# Statistical Methods for Discrete Response, Time Series, and Panel Data (W271): Lab 2

Brittany Dougall, Steve Hall, Prabhu Narsina, and Edward Salinas

#### Instructions (Please Read Carefully):

- Submit by the due date. Late submissions will not be accepted
- No page limit, but be reasonable
- Do not modify fontsize, margin or line-spacing settings
- One student from each group should submit the lab to their student github repo by the deadline
- Submit two files:
  - 1. A pdf file that details your answers. Include all R code used to produce the answers
  - 2. The R markdown (Rmd) file used to produce the pdf file

The assignment will not be graded unless **both** files are submitted

- Name your files to include all group members names. For example, if the students' names are Stan Cartman and Kenny Kyle, name your files as follows:
  - StanCartman\_KennyKyle\_Lab2.Rmd
  - StanCartman\_KennyKyle\_Lab2.pdf
- Although it sounds obvious, please write your name on page 1 of your pdf and Rmd files
- All answers should include a detailed narrative; make sure that your audience can easily follow
  the logic of your analysis. All steps used in modelling must be clearly shown and explained;
  do not simply 'output dump' the results of code without explanation
- If you use libraries and functions for statistical modeling that we have not covered in this course, you must provide an explanation of why such libraries and functions are used and reference the library documentation
- For mathematical formulae, type them in your R markdown file. Do not e.g. write them on a piece of paper, snap a photo, and use the image file
- Incorrectly following submission instructions results in deduction of grades
- Students are expected to act with regard to UC Berkeley Academic Integrity.

### The Keeling Curve

In the 1950s, the geochemist Charles David Keeling observed a seasonal pattern in the amount of carbon dioxide present in air samples collected over the course of several years. He attributed this pattern to varying rates of photosynthesis throughout the year, caused by differences in land area and vegetation cover between the Earth's northern and southern hemispheres.

In 1958 Keeling began continuous monitoring of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations from the Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii. He soon observed a trend increase carbon dioxide levels in addition to the seasonal cycle, attributable to growth in global rates of fossil fuel combustion. Measurement of this trend at Mauna Loa has continued to the present.


The co2 data set in R's datasets package (automatically loaded with base R) is a monthly time series of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations measured in ppm (parts per million) at the Mauna Loa Observatory from 1959 to 1997. The curve graphed by this data is known as the 'Keeling Curve'.

#### Part 1 (3 points)

Conduct a comprehensive Exploratory Data Analysis on the co2 series. This should include (without being limited to) a thorough investigation of the trend, seasonal and irregular elements.

```
opts_chunk$set(tidy.opts = list(width.cutoff = 60), tidy = TRUE,
    warning = FALSE, message = FALSE)
str(co2)
   Time-Series [1:468] from 1959 to 1998: 315 316 316 318 318 ...
summary(co2)
##
     Min. 1st Qu. Median
                              Mean 3rd Qu.
                                              Max.
##
     313.2
             323.5
                     335.2
                             337.1
                                     350.3
                                             366.8
co2.decompose = decompose(co2)
co2.diff = diff(co2, differences = 1)
co2.seasdiff = diff(co2, lag = 12)
co2.bothdiff = diff(co2.diff, lag = 12)
co2.deseasoned = co2 - co2.decompose$seasonal
co2.detrended = co2 - co2.decompose$trend
par(mfrow = c(3, 1))
plot(co2, ylab = expression("CO2 ppm"), col = "blue", las = 1)
title(main = "Figure1: Monthly Mean CO2 Variation")
boxplot(co2 ~ cycle(co2), main = "Boxplot of CO2 (ppm) by month")
plot(co2.deseasoned, main = expression("Figure2: Presence of CO2 in air after removing season
  xlab = "year", ylab = expression("CO2 ppm"))
```

Figure1: Monthly Mean CO2 Variation



Boxplot of CO2 (ppm) by month




Figure 2: Presence of CO2 in air after removing season




Figure3: Presence of CO2 in air after removing trend




Figure4: Presence of CO2 in air after differencing







Figure5: Presence of CO2 in air after seasonal differencing







Data provided has CO2 presence in the air (parts per million) in monthly time series format from 1959 to 1998.

From Figure 1: The time series plot of the mean of co2 presence in the air indicates a clear trend and seasonal effect. We also observe that the variance is constant over time, which suggests no need for transformation.

From Figure 2: We see a clear upward trend in the mean of the presence of Co2 in the air

From Figure 3: Co2 presence in the air after removing the trend component from the time series indicates the persistent yearly seasonal effect.


From Figure 4: Trend is abstracted after taking the 2-period difference of the time series. It suggests we use ARIMA with integration/difference of 2

From Figure 5: Seasonality absent after applying difference of 12 lags for the season. We still see trends present.

