Cognitive Dissonance, Sentiment, and Momentum

Antoniou, Doukas, Subrahmanyam

April 5, 2019

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Key Question: Do variations in profitability from
momentum stem from variations in sentiment?

Introduction

Definition

Sentiment refers to whether an individual, for whatever extraneous reason, feels excessively optimistic or pessimistic about a situation.

Definition

Newswatchers documented by Hong and Stein (1999) are different groups who react to news, creating a slow dispersion of news and thus momentum.

Introduction

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Hypothesis

- Newswatchers will underreact more strongly when they receive information that contradicts their sentiment due to cognitive dissonance.
- This implies bad (good) news among (loser) winner stocks will diffuse slowly when sentiment is optimistic (pessimistic).
- This will lead to momentum, driven by the loser portfolio in optimistic sentiment periods and the winner portfolio in pessimistic sentiment periods.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Main Results

- Strong momentum in optimistic periods controlling for market returns, analyst coverage, size, and CAPM/FF
- No momentum in pessimistic periods
- Small investors are slow to sell losers during the optimistic periods in intraday transactions
- Momentum profits reverse significantly after optimistic periods (but not in pessimistic periods)

Previous Work

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

- Hong and Stein (1999): Newswatchers react to news and create momentum
- Cooper, Guitierrez, Hameed (2004): investor biases more accentuated after gains - momentum profits follow only market increases
- Chordia and Shivakumar (2002): Momentum profits are significant only in expanding economy
- Livnat and Petrovic (2008): investor sentiment linked to post-earnings announcement drift
    - Others also show fund flows, value effect, corporate disclosure, IPO, size effect

Data

- All common stocks of NYSE and AMEX from 1967 to 2008
- Construct momentum portfolios using method of Jegadeesh and Titman (1993)
    - Each month t sort stocks based on returns of past J months, create 10 portfolios
    - Winners at the top, losers on the bottom
    - Each month, hold winner portfolio and short loser portfolio, holding for K months
    - Portfolios are overlapping, close position in month $t - K$ and take new position using winners and losers of month t
    - As is standard, allow 1 month between end of the formation period and beginning of holding period
- Delete all stocks less than \$1

Data

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Investor Sentiment Measure

- Monthly time series of consumer confidence sentiment constructed by the Conference Board (CB).
- Begins in 1967 for bimonthly measures, and 1977 has monthly measures
- Sent to 5000 randomly selected households in United States
- Asks 5 questions about outlook for the economy
- Sum favorable replies, divided by total replies

Data

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Investor Sentiment Measure

- Purge Macroeconomics by regressing index on:
  - growth in industrial production
  - real growth in durable consumption
  - nondurable consumption
  - services consumption
  - growth in employment
  - NBER recession indicator

- Take residuals and use them as the sentiment proxy

Investor Sentiment Measure

For discovering optimism/pessimism:

- calculate weighted-rolling average of the sentiment level for the 3 months prior to the end of the formation period
- give weight of 3 to sentiment in prior month, 2 to the one in month prior to that, and 1 beyond that
- Classify as optimistic (pessimistic) if the 3-month rolling average ending in month t belongs in the top (bottom) 30% of the 3-month rolling average in the time series

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Distinguishing Optimism

To test whether momentum profits in each sentiment state
are equal to 0, they regress time series of average monthly
momentum profits on three dummy variables:

1. OPTIMISTIC
2. MILD
3. PESSIMISTIC

To test if mean profits in optimistic sentiment periods are
different from profits in pessimistic sentiment periods, they
regress average monthly momentum profits on MILD and
OPTIMISTIC sentiment variables, with a constant.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Hypothesis

Negative (positive) information about stocks when sentiment is optimistic (pessimistic) will conflict with investors' prior beliefs and cause cognitive dissonance.

