Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

# Cognitive Dissonance, Sentiment, and Momentum

Antoniou, Doukas, Subrahmanyam

April 5, 2019

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas Subrahmanyan

**Key Question**: Do variations in profitability from momentum stem from variations in sentiment?

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Definition

**Sentiment** refers to whether an individual, for whatever extraneous reason, feels excessively optimistic or pessimistic about a situation.

#### Definition

Newswatchers documented by Hong and Stein (1999) are different groups who react to news, creating a slow dispersion of news and thus momentum.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Hypothesis

- Newswatchers will underreact more strongly when they receive information that contradicts their sentiment due to cognitive dissonance.
- This implies bad (good) news among (loser) winner stocks will diffuse slowly when sentiment is optimistic (pessimistic).
- This will lead to momentum, driven by the loser portfolio in optimistic sentiment periods and the winner portfolio in pessimistic sentiment periods.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Main Results

- Strong momentum in optimistic periods controlling for market returns, analyst coverage, size, and CAPM/FF
- No momentum in pessimistic periods
- Small investors are slow to sell losers during the optimistic periods in intraday transactions
- Momentum profits reverse significantly after optimistic periods (but not in pessimistic periods)

#### Previous Work

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

- Hong and Stein (1999): Newswatchers react to news and create momentum
- Cooper, Guitierrez, Hameed (2004): investor biases more accentuated after gains momentum profits follow only market increases
- Chordia and Shivakumar (2002): Momentum profits are significant only in expanding economy
- Livnat and Petrovic (2008): investor sentiment linked to post-earnings announcement drift
  - Others also show fund flows, value effect, corporate disclosure, IPO, size effect

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

- All common stocks of NYSE and AMEX from 1967 to 2008
- Construct momentum portfolios using method of Jegadeesh and Titman (1993)
  - Each month t sort stocks based on returns of past J months, create 10 portfolios
  - Winners at the top, losers on the bottom
  - Each month, hold winner portfolio and short loser portfolio, holding for *K* months
  - Portfolios are overlapping, close position in month t-K and take new position using winners and losers of month t
  - As is standard, allow 1 month between end of the formation period and beginning of holding period
- Delete all stocks less than \$1

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Investor Sentiment Measure

- Monthly time series of consumer confidence sentiment constructed by the Conference Board (CB).
- Begins in 1967 for bimonthly measures, and 1977 has monthly measures
- Sent to 5000 randomly selected households in United States
- Asks 5 questions about outlook for the economy
- Sum favorable replies, divided by total replies

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Investor Sentiment Measure

- Purge Macroeconomics by regressing index on:
  - growth in industrial production
  - real growth in durable consumption
  - nondurable consumption
  - services consumption
  - growth in employment
  - NBER recession indicator
- Take residuals and use them as the sentiment proxy

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Investor Sentiment Measure

For discovering optimism/pessimism:

- calculate weighted-rolling average of the sentiment level for the 3 months prior to the end of the formation period
- give weight of 3 to sentiment in prior month, 2 to the one in month prior to that, and 1 beyond that
- Classify as optimistic (pessimistic) if the 3-month rolling average ending in month t belongs in the top (bottom)
   30% of the 3-month rolling average in the time series

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Hypothesis

Negative (positive) information about stocks when sentiment is optimistic (pessimistic) will conflict with investors' prior beliefs and cause cognitive dissonance.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### First Test

- Examine profitability of the momentum strategy conditioning on pessimistic and optimistic investor sentiment states
- Look at strategies based on J=6 month ranking period and holding periods of K=3,6,12 months sorted by investor sentiment

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Result

- Average monthly profits in optimistic periods are highly significant, decrease as optimism decreases
- Higher momentum profits in optimistic periods arise because loser stocks continue to underperform in subsequent periods
  - bad news diffuses slowly when investors are optimistic cognitive dissonance
- Profits significant in "mild" sentiment months supported by prior studies - greater optimism than probability implies

Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

_													
						M	loment	um Po	rtfolio				
Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	_3_	4	5	6	7_	8	9	Buy 10	Buy - Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. 30%-309	6 Sentimen	t States											
Panel A1, J = 6, h	( – 3												
OPTIMISTIC (	n = 133)	-0.85	-0.05		0.53	0.68			0.90	1.09	1.42	2.27	[5.06]
	n = 246)	-0.24	0.53	0.81	0.91					1.14	1.20	1.44	[4.67]
PESSIMISTIC (	n = 121)	1.86	2.07	2.21	2.14	2.08	1.97	1.91	1.84	1.89	2.15 Opt. – Pes.	0.29 1.98	[0.56]
Panel A2. J. K = 6											орг. – г со.	1.00	[2.50]
	n = 121)	-0.48	0.17	0.52	0.67	0.86	0.95	1.10	1.10	1.26	1.51	2.00	[5.66]
	n = 286)	-0.28	0.34	0.63	0.77	0.86	0.91	0.99	1.05	1.12	1.18	1.46	[5.66]
PESSIMISTIC (	n = 93)	2.12	2.41	2.45	2.31	2.24	2.21	2.11	2.13	2.21	2.45 Opt. – Pes.	0.34 1.66	[0.77] [2.96]
D 140 1 0 1											Opt Pes.	1.00	[2.96]
Panel A3. J = 6, I OPTIMISTIC (	n = 12 n = 109	-0.27	0.20	0.49	0.62	0.78	0.86	0.94	0.06	1.00	1.00	1.27	[3.50]
	n = 337)	0.38	0.20	0.45				1 18		1.00	1.23	0.85	[4.14]
	n = 54)	2.05	2.25	2.21	2.12	2.11	2.05	2.00	2.00	2.06	2.14	0.09	[0.22]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.18	[2.20]
Panel B. 40%-409	6 Sentimen	t States											
Panel B1, J = 6, h	( – 3												
	n = 172)	-1.51	-0.53	-0.07	0.12	0.29	0.39	0.57	0.52	0.65	0.87	2.38	[6.09]
	n = 170)	0.24	0.91	1.16	1.27	1.31			1.35	1.42	1.48	1.24	[2.97]
PESSIMISTIC (	n = 158)	1.63	1.88	2.00	1.94	1.89	1.81	1.75	1.71	1.83	2.08	0.45	[1.05]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.93	[3.33]
Panel B2. J, K= 6 OPTIMISTIC (	- 440)	-0.99	-0.23	0.17	0.36	0.58	0.68	0.85	0.00	1.05	1.26	2.25	[6.83]
	n = 149 n = 227	0.05	0.65	0.17	1.05	1.08	1.11		1.18	1.05	1.26	1.21	[4.12]
	n = 124)	1.56	1.82	1.89	1.82				1.85	1.93	2.21	0.65	[1.83]
											Opt Pes.	1.60	[3.29]
Panel B3. J = 6, h													
	n = 124)	-0.65	-0.08		0.38	0.57	0.66	0.76		0.82	0.80	1.45	[3.91]
	n = 304)	0.50 1.96	0.83	1.04 2.06	1.11	1.18	1.20		1.30	1.32	1.31	0.81	[3.71]
PESSIMISTIC (	n = 72)	1.96	2.13	2.06	1.95	1.93	1.89	1.84	1.84	1.89	2.00 Opt. – Pes.	1.41	[0.14] [2.87]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam Consistent with Cooper et al. (2004) consider market states

#### Design

- Classify each formation period into UP or DOWN market states independent of investor sentiment
  - CRSP value-weighted index return for 36, 24, and 12 month period
- Derive momentum profits for optimistic and pessimistic periods during UP and DOWN markets

#### Results

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

- Most (87.2%) significant momentum profits occur in UP markets
- Momentum strategies in DOWN markets produce insignificant momentum profits (generally).