From Figure 6: Seasonality and trend are absent after difference at two lags and 12 lags for the season. It is much closer to white noise series with non-constant variance. It suggests a possible need of Seasonal adjustment for the ARIMA model

autoplot(co2.decompose, main = "Decomposition of CO2 Time Series")

#### Decomposition of C02 Time Series



```
plot.acf.alldata = acf(co2, plot = FALSE)
plot.pacf.alldata = pacf(co2, plot = FALSE)

plot.acf.deseasoned = acf(co2.deseasoned, plot = FALSE)
plot.pacf.deseasoned = pacf(co2.deseasoned, plot = FALSE)


plot.acf.detrended = acf(window(co2.detrended, start = c(1960), end = c(1996)), plot = FALSE)


plot.pacf.detrended = pacf(window(co2.detrended, start = c(1960), end = c(1996)), plot = FALSE)


plot.acf.residual = acf(window(co2.decompose$random, start = c(1960),
```

```
end = c(1996)), plot = FALSE)
plot.pacf.residual = pacf(window(co2.decompose$random, start = c(1960),
    end = c(1996)), plot = FALSE)
plot.acf.diff = acf(co2.diff, plot = FALSE)
plot.pacf.diff = pacf(co2.diff, plot = FALSE)
plot.acf.seasondiff = acf(co2.seasdiff, plot = FALSE)
plot.pacf.seasondiff = pacf(co2.seasdiff, plot = FALSE)
plot.acf.bothdiff = acf(co2.bothdiff, plot = FALSE)
plot.pacf.bothdiff = pacf(co2.bothdiff, plot = FALSE)
par(mfrow = c(2, 2))
plot(plot.acf.alldata, main = "ACF - CO2 Presence in air \n 1959 - 1997",
    xlab = "Year", ylab = "Co2 ppm", col = "blue", cex.main = 0.5)
plot(plot.pacf.alldata, main = "PACF - CO2 Presence in air \n 1959 - 1997",
    xlab = "Year", ylab = "Co2 ppm", col = "red", cex.main = 0.5)
plot(plot.acf.deseasoned, main = "ACF - CO2 Presence in air- \n deseasoned (1959 - 1997)",
    xlab = "Year", ylab = "Co2 ppm", col = "blue")
plot(plot.pacf.deseasoned, main = "PACF CO2 Presence in air- \n deseasoned (1959 - 1997)",
   xlab = "Year", ylab = "Co2 ppm", col = "red", cex.main = 0.5)
```

#### ACF – CO2 Presence in air 1959 – 1997










ACF – CO2 Presence in air– deseasoned (1959 – 1997)

PACF CO2 Presence in airdeseasoned (1959 – 1997)






## ACF CO2 Presence in air detrended (1959 – 1997)





## PACF CO2 Presence in air detrended 1959 – 1997



ACF CO2 Presence in air random component (1959 – 1997)









### ACF CO2 Presence in air AR diff (2nd Order)(1959 – 1997)


#### PACF CO2 Presence in air AR differencing (2nd Order)(1959 – 199






ACF CO2 Presence in air seasonal diff (1959 – 1997)


PACF CO2 Presence in air season difference (1959 – 1997)






## ACF CO2 Presence in air AR and seasonal differences

## PACF CO2 Presence in air AR and seasonal differences





Decomposition graph confirms the findings from EDA, trend and seasonality are present in the time series.


Above ACF and PACF graph shows for different adjustments of time series: 1) original series 2) de-seasoned 3)de-trended 4) random component of time series 5) Two period differenced for trend 5) Two period difference and seasonal differenced time series. Few observations from above graphs \* PACF graph shows autocorrelation dying off at second log after de-seasoned. This suggests to use only 1st order Auto regressive model. This also suggests removing seasonality is important

- \* ACF graph shows clear seasonal effect after removing trend
- \* ACF graph after performing auto regressive (AR) and seasonal differences looks closer to white noise ACF graph. This confirms the need for seasonal and Integrated treatment for our model

```
par(mfrow = c(1, 2))
hist(co2, main = "Histogram: CO2 Presence in air \n 1959 - 1997")
hist(co2.bothdiff, main = "Histogram: CO2 Presence in air\n after AR and seasonal difference")
```

# Histogram: CO2 Presence in air 1959 – 1997

## Histogram: CO2 Presence in air after AR and seasonal differenc



Histogram after applying seasonal and regressive difference looks close to Gaussian distribution.

#### Part 2 (3 points)

Fit a linear time trend model to the co2 series, and examine the characteristics of the residuals. Compare this to a higher-order polynomial time trend model. Discuss whether a logarithmic transformation of the data would be appropriate. Fit a polynomial time trend model that incorporates seasonal dummy variables, and use this model to generate forecasts up to the present.


#### Linear Time Trend Model

```
# First fit a linear time trend model
par(mfrow = c(3, 1))
co2.ts.lm.linear = lm(co2 ~ time(co2))
summary(co2.ts.lm.linear)
```


```
##
## Call:
```

```
## lm(formula = co2 ~ time(co2))
##
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                                      Max
                               3Q
## -6.0399 -1.9476 -0.0017 1.9113 6.5149
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) -2.250e+03 2.127e+01 -105.8
                                              <2e-16 ***
## time(co2)
              1.308e+00 1.075e-02
                                              <2e-16 ***
                                      121.6
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 2.618 on 466 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9695, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9694
## F-statistic: 1.479e+04 on 1 and 466 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
qqPlot(co2.ts.lm.linear$residuals, main = expression("Linear Model co2 ~ time(co2) "))
## [1] 17 5
plt.acf = acf(co2.ts.lm.linear$residuals, plot = FALSE)
plt.pacf = pacf(co2.ts.lm.linear$residuals, plot = FALSE)
plot(plt.acf, main = expression("ACF - Linear Model co2 ~ time(co2) "))
plot(plt.pacf, main = expression("PACF - Linear Model co2 ~ time(co2) "))
```