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

First Test

- Examine profitability of the momentum strategy conditioning on pessimistic and optimistic investor sentiment states
- Look at strategies based on $J = 6$ month ranking period and holding periods of $K = 3, 6, 12$ months sorted by investor sentiment

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Result

- Average monthly profits in optimistic periods are highly significant, decrease as optimism decreases
- Higher momentum profits in optimistic periods arise because loser stocks continue to underperform in subsequent periods
  - bad news diffuses slowly when investors are optimistic - cognitive dissonance
- Profits significant in "mild" sentiment months supported by prior studies - greater optimism than probability implies

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Momentum Portfolio													
Panel A. 30%–30% Sentiment States													
Panel A1. J = 6, K = 3													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 133)	−0.85	−0.05	0.36	0.53	0.68	0.78	0.96	0.90	1.09	1.42	2.27	[5.06]
MILD	(n = 246)	−0.24	0.53	0.81	0.91	0.97	1.01	1.04	1.04	1.14	1.20	1.44	[4.67]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 121)	1.86	2.07	2.21	2.14	2.08	1.97	1.91	1.84	1.89	2.15	0.29	[0.56]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.98	[2.90]
Panel A2. J, K = 6													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 121)	−0.48	0.17	0.52	0.67	0.86	0.95	1.10	1.10	1.26	1.51	2.00	[5.66]
MILD	(n = 286)	−0.28	0.34	0.63	0.77	0.86	0.91	0.99	1.05	1.12	1.18	1.46	[5.66]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 93)	2.12	2.41	2.45	2.31	2.24	2.21	2.11	2.13	2.21	2.45	0.34	[0.77]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.66	[2.96]
Panel A3. J = 6, K = 12													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 109)	−0.27	0.20	0.49	0.62	0.78	0.86	0.94	0.96	1.00	1.00	1.27	[3.50]
MILD	(n = 337)	0.38	0.75	0.95	1.02	1.10	1.12	1.18	1.22	1.25	1.23	0.85	[4.14]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 54)	2.05	2.25	2.21	2.12	2.11	2.05	2.00	2.00	2.06	2.14	0.09	[0.22]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.18	[2.20]
Panel B. 40%–40% Sentiment States													
Panel B1. J = 6, K = 3													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 172)	−1.51	−0.53	−0.07	0.12	0.29	0.39	0.57	0.52	0.65	0.87	2.38	[6.09]
MILD	(n = 170)	0.24	0.91	1.16	1.27	1.31	1.33	1.35	1.35	1.42	1.48	1.24	[2.97]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 158)	1.63	1.88	2.00	1.94	1.89	1.81	1.75	1.71	1.83	2.08	0.45	[1.05]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.93	[3.33]
Panel B2. J, K = 6													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 149)	−0.99	−0.23	0.17	0.36	0.58	0.68	0.85	0.90	1.05	1.26	2.25	[6.83]
MILD	(n = 227)	0.05	0.65	0.93	1.05	1.08	1.11	1.15	1.18	1.24	1.26	1.21	[4.12]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 124)	1.56	1.82	1.89	1.82	1.83	1.85	1.80	1.85	1.93	2.21	0.65	[1.83]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.60	[3.29]
Panel B3. J = 6, K = 12													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 124)	−0.65	−0.08	0.24	0.38	0.57	0.66	0.76	0.79	0.82	0.80	1.45	[3.91]
MILD	(n = 304)	0.50	0.83	1.04	1.11	1.18	1.20	1.26	1.30	1.32	1.31	0.81	[3.71]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 72)	1.96	2.13	2.06	1.95	1.93	1.89	1.84	1.84	1.89	2.00	0.04	[0.14]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.41	[2.87]

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Consistent with Cooper et al. (2004) consider market states

Design

- Classify each formation period into UP or DOWN market states independent of investor sentiment
  - CRSP value-weighted index return for 36, 24, and 12 month period
- Derive momentum profits for optimistic and pessimistic periods during UP and DOWN markets

- Most (87.2%) significant momentum profits occur in UP markets
- Momentum strategies in DOWN markets produce insignificant momentum profits (generally).

