Cluster:	Does CCD photometry already exist?	scatter plot/locus of points?	Brightest apparent magnitude	faintest star	Final choice (yes/no)	why
Berkely 55	yes, has UBV photometry	mostly respectable locus of points, likely includes cluster members	11.5	All stars below magnitude 21, meaning whole plot can be used to gather info	yes	good # of stars, displays trend, not too bright or faint, all stars can be observed
NCG 7128	yes, has UBV, Geneva, uvby, VIc photometry	UBV resembles scatter plot with hints of a curve, all other diagrams mostly scatter plot	8	nearly all stars below magnitude 21, meaning almost whole plot can be used to gather info	no	too bright, not enough stars for good locus, trend not super apparent
NCG 7296	yes, has UBV, JHK photometry	UBV and JHK look more like scatter plot, slightly visible curve	9	All stars well below magnitude 21, meaning whole plot can be used to gather info	no	too few stars, not enough points on plot for comparison, too much of a scatter plot, too bright
King 18	yes, has UBV, JHK, VIc photometry	UBV close to a locus, but very dense and wide, VIc closest to locus, JHK more akin to scatter plot	11	nearly all stars below magnitude 21, meaning almost whole plot can be used to gather info	yes	many stars, not too bright or faint, clear locus of points, turnoff visible
King 10	yes, has UBC, VIc photometry	UBV very close to locus, a bit of a thin line, VIc very much a locus of points on a defined curve	12	nearly all stars below magnitude 21, meaning almost whole plot can be used to gather info	no	Not enough points for comparison on UBV, not enough stars for good plot
King 11	yes, has UBC, VIc photometry	UBV has elements of a locus, but wide with an additional scatter of points, VIc similar description to UBV	14	Some stars will be cut off, but enough below 21 to see turnoff point and other usefull info	yes	lots of stars, most below maximum magnitude, good trend on photometry, shows turnoff