#### Linear Model co2 ~ time(co2)



ACF - Linear Model co2 ~ time(co2)



PACF - Linear Model co2 ~ time(co2)



```
Box.test(co2.ts.lm.linear$residuals, type = "Ljung-Box")
```

```
##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: co2.ts.lm.linear$residuals
## X-squared = 373.94, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

Because the variance around the trend line appeared constant, we chose not to take the log of the values of our time series observations.

After fitting a linear model of time, we performed several checks to assess model fit. As seen above, the plot of the residuals against the normal distribution shows skewing in the tails, suggesting that the linear model residuals are not normally distributed.

The ACF and PACF plots do not resemble those of white noise, suggesting poor model fit, and show evidence of autocorrelation in the residuals. This latter finding is supported by the results of the Ljung-Box test, which has a small p-value (< 0.05) - meaning that we fail to reject the null hypothesis that the residuals are correlated.

#### Seasonal Time-Trend Model

##


```
# Add seasonal dummy to data.frame
co2.df = data.frame(ppm = c(co2), time = c(time(co2)))
co2.df$season = as.factor(cycle(co2))

par(mfrow = c(3, 1))
co2.ts.lm.stt = lm(ppm ~ time + I(time(co2)^2) + season, data = co2.df)
summary(co2.ts.lm.stt)
```


```
## Call:
## lm(formula = ppm ~ time + I(time(co2)^2) + season, data = co2.df)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -1.99478 -0.54468 -0.06017 0.47265 1.95480
##
## Coefficients:
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                              1.156e+03 41.289 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                   4.771e+04
## time
                  -4.920e+01
                              1.168e+00 -42.120 < 2e-16 ***
## I(time(co2)^2)
                  1.277e-02
                              2.952e-04 43.242 < 2e-16 ***
## season2
                   6.642e-01
                             1.640e-01
                                          4.051 5.99e-05 ***
## season3
                   1.407e+00
                              1.640e-01
                                          8.582 < 2e-16 ***
## season4
                   2.538e+00 1.640e-01 15.480 < 2e-16 ***
```

```
## season5
                  3.017e+00 1.640e-01 18.400 < 2e-16 ***
## season6
                  2.354e+00 1.640e-01 14.357 < 2e-16 ***
## season7
                  8.331e-01 1.640e-01
                                         5.081 5.50e-07 ***
## season8
                 -1.235e+00 1.640e-01 -7.531 2.75e-13 ***
## season9
                 -3.059e+00 1.640e-01 -18.659 < 2e-16 ***
                 -3.243e+00 1.640e-01 -19.777 < 2e-16 ***
## season10
## season11
                 -2.054e+00 1.640e-01 -12.526 < 2e-16 ***
## season12
                 -9.374e-01 1.640e-01 -5.717 1.97e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.724 on 454 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9977, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9977
## F-statistic: 1.531e+04 on 13 and 454 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
qqPlot(co2.ts.lm.stt$residuals, main = expression("Quadratic Time Trend Model with 12 Seasonal
## [1] 465 361
plt.acf = acf(co2.ts.lm.stt$residuals, plot = FALSE)
plt.pacf = pacf(co2.ts.lm.stt$residuals, plot = FALSE)
plot(plt.acf, main = expression("ACF - Quadratic Time Trend Model with 12 Seasonal Components"
plot(plt.pacf, main = expression("PACF - Quadratic Time Trend Model with 12 Seasonal Component
```


#### Quadratic Time Trend Model with 12 Seasonal Components



ACF - Quadratic Time Trend Model with 12 Seasonal Components



PACF - Quadratic Time Trend Model with 12 Seasonal Components



```
Box.test(co2.ts.lm.stt$residuals, type = "Ljung-Box")
```

```
##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: co2.ts.lm.stt$residuals
## X-squared = 393.48, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

Based upon residual plots, the quadratic model with time and seasonal dummy variables appears to be a better fit. The residual tails are less skewed away from the qqline in the plot against the normal distribution. However, the ACF plot of the residuals, like those of the time linear model, show a trend not captured by our model - the majority of autocorrelations are significant and there is a gradual decay in values over the lags. The PACF shows fewer significant autocorrelations. Again, we find that the model fails the Ljung-Box test, indicating correlation in the residuals.

Despite these inadequacies, the model predictions in the short term do not appear unreasonable, as seen in our forecast plot.

#### Part 3 (4 points)


Following all appropriate steps, choose an ARIMA model to fit to this co2 series. Discuss the characteristics of your model and how you selected between alternative ARIMA specifications. Use your model to generate forecasts to the present.


