## CS100 Lecture 19

Operator Overloading

#### **Contents**

- Basics
- Example: Rational
  - · Arithmetic and relational operators
  - Increment and decrement operators (++, --)
  - IO operators ( << , >> )
- Example: Dynarray
  - Subscript operator ([])
- Example: WindowPtr
  - Dereference (indirection) operator (\*)
  - Member access through pointer (->)
- User-defined type conversions

#### **Basics**

Operator overloading: Provide the behaviors of **operators** for class types.

We have already seen some:

- The copy assignment operator and the move assignment operator are two special overloads for operator=.
- The IOStream library provides overloaded operator<< and operator>> to perform input and output.
- The string library provides operator+ for concatenation of strings, and < , <= , > , >= , == , != for comparison in lexicographical order.
- Standard library containers and std::string have operator[].
- Smart pointers have operator\* and operator->.

#### **Basics**

Overloaded operators can be defined in two forms:

- as a member function, in which the leftmost operand is bound to this:
  - [a[i]] \$\Leftrightarrow\$ [a.operator[](i)]
  - (a = b) \$\Leftrightarrow\$ (a.operator=(b)
  - (\*a) \$\Leftrightarrow\$ (a.operator\*()
  - f(arg1, arg2, arg3, ...) \$\Leftrightarrow\$ f.operator()(arg1, arg2, arg3, ...)
- as a non-member function:
  - [a == b]\$\Leftrightarrow\$ operator==(a, b)
  - a + b \$\Leftrightarrow\$ operator+(a, b)

#### **Basics**

Some operators cannot be overloaded:

```
obj.mem, ::, ?:, obj.*memptr (not covered in CS100)
```

Some operators can be overloaded, but are strongly not recommended:

```
cond1 && cond2, cond1 || cond2
```

• Reason: Since x && y would become operator&(x, y), there is no way to overload && (or []) that preserves the **short-circuit evaluation** property.

#### **Basics**

• At least one operand should be a class type. Modifying the behavior of operators on built-in types is not allowed.

```
int operator+(int, int); // Error.
MyInt operator-(int, int); // Still error.
```

• Inventing new operators is not allowed.

```
double operator**(double x, double exp); // Error.
```

· Overloading does not modify the associativity, precedence and the operands' evaluation order.

```
std::cout << a + b; // Equivalent to `std::cout << (a + b)`.
```

# Example: Rational

#### A class for rational numbers

We want to have arithmetic operators supported for Rational.

# Rational: arithmetic operators

A good way: define operator+= and the **unary** operator-, and then define other operators in terms of them.

# Rational: arithmetic operators

Define the arithmetic operators in terms of the compound assignment operators.

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational & operator-=(const Rational & rhs) {
     // Makes use of `operator+=` and the unary `operator-`.
     return *this += -rhs;
};
Rational operator+(const Rational & lhs, const Rational & rhs) {
   return Rational(lhs) += rhs; // Makes use of `operator+=`.
}
Rational operator-(const Rational & lhs, const Rational & rhs) {
   return Rational(lhs) -= rhs; // Makes use of `operator-=`.
}
```

# [Best practice] Avoid repetition.

The arithmetic operators for Rational are simple yet requires carefulness.

- Integers with different signed-ness need careful treatment.
- Remember to simplify().

Fortunately, we only need to pay attention to these things in operator+= . Everything will be right if operator+= is right.

# [Best practice] Avoid repetition.

The code would be very error-prone if you implement every function from scratch!

```
class Rational {
public:
 Rational &operator+=(const Rational &rhs) {
   m_num = m_num * static_cast<int>(rhs.m_denom)
          + static_cast<int>(m_denom) * rhs.m_num;
   m_denom *= rhs.m_denom;
   simplify();
   return *this;
  Rational & operator -= (const Rational & rhs) {
   m_num = m_num * static_cast<int>(rhs.m_denom)
          - static_cast<int>(m_denom) * rhs.m_num;
   m_denom *= rhs.m_denom;
   simplify();
   return *this;
  friend Rational operator+(const Rational &,
                           const Rational &);
  friend Rational operator-(const Rational &,
                           const Rational &);
```

# Rational: arithmetic operators

Exercise: Define operator\* (multiplication) and operator/ (division) as well as operator\*= and operator/= for Rational.

## Rational: arithmetic and relational operators

What if we define them (say, operator+ ) as member functions?

### Rational: arithmetic and relational operators

What if we define them (say, operator+) as member functions?

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational(int x = 0) : m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {}
   Rational operator+(const Rational &rhs) const {
        // ...
   }
};
```

```
Rational r = some\_value();

auto s = r + 0; // OK, `r.operator+(0)`, effectively `r.operator+(Rational(0))`

auto t = 0 + r; // Error! `0.operator+(r)` ???
```

