

# Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming

## Inheritance

Christopher Simpkins

`chris.simpkins@gatech.edu`

# Programming in the Large

Software is complex. Three ways we deal with complexity:

- Abstraction - boiling a concept down to its essential elements, ignoring irrelevant details
- Decomposition - decompose system into packages, classes, functions
- Reuse - reuse library function in many different places

Today we introduce another kind of reuse: inheritance

# What is inheritance?



<sup>1</sup>Source: <http://talentbank.com/can-you-really-make-inheritance-into-a-good-financial-move-in-the-long-run>

# What is inheritance?

More like genetics ...



2

... but a programming concept that, like so much in CS, borrows a term from another field to leverage our intuition.

<sup>2</sup>Source: <http://www.dnafb.org/5/>

# Inheritance

Inheritance: deriving one class from another class.

```
public class Employee { ... }  
public class HourlyEmployee extends Employee { ... }  
public class SalariedEmployee extends Employee { ... }
```

- Employee is the *base class* or *superclass*
- HourlyEmployee and SalariedEmployee are *derived classes* or *subclasses*
- Subclasses *inherit* the interface and implementation of their superclass(es)
- `extends` is the Java syntax for inheriting from another class

Important idea to plant in your head now: subclassing is about concept reuse not merely implementation reuse. For example, HourlyEmployee *is-a* Employee conceptually.

# Superclasses

Consider the superclass **Employee1**:<sup>3</sup>

```
public class Employee1 {
    private String name;
    private Date hireDate;

    public Employee1(String aName, Date aHireDate) {
        disallowNullArguments(aName, aHireDate);
        name = aName;
        hireDate = aHireDate;
    }
    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }
    public Date getHireDate() {
        return hireDate;
    } // and toString(), etc. ...
}
```

**Employee** defines the basic information needed to define any employee.

---

<sup>3</sup>Note that we'll number the versions of our Employee classes like we did with Card. ↻

# Subclasses

The `extends` clause names the direct superclass of the current class (JLS §8.1.4).

Here is a subclass of `Employee1`, [HourlyEmployee1](#):

```
public class HourlyEmployee extends Employee {  
  
    public HourlyEmployee(String aName, Date aHireDate) {  
        super(aName, aHireDate);  
    }  
}
```

- `HourlyEmployee` inherits all the members of `Employee`
- `HourlyEmployee` can't access private members of `Employee` directly
- The `super` call in the constructor calls `Employee`'s constructor to initialize `HourlyEmployee` instances

The `HourlyEmployee` concept extends the `Employee` concept.

# super Subtleties

- If present, an explicit `super` call must be the first statement in a constructor.
- If an explicit `super` call is not present and the superclass has a no-arg constructor, `super()` will implicitly be the first statement in any constructor
- If there is no no-arg constructor in a superclass (for example, if the superclass defines other constructors without explicitly defining a no-arg constructor), then subclass constructors must explicitly include a `super` call.

Together, these rules enforce an “inside-out” construction order for objects: the highest superclass piece of an object is initialized first, followed by the second highest, and so on.



# Subclass Constructors

Recall our definitions of `Employee1` and `HourlyEmployee1`.

```
public class Employee1 {  
    // The only constructor in Employee  
    public Employee1(String aName, Date aHireDate) {  
        disallowNullArguments(aName, aHireDate);  
        name = aName;  
        hireDate = aHireDate;  
    }  
    // ...  
}
```

```
public class HourlyEmployee1 extends Employee1 {  
  
    public HourlyEmployee1(String aName, Date aHireDate) {  
        super(aName, aHireDate);  
    }  
}
```

Would `HourlyEmployee1.java` compile if we left off the constructor definition?

# Inherited Members

Given our previous definitions of `Employee1` and `HourlyEmployee1`, we can write code like this (from [EmployeeDemo1](#)):

```
DateFormat df = DateFormat.getDateInstance();
HourlyEmployee eva = new HourlyEmployee("Eva L. Uator",
                                         df.parse("February 18, 2013"));
System.out.println(eva.getName() + " was hired on "
                   + eva.getHireDate());
```

Note that

- we didn't have to define `getName` and `getHireDate` in `HourlyEmployee`
- our current implementation of `HourlyEmployee` doesn't add anything to `Employee`

# Subclasses Specialize Superclasses

We define subclasses to *extend* or *specialize* the functionality of their superclasses. Let's add suitable extensions to `HourlyEmployee`:<sup>4</sup>

```
public class HourlyEmployee2 extends Employee2 {
    private double hourlyWage;
    private double monthlyHours;

    public HourlyEmployee(String aName, Date aHireDate,
                           double anHourlyWage, double aMonthlyHours) {
        super(aName, aHireDate);
        disallowZeroesAndNegatives(anHourlyWage, aMonthlyHours);
        hourlyWage = anHourlyWage;
        monthlyHours = aMonthlyHours;
    }
    public double getHourlyWage() { return hourlyWage; }
    public double getMonthlyHours() { return monthlyHours; }
    public double getMonthlyPay() { return hourlyWage * monthlyHours; }
    // ...
}
```

Food for thought: what is the monthly pay rule for `HourlyEmployees`?  
What if an employee works more than 40 hours per week?