#### SARIMA Model Selection

```
# Find the number of seasonal and non-seasonal differences
# needed for stationarity 1 non-seasonal difference and 0
# seasonal differences are required
unitroot_ndiffs(co2)
## ndiffs
##
unitroot_nsdiffs(co2)
## nsdiffs
##
         0
# Plot the residuals, ACF, and PACF of the
# first-differenced series The PACF chart has fewer
# repeated significant spikes at seasonal lags than the ACF
# does so we'll use it for the seasonal part of the model
# in our initial estimate The PACF only a seasonal spike at
# a lag of 12 - (1,0,0) Since we used the PACF for the
```

```
# seasonal part, we'll estimate the non-seasonal with the
# ACF The first 2 autocorrelations in the ACF are
# significant, so we'll estimate an MA(2)
tsdisplay(difference(co2), main = "Non-Seasonal 1st Difference")
```

### Non-Seasonal 1st Difference





The above model can be expressed as auto-regressive equation of

$$(1-B)(1-B)^{12}x_t = (1+\theta_1B+\theta_2B^2)w_t$$

#### After solving the above equation with the results from R model, we get

 $x_t = x_{t-1} + (0.9803824) * x_{t-12} + w_t + (-0.3501415) * w_{t-1} + (-0.0577398) * w_{t-2}$  where  $x_{t-12}$  represents 12th lag of time series and  $x_{t-1}$  is the results of first difference of time series i.e.  $x_t^1 = x_t - x_{t-1}$ 

 $w_t$  is white noise from current time step,  $w_{t-1}$  is white noise from the previous time step and  $w_{t-2}$  is the white noise from 2 steps before. This is the result of moving average component of our model.

```
# Find the AIC of the Arima model, check the residuals, and
# perform Ljung-Box
co2.sarima.aicc <- -2 * co2.sarima$loglik + log(length(co2) +
    1) * (length(co2.sarima$coef))
co2.sarima.aicc</pre>
```


## [1] 413.4629

```
# Look at the estimated coefficients
summary(co2.sarima)
```

```
##
## Call:
## arima(x = co2, order = c(0, 1, 2), seasonal = list(order = c(1, 0, 0), frequency(co2)),
##
       method = "CSS")
##
## Coefficients:
##
             ma1
                              sar1
                      ma2
         -0.3501
                  -0.0577
                           0.9804
##
          0.0462
                   0.0444 0.0108
## s.e.
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.1364: part log likelihood = -197.51
##
## Training set error measures:
                                 RMSE
                                            MAE
                                                        MPE
                                                                   MAPE
                                                                             MASE
## Training set 0.00639654 0.3641826 0.2888305 0.001826364 0.08591893 0.2683615
## Training set 0.007648558
```


```
# The histogram plot looks approximately normal
hist(co2.sarima$residuals, main = "SARIMA (0,1,2) (1,0,0)")
```


## **SARIMA (0,1,2) (1,0,0)**



```
# A time series plot of the residuals appears to have a
# constant mean The ACF and PACF plots still have a few
# significant autocorrelations
tsdisplay(co2.sarima$residuals, main = "SARIMA (0,1,2) (1,0,0)")
```

### **SARIMA (0,1,2) (1,0,0)**






```
# However, the model passes the Ljung-Box test
Box.test(co2.sarima$residuals, type = "Ljung-Box")

##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: co2.sarima$residuals
## X-squared = 0.027554, df = 1, p-value = 0.8682

# Check the inverse unit roots for stationarity The inverse
# unit roots are near non-stationarity
autoplot(co2.sarima)
```



our initial model, we first ran unit root tests to check the number of seasonal and non-seasonal differences required for stationarity. These tests returned 1 non-seasonal difference and 0 seasonal differences required, so we used these values as our d and D to estimate our initial Arima model. To obtain p, q, P, and Q, we took a first non-seasonal difference and plotted the ACF, PACF, and differenced values as a time series. The time series plot of the differenced values appeared relatively stationary. The ACF and PACF still showed evidence of autocorrelation. Since the PACF had fewer repeating seasonal lags, we used this plot to estimate the seasonal part of the Arima model. The PACF plot showed a significant autocorrelation at only the first seasonal lag, at 12, so we estimated (1,0,0) for the seasonal part of the model. For the non-seasonal part of the Arima model, the ACF showed significant autocorrelation at lags 1 and 2, so we estimated an MA model of order 2, or (0,1,2) for the non-seasonal component (with a difference of 1 since we took 1 non-seasonal difference).

The ACF and PACF plots of the residuals of this estimated model  $((0,1,2)(1,0,0)_{12})$  shows several significant autocorrelations (notably at 1 year in the ACF and PACF and at 2 years in the PACF), although the majority of values fall within the confidence interval for white noise values.

The Ljung-Box test shows a p-value > 0.05, meaning that we reject the null hypothesis that the residuals are auto-correlated.

Since the ACF and PACF plots still showed several strong autocorrelations and the plot of the inverse unit roots showed values near unity, we proceeded to iterate over model parameters to see if we could improve the AIC score and create a model with residuals that better approximated white noise.

