

Understanding and Evaluating Medical Concept Embeddings

Andrew L. Beam*, Inbar Fried, Nathan P. Palmer, Isaac S. Kohane

*Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School,
Boston, MA, 02115, USA*

**E-mail: Andrew.Beam@hms.harvard.edu
www.university-name.edu*

Benjamin Kompa

*University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill,
Chapel Hill, North Carolina ZIP/Zone, USA
E-mail: an_author@laboratory.com*

Word embeddings, also known as distributed representations, have seen rapid adoption in natural language processing (NLP). Though they are now standard practice in many areas of NLP and machine learning, they are just now beginning to attract interest in biomedical informatics. In this article, we present an overview of the existing word embedding methodology and its applicability to biomedical informatics, as well as proposing a set of benchmark for medical concept embedding evaluation. We provide these benchmarks as an R package to the community to encourage quick and easy comparison for new embeddings in the future.

Keywords: Machine Learning; Distributed Representations; Word Vectors; Concept Embeddings

1. Introduction

Here is where we will motivate the paper and introduce the key ideas

2. Overview of Word Embeddings

The idea of a vectorized or distribution representation of a word has its roots in the neural language model of Bengio,¹ though this model is actually a formalization of the ideas first put forth in [paper from the 50s]. However, it wasn't until the paper² underpinning the wildly successful *word2vec* software package which demonstrated that collapsing the neural language model of Bengio¹ to a linear model enabled greater accuracy through training on much larger datasets that the idea of word embeddings finally came of age.

2.1. *Word2Vec*

2.2. *GLOVE*

2.3. *Embeddings as random walks*

3. Benchmarks

Here is where we will put the description of all of the benchmarks, put in `\subsection{}` tags

4. Results

You can obtain these files from the following website: http://www.wspc.com.sg/style/proceedings_style.shtml.

5. References

Leave this here for now, I will compile a bibtex file References are to be listed in the order cited in the text in Arabic numerals. BIB_TE_X users, please use our bibliography style file `ws-procs11x85.bst` for references. Non BIB_TE_X users can list down their references in the following pattern.

```
\begin{thebibliography}{9}
```

```
\bibitem{jarl88} C. Jarlskog, in {\it CP Violation} (World Scientific,  
Singapore, 1988).
```

```
\bibitem{lamp94} L. Lamport, {\it \LaTeX, A Document Preparation System},  
2nd edition (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1994).
```

```
\bibitem{ams04} \AmS-\LaTeX{} Version 2 User's Guide (American Mathematical  
Society, Providence, 2004).
```

```
\bibitem{best03} B.~W. Bestbury, {\em J. Phys. A} {\bf 36}, 1947 (2003).
```

```
\end{thebibliography}
```

6. BIB_TE_Xing

If you use the BIB_TE_X program to maintain your bibliography, you do not use the `thebibliography` environment. Instead, you should include

```
\bibliographystyle{ws-procs11x85}  
\bibliography{ws-pro-sample}
```

where `ws-procs11x85` refers to a file `ws-procs11x85.bst`, which defines how your references will look. The argument to `\bibliography` refers to the file `ws-pro-sample.bib`, which should contain your database in BIB_TE_X format. Only the entries referred to via `\cite` will be listed in the bibliography.

Sample output using `ws-procs11x85` bibliography style file:

| BIB _T _E _X database entry type | Sample citation |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| article | ... text. ³⁻⁵ |
| proceedings | ... text. ⁶ |
| inproceedings | ... text. ⁷ |
| book | ... text. ^{8,9} |
| edition | ... text. ¹⁰ |
| editor | ... text. ¹¹ |
| series | ... text. ¹² |
| tech report | See Refs. 13 and 14 for more details |
| unpublished | ... text. ¹⁵ |
| phd thesis | ... text. ¹⁶ |
| masters thesis | ... text. ¹⁷ |
| incollection | ... text. ¹⁸ |
| misc | ... text. ¹⁹ |

References

1. Y. Bengio, R. Ducharme, P. Vincent and C. Jauvin, *journal of machine learning research* **3**, 1137 (2003).
2. T. Mikolov, I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. S. Corrado and J. Dean, Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality, in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2013.
3. B. W. Bestbury, *J. Phys. A* **36**, 1947 (2003).
4. P. X. Deligne and B. H. Gross, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **335**, 877 (2002).
5. J. M. Landsberg and L. Manivel, *Adv. Math.* **171**, 59 (2002), <http://www.url.com/triality.html>.
6. G. H. Weiss (ed.), *Contemporary Problems in Statistical Physics* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994).
7. R. K. Gupta and S. D. Senturia, Pull-in time dynamics as a measure of absolute pressure, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop on Microelectromechanical Systems (MEMS'97)*, (Nagoya, Japan, 1997).
8. C. Jarlskog, *CP Violation* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
9. L. F. Richardson, *Arms and Insecurity* (Boxwood, Pittsburg, 1960).
10. R. V. Churchill and J. W. Brown, *Complex Variables and Applications*, 5th edn. (McGraw-Hill, 1990).
11. F. Benhamou and A. Colmerauer (eds.), *Constraint Logic Programming, Selected Research* (MIT Press, 1993).
12. D. W. Baker and N. L. Carter, *Seismic Velocity Anisotropy Calculated for Ultramafic Minerals and Aggregates*, in *Flow and Fracture of Rocks*, eds. H. C. Heard, I. V. Borg, N. L. Carter and C. B. Raleigh, Geophys. Mono., Vol. 16 (Am. Geophys. Union, 1972), pp. 157–166.
13. J. D. Hobby, *A User's Manual for MetaPost*, Tech. Rep. 162, AT&T Bell Laboratories (Murray Hill, New Jersey, 1992).
14. B. W. Kernighan, *PIC—A Graphics Language for Typesetting*, Computing Science Technical Report 116, AT&T Bell Laboratories (Murray Hill, New Jersey, 1984).
15. H. C. Heard, I. V. Borg, N. L. Carter and C. B. Raleigh, VoQS: Voice Quality Symbols, Revised to 1994, (1994).
16. M. E. Brown, An interactive environment for literate programming, PhD thesis, Texas A&M

University, (TX, USA, 1988), pp. ix + 102.

17. G. S. Lodha, Quantitative interpretation of airborne electromagnetic response for a spherical model, Master's thesis, University of Toronto (1974).
18. D. Jones, The term 'phoneme', in *Phonetics in Linguistics: A Book of Reading*, eds. W. E. Jones and J. Laver (Longman, London, 1973) pp. 187–204.
19. B. Davidsen, Netpbm (1993), <ftp://ftp.wustl.edu/graphics/graphics/packages/NetPBM>.