- \* Today's topic | Subset Overidentification Test (C-test)

  | Endogeneity Test.
  - => We will use the firm of J test to check a form of E(Ziui)=0.
- 1 Test of over-identifying restrictions: : (- test.

Revist J-test: N. g(6) 2-1 g(6) 20

when J-test reject the null hypothesis that  $E(u_i z_i) = 0$ , we don't know which of the J-moment conditions are violated.

(i.e., Rejection of J-test implies  $E(u_i z_i) \neq 0$ ,

We don't know which the problem is on ).

Worse yet, we know from the construction of the test there is a K-dimension directions (Corresponding to the F.O.C.) for which the test does not have power.

( Prof. Ichimura said "The result could be contaminated by some of K so that we cannot clarify the credibility of J-test.")

It to overcome this problem, use C-test.

C-test: to distinguish "Moment conditions" we are conflident about.

## and those we are not.

J-IVs.

J1 (ZK) > confident IVs

J-J1 > Not confident IVs.

Note < C-test>

- @ Estimate B optimally using JI IVs.
- a Test the rest of the moment conditions using the estimated residual.

Let J= Ji+J1. Where Ji ≥ K.

- O  $Z_{1,i}$ :  $J_{1} \times 1$  vector we are confident about.  $: E(Z_{1,i}U_{i}) = 0$ . Thus, we can estimate  $\beta$  optimally using  $J_{1}$  IVs.
- □ Test Ho: E { Z<sub>i</sub> ν<sub>i</sub>} = 0 , given a three model T<sub>i</sub> = X<sub>i</sub> β + ν<sub>i</sub>

  T<sub>i</sub> x<sub>i</sub>
  - · Moment condition:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_{i} (Y_i X_i' \hat{\beta}_{gmm})$  where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the optimal GMM using  $Z_{i}$  as  $Z_{i}$ .

= 1 = Z; (XB+1, -X; Pgmm)

= 一点之心 - (一点之心). 「不(食-1)

→ Need to study the joint distribution of ( THE Billi)

(Note) < Asymptotic property of GMM.>

BGMM = (\*\* ZWZ'\*) - \* ZWZ'Y.

I When  $W = \Omega^{-1}$ , it is optimal

= (4,80-18,4),4,80-18,1 where 5-120-1

IN(B-B) = N(K, & J-1 & K) - 1 K, & J-1 & NI

= (\*\* 5-1 2/x) \*\* 20-1 / 8/11.

-d>(G'Ω-G)-G'Ω-1·N(O, E(u, z.z.))

where G = E(Z; X') and  $\Omega = E(N; Z; Z')$ 

Therefore,
$$\overline{M(\widehat{\beta}-\beta)} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N}$$

⇒ Following the above note,

when we use Zi to get B, IN(B-B) = IN AZINI + OP(1).

Thus, the joint distribution of ( IN SELECULIE) is as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta}-\beta)}{\sqrt{N}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}AZ_{i,i}U_{i}\right) + op(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(AZ_{i,i}\right)U_{i} + op(1)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}Z_{i,i}U_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(AZ_{i,i}\right)U_{i} + op(1)$$

under the null hypothesis that E(U,Zi)=0 and maintaining the assumption E(U; Zi;)=0.

-> (point) Using this joint distribution, check E(U, Zzi) =0.

where 
$$\Sigma = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A E(Z_{ii} Z_{ii}' u_i^+) A' & A E(Z_{ii} Z_{ii}' u_i^+) \\ E(Z_{ii} Z_{ii}' u_i^+) A' & E(Z_{ii} Z_{ii}' u_i^+) \end{array} \right\}$$

and AE(Zizi'uit)A' = (G'Q'G) from step 0

→ Now, we get the joint distribution of IN(B-B) could then let's go back to

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_{ii} N_{i}' & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} IN(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_{ii} N_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow P \qquad \qquad \downarrow d \quad B_{y} \text{ (A)}$$

by continuous mapping theorem,

$$\longrightarrow [-E(Z_{2}, \%') \mid I] \cdot N(0, \Sigma) = N(0, H\Sigma H')$$

J+× (K+J+)

 $=(J-J_1)\times(k+J-J_1)$ 

J.x (k+J.) · (k+J.) × (k+J.) · (k+J.) × J.

= Jex Je

= (J-J, )x(J-J,)

Thus, we get the moment condition for C- test such that I Zi Zi ili

C-test

where Qi = yi - Ni Famm such that Bangm is the optimal GMM

(HZH')" is constructed as (ASA')".