Empircal Analysis

Table 3 UP states

						Momentum Portfolio							
Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. UP Markets													
Panel A1. 36-Month Market													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 113)	−0.60	0.11	0.48	0.63	0.82	0.90	1.05	1.08	1.24	1.52	2.12	[4.73]
MILD	(n = 254)	−0.27	0.39	0.70	0.83	0.95	1.00	1.06	1.14	1.22	1.28	1.55	[5.18]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 69)	1.15	1.74	1.88	1.80	1.75	1.73	1.61	1.62	1.74	2.01	0.87	[1.51]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.25	[1.72]
Panel A2. 24-Month Market													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 103)	−0.75	−0.02	0.36	0.54	0.75	0.82	0.98	1.01	1.18	1.45	2.21	[4.86]
MILD	(n = 252)	−0.34	0.37	0.69	0.83	0.95	1.01	1.09	1.18	1.29	1.40	1.74	[6.01]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 76)	1.50	1.94	2.00	1.91	1.86	1.84	1.74	1.74	1.89	2.16	0.66	[1.25]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.55	[2.22]
Panel A3. 12-Month Market													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 87)	−0.50	0.30	0.71	0.85	1.08	1.15	1.34	1.42	1.62	2.03	2.53	[6.16]
MILD	(n = 206)	0.06	0.69	0.93	1.00	1.09	1.12	1.17	1.24	1.36	1.49	1.43	[5.36]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 86)	1.81	2.22	2.29	2.16	2.11	2.10	2.01	2.01	2.14	2.42	0.61	[1.49]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.92	[3.29]

Table 3 DOWN states

TABLE 3 (continued)
Momentum Profits Conditional on Different Market States and Investor Sentiment

Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel B. DOWN Markets													
Panel B1. 36-Month Market													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 8)	1.20	0.95	1.00	1.31	1.43	1.57	1.80	1.39	1.53	1.46	0.26	[0.10]
MILD	(n = 32)	−0.36	−0.11	0.02	0.37	0.22	0.24	0.38	0.35	0.29	0.40	0.76	[0.60]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 24)	4.91	4.33	4.09	3.78	3.65	3.60	3.55	3.59	3.55	3.72	−1.20	[−0.82]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.46	[0.50]
Panel B2. 24-Month Market													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 18)	1.06	1.27	1.40	1.44	1.49	1.66	1.79	1.59	1.71	1.87	0.80	[0.45]
MILD	(n = 34)	0.17	0.11	0.18	0.38	0.21	0.19	0.25	0.05	−0.19	−0.45	−0.62	[−0.48]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 17)	4.88	4.52	4.47	4.11	3.93	3.86	3.77	3.86	3.65	3.75	−1.12	[0.61]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.92	[0.75]
Panel B3. 12-Month Market													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 34)	−0.45	−0.16	0.03	0.22	0.32	0.43	0.49	0.27	0.33	0.18	0.63	[0.47]
MILD	(n = 80)	−1.17	−0.58	−0.15	0.20	0.27	0.38	0.52	0.57	0.50	0.37	1.54	[1.74]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 7)	5.95	4.67	4.42	4.15	3.81	3.60	3.41	3.54	3.02	2.86	−3.09	[−1.03]
											Opt. – Pes.	3.72	[1.13]

Model

$$PROFITS = b_0 + b_1 SENTIMENT$$
$$+ b_2 MARKET + b_3 MARKET^2 + u$$

Where:

- $PROFITS$ is the time series of average monthly MOM profits at t
- $MARKET$ is lagged market return of the value-weighted index including dividends

Empirical Analysis

Results

- Momentum Profits increase with the market return but decrease with the squared market term (nonlinear - supports Cooper et al (2013)).
- Sentiment predicts momentum profits independently of market returns

Empirical Analysis

Table 4

	Parameter	Part 1: 12-Month Market Return			Part 2: 24-Month Market Return			Part 3: 36-Month Market Return		
		Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2

Panel A. Cooper et al. Regression with SENTIMENT: Mom. $PROFITS = b_0 + b_1 SENTIMENT + b_2 MARKET + b_3 MARKET^2 + u$

	Parameter	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2
Constant	b_0	0.014	5.03	1.80%	0.0143	4.76	3.06%	0.011	3.39	3.34%
SENTIMENT	b_1	0.327	3.33		0.309	3.37		0.272	2.95	
MARKET	b_2	0.061	1.69		0.083	2.37		0.109	3.53	
MARKET^2	b_3	−0.447	−1.52		−0.470	−2.53		−0.378	−3.36	

Panel B. Regression with Market Returns and SENTIMENT: Mom. $PROFITS = b_0 + b_1 MARKET + b_2 SENTIMENT + u$

	Parameter	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2
Constant	b_0	0.012	4.39	1.60%	0.01	2.99	1.87%	0.008	2.29	1.96%
SENTIMENT	b_1	0.338	3.39		0.300	3.15		0.270	2.86	
MARKET	b_2	0.047	1.44		0.046	1.74		0.043	2.00	