<sup>4</sup> `Employee2` is the same as `Employee1`, but we'll keep the numbers consistent to

# Access Modifiers

Modifier	Class	Package	Subclass	World
public	Y	Y	Y	Y
protected	Y	Y	Y	N
no modifier	Y	Y	N	N
private	Y	N	N	N

- Every class has an access level (for now all of our classes are `public`).
- Every member has an access level.
- The default access level, no modifier, is also called “package private.”

# Access Restrictions Extend to Subclasses

private members of superclasses are present in subclasses, but can't be directly accessed. So this won't compile:

```
public class HourlyEmployee2 extends Employee2 {  
    // ...  
    public String toString() {  
        return name + "; Hire Date: " + hireDate + "; Hourly Wage: "  
            + hourlyWage + "; Monthly Hours: " + monthlyHours;  
    }  
}
```

because name and hireDate are private in Employee. But their getter methods are public:

```
public class HourlyEmployee2 extends Employee2 {  
    // ...  
    public String toString() {  
        return getName()+"; Hire Date: "+getHireDate() +"; Hourly Wage: "  
            + hourlyWage + "; Monthly Hours: " + monthlyHours;  
    }  
}
```

# Overriding Methods

Overriding a method means providing a new definition of a superclass method in a subclass. We've been doing this all along with `toString` and `equals`, which are defined in `java.lang.Object`, the highest superclass of all Java classes.

```
public class Object {
    public String toString() {
        return getClass().getName() + "@"
            + Integer.toHexString(hashCode());
    }
    public boolean equals(Object obj) {
        return (this == obj);
    }
}
```

We redefine these on our classes because

- the default implementation of `toString` just prints the class name and hash code (which is the memory address by default).
- the default implementation of `equals` just compares object references, i.e., identity equality, when what we want from `equals` is value equality.

# @Override Annotation

The optional `@Override` [annotation](#) informs the compiler that the element is meant to override an element declared in a superclass.

```
public class Employee2 {  
    // ...  
    @Override  
    public String toString() {  
        return name + "; Hire Date: " + hireDate;  
    }  
}
```

Now if our subclass's `toString()` method doesn't actually override `Java.lang.Object`'s (or some other class's) `toString()`, the compiler will tell us.

# Explicit Constructor Invocation with `this`

What if we wanted to have default default values for hourly wages and monthly hours? We can provide an alternate constructor that delegates to our main constructor with `this` [HourlyEmployee3.java](#):

```
public final class HourlyEmployee3 extends Employee3 {
    /**
     * Constructs an HourlyEmployee with hourly wage of 20 and
     * monthly hours of 160.
     */
    public HourlyEmployee3(String aName, Date aHireDate) {
        this(aName, aHireDate, 20.00, 160.0);
    }
    public HourlyEmployee3(String aName, Date aHireDate,
                           double anHourlyWage, double aMonthlyHours) {
        super(aName, aHireDate);
        disallowZeroesAndNegatives(anHourlyWage, aMonthlyHours);
        hourlyWage = anHourlyWage;
        monthlyHours = aMonthlyHours;
    }
    // ...
}
```



# this and super

- If present, an explicit constructor call must be the first statement in the constructor.
- Can't have both a `super` and `this` call in a constructor.
- A constructor with a `this` call must call, either directly or indirectly, a constructor with a `super` call (implicit or explicit).

```
public final class HourlyEmployee3 extends Employee3 {
    public HourlyEmployee3(String aName, Date aHireDate) {
        this(aName, aHireDate, 20.00);
    }
    public HourlyEmployee3(String aName, Date aHireDate, double
anHourlyWage) {
        this(aName, aHireDate, anHourlyWage, 160.0);
    }
    public HourlyEmployee3(String aName, Date aHireDate,
                           double anHourlyWage, double aMonthlyHours) {
        super(aName, aHireDate);
        disallowZeroesAndNegatives(anHourlyWage, aMonthlyHours);
        hourlyWage = anHourlyWage;
        monthlyHours = aMonthlyHours;
    }
}
```

# The Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)

*Subtypes must be substitutable for their supertypes.*

Consider the method:

```
public static Date vestDate(Employee employee) {  
    Date hireDate = employee.getHireDate();  
    int vestYear = hireDate.getYear() + 2;  
    return new Date(vestYear,  
                    hireDate.getMonth(),  
                    hireDate.getDay());  
}
```

We can pass any subtype of `Employee` to this method:

```
DateFormat df = DateFormat.getDateInstance();  
HourlyEmployee eva = new HourlyEmployee("Eva L. Uator",  
                                          df.parse("February 13, 2013"), 20.00, 200);  
Date evaVestDate = vestDate(eva);
```

We must ensure that subtypes are indeed substitutable for supertypes.

