ u^{th} component of N-elec positi operator

$\hat{x} \rightarrow$ eigenvectors \underline{z}_n where $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{z}_1 \\ \underline{z}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ $f_1 = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left| x_i^T (\underline{A} - \underline{B})^{1/2} \underline{z}_n \right|^2$

$\vec{x}_4 = \left(\frac{3f_1^{ks}}{2\Omega_1}, \frac{3f_2^{ks}}{2\Omega_2} \right)^{1/2} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0.1569, 0.9876 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{9} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.1569 \\ 0.9876 \end{pmatrix}^{1/2}$

$f_1 = \frac{2}{3} \left| \left(\frac{3f_1^{ks}}{2\Omega_1^{ks}}, \frac{3f_2^{ks}}{2\Omega_2^{ks}} \right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{9} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.9876 \\ 0.1569 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = 0.7873$

$f_2 = 0.2127$

$f_1 + f_2 = 1$, yes confirmed

6) TDA oscillator strengths

$$f_2 = \frac{2}{3} \left| \left(\frac{3 f_1^{KS}}{2 \Omega_1^{KS}}, \frac{3 f_2^{KS}}{2 \Omega_2^{KS}} \right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{5} & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & \sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0.331 \\ 0.9436 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2$$

$$= \cancel{0.91738} \quad 0.566384$$

$$f_1 = 1.17148$$

$$f_1 + f_2 = 1.73786 \neq 1 \quad \text{not satisfied}$$

7) Repeat for SMA

$$f_1^{SMA} = \frac{2}{3} \left| \left(\frac{3 f_1^{KS}}{2 \Omega_1^{KS}}, \frac{3 f_2^{KS}}{2 \Omega_2^{KS}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{15.491} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{13.747} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2$$

$$= 1.5492$$

$$f_2^{SMA} = 0.1146$$

$$f_1^{SMA} + f_2^{SMA} = 1.6638 \neq 1 \quad \text{not satisfied}$$

$$f_1^{SPA} = 1.5$$

$$f_2^{SPA} = 0.1333$$

$$f_1^{SPA} + f_2^{SPA} = \cancel{1.633} \quad 1.633$$

not satisfied