### Rational: arithmetic and relational operators

To allow implicit conversions on both sides, the operator should be defined as non-member functions.

```
Rational r = some\_value();

auto s = r + 0; // OK, `operator+(r, 0)`, effectively `operator+(r, Rational(0))`

auto t = 0 + r; // OK, `operator+(0, r)`, effectively `operator+(Rational(0), r)`
```

[Best practice] The "symmetric" operators, whose operands are often exchangeable, often should be defined as non-member functions.

### Rational: relational operators

Define < and ==, and define others in terms of them. (Before C++20)

• Since C++20: Define == and <=> , and the compiler will generate others.

A possible way: Use to\_double and compare the floating-point values.

```
bool operator<(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
  return lhs.to_double() < rhs.to_double();
}</pre>
```

- This does not require operator< to be a friend.
- However, this is subject to floating-point errors.

### Rational: ralational operators

Another way (possibly better):

If there are member functions to obtain the numerator and the denominator, these functions don't need to be friend.

# Rational: relational operators

#### [Best practice] Avoid repetition.

Define others in terms of < and ==:

```
bool operator>(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
   return rhs < lhs;
}
bool operator<=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
   return !(lhs > rhs);
}
bool operator>=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
   return !(lhs < rhs);
}
bool operator!=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
   return !(lhs == rhs);
}</pre>
```

## **Relational operators**

Define relational operators in a consistent way:

- a != b should mean ! (a == b)
- !(a < b) and !(a > b) should imply a == b

C++20 has devoted some efforts to the design of consistent comparison: P0515r3.

### **Relational operators**

Avoid abuse of relational operators:

```
struct Point2d { double x, y; };
bool operator<(const Point2d &lhs, const Point2d &rhs) {
  return lhs.x < rhs.x; // Is this the unique, best behavior?
}
// Much better design: Use a named function.
bool less_in_x(const Point2d &lhs, const Point2d &rhs) {
  return lhs.x < rhs.x;
}</pre>
```

[Best practice] Operators should be used for operations that are likely to be unambiguous to users.

• If an operator has plausibly more than one interpretation, use named functions instead. Function names can convey more information.

std::string has operator+ for concatenation. Why doesn't std::vector have one?

```
++ and --
```

++ and -- are often defined as **members**, because they modify the object.

To differentiate the postfix version x++ and the prefix version x++: The postfix version has a parameter of type int.

• The compiler will translate ++x to x.operator++(), x++ to x.operator++(0).

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational & operator++() { ++m_num; simplify(); return *this; }
   Rational operator++(int) { // This `int` parameter is not used.
   // The postfix version is almost always defined like this.
   auto tmp = *this;
   ++*this; // Makes use of the prefix version.
   return tmp;
}
};
```

#### ++ and --

```
class Rational {
public:
   Rational &operator++() { ++m_num; simplify(); return *this; }
   Rational operator++(int) { // This `int` parameter is not used.
   // The postfix version is almost always defined like this.
   auto tmp = *this;
   ++*this; // Make use of the prefix version.
   return tmp;
}
};
```

The prefix version returns reference to \*this, while the postfix version returns a copy of \*this before incrementation.

• Same as the built-in behaviors.

# **IO** operators

Implement std::cin >> r and std::cout << r.

Input operator:

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &, Rational &);
```

Output operator:

```
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &, const Rational &);</pre>
```

- std::cin is of type std::istream, and std::cout is of type std::ostream.
- The left-hand side operand should be returned, so that we can write

```
std::cin >> a >> b >> c; std::cout << a << b << c;
```

# Rational: output operator

```
class Rational {
    friend std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &, const Rational &);
};
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &os, const Rational &r) {
    return os << r.m_num << '/' << r.m_denom;
}</pre>
```

If there are member functions to obtain the numerator and the denominator, it don't have to be a friend.

```
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &os, const Rational &r) {
  return os << r.get_numerator() << '/' << r.get_denominator();
}</pre>
```

### Rational: input operator

Suppose the input format is a b for the rational number \$\dfrac ab\$, where a and b are integers.