# LSP Counterexample

## A suprising counter-example:

```
public class Rectangle {
    public void setWidth(double w) { ... }
    public void setHeight(double h) { ... }
}

public class Square extends Rectangle {
    public void setWidth(double w) {
        super.setWidth(w);
        super.setHeight(w);
    }
    public void setHeight(double h) {
        super.setWidth(h);
        super.setHeight(h);
    }
}
```

- We know from math class that a square “is a” rectangle.
- The overridden `setWidth` and `setHeight` methods in `Square` enforce the class invariant of `Square`, namely, that `width == height`.

# LSP Violation

Consider this client of `Rectangle`:

```
public void g(Rectangle r) {  
    r.setWidth(5);  
    r.setHeight(4);  
    assert r.area() == 20;  
}
```

- Client (author of `g`) assumes width and height are independent in `r` because `r` is a `Rectangle`.
- If the `r` passed to `g` is actually an instance of `Square`, what will be the value of `r.area()`?

The Object-oriented *is-a* relationship is about behavior. `Square`'s `setWidth` and `setHeight` methods don't behave the way a `Rectangle`'s `setWidth` and `setHeight` methods are expected to behave, so a `Square` doesn't fit the object-oriented *is-a* `Rectangle` definition. Let's make this more formal ...

# Conforming to LSP: Design by Contract

*Require no more, promise no less.*

Author of a class specifies the behavior of each method in terms of preconditions and postconditions. Subclasses must follow two rules:

- Preconditions of overridden methods must be equal to or weaker than those of the superclass (enforces or assumes no more than the constraints of the superclass method).
- Postconditions of overridden methods must be equal to or greater than those of the superclass (enforces all of the constraints of the superclass method and possibly more).

In the Rectangle-Square case the postcondition of `Rectangle`'s `setWidth` method:

```
assert((rectangle.w == w) && (rectangle.height == old.height))
```

cannot be satisfied by `Square`, which tells us that a `Square` doesn't satisfy the object-oriented *is-a* relationship to `Rectangle`.

# LSP Conforming 2D Shapes

```
public interface 2dShape {
    double area();
}

public class Rectangle implements 2dShape {
    public void setWidth(double w) { ... }
    public void setHeight(double h) { ... }
    public double area() {
        return width * height;
    }
}

public class Square implements 2dShape {
    public void setSide(double w) { ... }
    public double area() {
        return side * side;
    }
}
```

Notice the use of an [interface](#) to define a type.

# Interfaces

An interface represents an object-oriented type: a set of public methods (declarations, not definitions) that any object of the type supports. Recall the `2dShape` interface:

```
public interface 2dShape {  
    double area();  
}
```

You can't instantiate interfaces. So you must define a class that implements the interface in order to use it. Implementing an interface is similar to extending a class, but uses the `implements` keyword:

```
public class Square implements 2dShape {  
    public void setSide(double w) { ... }  
    public double area() {  
        return side * side;  
    }  
}
```

Now a `Square` *is-a* `2dShape`.

# Interfaces Define a Type

```
public interface 2dShape {  
    double area();  
}
```

This means that any object of type `2dShape` supports the `area` method, so we can write code like this:

```
public double calcTotalArea(2dShape ... shapes) {  
    double area = 0.0;  
    for (2dShape shape: shapes) {  
        area += shape.area();  
    }  
    return area;  
}
```

Two kinds of inheritance: *implementation* and *interface* inheritance.

- extending a class means inheriting both the interface and the implementation of the superclass
- implementing an interface means inheriting only the interface, that is, the public methods



# Programming Exercise

To get some practice writing classes that use inheritance, write:

- A class named `Animal` with:
  - A private instance variable `name`, with a public getter and setter. (Note: `name` is a name of an animal, not the animal's species.)
  - A single constructor that takes the name of the `Animal`
  - A public instance method `speak` that returns a `String` representation of the sound it makes.
- A class named `Dog` that extends `Animal` and specializes the `speak` method appropriately.
- A `Kennel` class with
  - a private instance variable `dogs` that is an array of `Dog`
  - a single constructor that takes a variable number of single `Dog` parameters and initializes the `dogs` instance variable with the constructor's actual parameters.
  - a method `soundOff()` that prints to `STDOUT` (`System.out`) one line for each `Dog` in `dogs` that reads “[dog name] says [output of `speak` method]!”, e.g. “Chloe says woof, woof!”

We'll review this at the start of the next lecture