

Existence of Competitive Equilibrium. (Continue...)

• Pure Exchange Economy

$$- Y_J = \mathbb{R}_+^L$$

$$- X_i = \mathbb{R}_+^L$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bar{z}_i \text{ is strictly convex, continuous, LNS} \\ w_i \cdot \bar{w}_i > 0 \text{ for each } i=1, \dots, I \end{array} \right\} \text{Assumption 1.}$$

- Define $x_i : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^L \cup \{\emptyset\}$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_i(p) \text{ is the } \bar{z}_i\text{-maximizing bundle on } B_i(p) \text{ if there is one.} \\ x_i(p) = \emptyset, \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

- Define an excess demand function: $z_i : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^L \cup \{\emptyset\}$ s.t.

$$z_i(p) = \begin{cases} x_i(p) - w_i & \text{if } x_i(p) \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x_i(p) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

- Define aggregate excess demand; $z : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^L \cup \{\emptyset\}$ s.t.

$$z(p) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^I z_i(p) & \text{if } z_i(p) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Argument: there exists a competitive equilibrium if \exists some $p^* \geq 0$ s.t. $z(p^*) = 0$

$$\bar{z} : \mathbb{R}_{++}^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^L \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

[P1] $\bar{z}(\cdot)$ is continuous

[P2] $\bar{z}(\cdot)$ is homogeneous degree zero

[P3] $\bar{z}(\cdot)$ satisfies Walras' law.

[P4] $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^L, \exists$ some $S > 0$ s.t. $(z_1(p), \dots, z_L(p)) > (-S, \dots, -S)$

[P5] If $(p^n : n=1, 2, \dots)$ has $p^n \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^L, p^n \rightarrow p^0$ where $p^0 \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, but $p_2^0 = 0$, then $\max \{z_1(p^n), \dots, z_L(p^n)\} \rightarrow \infty$

From Assumption 1

Assumption 1

Strong monotonicity

Thm Kakutani

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact & convex. Let $f: A \rightarrow 2^A$ be upper hemicontinuous such that for each $a \in A$ $f(a)$ is nonempty & convex.

Then $\exists a^* \in A$ s.t. $a^* \in f(a^*)$.

Proposition 2. Consider a pure exchange economy that satisfies Assumption 1.

If $z(\cdot)$ satisfies $P_1 - P_5$, then $\exists p^* \gg 0$ s.t. $z(p^*) = 0$.

proof) Set: $\Delta = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^L : \sum_{l=1}^L p_l = 1\}$

$\text{int}(\Delta) = \{p \in \Delta : p_l > 0 \text{ for all } l=1, \dots, L\}$

$\text{bd}(\Delta) = \Delta \setminus \text{int}(\Delta)$

Correspondence $f: \Delta \rightarrow 2^\Delta$

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} \{g \in \Delta : z(p) \cdot g \geq z(p) \cdot g' \text{ for all } g' \in \Delta\} & \text{if } p \in \text{int}(\Delta) \\ \{g \in \Delta : p \cdot g = 0\} & \text{if } p \in \text{bd}(\Delta) \end{cases}$$

Step 1) If \exists a fixed point $p^* \in f(p^*)$, then $p^* \gg 0$ and $z(p^*) = 0$.

To show step 1: Suppose $p^* \in f(p^*)$

(1a) $p^* \in f(p^*) \Rightarrow p^* \in \text{int}(\Delta)$

$$p^* \in \text{bd}(\Delta) \Rightarrow p^* \notin f(p^*) = \{g \in \Delta : p_l^* > 0 \rightarrow g_l = 0\}$$

cannot have $p^* \in f(p^*)$ since $p_l^* > 0$ does not imply $p_l^* = 0$.

(1b) $p \in \text{int}(\Delta) \Rightarrow f(p) = \{g \in \Delta : g_l = 0 \text{ if } z_l(p) < \max\{z_1(p), \dots, z_L(p)\}\}$

Fix $p \in \text{int}(\Delta)$ and suppose that $z_l(p) < \max\{z_1(p), \dots, z_L(p)\}$.

Let $g \in (g_1, \dots, g_L) \in \Delta$ s.t. $g_l > 0$

Constant $g' \in \Delta$ s.t. $g'_k = g_k + g_l$ for some $k \neq l$ s.t. $z_k(p) > z_l(p)$
 \uparrow price $g'_l = 0$ \uparrow from $\max\{z_1(p), \dots, z_L(p)\}$
 $g'_h = g_h$ for all $h \neq k, l$

$$z(p) \cdot g' > z(p) \cdot g \Rightarrow g \notin f(p)$$

(1c) Fix $p^* \in f(p^*)$

$$p^*_l > 0 \text{ for all } l \quad (1a)$$

$$p^* \in f(p^*) \Rightarrow z_1(p^*) = z_2(p^*) = \dots = z_L(p^*) = C$$

$$\text{If } C \neq 0, \quad p^* \cdot z(p^*) = p^* \cdot C = \sum_{l=1}^L p^*_l \cdot C \neq 0 \quad (\because p^*_l > 0 \text{ for all } l)$$

but, contradiction p3 Therefore, $C = 0 \Rightarrow z(p^*) = 0$.