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Table 3 UP states												
						Mome	ntum F	Portfoli	0			
Sentiment State  Panel A. UP Markets	Sell 1	_2_	3	4	5_	6	7	8_	9_	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A1. 36-Month N OPTIMISTIC (n = MILD (n = PESSIMISTIC (n =	= 113) -0.60 = 254) -0.27 = 69) 1.15	0.11 0.39 1.74	0.48 0.70 1.88	0.63 0.83 1.80	0.82 0.95 1.75	0.90 1.00 1.73	1.05 1.06 1.61	1.08 1.14 1.62	1.24 1.22 1.74	1.52 1.28 2.01 Opt. – Pes.	2.12 1.55 0.87 1.25	[4.73] [5.18] [1.51] [1.72]
MILD (n = PESSIMISTIC (n =	= 103) -0.75 = 252) -0.34 = 76) 1.50	-0.02 0.37 1.94	0.36 0.69 2.00	0.54 0.83 1.91	0.75 0.95 1.86	0.82 1.01 1.84	0.98 1.09 1.74	1.01 1.18 1.74	1.18 1.29 1.89	1.45 1.40 2.16 Opt. – Pes.	2.21 1.74 0.66 1.55	[4.86] [6.01] [1.25] [2.22]
MILD (n =	Market = 87) —0.50 = 206) 0.06 = 86) 1.81	0.30 0.69 2.22	0.71 0.93 2.29	0.85 1.00 2.16	1.08 1.09 2.11	1.15 1.12 2.10	1.34 1.17 2.01	1.42 1.24 2.01	1.62 1.36 2.14	2.03 1.49 2.42 Opt. – Pes.	2.53 1.43 0.61 1.92	[6.16] [5.36] [1.49] [3.29]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Table 3 DOWN states

#### TABLE 3 (continued)

#### Momentum Profits Conditional on Different Market States and Investor Sentiment

			Mornentum Portfolio										
Sentiment State	2	Sell 1	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_	_6_	_7_	8_	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel B. DOWN	Markets												
Panel B1. 36-M	onth Market												
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 8)	1.20	0.95	1.00	1.31	1.43	1.57	1.80	1.39	1.53	1.46	0.26	[0.10
MILD	(n = 32)	-0.36	-0.11	0.02	0.37	0.22	0.24	0.38	0.35	0.29	0.40	0.76	[0.60
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 24)	4.91	4.33	4.09	3.78	3.65	3.60	3.55	3.59	3.55	3.72	-1.20	[-0.82
											Opt Pes.	1.46	[0.50
Panel B2. 24-M	onth Market												
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 18)	1.06	1.27	1.40	1.44	1.49	1.66	1.79	1.59	1.71	1.87	0.80	[0.45
MILD	(n = 34)	0.17	0.11	0.18	0.38	0.21	0.19	0.25	0.05	-0.19	-0.45	-0.62	[-0.48
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 17)	4.88	4.52	4.47	4.11	3.93	3.86	3.77	3.86	3.65	3.75	-1.12	[0.6
											Opt Pes.	1.92	[0.7
Panel B3. 12-M	onth Market												
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 34)	-0.45	-0.16	0.03	0.22	0.32	0.43	0.49	0.27	0.33	0.18	0.63	[0.47
MILD	(n = 80)	-1.17	-0.58	-0.15	0.20	0.27	0.38	0.52	0.57	0.50	0.37	1.54	[1.74
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 7)	5.95	4.67	4.42	4.15	3.81	3.60	3.41	3.54	3.02	2.86	-3.09	[-1.0
											Opt Pes.	3.72	[1.1

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Model

$$PROFITS = b_0 + b_1 SENTIMENT$$
$$+b_2 MARKET + b_3 MARKET^2 + u$$

#### Where:

- lacktriangleq PROFITS is the time series of average monthly MOM profits at t
- *MARKET* is lagged market return of the value-weighted index including dividends

