Homework 9 Solutions

Spencer Cooper

ECON 501B Solutions Prof. Friedenberg

November 2018

Note:

- For each l = 1, 2, preference is strong monotone, so $p_l^* > 0$, otherwise, each consumer has no maximum on $B_i(p^*)$
- Since $p_l^* > 0, l = 1, 2$, profit maximization entails $y_l^* = 0$. Thus $p_l^* \cdot y_l^* = 0$
- 1. (a) FOC: $x_{11}: \alpha_1 x_{11}^{\alpha_1 1} x_{21}^{\alpha_1} \lambda p = 0$ $x_{21}: \alpha_1 x_{11}^{\alpha_1} x_{21}^{\alpha_1 1} \lambda = 0$

$$\frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} = p$$
 $\frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} = p$

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} = p \\ \frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} = p \\ \text{Applying budget constraints:} \end{array}$

$$2px_{11} = 10p + 2$$
 and

$$2px_{12} = 2p + 10$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{11} = \frac{5p+1}{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{12} = \frac{p}{p+5}$$

By market clearing:

$$x_{11} + x_{12} = 12 \Rightarrow p = 1$$

Then CE allocation: $\{(6,6),(6,6)\}, p=1\}$

(b) Interior:

P.O. implies
$$MRS_1 = MRS_2$$

$$\frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} = \frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} = k$$

 $\frac{x_{21}}{x_{11}} = \frac{x_{22}}{x_{12}} = k$ Because $x_{l1} + x_{l2} = 12$, for l = 1, 2, then k = 1

Boundary:

Must be on origin corners.

Then P.O.: $\{(x_{11}, x_{11}), (12 - x_{11}, 12 - x_{11}) | x_{11} \in [0, 12] \}$

(c) FWT gives $CE \Rightarrow PO$

For SWT, we need transfers to support P.O. as CE.

(d) Consider the PO allocation $\{(5,5),(7,7)\}=(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ with market price p=1Start with $(w_1, w_2) = (12, 12)$

Transfer 2 from 1 to 2:

$$(w'_1, w'_2) = (10, 14)$$

2. Since $((x_1^*, x_2^*), y^*)$ is P.O., (x_1^*, x_2^*) is non-wasteful and $y^* = 0$

Interior Solution:

$$\mathrm{MRS}_1 = \mathrm{MRS}_2$$

$$\phi_1'(x_{21}) = \phi_2'(x_{22})$$

Market clearing gives $x_{22} = \bar{\omega_2} - x_{21}$

Plugging in we get:

$$\phi_1'(x_{21}) - \phi_2'(\bar{\omega_2} - x_{22}) = 0$$

Becasue of strict concavity, ϕ_1' and ϕ_2' are decreasing. That means we have a unique solution for (x_{21}, x_{22})

3. We can again assume $y^* = 0$ by above argument.

Let
$$\omega' = x^*$$
, check $((x^*, y^*), p^*)$ is a C.E. with respect to ω'

For consumer i:

$$x_i^* \in argmax(u^i(x_i)) \text{ s.t. } p^* \cdot x_i \leq p^*\omega_i' = p^*x_i^*$$

Budget constraint is satisfied because in the C.E., $p^*x_i^* = W_i$ by non-wasteful.

Now check feasibility:

$$\sum x_i^* - \bar{\omega}$$

This is from the C.E.

4. (a) Yes - proof by contradiction

Suppose a C.E. is not in the core. Then there is a coalition C such that for any $i \in C$, and an allocation $\{x_i | i \in C\}$ such that $\sum x_i \leq \sum w_i | i \in C$, then

Therefore $p^*x_i > p^*x_i^* = p^*\omega_i$ for any $i \in C$

Summing all $i \in C$:

$$p^* \sum x_i > p^* \sum \omega_i$$

Then
$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} p_l \sum_{i \in C} x_{li} > \sum_{l=1}^{L} p_l \sum_{i \in C} p_l$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists l : p_l \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} x_{li} > p_l \sum_{i=0}^{l} x_{li}$$

 $p^* \sum x_i > p^* \sum \omega_i$ Then $\sum_{l=1}^L p_l \sum_{i \in C} x_{li} > \sum_{l=1}^L p_l \sum_{i \in C} l_i$ $\Rightarrow \exists l : p_l \sum x_{li} > p_l \sum x_{li}$ Because $p_l > 0 : \sum x_{li} > \sum \omega_{li}$ which is a contradiction to feasibility.

(b) False