Step 1 Apply Kakutani

(2a) Δ is compact and convex ($\Delta = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^L : \sum_{l=1}^L p_l = 1\}$)

(2b) $f: \Delta \rightarrow 2^\Delta$

$$\text{Graph}(f) = \{(p, g) : g \in f(p)\}$$

Closed thm: If $\text{Graph}(f)$ is closed, f is uhc.

WTS: $\text{Graph}(f)$ is closed. Fix a sequence (p^n, g^n) s.t.

(1) for each n , $g^n \in f(p^n) \leftarrow (p^n, g^n) \in \text{Graph}(f)$

(2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p^n, g^n) = (p, g)$

to show: $(p, g) \in \text{Graph}(f)$ is equivalently $g \in f(p)$

(case A): $p \in \text{int}(\Delta)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, p^n \in \text{int}(\Delta)$$

$$\Rightarrow z(p^n) \cdot g^n \geq z(p^n) \cdot g' \text{ for all } g' \in \Delta \quad \leftarrow \text{by } g^n \in f(p^n) \\ \text{for all } n \geq N$$

$$\Rightarrow z(p^n) \cdot (g^n - g') \geq 0 \text{ for all } g' \in \Delta$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z(p^n) \cdot (g^n - g') = z(p) \cdot (g - g') \geq 0 \text{ for all } g' \Rightarrow g \in f(p)$$

z is continuous by [P1]

$$\bar{z}: \mathbb{R}_{++}^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$$

case B) $p \in \text{bd}(\Delta)$

$$f(p) = \{g \in \Delta : p \cdot g = 0\} \text{ if } p \in \text{bd}(\Delta) \\ = \{g \in \Delta : p_L > 0 \Rightarrow g_L = 0\}$$

to show: $p_L > 0 \Rightarrow g_L = 0$

- Fix $p_L > 0$

- If there exists a subsequence of p^n contained in $\text{bd}(\Delta)$, then we are done.

$$\bullet \text{ for } n \text{ large enough, } p_L^n > 0 \Rightarrow g_L^n = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_L^n = 0 = g_L$$

- If No subsequence is contained in $\text{bd}(\Delta)$,

$$\exists \text{ a subsequence contained in } \text{int}(\Delta): (p^n: n=1, 2, \dots)$$

↑
Take subsequence to be the full sequence

$$\Rightarrow p_L^n > 0 \text{ since interior}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } \bar{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq \bar{N}, p_L^n > \varepsilon$$

We focus on subsequence consists of $n \geq \bar{N}$

$$\text{By P5: } \max \{z_1(p^n), \dots, z_L(p^n)\} \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{By P4: for each } n \geq \bar{N}, \text{ there exists } S_n : (-z_1(p^n), \dots, -z_L(p^n) < S_n, \dots, S_n)$$

⑤

$$\sum z_e(p^n) \leq p_e^n z_e(p^n) = - \sum_{k \neq e} p_k^n z_k(p^n) < S_n \sum_{k \neq e} p_k^n < S_n$$

\uparrow By $p_e^n > \varepsilon$ \uparrow $\sum_{k \neq e} p_k^n < 1$ ($\because p_e^n > 0$)
[P4] $-z_e(p^n) < S_n$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z_e(p^n)} < \frac{S_n}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

($z_e(p^n)$ is bounded).

But, $\max \{z_1(p^n), \dots, z_L(p^n)\} \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \hat{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq \hat{N}, \max \{z_1(p^n), \dots, z_L(p^n)\} > z_2(p^n)$$

(Look at (16))

$$\Rightarrow z_2(p^n) \text{ is bounded. Then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_2(p^n) < \infty, \quad z_2(\cdot) \text{ continuous}$$

$= z_2(p)$

But: $\max \{z_1(p), \dots, z_L(p)\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \{z_1(p^n), \dots, z_L(p^n)\} = \infty$

Thus, apply 1b: $z_e = 0$.

(2c) f is non-empty

* $p \in \text{int}(\Delta)$: WTS: $f(p) \neq \emptyset$

- $g: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $g(g) = z(p) \cdot g \Rightarrow g$ is continuous (by P1)

- $f(p) \neq \emptyset$ if $g(\cdot)$ obtains a maximum on Δ .

$\Rightarrow g$ is continuous on compact set.

* $p \in \text{bd}(\Delta)$: $\exists l$ s.t. $p_l = 0$

Take g s.t. $g_l = 1$ and $g_k = 0$ for $k \neq l$

$\Rightarrow p \cdot g = 0 \Rightarrow f(p) \ni g$. ||

2d) f is convex valued : $f(p)$ is convex

- $p \in \text{int}(\Delta)$ $g', g'' \in f(p) \Rightarrow g' \cdot z(p) = g'' \cdot z(p) \geq g \cdot z(p)$ for all $g \in \Delta$

Take $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and

$$(\alpha g' + (1-\alpha)g'') \cdot z(p) = g' \cdot z(p) \geq g \cdot z(p) \text{ for all } g \in \Delta$$

$\cap f(p)$

- $p \in \text{bd}(\Delta)$: $g', g'' \in f(p)$

$\alpha g' + (1-\alpha)g'' \in f(p) \Rightarrow$ if whenever $p_2 > 0$, $\alpha g_2' + (1-\alpha)g_2'' = 0$

but when $p_2 > 0$, $g_2' = g_2'' = 0$. //

$P_1 P_2 P_3$ from assumption 1.

$\&$
 P_4

P_5 \Rightarrow st. monotone \oplus assumption 2.