

1.(b) The original picture is 256 RGB, so each pixel requires

$$\log_2 256 \times 3 = 8 \times 3 = 24 \text{ bits of memory}$$

For the compressed image, each pixel requires

$$\log_2 16 = 4 \text{ bits of memory}$$

The overall compression factor is $24/4 = 6$

(2.1a)

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\text{semi-sup}}(\theta^{(t+1)}) &= \alpha l_{\text{sup}}(\theta^{(t+1)}) + l_{\text{unsup}}(\theta^{(t+1)}) \\ &\geq \alpha l_{\text{sup}}(\theta^{(t+1)}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{z^{(i)}} Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)}) \log \frac{p(x^{(i)}, z^{(i)}; \theta^{(t+1)})}{Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)})} \\ &\geq \alpha l_{\text{sup}}(\theta^{(t)}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{z^{(i)}} Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)}) \log \frac{p(x^{(i)}, z^{(i)}; \theta^{(t)})}{Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)})} \\ &= \alpha l_{\text{sup}}(\theta^{(t)}) + l_{\text{unsup}}(\theta^{(t)}) \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds because of Jensen's inequality

the second inequality holds because $\theta^{(t+1)}$ is obtained by

$$\arg \max_{\theta} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{z^{(i)}} Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)}) \log \frac{p(x^{(i)}, z^{(i)}; \theta)}{Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)})} + \alpha l_{\text{sup}}(\theta) \right] \text{ at } t+1.$$

and the equality holds because we choose

$$Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)}) = p(z^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta^{(t)}) \text{ for the E step at } t$$

$$\text{Thus } l_{\text{semi-sup}}(\theta^{(t+1)}) \geq \alpha l_{\text{sup}}(\theta^{(t)}) + l_{\text{unsup}}(\theta^{(t)}) = l_{\text{semi-sup}}(\theta^{(t)})$$

2.(b)

At E step, for each i , set

$$w_j^{(i)} = \varrho_i(z^{(i)} = j) = P(z^{(i)} = j | X^{(i)}; \phi, \mu, \Sigma)$$

$$= \frac{P(X^{(i)} | z^{(i)} = j; \mu_j, \Sigma_j) \phi_j}{\sum_{j=1}^K P(X^{(i)} | z^{(i)} = j; \mu_j, \Sigma_j) \phi_j}$$

$$\text{where } P(X^{(i)} | z^{(i)} = j; \mu_j, \Sigma_j) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma_j|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (X^{(i)} - \mu_j)^T \Sigma_j^{-1} (X^{(i)} - \mu_j)\right)$$

2.

(2.(c))

Since M step becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{\phi, \mu, \Sigma} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)}) \log \frac{P(x^{(i)}, z^{(i)}; \phi, \mu, \Sigma)}{Q_i^{(t)}(z^{(i)})} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(\tilde{x}^{(i)}, \tilde{z}^{(i)}; \phi, \mu, \Sigma) \\ = \arg \max_{\phi, \mu, \Sigma} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k w_j^{(i)} \log \frac{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma_j|^{1/2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_j)^T \Sigma_j^{-1} (x^{(i)} - \mu_j)) \phi_j}{w_j^{(i)}} \right. \\ & \left. + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \log \frac{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma_j|^{1/2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{x}_j^{(i)} - \mu_j)^T \Sigma_j^{-1} (\tilde{x}_j^{(i)} - \mu_j)) \mathbb{I}_{\{z^{(i)}=j\}} \phi_j}{1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by taking derivative w.r.t μ_j and then setting the derivative to zero

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} (\Sigma_j^{-1} x^{(i)} - \Sigma_j^{-1} \mu_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{w}_j^{(i)} (\Sigma_j^{-1} \tilde{x}^{(i)} - \Sigma_j^{-1} \mu_j) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mu_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} x^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{w}_j^{(i)} \tilde{x}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{w}_j^{(i)}} \end{aligned}$$

by taking the derivative w.r.t. Σ_j and then setting the derivative to zero

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} (x^{(i)} - \mu_j)(x^{(i)} - \mu_j)^T + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{w}_j^{(i)} (\tilde{x}^{(i)} - \mu_j)(\tilde{x}^{(i)} - \mu_j)^T}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{w}_j^{(i)}}$$

also from the handout of PS-5, we have already seen how to derive ϕ_j as

$$\phi_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{w}_j^{(i)}}{n + \alpha \hat{n}}$$

2(f). Semi-supervised EM takes less iterations to converge, is more stable,
and has higher quality of assignments compared to unsupervised EM.