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &is, Rational &r) {
  int x, y; is >> x >> y;
  if (!is) { // Pay attention to input failures!
    x = 0;
    y = 1;
  }
  if (y < 0) { y = -y; x = -x; }
  r = Rational(x, y);
  return is;
}</pre>
```

# Example: Dynarray

## operator[]

```
class Dynarray {
public:
    int &operator[](std::size_t n) {
        return m_storage[n];
    }
    const int &operator[](std::size_t n) const {
        return m_storage[n];
    }
};
```

The use of [a[i]] is interpreted as [a.operator[](i)].

(C++23 allows a[i, j, k]!)

Homework: Define operator[] and relational operators for Dynarray .

# Example: WindowPtr

## WindowPtr: indirection (dereference) operator

Recall the WindowPtr class we defined in the previous lecture.

```
struct WindowWithCounter {
    window theWindow;
    int refCount = 1;
};
class WindowPtr {
    WindowWithCounter *m_ptr;
public:
    Window &operator*() const { // why should it be const?
    return m_ptr->theWindow;
    }
};
```

We want \*sp to return reference to the managed object.

# WindowPtr: indirection (derefernce) operator

Why should operator\* be const?

```
class WindowPtr {
    WindowWithCounter *m_ptr;
public:
    Window & Operator*() const {
       return m_ptr->theWindow;
    }
};
```

On a const WindowPtr ("top-level" const ), obtaining a non-const reference to the managed object may still be allowed.

• The (smart) pointer is const, but the managed object is not.

• this is const WindowPtr \*, so m\_ptr is WindowWithCounter \*const.

### WindowPtr: member access through pointer

To make operator-> consistent with operator\* (make ptr->mem equivalent to (\*ptr).mem ), operator-> is almost always defined like this:

```
class WindowPtr {
public:
    window *operator->() const {
    return std::addressof(operator*());
    }
};
```

std::addressof(x) is almost always equivalent to &x , but the latter may not return the address of x if operator& for x has been overloaded!

# **User-defined type conversions**

### Type conversions

A **type conversion** is a function \$f:T\mapsto U\$ for two different types \$T\$ and \$U\$.

Type conversions can happen either implicitly or explicitly. A conversion is explicit if and only if the target type |U| is written explicitly in the conversion expression.

Explicit conversions can happen in one of the following forms:

expression	explanation	example
what_cast <u>(expr)</u>	through named casts	static_cast <int>(3.14)</int>
U(expr)	looks like a constructor call	std::string("xx"), int(3.14)
(U)expr	old C-style conversion	Not recommended. Don't use it.

# **Type conversions**

A **type conversion** is a function \$f:T\mapsto U\$ for two different types \$T\$ and \$U\$.

Type conversions can happen either implicitly or explicitly. A conversion is explicit if and only if the target type 🕡 is written explicitly in the conversion expression.

• Arithmetic conversions are often allowed to happen implicitly:

• The dangerous conversions for built-in types must be explicit:

#### Type conversions

A **type conversion** is a function \$f:T\mapsto U\$ for two different types \$T\$ and \$U\$.

Type conversions can happen either **implicitly** or **explicitly**. A conversion is **explicit** if and only if the target type  $\boxed{\textbf{U}}$  is written explicitly in the conversion expression.

• This is also a type conversion, isn't it?

```
std::string s = "hello"; // from `const char [6]` to `std::string`
```

• This is also a type conversion, isn't it?