Panel C. Cooper et al. Regression (as in Panel A) with SENTIMENT Orthogonal to Market Returns

	Parameter	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2	Est.	t-Stat.	Adj. R^2
Constant	b_0	0.014	5.04	1.82%	0.013	4.46	2.92%	0.010	2.98	3.26%
SENTIMENT	b_1	0.328	3.34		0.297	3.21		0.264	2.80	
MARKET	b_2	0.060	1.67		0.094	2.66		0.122	3.98	
MARKET^2	b_3	−0.448	−1.53		−0.469	−2.51		−0.380	−3.36	

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Analyst Coverage

- Previous research suggests momentum is stronger for stocks with low analyst coverage
- Using IBES, get 1-year-ahead earnings per share forecasts as proxy for analyst coverage
- Isolate role of analyst following size by regressing log one plus ANALYSTS = a + b*SIZE + e
  - get ranked residuals and separate in three equal weighted portfolios
  - Makes low-, mid-, and high-residual coverage stocks.

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Results

- Difference in momentum profits between optimistic and pessimistic periods is similar across all groups
- Retain insignificance in pessimistic periods

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 5

Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy − Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. Low Coverage (mean = 2.57 analysts)													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 77)	−0.94	0.05	0.65	0.79	0.86	0.98	1.04	0.96	1.15	1.26	2.19	[4.32]
MILD	(n = 200)	−0.83	0.12	0.45	0.66	0.85	0.94	0.97	1.04	1.08	1.20	2.03	[6.62]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 71)	1.56	2.20	2.24	2.16	2.03	2.11	1.84	1.94	2.13	2.05	0.49	[0.92]
											Opt. − Pes.	1.70	[2.37]
Panel B. Mid Coverage (mean = 6.48 analysts)													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 77)	−0.48	0.41	0.79	0.78	1.02	0.97	1.03	1.08	1.17	1.53	2.01	[4.28]
MILD	(n = 200)	−0.43	0.25	0.65	0.80	0.94	1.01	1.04	1.09	1.07	0.93	1.35	[4.31]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 71)	2.11	2.18	2.25	2.15	2.07	1.98	2.01	1.97	2.01	2.46	0.35	[0.70]
											Opt. − Pes.	1.66	[2.44]
Panel C. High Coverage (mean = 10.42 analysts)													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 77)	−0.17	0.51	0.67	0.78	0.93	0.97	1.21	1.24	1.28	1.66	1.83	[3.37]
MILD	(n = 200)	−0.36	0.33	0.64	0.67	0.82	0.89	0.91	0.99	1.10	1.30	1.66	[5.20]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 71)	2.42	2.51	2.48	2.23	2.29	2.21	2.08	2.06	2.16	2.43	0.02	[0.03]
											Opt. − Pes.	1.81	[2.26]

Momentum Portfolio

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Size

- Previous Research shows that return predictability is stronger for smaller companies

Method

- Separate firms into small and large market capitalization using Kenneth French's size breakpoints

Result

- Momentum is generally larger for smaller companies
- Sentiment is more dramatic in smaller companies (probably diffuses more slowly)

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Empirical Analysis

Is it Risk?

- Are higher/lower returns of the winner/loser portfolio during periods of optimism load more/less strongly on economic risk factors?

Method

- Use CAPM, FF, and Conditional CAPM
- Perform risk adjustment to get risk-adjusted profits

$$r_{kt}^{ADJ} = r_{kt} - \sum_t \beta_{ik} f_{it}$$

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Is it Risk? - Method

- Also use a CCAPM robustness check, allow covariance between returns of mom portfolios with excess market return to vary with investor sentiment:

$$r_{kt}^{ADJ} = r_{kt} - (\beta_{ik} - \beta_{ik}^{SENT} \times SENTIMENT_{t-j})(R_m - R_f)$$

- r_{kt} is the raw returns of each MOM portfolio for the strategy in the holding period month K in month t
- β_{ik} is the estimated factor loading in month K on excess the market return
- β_{ik}^{SENT} is the factor loading in month K on the interaction between excess market return and investor sentiment