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Results

- Momentum Profits increase with the market return but decrease with the squared market term (nonlinear supports Cooper et al (2013)).
- Sentiment predicts momentum profits independently of market returns

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

		12-Mon	Part 1: th Market	Return	24-Mon	Part 2: th Market	Return	36-Mon	Part 3: th Market	Return
	Parameter	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R
Panel A. Coor	oer et al. Red	ression with	SENTIME	ENT: Mom.	PROFITS =	b0 + b1S	ENTIMENT	+ b>MARI	KET	
+ b <sub>3</sub> MARKET	2 + u									
Constant SENTIMENT	b <sub>0</sub>	0.014	5.03	1.80%	0.0143	4.76	3.06%	0.011	3.39	3.34%
MARKET	b <sub>1</sub>	0.327 0.061	3.33 1.69		0.309	3.37 2.37		0.272 0.109	2.95 3.53	
MARKET <sup>2</sup>	b <sub>3</sub>	-0.447	-1.52		-0.470	-2.53		-0.378	-3.36	
Panel B. Regr	ession with N	Narket Retur	ns and St	NTIMENT.	Mom. PROF	$-ITS = b_0$	+ b <sub>1</sub> MAR	KET+b <sub>2</sub> SE	NTIMENT	+ <i>u</i>
Constant	<i>b</i> <sub>0</sub>	0.012	4.39	1.60%	0.01	2.99	1.87%	0.008	2.29	1.96%
SENTIMENT	<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>	0.338	3.39		0.300	3.15		0.270	2.86	
MARKET	$b_2$	0.047	1.44		0.046	1.74		0.043	2.00	
Panel C. Coop	oer et al. Reg	ression (as	in Panel A	A) with SEN	ITIMENT Orti	hogonal to	Market Re	eturns		
Constant	$b_0$	0.014	5.04	1.82%	0.013	4.46	2.92%	0.010	2.98	3.26%
SENTIMENT	b <sub>1</sub>	0.328	3.34		0.297	3.21		0.264	2.80	
MARKET	b <sub>2</sub>	0.060	1.67		0.094	2.66		0.122	3.98	
MARKET <sup>2</sup>	b3	-0.448	-1.53		-0.469	-2.51		-0.380	-3.36	

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Analyst Coverage

- Previous research suggests momentum is stronger for stocks with low analyst coverage
- Using IBES, get 1-year-ahead earnings per share forecasts as proxy for analyst coverage
- Isolate role of analyst following size by regressing log one plus ANALYSTS = a + b\*SIZE + e
  - get ranked residuals and separate in three equal weighted portfolios
  - Makes low-, mid-, and high-residual coverage stocks.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Results

- Difference in momentum profits between optimistic and pessimistic periods is similar across all groups
- Retain insignificance in pessimistic periods

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Table 5													
							Mom	entum	Portfol	io			
Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5_	_6_	7	8_	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[ <i>t</i> -Stat.]
Panel A. Low 0	Coverage (m	ean = 2.	57 anal	ysts)									
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 77) (n = 200) (n = 71)	-0.94 -0.83 1.56	0.05 0.12 2.20	0.65 0.45 2.24	0.79 0.66 2.16	0.86 0.85 2.03	0.98 0.94 2.11	1.04 0.97 1.84	0.96 1.04 1.94	1.15 1.08 2.13	1.26 1.20 2.05 Opt. – Pes.	2.19 2.03 0.49 1.70	[4.32] [6.62] [0.92] [2.37]
Panel B. Mid C	Coverage (me	ean = 6.4	18 anal	ysts)									
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 77) (n = 200) (n = 71)	-0.48 -0.43 2.11	0.41 0.25 2.18	0.79 0.65 2.25	0.78 0.80 2.15	1.02 0.94 2.07	0.97 1.01 1.98	1.03 1.04 2.01	1.08 1.09 1.97	1.17 1.07 2.01	1.53 0.93 2.46 Opt. – Pes.	2.01 1.35 0.35 1.66	[4.28] [4.31] [0.70] [2.44]
Panel C. High	Coverage (m	nean = 1	0.42 ar	alysts)									
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 77) (n = 200) (n = 71)	-0.17 -0.36 2.42	0.51 0.33 2.51	0.67 0.64 2.48	0.78 0.67 2.23	0.93 0.82 2.29	0.97 0.89 2.21	1.21 0.91 2.08	1.24 0.99 2.06	1.28 1.10 2.16	1.66 1.30 2.43 Opt. – Pes.	1.83 1.66 0.02 1.81	[3.37] [5.20] [0.03] [2.26]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Size