#### Model Selection Algorithm

```
get.best.arima \leftarrow function(x.ts, maxord = c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)) {
    best.aic <- 1e+08
    df.results = data.frame()
    n <- length(x.ts)</pre>
    for (p in 0:maxord[1]) for (d in 0:maxord[2]) for (q in 0:maxord[3]) for (P in 0:maxord[4]
        tryCatch({
             fit <- arima(x.ts, order = c(p, d, q), seas = list(order = c(P,
                 D, Q), frequency(x.ts)))
            npar <- length(fit$coef[fit$mask]) + 1</pre>
            nstar <- length(fit$residuals) - fit$arma[6] - fit$arma[7] *</pre>
                 fit$arma[5]
             # consistent AIC fit.aicc <- -2 * fit$loglik +
             \# (log(n)+1) * length(fit$coef) regular AIC
             fit.aic <- fit$aic</pre>
             # fit.aic <- -2 * fit$loglik + 2 *
             # (length(fit$coef)+1) BIC fit.bic <- -2 *
             # fit log lik + log(n) * (length(fit log lik) + log(n))
             fit.bic <- fit.aic + npar * (log(nstar) - 2)
             fit.aicc <- fit.aic + 2 * npar * (nstar/(nstar -</pre>
```

To find a parsimonious seasonal Arima model that better fit the time series, we looped over values in the range of 0 to 2 for the parameters p, q, P, and Q. We also chose the range of 0 to 2 for the number of seasonal and non-seasonal differences, since differencing beyond order 2 is rarely required.

For the best fit model, we chose to use the model with the lowest AICc, as seen in our table below (using AICc since it penalizes the model fit with increasing parameters and corrects for the bias in predictor selection introduced by AIC). As seen below, the best fitting model is (0,1,1)(1,1,2).

Table	1:	Тор	10	Models.
-------	----	-----	----	---------

model	AICc	AIC	BIC
2 1 3 2 1 2	171.5915	171.0960	212.2990
$2\ 1\ 3\ 1\ 1\ 3$	171.7964	171.3010	212.5039
$0\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 2$	171.9977	171.6748	204.6372
$0\ 1\ 3\ 1\ 1\ 3$	172.1293	171.8064	204.7688
$1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 2$	172.9589	172.7083	201.5504
$1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 3$	172.9978	172.7473	201.5893
$2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 3$	173.0538	172.7309	205.6933
$1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 2$	173.6444	173.3215	206.2839
$0\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 2$	173.6884	173.5009	198.2227
$1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 3$	173.7133	173.3904	206.3528

```
# Estimate an Arima model with the parameters of the model
# with the lowest AICc found from our parameter search
pdqPDQ <- as.list(unlist(strsplit(best10.arima[1, 1], "[[:space:]]")))
p <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[1]])
d <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[2]])
q <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[3]])
P <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[4]])</pre>
```

```
D <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[5]])
Q <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[6]])

# Estimate the model
co2.sarima.2 <- arima(co2, order = c(p, d, q), seasonal = list(order = c(p, d, q)), method = "CSS")</pre>
```

Our best sarima model can be expressed as below


$$(1-B)(1-B)^{12}x_t = (1+\theta_1B+\theta_{12}B^{12}+\theta_{13}B^{13})w_t$$

After solving the above equation with the results from R model, we get  $x_t = x_{t-1} + (-0.7013151) * x_{t-12} + w_t + (0.1146234) * w_{t-1} + (-0.4652142) * w_{t-12} + (0.7325332) * w_{t-13}$  where  $x_{t-12}$  represents 12th lag of time series and  $x_{t-1}$  is the results of first difference of time series i.e.  $x_t^1 = x_t - x_{t-1}$ 

 $w_t$  is white noise from current time step,  $w_{t-1}$  is white noise from the previous time step, which is the result of AR moving average.  $w_{t-12}$  is the white noise from 12 steps before (seasonal) current time step and  $w_{t-13}$  is the white noise from 13 steps before current time step. This is the result of seasonal moving average component of our model.


```
# Inspect the residual plots and find the estimated AICc
sarima2.aicc <- -2 * co2.sarima.2$loglik + (log(length(co2)) +
    1) * length(co2.sarima.2$coef)
hist(residuals(co2.sarima.2))</pre>
```


## Histogram of residuals(co2.sarima.2)




```
tsdisplay(co2.sarima.2$residuals, main = {
   toString(pdqPDQ)
})
```

### 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 2








sarima2.aicc

## [1] 224.5802

Box.test(co2.sarima.2\$residuals, type = "Ljung-Box")

```
##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: co2.sarima.2$residuals
## X-squared = 0.020804, df = 1, p-value = 0.8853
```




The AICc value is smaller than that of our initial model estimate, and the majority of ACF and PACF values fall within the 95% confidence interval bounds for white noise. In addition, the Ljung-Box test indicates that the data are independently distributed since we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

The histogram of the residuals shows them to be approximately normally distributed and the plot of the residuals as a time series resembles white noise.

Since this model has a lower AICc than our initial estimate, the residuals resemble white noise, and we have not found significant evidence of residual autocorrelation, we proceed with using this model in our forecast. As seen in the plots of the inverse unit roots, the absolute value of the inverse unit roots are less than unity, meaning that the residuals are stationary.