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 7

Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. CAPM													
OPTIMISTIC	(*n* = 121)	−0.83	−0.13	0.24	0.41	0.61	0.69	0.85	0.84	0.98	1.20	2.03	[5.46]
MILD	(*n* = 286)	−0.52	0.14	0.44	0.60	0.69	0.75	0.82	0.87	0.93	0.97	1.49	[5.93]
PESSIMISTIC	(*n* = 93)	0.72	1.22	1.34	1.25	1.22	1.20	1.10	1.09	1.11	1.21	0.48	[1.10]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.55	[2.68]
Panel B. FF													
OPTIMISTIC	(*n* = 121)	−0.87	−0.25	0.10	0.26	0.47	0.55	0.71	0.72	0.90	1.21	2.08	[5.39]
MILD	(*n* = 286)	−0.82	−0.14	0.19	0.35	0.47	0.53	0.61	0.67	0.74	0.80	1.61	[6.83]
PESSIMISTIC	(*n* = 93)	−0.46	0.18	0.39	0.36	0.39	0.42	0.33	0.35	0.40	0.51	0.96	[2.30]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.12	[1.95]
Panel C. Conditional CAPM													
OPTIMISTIC	(*n* = 121)	−0.83	−0.12	0.25	0.41	0.61	0.70	0.85	0.84	0.99	1.20	2.03	[5.46]
MILD	(*n* = 286)	−0.52	0.13	0.43	0.59	0.68	0.74	0.81	0.87	0.92	0.96	1.48	[5.92]
PESSIMISTIC	(*n* = 93)	0.64	1.09	1.19	1.09	1.06	1.04	0.95	0.95	0.98	1.11	0.47	[1.07]
											Opt. – Pes.	1.56	[2.70]

Momentum Portfolio

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Controlling for future macro events with VIX and one period ahead macro variables

Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy − Sell	[t-Stat.]
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 54)	−1.44	−0.47	0.06	0.27	0.48	0.52	0.72	0.68	0.84	0.98	2.42	[4.34]
MILD	(n = 167)	−0.53	0.26	0.58	0.68	0.79	0.86	0.88	0.88	0.90	0.99	1.51	[4.73]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 51)	1.88	1.88	1.82	1.73	1.69	1.66	1.61	1.67	1.82	2.15	0.27	[0.43]
											Opt. − Pes.	2.15	[2.52]

Momentum Portfolio

An Alternate Sentiment Index

Following Baker and Wurgler (2006, 2007):

- Make time series of six sentiment proxies:
    1. Trading Volume
    2. Premium for dividend-paying stocks
    3. Closed-End Fund Discount
    4. Number of IPOs
    5. Mean 1st-day IPO Returns
    6. Equity share in new issues

- Regress against macro variables. Take residuals from each.

- Take first principle component of residuals

Empirical Analysis

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 9

Sentiment State		Momentum Portfolio									Buy 10	Buy − Sell	[t-Stat.]
		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
OPTIMISTIC	($n = 387$)	−0.18	0.47	0.76	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.1	1.14	1.25	1.4	1.59	[7.69]
PESSIMISTIC	($n = 120$)	2.31	2.34	2.29	2.21	2.11	2.04	2.1	2.15	2.28	2.61	0.30	[0.21]
											Opt. − Pes.	1.29	[2.86]

Cognitive Dissonance, Short Sale Constraints, and Momentum Profits

Authors have shown that momentum is stronger in optimistic sentiment periods, driven by continued underperformance in loser portfolio.

They claim cognitive dissonance toward negative news during optimistic period is the cause

Left to Show

1. Examine market's response to negative earnings surprises for loser stocks, conditional on sentiment
2. Short-selling constraints a part of the reason that cognitive dissonance subsists
3. Effect of smaller vs big investors
4. Reversion in the long-run

Congnitive Dissonance and Momentum Profits

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Method

- Identify winners and losers, based on 6-month cumulative returns
- Look at SUE for month $t + 1$
- Rank firms in deciles based on SUE, calculate cumulative returns in impact and adjustment

Result

- Short-term absolute reaction for negative earnings during optimistic periods is higher than that for pessimistic ones
- Post-earnings announcement drift after negative surprises is stronger when sentiment is optimistic

Congnitive Dissonance and Momentum Profits

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 10

	Horizon	
Sentiment State	(−1, 1)	(2, 60)