 Previous Research shows that return predictability is stronger for smaller companies

#### Method

 Separate firms into small and large market capitalization using Kenneth French's size breakpoints

#### Result

- Momentum is generally larger for smaller companies
- Sentiment is more dramatic in smaller companies (probably diffuses more slowly)

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Is it Risk?

Are higher/lower returns of the winner/loser portfolio during periods of optimism load more/less strongly on economic risk factors?

#### Method

- Use CAPM, FF, and Conditional CAPM
- Perform risk adjustment to get risk-adjusted profits

$$r_{kt}^{ADJ} = r_{kt} - \sum_{t} \beta_{ik} f_{it}$$

# Cognitive Dissonance, Sentiment, and Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Is it Risk? - Method

■ Also use a CCAPM robustness check, allow covariance between returns of mom portfolios with excess market return to vary with investor sentiment:

$$r_{kt}^{ADJ} = r_{kt} - (\beta_{ik} - \beta_{ik}^{SENT} \times SENTIMENT_{t-j})(R_m - R_f)$$

- $r_{kt}$  is the raw returns of each MOM portfolio for the strategy in the holding period month K in month t
- $\beta_{ik}$  is the estimated factor loading in month K on excess the market return
- $\beta_{ik}^{SENT}$  is the factor loading in month K on the interaction between excess market return and investor sentiment

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Table 7													
							Mome	ntum P	ortfolio				
Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3_	4_	5_	_6_	7_	_8_	9_	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. CAPI	М												
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121) (n = 286) (n = 93)	-0.83 -0.52 0.72	-0.13 0.14 1.22	0.24 0.44 1.34	0.41 0.60 1.25	0.61 0.69 1.22	0.69 0.75 1.20	0.85 0.82 1.10	0.84 0.87 1.09	0.98 0.93 1.11	1.20 0.97 1.21 Opt. – Pes.	2.03 1.49 0.48 1.55	[5.46] [5.93] [1.10] [2.68]
Panel B. FF													
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121) (n = 286) (n = 93)	-0.87 -0.82 -0.46	-0.25 -0.14 0.18	0.10 0.19 0.39	0.26 0.35 0.36	0.47 0.47 0.39	0.55 0.53 0.42	0.71 0.61 0.33	0.72 0.67 0.35	0.90 0.74 0.40	1.21 0.80 0.51 Opt. – Pes.	2.08 1.61 0.96 1.12	[5.39] [6.83] [2.30] [1.95]
Panel C. Cond	fitional CAPN	1											
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121) (n = 286) (n = 93)	-0.83 -0.52 0.64	-0.12 0.13 1.09	0.25 0.43 1.19	0.41 0.59 1.09	0.61 0.68 1.06	0.70 0.74 1.04	0.85 0.81 0.95	0.84 0.87 0.95	0.99 0.92 0.98	1.20 0.96 1.11 Opt. – Pes.	2.03 1.48 0.47 1.56	[5.46] [5.92] [1.07] [2.70]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

## Controlling for future macro events with VIX and one period ahead macro variables

			Momentum Portfolio										
Sentiment State		Sell 1	_2_	_3_	_4_	_5_	_6_		_8_	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
OPTIMISTIC MILD	(n = 54) (n = 167)	-1.44 $-0.53$		0.06 0.58						0.84 0.90	0.98	2.42 1.51	[4.34] [4.73]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 51)	1.88	1.88	1.82	1.73	1.69	1.66	1.61	1.67	1.82	2.15 Opt. – Pes.	0.27 2.15	[0.43]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### An Alternate Sentiment Index