 $\hat{H} = \left[ -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_{2k} \chi_{k}^{2} \right] I$   $(J-J_{1}) \times (K+J-J_{1})$ 

 $\hat{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} (\hat{G}'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\hat{G})^{-1} & \hat{A} E(Z_{1i}Z_{2i}U_{i}^{*}) \\ \hat{E}(Z_{2i}Z_{1i}U_{i}^{*})\hat{A}' & \hat{E}(Z_{2i}Z_{2i}U_{i}^{*}) \end{pmatrix}$ 

G = / & Zin

Q = 1/2 ZiZiZiû:

A = ( G' G - G) - G' Q-1

全(といるがい)= 一芸をはるがん

全(Zz: Zz: Vz) = 大芸Zz Zz: Qi

and use  $\chi_{(J-J_1)}^{\prime}$ 

Typically,  $J_1=k$  is the case of "optimal GMM = IV" so there is no need to estimate  $\Omega$  under Homoskedesticky.

À É(ZIZIZIZIZI)À

= (6/2-16)-16/2-162-16(6/2-16)

= (6/6-16)-1

- 1 Endogeneity Test.
  - The test of overidentifying restrictions can be used to test enabgeneity of regressors.
    - · It there is no endogeneity, one cam use all regressors as IV.
      - (Note) < Endogeneity Test>.

        © Estimate & using reliable IVs.

        © Test endogeneity of the Variables you are concerned about.
  - · Test of Endogeneity Using 2SLS. [Telser's Method].

    Under Homoskedasticity,

(B) - Yi = Ni Bi + N2 B2 + Ui and when E(X2 Ui) +0,

- Valid IV Additional IV

  (-: E(Mi: U:)=0) (E(Z::Ui) should be Zero).
  - · Endogeneity: Ui, Vi correlated. (This implies Mai, Ui correlated such that E(Mi) +0)

Thus, Let's think of Ui as two pates.

Vi = Vi'd + Ei

Not correlated part with Vi

correlated part

=) In face, we can guess vi by the above reduced form. Thus,  $\alpha = (V_i V_i)^{-1} E(V_i U_i)$ 

From (8),

yi = Ni Bi+ Ni Bz+ Vid+ Ei where Ei is not correlated with

Ho: d=0 is the test of Enchageneity. reject the null:  $\exists$  Enchageneity.

⇒ The idea is to include estimated Va, Va = X2i - X1/√√21 - Z2/√√22

in the regression of y; on X1i & X2i.

: Bi& Be obtained in this way is the 25LS.

 $\Upsilon = \%\beta_1 + \%_2\beta_2 + \Im\alpha + \widehat{\xi}$  where  $\widehat{\xi}$ : ols residuel from the regression including  $\widehat{\varphi}$ .

(1) = 47 - A(AA), A+7

1/22 = Ni Til + Zi Tiz + Vi and let Ni = Zii then Zi=(Zii Zii)

 $\chi_{2x} = (\chi_{1x} \chi_{2x})'(\Gamma_{2x}) + \chi_{2x} = (Z_{1x} Z_{2x})'(\Gamma_{2x}) + \chi_{2x}$   $\Gamma_{2x} = (\chi_{1x} \chi_{2x})'(\Gamma_{2x}) + \chi_{2x}$ 

1/2 = 1/2 - 1/2 = 1/2

(1 = (I- Z(Z/Z) Z') X = (I-Pz) X

Thus. N= MB+ KB+ (I-P2) x. Q+ &

Multiplying \* Pz,

\* PZ T = \* PZ \* 18, + \* PZ 1/2 /2+ \* PZ (I-PZ) \* Q+ \* PZ £

= (\*/P2\*/1 \*/P2 \*/2) (B) + \*/P2(I-P2)\*/2 Q+ \*/P2\(\hat{R}\_2\) = 0 by orthogonality.

8

= \*PZ[\*1 \*2](\$1) + \*PZ\$ = \*PZ\*(\$1) + \*PZ\$

o check it!

\*=[X1 /2] = [Z1 /2] Since Min = 3;

 $\mathscr{K}Pz = (\mathscr{Z}_1)^{Pz} = (\mathscr{Z}_1^{Pz})^{Pz} = (\mathscr{Z}_1^{Pz})^{Pz}$ 

\(\hat{\xi}\) is orthogonal to \(\pi\_1\), \(\pi\_2\), and \(\frac{1}{\pi\_1}\) from \(\frac{\pi\_2}{\pi\_1}\)

i.e., Z'\(\hat{\x}=0\), \(\hat{\si}\(\hat{\x}=0\), \(\hat{\si}\(\hat{\x}=\)).

Thus, \(\hat{\x}'\(\hat{\x}=0\).

Therefore,  $\chi' P_{\overline{z}} = [\chi_1 \chi_2]' P_{\overline{z}} = [\chi_1 \chi_2]' P_{\overline{z}} = [\chi_1' \chi_2]' P_{\overline{z}}$ 

 $= \# \mathbb{P}_{z} \# \left( \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{1}}{\widehat{\beta}_{2}} \right) + \binom{\circ}{\circ} = \# \mathbb{P}_{z} \# \cdot \widehat{\beta}_{z}$ Therefore,  $\widehat{\beta} = (\# \mathbb{P}_{z} \#)^{-1} \# \mathbb{P}_{z} \mathbb{T} = \widehat{\beta}_{z} \mathbb{S} \mathbb{L}_{z}$ .