· Lotteries over final wealth levels in [0,00)

gain wealth phobability

· A money lottery is a cumulative distribution function F: [0,00) - [0,1]

("F(x)" is the publishing that the lottery yields wealth

. Let for denote the lottery that yields or for sure.

. We ansider preferences to over the space of lotteries like F.

and assume  $\gtrsim$  is monotone and continuous "more is better"

Note E(F) = Jox. dF(x)

△ [0,00) 6 the space of lottery F.

(Def) Given a preference & and a lottery F,

the certainty equivalent of F is the value of  $c(F) \in [0,\infty)$ 

such that Fr Josep

ex) W: Jack's initial wealth level

F: the lottery that gives ( wto W/ P=3 7 Jack had been w/ p==== indifferent between the two options

=> C(F) = W+1

Given that ? is continuous & monotone,

Certainty equivalents exist and are unique.

(bef) A preference  $\geq$  is , risk neutral if SEFNF Stall F "Bemoulli util. fine risk avelse if JEF Z F

should be concave" risk loving if JEF Z F certainty is frall F= more important for all F.

Def)
An Expected Utility representation for such a preference  $\geq$  over  $\Delta E_{0,\infty}$ )

is a pair of functions  $U: \Delta E_{0,\infty} \rightarrow IR$   $E_{\text{Non-utility function}}$   $u: E_{0,\infty} \rightarrow IR$   $E_{\text{Remonlli utility function}}$ 

where  $U(F) = \int_0^\infty u(x) dF(x)$ ,  $\forall F \in \Delta[0, \infty)$ and  $F \succeq F' \iff U(F) \ge U(F')$ 

· A preference 2 over  $\triangle$  [0,00) has an EU representation

iff there exists a function  $u: [0,\infty) \longrightarrow IR$  s.t.

 $F \approx G \iff \int_{0}^{\infty} u(x) dF(x) \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} u(x) dG(x)$   $= U(F) \qquad = U(G)$ 

Note
 2: risk averse
 Bermoulli util. fon uux)
 concave

Remark

"U is visk-neutral" means U(EF) = U(F)  $U(\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha \, dFon) = \int_{0}^{\infty} uon \, dFon$   $= \int_{0}^{\infty} uon \, dFon$ 

Suppose y(x) = du(x) d>0 = y(x), du(x) are the same

. When u is differentiable.

risk aversion means  $U''(x) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$ .

· U is "more visk- overse" than v

means  $c(F, u) \leq c(F, v)$ ,  $\forall F$ 

- Certainty equivalent of F will be estimated for u less than der v

ex) Suppose N''(x) \le 0, 4x and V''(xx) =0, 4x.

Then, u is more risk averse than v.

ex2) suppose VOX) = & UOX)+B. X>0. BEIR

If d>1, V">", V is moe

(Def) The Arrow-Pratt Coefficient of Absolute risk eversion

$$\alpha(\alpha, u) = -\frac{u''(\alpha)}{u'(\alpha)}$$

9x) V(x)= dv(x)+/3 - V'= dv'

 $\alpha(x,u) = -\frac{u''}{u'}$  $\alpha(\alpha, \mathbf{v}) = -\frac{\mathbf{v}'}{\mathbf{v}} = -\frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}'}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}'} = -\frac{\mathbf{u}''}{\mathbf{u}'}$ 

(PKP) The following are equivalent -

u is more visk averse than v

(b)  $\alpha(x,u) \geq \alpha(x,v)$ ,  $\forall x \in (0,\infty)$ 

(c) = om increasing concave function & such the

 $u(x) = f(v(x)), \forall x$  (u is more concave)

- . More risk-averse = more willing to pay or insurance
- · riskless asset ) more risk-averse less risky asset

#23.3  $\gtrsim$  over L has EU representation  $\Rightarrow \gtrsim$  is CK+ continuous and IA

prof) = u1, u2,... un st VLEL, U(L) = \( \frac{1}{2} P\_2 U\_1 \)

So, (PI,..., PN) is the prob. vector

 $0 \approx is complete : Dr L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$ , U(L) and U(L')  $\Rightarrow$  either  $L \times L'$  or  $L' \times L$ , complete.

 $\Theta \gtrsim is$  transitive: for L,L',L" $\in$ L, let L $\times$ L', L' $\times$ L''.  $\Rightarrow u(L) \geq u(L')$ ,  $u(L') \geq u(L'') \Rightarrow L \times L''$ , transitive

WTS: X\* EA.

for every n,  $dn L + (I-dn)L' \gtrsim L'' \Rightarrow U(dn L + (I-dn)L') \geq U(L'')$   $\downarrow Dy + linearity of U,$ 

dn U(L)+ (-dn) U(L) Z U(L")

So, LHS converges to  $d^*u(L) + (I-d^*)u(L) \ge u(L^2)$ Then, in the same way,  $u\left(d^*L + (I-d^*)L'\right) \ge u(L^2) \implies d^*EA$ . II

- · complete and transitive
- (Another version than  $\exists \alpha x + (1-d) z \sim y$  of definition)

If F>LF'>LF", XF+ (I-X)F"~F"NF" Not antinuous.

· IA

FZF' \$ dF+(1-d)F" Z dF'+(1-d)F", Not IA. 11