Following Baker and Wurgler (2006, 2007):

- Make time series of six sentiment proxies:
  - 1 Trading Volume
  - 2 Premium for dividend-paying stocks
  - 3 Closed-End Fund Discount
  - 4 Number of IPOs
  - 5 Mean 1st-day IPO Returns
  - 6 Equity share in new issues
- Regress against macro variables. Take residuals from each.
- Take first principle component of residuals

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

	Momentum Portfolio												
Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4_	5	_6_	7	8	9_	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Sta
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 387)	-0.18	0.47	0.76	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.1	1.14	1.25	1.4	1.59	[7.69

# Cognitive Dissonance, Short Sale Constraints, and Momentum Profits

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam Authors have shown that momentum is stronger in optimistic sentiment periods, driven by continued underperformance in loser portfolio.

They claim cognitive dissonance toward negative news during optimistic period is the cause

#### Left to Show

- Examine market's response to negative earnings surprises for loser stocks, conditional on sentiment
- 2 Short-selling constraints a part of the reason that cognitive dissonance subsists
- 3 Effect of smaller vs big investors
- 4 Reversion in the long-run

### Congnitive Dissonance and Momentum Profits

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Method

- Identify winners and losers, based on 6-month cumulative returns
- Look at SUE for month t+1
- Rank firms in deciles based on SUE, calculate cumulative returns in impact and adjustment

#### Result

- Short-term absolute reaction for negative earnings during optimistic periods is higher than that for pessimistic ones
- Post-earnings announcement drift after negative surprises is stronger when sentiment is optimistic

### Congnitive Dissonance and Momentum Profits

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Table 10					
				Horizon	
Sentiment State			(-1, 1)		(2, 60)
Panel A. Post-Earnings	Announcement Drift fo	or Loser Stocks with	Low SUE		
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC			-1.72 -1.61 -1.11		-2.08 1.29 8.65
OPTIMISTIC – PESSIM [t-statistic]	ISTIC			-10.73 [-12.73]	
Sentiment State		Losers	Winners	Profits	[t-Stat.]
Panel B. Momentum P	rofits				
Panel B1. All Stocks OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 77) (n = 280) (n = 100)	-0.44 -0.19 2.89	1.49 1.17 2.48	1.93 1.36 0.55	[4.17] [5.25] [—0.64]
Panel B2. Excluding LO OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	osers with Low SUE (n = 77) (n = 280) (n = 100)	0.57 0.44 3.37	1.49 1.17 2.48	0.92 0.73 -1.03	[2.34] [3.18] [—1.34]

