Amanda Friedenberg ECON 501B

ECON 501B: Problem Set 9

Due: Thursday, November 15, 2018

Instructions: Answers should be complete proofs of a claim.

Question 1: Consider an Edgeworth Box Pure Exchange Economy described as follows: For each $i = 1, 2, X_i = \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Endowments are given by $\omega_1 = (10, 2)$ and $\omega_2 = (2, 10)$. Preferences for i = 1, 2 are represented by a utility function $u_i(x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}) = x_{1,i}^{\alpha_i} x_{2,i}^{\alpha_i}$ for $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$.

- 1. Characterize the competitive equilibrium allocations in this environment. (That is, which allocations are vs. are not competitive equilibrium allocations?)
- 2. Characterize the Pareto set. (That is, which allocations are vs. are not in the Pareto set?)
- 3. Compare the two sets above? How do they speak to the First and Second Welfare Theorems?
- 4. Fix an allocation in the Pareto set that is not a competitive equilibrium allocation. (That is, pick a specific allocation—with numbers—that satisfies these requirements.) Construct transfers so that it can be sustained as an equilibrium with transfers.

Question 2: Consider an Edgeworth Box Pure Exchange Economy, where the first good $\ell=1$ is a numeraire and the second good $\ell=2$ is a consumption good. Suppose, for each consumer i=1,2, i's preferences admit a quasilinear utility representation

$$u_i(x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}) = x_{1,i} + \phi_i(x_{2,i})$$

where ϕ_i is a twice differentiable strictly increasing and strictly concave function. Show the following: If $((x_1^*, x_2^*), y^*)$ and $((x_1^{**}, x_2^{**}), y^{**})$ are Pareto optimal allocations in the interior of the Edgeworth Box, then $x_{2,i}^* = x_{2,i}^*$ for each i.

Question 3: Let $E^{PE} = (Y_J, (X_i, \succeq_i, \omega_i, \theta_{i,J} : i = 1, \dots, I))$ be a pure exchange economy, where

- $Y_J = \mathbb{R}^L_-$ is the production set of a "garbage firm,"
- each $X_i = \mathbb{R}^L_+$,
- each \succeq_i is strongly monotone, and
- for each $\ell = 1, \ldots, L, \overline{\omega}_{\ell} > 0$.

(Recall, $\theta_{i,J}$ is the share of the firm owned by J.) Suppose $((x^*, y^*), p^*)$ is a price equilibrium with transfers, supported by transfers (W_1, \ldots, W_I) . Show that there are endowments $\omega' = (\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_I)$ with $\overline{\omega}' = \overline{\omega}$ so that $((x^*, y^*), p^*)$ is a competitive equilibrium of the economy $(Y_J, (X_i, \succsim_i, \omega'_i, \theta_{i,J} : i = 1, \ldots, I))$.

Question 4: Let $E^{PE} = (Y_J, (X_i, \succeq_i, \omega_i, \theta_{i,J} : i = 1, \dots, I))$ be a pure exchange economy as described above. We will think of a coalition of consumers $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, I\}$. Say that a coalition $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, I\}$ blocks the allocation (x^*, y^*) if there exists a vector of consumption $x = (x_1, \dots, x_I)$ so that

- 1. $x_i \succ_i x_i^*$ for each $i \in C$, and
- $2. \sum_{i \in C} x_i \in Y_J + \{ \sum_{i \in C} \omega_i \}.$

A feasible allocation (x^*, y^*) is in the **core** if no coalition of consumers block (x^*, y^*) . (It is worthwhile to reflect on these definitions, in light of our previous discussions of the core.)

- 1. True or False: Any competitive equilibrium allocation is in the core.
- 2. True or False: Any allocation in the core is a competitive equilibrium allocation.
- 3. How do your conclusions relate to the Welfare Theorems?