Panel A. Post-Earnings Announcement Drift for Loser Stocks with Low SUE

OPTIMISTIC	−1.72	−2.08
MILD	−1.61	1.29
PESSIMISTIC	−1.11	8.65
OPTIMISTIC − PESSIMISTIC	−0.62	−10.73
[t-statistic]	[−2.26]	[−12.73]

Sentiment State		Losers	Winners	Profits	[t-Stat.]
Panel B. Momentum Profits					
Panel B1. All Stocks					
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 77)	−0.44	1.49	1.93	[4.17]
MILD	(n = 280)	−0.19	1.17	1.36	[5.25]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 100)	2.89	2.48	−0.55	[−0.64]
Panel B2. Excluding Losers with Low SUE					
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 77)	0.57	1.49	0.92	[2.34]
MILD	(n = 280)	0.44	1.17	0.73	[3.18]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 100)	3.37	2.48	−1.03	[−1.34]

Short-Selling Constraints on Momentum

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 11

Sentiment State	Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. By Institutional Ownership												
Low Institutional Ownership												
OPTIMISTIC (n = 74)	−1.09	−0.09	0.40	0.70	0.79	0.84	1.04	1.09	1.14	1.30	2.39	[5.67]
MILD (n = 147)	−1.07	0.06	0.42	0.64	0.86	0.87	0.99	1.12	1.07	1.10	2.17	[7.05]
PESSIMISTIC (n = 75)	1.81	2.13	2.18	2.28	2.12	2.17	2.03	2.02	2.15	2.44	0.62	[1.18]
										Opt. – Pes.	1.77	[2.63]
High Institutional Ownership												
OPTIMISTIC (n = 74)	0.28	0.72	0.84	0.81	0.93	1.00	1.10	1.18	1.24	1.83	1.54	[2.64]
MILD (n = 147)	−0.19	0.39	0.54	0.86	0.89	0.93	0.90	1.02	1.07	1.25	1.44	[4.41]
PESSIMISTIC (n = 75)	1.88	2.03	1.93	2.02	1.79	1.81	1.79	1.68	1.80	2.04	0.16	[0.31]
										Opt. – Pes.	1.38	[1.76]
Panel B. By Options Listing Status												
No Listed Options												
OPTIMISTIC (n = 58)	−0.86	−0.13	0.48	0.66	0.79	0.88	0.98	1.03	1.14	1.44	2.30	[5.06]
MILD (n = 85)	−1.39	−0.52	−0.10	0.15	0.40	0.41	0.53	0.64	0.47	0.40	1.79	[4.68]
PESSIMISTIC (n = 11)	4.55	3.26	3.20	3.10	2.92	2.86	3.13	3.59	3.54	2.36	−2.20	[−1.38]
										Opt. – Pes.	4.50	[2.72]
With Listed Options												
OPTIMISTIC (n = 58)	0.79	0.96	1.02	0.96	1.01	1.17	1.23	1.24	1.38	2.06	1.27	[1.61]
MILD (n = 85)	−0.57	−0.21	−0.04	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.37	0.40	0.57	1.14	[2.33]
PESSIMISTIC (n = 11)	5.92	4.54	3.90	3.50	3.15	3.14	2.97	2.88	2.76	3.14	−2.78	[−1.49]
										Opt. – Pes.	4.05	[2.00]
Panel C. By Disagreement												
High Disagreement												
OPTIMISTIC (n = 77)	−0.57	0.54	0.68	0.75	0.84	0.86	1.12	1.11	1.23	1.78	2.35	[4.41]
MILD (n = 246)	−0.36	0.24	0.47	0.61	0.77	0.80	0.86	0.97	1.11	1.29	1.65	[5.00]
PESSIMISTIC (n = 71)	1.88	2.23	2.30	2.18	2.09	2.10	2.05	1.88	1.85	2.14	0.26	[0.40]
										Opt. – Pes.	2.09	[2.46]
Low Disagreement												
OPTIMISTIC (n = 77)	0.46	0.94	0.99	1.10	1.07	1.16	1.19	1.15	1.34	1.47	1.01	[2.23]
MILD (n = 246)	0.41	0.83	0.96	0.99	1.06	1.04	1.08	1.10	1.20	1.36	0.95	[4.77]
PESSIMISTIC (n = 71)	1.77	1.99	1.97	1.98	1.77	1.71	1.69	1.76	1.79	2.12	0.35	[0.63]
										Opt. – Pes.	0.67	[0.94]