## Short-Selling Constraints on Momentum

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Table	11												
						ı	/omer	ntum P	ortfolic				
Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6_	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy - Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. By Institu	itional O	wnership											
Low Institutional C			0.00	0.40	0.70	0.70	0.04		4.00		4.00	0.00	fr on
	= 74) = 147)	-1.09 -1.07	-0.09 0.06		0.70				1.09		1.30 1.10	2.39	[5.67] [7.05]
PESSIMISTIC (n		1.81	2.13						2.02		2.44	0.62	[1.18]
r Loommorro (n	- 10,	1.01	2.10	2.10				2.00	2.02	20	Opt Pes.	1.77	[2.63]
High Institutional													
	<b>— 74)</b>	0.28	0.72	0.84	0.81				1.18		1.83	1.54	[2.64]
	= 147)	-0.19	0.39			0.89	0.93	0.90	1.02	1.07	1.25	1.44	[4.41]
PESSIMISTIC (n	= 75)	1.88	2.03	1.93	2.02	1.79	1.81	1.79	1.68	1.80	2.04 Opt. – Pes.	0.16 1.38	[0.31]
											Opt 1-65.	1.00	[1.70]
Panel B. By Optio	ns Listin	g Status											
No Listed Options													
	= 58)	-0.86	-0.13		0.66				1.03		1.44	2.30	[5.06]
	= 85)	-1.39	-0.52		0.15		0.41	0.53	0.64	0.47	0.40	1.79	[4.68]
PESSIMISTIC (n	= 11)	4.55	3.26	3.20	3.10	2.92	2.86	3.13	3.59	3.54	2.36 Opt. – Pes.	-2.20 4.50	[-1.38]
Medical Const											Opt. – res.	4.50	[2.72]
With Listed Option OPTIMISTIC (n	ns = 58)	0.79	0.96	1.00	0.96	1.01	1.17	1.23	1.24	1.38	2.06	1.27	[1.61]
	= 85)	-0.57	-0.21	-0.04	0.30	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.37	0.40	0.57	1.14	[2.33]
PESSIMISTIC (n		5.92	4.54	3.90	3.50	3.15		2.97	2.88	2.76	3.14	-2.78	[-1.49]
											Opt Pes.	4.05	[2.00]
Panel C. By Disag	preement												
High Disagreeme	nt												
	= 77)	-0.57	0.54	0.68	0.75	0.84	0.86	1.12	1.11	1.23	1.78	2.35	[4,41]
MILD (n	= 246)	-0.36	0.24	0.47	0.61	0.77	0.80	0.86	0.97	1.11	1.29	1.65	[5.00]
PESSIMISTIC (n	= 71)	1.88	2.23	2.30	2.18	2.09	2.10	2.05	1.88	1.85	2.14	0.26	[0.40]
											Opt. – Pes.	2.09	[2.46]
Low Disagreemer													fo. 003
	= 77) = 246)	0.46	0.94	0.99	1.10	1.07	1.16	1.19	1.15	1.34	1.47 1.36	1.01 0.95	[2.23]
PESSIMISTIC (n		1.77	1.99	1.97		1.77		1.69	1.76		2.12	0.95	[0.63]
r coommono (n	,		1.00	1.01				03			Opt. – Pes.	0.67	[0.94]
												3161	,

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam ■ Categorize small and large investor net OIB (order imbalances) using intraday data

#### Result

- small investor order flow for loser portfolio during optimistic periods is positive and significant in 5 of 6 months in formation period
  - turns negative in holding period
- Supports idea that unsophisticated investors are slow to sell losers during optimistic periods, prolonging pricing of bad news

Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Doukas, Subrahmanyam

Table 1	12										
		Formation-l	Period Mo	nth			Н	olding-Pe	eriod Mon	th	
Sentiment State	_6	54_	3	2_	1_	_1_		3	4	5	_6_
A1. Small Inve	estors 2.78 3.	.19 2.85 .47] [3.07]	2.48 [2.81]	1.79 [2.08]	0.54 [0.63]	0.66			-2.53 [-2.04]		-4.3 [-3.94]
	2.28 — 1. 3.12] [—2	.65 —1.23 .04] [—1.49]	-0.97 [-1.18]	-0.92 [-1.11]	0.16 [0.20]	-0.58 [-0.83]	0.83	1.48 [2.07]	2.15 [3.31]	2.43 [3.81]	2.78 [4.61]
	7.34 -7.	.02 —6.58 .59] [—7.12]		-6.11 [-6.87]					-2.45 [-2.12]		
		.65 2.99 .47] [3.83]	3.14 [3.99]	3.02 [3.78]					-1.34 [-1.71]		
Panel B. Pess	imistic										
	0.81 0.	.60 0.78 .74] [0.96]							-3.46 [-4.07]		
	2.16 —1. 2.25] [—2	.92 —2.08 .22] [—2.46]	-2.10 [-2.56]							0.2 [0.24]	0.45 [0.56]
	estors 1.39 —0. 1.54] [—0.		0.65 [0.71]	1.41 [1.43]	1.65 [1.68]	3.79 [3.53]	4.52 [3.98]	5.13 [4.65]	5.64 [5.08]	6.38 [5.62]	6.59 [5.85]
		.78 9.89 .59] [7.65]	9.77 [7.3]	10.12 [7.3]	9.84 [7.23]	5.9 [4.86]	6.22 [5.46]	6.24 [5.58]	6.11 [5.36]	6.31 [5.67]	6.6 [6.14]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

