Evaluation of infrasound in-situ calibration method on a 3-month measurement campaign

Charbit M.[1], Doury B.[2] Marty J.[3],

October 1, 2015

IMS study

In the framework of the calibration program, a study was conducted with the following theoretical and practical results:

- determining closed form expression for the asymptotic distributions of the estimators;
- sizing a statistic for testing the magnitude square coherence (MSC) level;
- introducing a weighted estimator of the system under test (SUT) response based on the estimated value of the MSC;
- proposal of a filter bank analysis for the SUT estimation;
- providing a simple wind coherence model which explains an observed artefact of the noise reduction system (NRS), in relation with the wind velocity;
- Evaluation on a 3-month campaign of measurement at station IS26. This presentation mainly focuses on the last item.

Measurement



$$\widehat{G_{\mathrm{UT}}}(f) \approx \frac{\widehat{S_{UU}}(f)}{\widehat{S_{UR}}(f)} \times G_{\mathrm{ref}}(f)$$

- objective: calibrate the system under test (SUT), i.e. NRS+sensor+digitizer, based on the knowledge of the reference system (SREF);
- 2 kinds of signals: acoustic and non acoustic (typically wind) with different ranges of velocity;
- non spatially coherent signals are called "noise";
- acoustic signals is spatially coherent, in all frequency band of interest, regarding the size of the SUT.

Performing process

the problem is underdeterminated



"duration" induces variability because the randomness of the spectral analysis

$$\pm 5\% \Rightarrow \mathrm{MSC} = 0.96 \Rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{MSC}} > 0.98$$

Manage the stationarity

Spectrum analysis requires stationarity. In high frequencies the duration where we can expect stationarity can be considered smaller. Hence the idea to use a filter bank. The example below is used in the following processing.





NRS effect

The ratio $\frac{v_{\text{wind}}}{f}$ can be viewed as a wavelength. Therefore

- at very low frequency, the wind appears as spatially coherent for all SUT/SREF elements. Therefore everything occurs as there is NO noise, and the MSC is almost 1,
- at high frequency, the wind appears as spatially NON coherent. Therefore the NRS plays its role to reduce the noise,
- around 0.8 Hz, a small part of the wind appears as spatially coherent for a few NRS inlets. Therefore a dip artefact is observed.



Deployment

- 8 SUTs with 18 meter wind noise reduction system, each of them with 96 inlets;
- 8 SREFs have been deployed on May 2015;
- each reference sensor has been calibrated in the lab;
- capability of wind measurement on H1;

PTS requirements

PTS specifications are:

- bandwidth $[0.02 - 4]$ Hz;
- $\pm 5\%$ on the response magnitude; more specifically the calibration is required once a year;
- no requirement on the phase but ... $\pm 5^\circ$ has been considered.

Results


IS26 − sensor H1
dashed line: +/−5% for amplitude, +/− 5 degrees for phase
Amplitude [dB]
Phase [deg]
IMS passband
frequency [Hz]


IS26 − sensor H3
dashed line: +/−5% for amplitude, +/− 5 degrees for phase
Amplitude [dB]
Phase [deg]
IMS passband
frequency [Hz]


IS26 − sensor H4
dashed line: +/−5% for amplitude, +/− 5 degrees for phase
Amplitude [dB]
Phase [deg]
IMS passband
frequency [Hz]


IS26 − sensor H5
dashed line: +/−5% for amplitude, +/− 5 degrees for phase
Amplitude [dB]
Phase [deg]
IMS passband
frequency [Hz]

Temporal stability of successive gains averaged on 2 days



Conclusions

PTS specifications are:

- bandwidth $[0.02 - 4]$ Hz;
- $\pm 5\%$ on the response magnitude; more specifically the calibration is required once a year;
- no requirement on the phase but ... $\pm 5^\circ$ has been considered.

Conclusions:

- The experimental results obtained by averaging over 4 months fully validate the calibration method;
- The experimental results obtained by couples of 2 days show a high stability in full agreement with the PTS requirements;

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

NRS effect simulation



Rks

$x_1, \cdots, x_{2000}$	$x_{2001}, \cdots, x_{4000}$	$x_{4001}, \cdots, x_{6000}$	$x_{6001}, \cdots, x_{8000}$	$x_{8001}, \cdots, x_{10000}$
$x_{1001}, \cdots, x_{3000}$	$x_{3001}, \cdots, x_{5000}$	$x_{5001}, \cdots, x_{7000}$	$x_{7001}, \cdots, x_{9000}$	

- If we have $N = 2000$ samples the resolution is $F_s/N = 0.01$ Hz. Therefore there is a possibility to decimate if the bandwidth is $B < 0.01$. But for sake of simplicity, we keep the common value F_s to all filters of the bank (no decimation).
- For each frequency bin, an averaging is applied on the $L = 9$ segments. If a few number of bins is required the fft algorithm is not needed.