Momentum Portfolio

Investor Size

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

- Categorize small and large investor net OIB (order imbalances) using intraday data

Result

- small investor order flow for loser portfolio during optimistic periods is positive and significant in 5 of 6 months in formation period
    - turns negative in holding period
- Supports idea that unsophisticated investors are slow to sell losers during optimistic periods, prolonging pricing of bad news

Investor Size

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 12

Sentiment State	Formation-Period Month						Holding-Period Month					
	−6	−5	−4	−3	−2	−1	1	2	3	4	5	6
Panel A. Optimistic												
A1. Small Investors												
Losers	2.78	3.19	2.85	2.48	1.79	0.54	0.66	−1.34	−2.22	−2.53	−3.2	−4.3
[t-stat.]	[2.76]	[3.47]	[3.07]	[2.81]	[2.08]	[0.63]	[0.6]	[−1.22]	[−1.95]	[−2.04]	[−2.76]	[−3.94]
Winners	−2.28	−1.65	−1.23	−0.97	−0.92	0.16	−0.58	0.83	1.48	2.15	2.43	2.78
[t-stat.]	[−3.12]	[−2.04]	[−1.49]	[−1.18]	[−1.11]	[0.20]	[−0.83]	[1.21]	[2.07]	[3.31]	[3.81]	[4.61]
A2. Large Investors												
Losers	−7.34	−7.02	−6.58	−6.51	−6.11	−5.25	−3.32	−3.31	−2.96	−2.45	−2.25	−1.95
[t-stat.]	[−7.62]	[−7.59]	[−7.12]	[−7.29]	[−6.87]	[−6.16]	[−3.27]	[−3.11]	[−2.71]	[−2.12]	[−1.88]	[−1.62]
Winners	2.63	2.65	2.99	3.14	3.02	2.74	−1.06	−1.35	−1.6	−1.34	−0.78	−0.56
[t-stat.]	[3.48]	[3.47]	[3.83]	[3.99]	[3.78]	[3.4]	[−1.38]	[−1.75]	[−2.11]	[−1.71]	[−0.99]	[−0.70]
Panel B. Pessimistic												
B1. Small Investors												
Losers	0.81	0.60	0.78	0.32	−0.66	−2.07	−0.15	−1.78	−2.81	−3.46	−4.03	−4.3
[t-stat.]	[0.94]	[0.74]	[0.96]	[0.43]	[−0.92]	[−3.13]	[−0.17]	[−2.06]	[−3.13]	[−4.07]	[−4.72]	[−4.56]
Winners	−2.16	−1.92	−2.08	−2.10	−1.79	−0.34	−1.89	−0.78	−0.12	−0.02	0.2	0.45
[t-stat.]	[−2.25]	[−2.22]	[−2.46]	[−2.56]	[−2.19]	[−0.40]	[−2.52]	[−1.1]	[−0.16]	[−0.02]	[0.24]	[0.56]
B2. Large Investors												
Losers	−1.39	−0.59	0.14	0.65	1.41	1.65	3.79	4.52	5.13	5.64	6.38	6.59
[t-stat.]	[−1.54]	[−0.63]	[0.16]	[0.71]	[1.43]	[1.68]	[3.53]	[3.98]	[4.65]	[5.08]	[5.62]	[5.85]
Winners	9.5	9.78	9.89	9.77	10.12	9.84	5.9	6.22	6.24	6.11	6.31	6.6
[t-stat.]	[7.38]	[7.59]	[7.65]	[7.3]	[7.3]	[7.23]	[4.86]	[5.46]	[5.58]	[5.36]	[5.67]	[6.14]

Investor Size

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

- Authors calculate average daily OIB for large and small investors following strong negative earnings surprises
- Selling pressure during event period for small investors is stronger when sentiment is pessimistic (not statistically significant)
- Small investors exert delayed selling pressure in adjustment period, suggesting cognitive dissonance
- Large investors sell losers with bad news four times more heavy when sentiment is optimistic

