

Evaluation of infrasound in-situ calibration method on a 3-month measurement campaign

Charbit M.¹, Doury B.² Marty J.³,


October 8, 2015

IMS study

In the framework of the calibration program, a study was conducted with the following theoretical and practical results:

- closed form expression for the asymptotic probability distributions of the spectrum ratio which is the base of the estimation;
- sizing the statistic of test for the **magnitude square coherence (MSC)** level;
- introducing a weighted estimator of the **system under test (SUT)** response based on the estimated value of the MSC;
- proposal of a filter bank analysis for the SUT estimation;
- providing a simple wind coherence model which explains an observed artefact of the **noise reduction system (NRS)**, in relation with the wind velocity;
- **Evaluation on a measurement campaign at station IS26 during several months.**

Measurement chain [Kramer and al., ITW2015]




- the objective is the calibration of the SUT, which consists of the NRS, the sensor and a digitizer, based on the knowledge of the **system of reference (SREF)**;
- 2 kinds of signals: acoustic and non acoustic (typically wind) with different ranges of velocity;
- non spatially coherent signals are called “noise”;
- acoustic signals is spatially coherent, in all frequency band of interest, regarding the size of the SUT.

Undetermined problem

$$\begin{cases} s_{\text{UT}}(t) &= h_{\text{UT}}(t) \star (s(t) + w_{\text{UT}}(t)) \\ s_{\text{ref}}(t) &= h_{\text{ref}}(t) \star (\alpha \times s(t) + w_{\text{ref}}(t)) \end{cases}$$

- ill-posed problem because under-determined: 4 unknowns for 2 observations;
- but with stationary and “almost 0-noise” time segments the problem is well-determined
- the MSC provides a way to test “almost 0-noise” time segments;
- theoretical results show that, to get an accuracy of $\pm 5\%$ on the gain, we need an MSC value greater than 0.96;
- but because only an estimate of the MSC is available, we have to threshold at about 0.98.

Performing process



$$\begin{cases} s_{UT}(t) \approx g_{UT}(t) \star s(t) \\ s_{ref}(t) \approx g_{ref}(t) \star \alpha s(t) \end{cases}$$



For stationary and “almost 0-noise” time segments we have:

$$\widehat{G}_{UT}(f) \approx G_{ref} \times \frac{\widehat{S}_{UU}(f)}{\widehat{S}_{RU}(f)}$$

if $\alpha < 1$, we underestimate G_{UT} .

Manage the stationarity


- In relationship with the resolution but also to take into account the lack of stationarity, we have considered a sequence of 6 durations in decreasing order with the frequency. A pre-filtering is also performed.



NRS effect

If v denotes the wind velocity, the ratio $\frac{v}{f}$ can be interpreted as a “wavelength” [Alcoverro, al., JASA, 2002]. Therefore

- **at very low frequency**, the wind appears as spatially coherent for all SUT/SREF inlets. Therefore everything occurs as there is NO noise, and the MSC is almost 1,
- **at high frequency**, the wind appears as spatially NON coherent. Therefore the NRS plays its role to reduce the noise,
- **around 0.8 Hz**, a small part of the wind appears as spatially coherent for a few NRS inlets. Therefore a small dip artefact is observed.



Deployment [A.Kramer, al., ITW2015]





- 8 SUTs with 18 meter wind noise reduction system, each of them with 96 inlets;
- 8 SREFs have been deployed on May 2015;
- each reference sensor has been calibrated in the lab;
- wind velocity and direction are available on H1.

PTS requirements





PTS specifications are:

- bandwidth $[0.02 - 4]$ Hz;
- $\pm 5\%$ on the response magnitude, i.e. ± 0.43 in dB scale;
- the calibration is required at least once a year;
- no requirement on the phase but $\dots \pm 5^\circ$ as for seismic requirements.

Averaging on a few months



Temporal stability of successive gains at 1 Hz averaged on 2 days




Conclusions


Conclusions:

- The numerical results obtained on a large campaign of measurements fully validate the calibration method;
- The experimental results obtained by couples of 2 days show a high stability in full agreement with the PTS requirements;

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

NRS effect simulation





- If we have $N = 2000$ samples the resolution is $F_s/N = 0.01$ Hz. Therefore there is a possibility to decimate if the bandwidth is $B < 0.01$. But for sake of simplicity, we keep the common value F_s to all filters of the bank (no decimation).
- For each frequency bin, an averaging is applied on the $L = 9$ segments. If a few number of bins is required the fft algorithm is not needed.