- Authors calculate average daily OIB for large and small investors following strong negative earnings surprises
- Selling pressure during event period for small investors is stronger when sentiment is pessimistic (not statistically significant)
- Small investors exert delayed selling pressure in adjustment period, suggesting cognitive dissonance
- Large investors sell losers with bad news four times more heave when sentiment is optimistic

Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Table 13				
	Hor	izon		
Sentiment State	(-1, 1)	(2, 60)	Dif.	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. OIB for Small Investors				
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	-1.70 -1.80 -4.10	-7.00 -3.00 -6.30	5.30 1.20 2.20	[5.71] [1.22] [1.75]
OPTIMISTIC – PESSIMISTIC [t-statistic]	2.40 [1.40]	-0.70 [-0.88]		
Panel B. OIB for Large Investors				
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	-9.00 -9.00 -2.00	-8.00 -4.00 0.00	-1.00 -5.00 -2.00	[-0.80] [-3.98] [-0.74]
OPTIMISTIC – PESSIMISTIC  [t-statistic]	-7.00 [-3.34]	-8.00 [-9.59]		

#### Reversals

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas, Subrahmanyam

#### Table 14

#### TABLE 14 Long-Run Profits of Momentum Portfolios Conditional on Investor Sentiment

Table 16 presents long-ture event time returns for momentum proficios formed after opinistic and possimistic periods. For each momentum proficio we define are event period. Its most leaf the initial formation period of a formation. From this event date month creward we compate the average monthly return of this porticio in the following 5 years. The final return of each porticio is not provided in the profit of the green found were profit in Profit or the Service of the Profit of the Profit of the Service of th

		Momentum Portfolio											
Sentiment State		Sell 1	_2_	_3_	4_	_5_	_6_		_8_	9_	Buy 10	Buy - Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. Raw													
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121) (n = 233) (n = 91)	0.81 1.36 1.13	0.73 1.36 1.28	0.70 1.37 1.29	0.68 1.35 1.29	0.67 1.36 1.30	0.65 1.34 1.27	0.62 1.34 1.27	0.57 1.32 1.24	0.49 1.30 1.20	0.32 1.20 1.06 Opt. – Pes.	-0.49 -0.17 -0.06 -0.43	[-5.79] [-1.86] [-0.54] [-2.90]
Panel B. CAPI	М												
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121) (n = 233) (n = 91)	0.96 0.78 0.37	0.85 0.83 0.59	0.80 0.86 0.63	0.77 0.86 0.65	0.76 0.87 0.67	0.73 0.85 0.65	0.71 0.84 0.65	0.66 0.82 0.60	0.60 0.77 0.53	0.48 0.62 0.33 Opt. – Pes.	-0.49 -0.17 -0.04 -0.45	[-6.26] [-1.83] [-0.33] [-3.12]
OPTIMISTIC MILD PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121) (n = 233) (n = 91)	0.60 0.36 0.10	0.47 0.42 0.29	0.42 0.48 0.33	0.41 0.49 0.36	0.41 0.52 0.39	0.39 0.51 0.38	0.38 0.52 0.40	0.35 0.51 0.37	0.31 0.48 0.33	0.21 0.37 0.22 Opt. – Pes.	-0.38 0.01 0.13 -0.51	[-4.34] [0.14] [1.13] [-3.58]

#### Conclusions

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou Doukas Subrahmanyam

 $\blacksquare$  Table 4  $R^2$  appears small