```
std::size_t n = 1000;
std::vector<int> v(n); // from `std::size_t` to `std::vector<int>`
```

How do these type conversions happen? Are they implicit or explicit?

## Type conversions

We can define a type conversion for our class  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  in one of the following ways:

- 1. A constructor with exactly one parameter of type  $\top$  is a conversion from  $\top$  to  $\top$  to  $\top$ .
  - Example: std::string has a constructor accepting a const char \*. std::vector has a constructor accepting a std::size\_t.
- 2. A **type conversion operator**: a conversion from **x** to some other type.

```
class Rational {
public:
    // conversion from `Rational` to `double`.
    operator double() const { return 1.0 * m_num / m_denom; }
};
Rational r(3, 4);
double dval = r; // 0.75
```

## Type conversion operator

A type conversion operator is a member function of class  $\, x \,$  , which defines the type conversion from  $\, x \,$  to some other type  $\, T \,$  .

```
class Rational {
public:
    // conversion from `Rational` to `double`.
    operator double() const { return 1.0 * m_num / m_denom; }
};
Rational r(3, 4);
double dval = r; // 0.75
```

- The name of the function is operator T.
- The return type is T, which is not written before the name.
- $\bullet \quad \hbox{A type conversion is usually a } \textbf{read-only} \text{ operation, so it is usually } \boxed{\texttt{const}}.$

# **Explicit type conversion**

Some conversions should be allowed to happen implicitly:

Some should never happen implicitly!

# **Explicit type conversion**

To disallow the implicit use of a constructor as a type conversion, write explicit before the return type:

```
class string { // Suppose this is the `std::string` class.
public:
    string(const char *cstr); // Not marked `explicit`. Implicit use is allowed.
};

template <typename T> class vector { // Suppose this is the `std::vector` class.
public:
    explicit vector(std::size_t n); // Implicit use is not allowed.
};
```

```
class Dynarray {
public:
    explicit Dynarray(std::size_t n) : m_length{n}, m_storage{new int[n]{}} {}
};
```

## **Explicit type conversion**

To disallow the implicit use of a type conversion operator, also write explicit:

# [Best practice] Avoid the abuse of type conversion operators.

Type conversion operators can lead to unexpected results!

```
class Rational {
public:
    operator double() const { return 1.0 * m_num / m_denom; }
    operator std::string() const {
        return std::to_string(m_num) + " / " + std::to_string(m_denom);
    }
};
int main() {
    Rational r(3, 4);
    std::cout << r << '\n'; // Ocops! Is it `0.75` or `3 / 4`?
}</pre>
```

In the code above, either mark the type conversions as explicit, or remove them and define named functions like to\_double() and to\_string() instead.

#### Contextual conversion to bool

A special rule for conversion to [bool].

Suppose expr is an expression of a class type x, and suppose x has an explicit type conversion operator to bool. In the following contexts, that conversion is applicable even if it is not written as bool(expr) or static\_cast<bool>(expr):

```
• if (expr), while (expr), for (...; expr; ...), do ... while (expr)
```

- as the operand of ! , && , | |
- as the first operand of ?:: expr ? something : something\_else

#### Contextual conversion to bool

Exercise: We often test whether a pointer is non-null like this:

```
if (ptr) {
   // ...
}
auto val = ptr ? ptr->some_value : 0;
```

Define a conversion from WindowPtr to bool, so that we can test whether a WindowPtr is non-null in the same way.

• Should this conversion be allowed to happen implicitly? If not, mark it explicit.

### **Summary**

Operator overloading

• As a non-member function: @a \$\Leftrightarrow\$ operator@(a) , a @ b \$\Leftrightarrow\$ operator@(a, b)

- As a member function: @a \$\Leftrightarrow\$ a.operator@(), a @ b \$\Leftrightarrow\$ a.operator@(b)
  - The postfix ++ and are special: They have a special inti parameter to make them different from the prefix ones.
  - The arrow operator -> is special: Although it looks like a binary operator in ptr->mem , it is unary and involves special rules.
    - You don't need to understand the exact rules for ->.
- Avoid repetition.
- Avoid abuse of operator overloading.

# **Summary**

#### Type conversions

- Implicit vs explicit
- User-defined type conversions: either through a constructor or through a type conversion operator.
- To disable the implicit use of the user-defined type conversion: explicit
- Avoid abuse of type conversion operators.
- Conversion to bool has some special rules (contextual conversion).