Investor Size

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 13

Sentiment State	Horizon		Dif.	[t-Stat.]
	(−1, 1)	(2, 60)		
Panel A. OIB for Small Investors				
OPTIMISTIC	−1.70	−7.00	5.30	[5.71]
MILD	−1.80	−3.00	1.20	[1.22]
PESSIMISTIC	−4.10	−6.30	2.20	[1.75]
OPTIMISTIC − PESSIMISTIC	2.40	−0.70		
[t-statistic]	[1.40]	[−0.88]		
Panel B. OIB for Large Investors				
OPTIMISTIC	−9.00	−8.00	−1.00	[−0.80]
MILD	−9.00	−4.00	−5.00	[−3.98]
PESSIMISTIC	−2.00	0.00	−2.00	[−0.74]
OPTIMISTIC − PESSIMISTIC	−7.00	−8.00		
[t-statistic]	[−3.34]	[−9.59]		

Cognitive
Dissonance,
Sentiment,
and
Momentum

Antoniou,
Doukas,
Subrah-
manyam

Table 14

TABLE 14

Long-Run Profits of Momentum Portfolios Conditional on Investor Sentiment

Table 14 presents long-run event time returns for momentum portfolios formed after optimistic and pessimistic periods. For each momentum portfolio we define an event period 13 months after the initial formation period of 6 months. From this event date month onward we compute the average monthly return of this portfolio in the following 5 years. The final return of each portfolio is the geometric average of these monthly average profits. Panel A uses raw returns, Panel B CAPM-adjusted returns, and Panel C returns adjusted according to the FF (1993) 3-factor model. Sentiment is defined as in Table 2. In this table we use 30%–30% cutoff points for optimistic and pessimistic sentiment. To test whether momentum profits in each sentiment state, respectively, are equal to 0, we regress the time series of average monthly momentum profits on OPTIMISTIC, PESSIMISTIC, and MILD sentiment dummy variables, with no intercept. To test if mean profits in OPTIMISTIC sentiment periods are different from profits in PESSIMISTIC sentiment periods, we regress average monthly momentum profits on a MILD sentiment dummy variable and an OPTIMISTIC sentiment dummy variable with a constant. The t statistics of the significance of momentum profits and the difference between profits derived after optimistic and pessimistic periods are calculated using Newey-West (1987) standard errors, where the lag is set to the number of overlapping strategies, which is 6.

Sentiment State		Sell 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Buy 10	Buy – Sell	[t-Stat.]
Panel A. Raw													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 121)	0.81	0.73	0.70	0.68	0.67	0.65	0.62	0.57	0.49	0.32	−0.49	[−5.79]
MILD	(n = 233)	1.36	1.36	1.37	1.35	1.36	1.34	1.34	1.32	1.30	1.20	−0.17	[−1.86]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 91)	1.13	1.28	1.29	1.29	1.30	1.27	1.27	1.24	1.20	1.06	−0.06	[−0.54]
											Opt. – Pes.	−0.43	[−2.90]
Panel B. CAPM													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 121)	0.96	0.85	0.80	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.71	0.66	0.60	0.48	−0.49	[−6.26]
MILD	(n = 233)	0.78	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.87	0.85	0.84	0.82	0.77	0.62	−0.17	[−1.83]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 91)	0.37	0.59	0.63	0.65	0.67	0.65	0.65	0.60	0.53	0.33	−0.04	[−0.33]
											Opt. – Pes.	−0.45	[−3.12]
Panel C. FF													
OPTIMISTIC	(n = 121)	0.60	0.47	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.38	0.35	0.31	0.21	−0.38	[−4.34]
MILD	(n = 233)	0.36	0.42	0.48	0.49	0.52	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.48	0.37	0.01	[0.14]
PESSIMISTIC	(n = 91)	0.10	0.29	0.33	0.36	0.39	0.38	0.40	0.37	0.33	0.22	0.13	[1.13]
											Opt. – Pes.	−0.51	[−3.58]

- Momentum appears to be influenced by sentiment
- Bad news diffuses slowly during periods of optimism
  - Momentum profits are only significant when investors are optimistic
- Large investors are more prompt sellers of losers in optimistic periods
- Table 4 R^2 appears small
- Is this attributable to all stocks, or ones that just make the news?
  - Only big, household name firms?