Understanding and Evaluating Medical Concept Embeddings

Andrew L. Beam*, Inbar Fried, Nathan P. Palmer, Isaac S. Kohane Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115, USA $^*E\text{-mail: Andrew_Beam@hms.harvard.edu}$ $www.university_name.edu$

Benjamin Kompa

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina ZIP/Zone, USA E-mail: an_author@laboratory.com

Word embeddings, also known as distributed representations, have seen rapid adoption in natural language processing (NLP). Though they are now standard practice in many areas of NLP and machine learning, they are just now beging to attract interest in biomedical informatics. In this article, we present an overview of the existing word embedding methodology and its applicability to biomedical informatics, as well as proposing a set of benchmark for medical concept embedding evaluation. We provide these benchmarks as an R package to the community to encourage quick and easy comparison for new embeddings in the future.

Keywords: Machine Learning; Distributed Representations; Wrord Vectors; Concept Embeddings

1. Introduction

Here is where we will motivate the paper and introduce the key ideas

2. Overview of Word Embeddings

Here is where I will put the overview of exisiting methodology.

3. Benchmarks

Here is where we will put the description of all of the benchmarks, put in \subsection{} tags

4. Results

You can obtain these files from the following website: http://www.wspc.com.sg/style/proceedings_style.shtml.

5. References

Leave this here for now, I will compile a bibtex file References are to be listed in the order cited in the text in Arabic numerals. BIBTEX users, please use our bibliography style file ws-procs11x85.bst for references. Non BIBTEX users can list down their references in the following pattern.

\begin{thebibliography}{9}

\bibitem{jarl88} C. Jarlskog, in {\it CP Violation} (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).

\bibitem{lamp94} L. Lamport, {\it \LaTeX, A Document Preparation System}, 2nd edition (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1994).

\bibitem{ams04} \AmS-\LaTeX{} Version 2 User's Guide (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004).

\bibitem{best03} B.~W. Bestbury, {\em J. Phys. A} {\bf 36}, 1947 (2003).

\end{thebibliography}

6. BIBT_EXing

If you use the BIBTEX program to maintain your bibliography, you do not use the thebibliography environment. Instead, you should include

\bibliographystyle{ws-procs11x85}
\bibliography{ws-pro-sample}

where ws-procs11x85 refers to a file ws-procs11x85.bst, which defines how your references will look. The argument to \bibliography refers to the file ws-pro-sample.bib, which should contain your database in BIBTEX format. Only the entries referred to via \cite will be listed in the bibliography.

Sample output using ws-procs11x85 bibliography style file:

article text.?,?? proceedings text.? inproceedings text.? book text.?,? edition text.? editor text.? series text.? tech report See Refs. ? and ? for more details unpublished text.? phd thesis text.? masters thesis text.? incollection text.? misc text.?	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm BIBT}_{\rm E}\!{\rm X}\\ {\rm database}\\ {\rm entry\ type} \end{array}$	Sample citation
	proceedings inproceedings book edition editor series tech report unpublished phd thesis masters thesis incollection	text.? text.? text.? text.? text.? text.? text.? See Refs. ? and ? for more details text.? text.? text.? text.? text.?