#### **Best Model Forecasts**

### SARIMA Model – CO2 present in air(ppm) forecasting



#### Part 4 (5 points)

The file co2\_weekly\_mlo.txt contains weekly observations of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations measured at the Mauna Loa Observatory from 1974 to 2020, published by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Convert these data into a suitable time series object, conduct a thorough EDA on the data, addressing the problem of missing observations and comparing the Keeling Curve's development to your predictions from Parts 2 and 3. Use the weekly data to generate a month-average series from 1997 to the present and use this to generate accuracy metrics for the forecasts generated by your models from Parts 2 and 3.

```
##
         year
                         month
                                           day
                                                           decimal
                                                       Min.
##
    Min.
            :1974
                    Min.
                            : 1.00
                                      Min.
                                             : 1.00
                                                               :1974
##
    1st Qu.:1986
                    1st Qu.: 4.00
                                      1st Qu.: 8.00
                                                       1st Qu.:1986
##
    Median:1997
                    Median: 7.00
                                      Median :16.00
                                                       Median:1998
##
    Mean
            :1997
                    Mean
                            : 6.52
                                              :15.72
                                                       Mean
                                                               :1998
                                      Mean
##
    3rd Qu.:2009
                    3rd Qu.:10.00
                                      3rd Qu.:23.00
                                                       3rd Qu.:2010
            :2021
                            :12.00
                                                               :2021
##
    Max.
                    Max.
                                      Max.
                                              :31.00
                                                       Max.
##
                             days
                                            1yr_ago
                                                               10yrs_ago
         ppm
```

```
## 1st Qu.: 347.1 1st Qu.:5.000 1st Qu.: 345.6
                                         1st Qu.: 331.48
## Median : 365.2 Median :6.000 Median : 363.5
                                         Median: 350.18
## Mean : 358.3 Mean :5.871
                           Mean : 328.4
                                         Mean : 59.61
                3rd Qu.:7.000
##
  3rd Qu.: 388.4
                           3rd Qu.: 386.2
                                         3rd Qu.: 368.45
## Max. : 420.0
               Max. :7.000 Max. : 417.8
                                         Max. : 395.23
##
   since1800
## Min. : -999.99
## 1st Qu.: 66.95
## Median: 84.55
## Mean : 80.38
## 3rd Qu.: 108.07
## Max. : 136.87
describe(co2_weekly)
## co2 weekly
##
## 9 Variables 2458 Observations
## year
##
     n missing distinct Info Mean Gmd .05
                                                   .10
                        1 1997 15.71
         0 48
                                             1976
##
     2458
                                                   1979
                  .75 .90
2009 2016
     . 25
           .50
                               .95
                        2016
     1986
         1997
##
                               2019
##
## lowest : 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978, highest: 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021
## -----
## month
    n missing distinct Info Mean Gmd .05 .10 2458 0 12 0.993 6.52 3.965 1 2
##
##
                 .75
    .25
                               .95
           .50
                      .90
##
            7
##
      4
                  10
                         11
                                12
##
## lowest : 1 2 3 4 5, highest: 8 9 10 11 12
##
                2 3 4 5 6 7 8
           1
## Value
                                               9 10
                                                        11
## Frequency 208 190 208 201
                             211
                                 205 208 208
                                               202
                                                   207
## Proportion 0.085 0.077 0.085 0.082 0.086 0.083 0.085 0.085 0.082 0.084 0.082
##
## Value
            12
## Frequency
           208
## Proportion 0.085
## -----
   n missing distinct Info Mean Gmd .05 .10
##
##
     2458 0 31 0.999 15.72 10.16
                                             2
```

Min. :0.000 Min. :-1000.0

Min. : -999.99

## Min. :-1000.0


```
. 25
##
        .50 .75 .90
                            .95
##
                  23
                        28
      8
           16
                               29
##
## lowest : 1 2 3 4 5, highest: 27 28 29 30 31
## -----
## decimal
##
     n missing distinct
                       Info
                             Mean
                                    Gmd
                                          . 05
           0
##
    2458
                 2458
                        1
                              1998
                                   15.71
                                          1977
                                                 1979
##
     . 25
           .50
                 .75
                        .90
                             .95
    1986
##
           1998
                 2010
                       2017
                              2019
##
## lowest : 1974.380 1974.399 1974.418 1974.437 1974.456
## highest: 2021.390 2021.410 2021.429 2021.448 2021.467
## -----
## ppm
    n missing distinct Info
                                          .05
                                                 .10
                             Mean
                                    Gmd
                                  47.87 332.4
##
    2458
         0
                 2148
                       1
                             358.3
                                                336.1
                       .90
##
    . 25
          .50
                .75
                             .95
##
    347.1
          365.2
                388.4 404.6
                             410.6
## lowest : -999.99 326.72 326.99 327.07 327.23
## highest: 419.28 419.47 419.53 419.55 420.01
##
## Value -1000 320 340 360 380 400 420
## Frequency 18 45
                   638
                       662
                            527 435 133
## Proportion 0.007 0.018 0.260 0.269 0.214 0.177 0.054
## For the frequency table, variable is rounded to the nearest 20
## -----
## days
    n missing distinct
                      Info
                             Mean
                                     Gmd
    2458 0 8
                       0.896
                             5.871
                                   1.378
##
## lowest : 0 1 2 3 4, highest: 3 4 5 6 7
## Value
           0
               1
                   2 3 4 5 6
           18
               14
                    36
                      101 176 402
## Proportion 0.007 0.006 0.015 0.041 0.072 0.164 0.264 0.432
## -----
## 1yr_ago
##
    n missing distinct Info
                             Mean
                                    Gmd
                                          .05
                                                 .10
         0 2097
                        1
                             328.4 101.7 330.5 334.4
##
    2458
                      .90
##
     . 25
           .50
                 .75
                             .95
                386.2 402.0
##
    345.6
          363.5
                             408.2
## lowest : -999.99 326.73 326.84 326.98 327.21
## highest: 417.09 417.10 417.21 417.46 417.83
##
```

```
## Value
               -1000
                        320
                              340
                                    360
                                           380
                                                  400
                                                        420
                  70
                         45
                              638
                                    665
                                           523
                                                  436
## Frequency
                                                         81
## Proportion 0.028 0.018 0.260 0.271 0.213 0.177 0.033
##
## For the frequency table, variable is rounded to the nearest 20
  10yrs ago
##
          n
             missing distinct
                                    Info
                                              Mean
                                                         Gmd
                                                                   .05
                                                                             .10
##
                                   0.989
                                             59.61
                                                       479.1 -1000.0 -1000.0
       2458
                    0
                           1644
                                      .90
##
        .25
                  .50
                            .75
                                                .95
##
      331.5
                350.2
                          368.5
                                   382.4
                                             387.0
##
                      326.66 327.04 327.10
## lowest : -999.99
                                                327.26
                      394.15
                               394.43
                                        395.13
## highest:
             394.08
##
## Value
               -1000
                        330
                              340
                                    350
                                           360
                                                 370
                                                        380
                                                              390
                                                                     400
## Frequency
                 541
                        196
                              328
                                    343
                                           339
                                                  286
                                                        248
                                                              175
## Proportion 0.220 0.080 0.133 0.140 0.138 0.116 0.101 0.071 0.001
##
## For the frequency table, variable is rounded to the nearest 10
  since1800
##
          n
             missing distinct
                                    Info
                                              Mean
                                                         Gmd
                                                                   .05
                                                                             .10
##
                           2086
                                             80.38
                                                       43.66
                                                                 52.11
       2458
                    0
                                        1
                                                                           55.81
##
        .25
                  .50
                            .75
                                      .90
                                                .95
      66.95
##
                84.55
                         108.07
                                  125.10
                                            130.75
##
## lowest : -999.99
                        49.60
                                49.65
                                         49.72
                                                 49.95
                               136.64
                                       136.74
## highest:
              136.49
                      136.61
                                                136.87
##
## Value
               -1000
                         50
                               60
                                     70
                                            80
                                                   90
                                                        100
                                                              110
                                                                     120
                                                                            130
                                                                                  140
## Frequency
                  18
                        194
                              326
                                    325
                                           371
                                                  270
                                                        260
                                                              245
                                                                     200
                                                                            216
                                                                                   33
## Proportion 0.007 0.079 0.133 0.132 0.151 0.110 0.106 0.100 0.081 0.088 0.013
##
## For the frequency table, variable is rounded to the nearest 10
```


NOAA data provided in the file has 2458 weekly observations from 1974 to 2021 with 10 variables. Variable ppm tracks weekly co2 presence. We will be using ppm values for our analysis. It appears that NOAA uses -999 to represent missing values. For ppm, there are 18 observations missing. and we have 18 observations that have ppm value as a null, we will fill them in before developing time series model.

#### Impute Missing Values Linearly

#### First 200 Weeks of Raw Data




### **Linearly Interpolate Missing Values**



After careful observation of the data, most of the missing points are spread out across the data set (i.e. we do not need to impute 18 weeks in a row). As a result, we suggest it is reasonable to simply interpolate the missing values linearly. The plot above shows the first 200 weeks of the original data series with missing data and a new time series with missing values imputed.

```
# Get monthly averages for replacement after imputing
# missing values
co2_monthly <- co2_weekly2 %>%
    group_by(year, month) %>%
    summarise(ppm_month_avg = mean(ppm))
# join to add monthly averages
```


# Weekly Observations of CO2 (ppm) Mauna Loa Observatory 1974 to 2021



```
# Calculate monthly averages as our forecast is only on
# monthly basis
co2_noaa_monthly_df <- co2_merged %>%
    group_by(year, month) %>%
    summarise(ppm_month_avg = mean(ppm))
summary(co2_noaa_monthly_df)
```

```
##
         vear
                       month
                                    ppm_month_avg
## Min.
           :1974
                   Min.
                          : 1.000
                                           :327.3
                                    Min.
   1st Qu.:1986
                   1st Qu.: 4.000
                                    1st Qu.:347.2
   Median:1997
                   Median : 6.000
                                    Median :365.1
##
          :1997
                         : 6.496
                                           :368.2
##
   Mean
                   Mean
                                    Mean
```

# Monthly Observations of CO2 (ppm) Mauna Loa Observatory 1974 to 2021




The monthly time series plotted above looks like a smoothed version of the weekly time series.

```
# transforming time series data to dataframe, so that we
# can join
co2_actuals_filtered <- co2_noaa_monthly_df %>%
    filter(year > 1997)


co2_actuals_ts <- ts(co2_actuals_filtered$ppm_month_avg, start = c(1998),
    frequency = 12)

ts.plot(co2_actuals_ts, co2_forecast_ts, lty = 1:2, col = c("navy",
    "blue"), ylab = "CO2 (ppm)", main = "SARIMA(0,1,1,1,1,2) Forecasts vs. Actual Monthly CO2 :</pre>
```

# SARIMA(0,1,1,1,1,2) Forecasts vs. Actual Monthly CO2 Levels



#### Difference between Actual CO2 Levels and Forecasted Levels



The difference between the actual measured CO2 levels from 1998 to present and our forecasts is stark. It is clear from the plot above that we underestimated the growth of the series over the subsequent 20+ years. Given that our best model's residuals were stationary and resembled to white noise, we would conclude that the forecast error was not necessarily due to a model misspecification, but rather a change in the underlying CO2 generating process. We hypothesize this could be due to the rapid growth of China's economy and other emerging market economies through the 2000s and 2010s<sup>1</sup>. This could be the subject of a deeper, causal understanding of what is driving the ever-increasing concentrations of atmospheric CO2.

#### Part 5 (5 points)

Split the NOAA series into training and test sets, using the final two years of observations as the test set. Fit an ARIMA model to the series following all appropriate steps, including comparison of how candidate models perform both in-sample and (psuedo-) out-of-sample. Generate predictions for when atmospheric CO2 is expected to reach 450 parts per million, considering the prediction intervals as well as the point estimate. Generate a prediction for atmospheric CO2 levels in the year 2100. How confident are you that these will be accurate predictions?

```
co2.noaa.training <- co2_noaa_monthly_df %>%
   filter(year <= 2019)
co2.6months.data <- co2.noaa.training %>%
   filter(year == 2019 & month > 6)
co2.noaa.training <- co2.noaa.training %>%
```


 $<sup>^{1} \</sup>rm https://climate action tracker.org/countries/china/$ 

```
anti_join(co2.6months.data, by = c("year", "month"))
co2.noaa.test <- co2_noaa_monthly_df %>%
    filter(year > 2019)
co2.noaa.test <- co2.noaa.test %>%
    union_all(co2.6months.data)


co2.noaa.training.ts = ts(co2.noaa.training$ppm_month_avg, start = c(1974, 5), frequency = 12)

co2.noaa.test.ts = ts(co2.noaa.test$ppm_month_avg, start = c(2019, 7), frequency = 12)

plot(co2.noaa.training.ts)
```




```
plot(co2.noaa.test.ts)
```




co2.noaa.training.decompose = decompose(co2.noaa.training.ts)
plot(co2.noaa.training.decompose)

# **Decomposition of additive time series**



acf(co2.noaa.training.ts)

# Series co2.noaa.training.ts



pacf(co2.noaa.training.ts)

# Series co2.noaa.training.ts





Table 2: Top 10 Models.

model	AICc	AIC	BIC
2 1 2 0 1 3	180.4091	180.0862	213.0486
$2\ 1\ 2\ 0\ 1\ 2$	180.7302	180.4797	209.3218
$1\ 1\ 0\ 2\ 1\ 0$	258.2629	258.1740	274.6552
$0\ 1\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 1$	388.6760	388.5847	404.9590
$0\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 1$	337.2519	337.1146	357.5824
$3\ 1\ 1\ 3\ 0\ 0$	294.3645	294.0501	327.2207
3 1 1 3 1 0	227.0807	226.7578	259.7202
$3\ 1\ 2\ 0\ 0\ 1$	788.0192	787.7752	816.7995
$0\ 1\ 0\ 3\ 2\ 1$	374.0562	373.9189	394.3867
$0\; 1\; 0\; 2\; 2\; 2\\$	327.0922	326.9549	347.4227


```
# Estimate an Arima model with the parameters of the model
# with the lowest AICc found from our parameter search
pdqPDQ <- as.list(unlist(strsplit(best10.arima[1, 1], "[[:space:]]")))</pre>
p <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[1]])</pre>
d <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[2]])</pre>
q <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[3]])</pre>
P <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[4]])</pre>
D <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[5]])</pre>
Q <- strtoi(pdqPDQ[[6]])</pre>
# Estimate the model
co2.noaa.sarima <- arima(co2.noaa.training.ts, order = c(p, d,</pre>
    q), seasonal = list(order = c(P, D, Q)))
# Inspect the residual plots and find the estimated AICc
noaa.aicc <- -2 * co2.noaa.sarima$loglik + (log(length(co2)) +</pre>
    1) * length(co2.noaa.sarima$coef)
hist(residuals(co2.noaa.sarima))
```


### Histogram of residuals(co2.noaa.sarima)




```
tsdisplay(co2.noaa.sarima$residuals, main = {
   toString(pdqPDQ)
})
```

2, 1, 2, 0, 1, 3









Box.test(co2.noaa.sarima\$residuals, type = "Ljung-Box")

```
##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: co2.noaa.sarima$residuals
## X-squared = 0.14235, df = 1, p-value = 0.706
```

autoplot(co2.noaa.sarima)



## SARIMA Model – CO2 present in air(ppm) forecasting



# SARIMA(2,1,2,0,1,3) NOAA Forecasts vs. Actual Monthly CO2 Level:

