CS100 Lecture 15

Constructors, Destructors, Copy Control

Contents

- Constructors and destructors
- Copy control

Constructors and destructors

Lifetime of an object

Lifetime of a local non-static object:

- Starts on initialization
- Ends when control flow goes out of its **scope**.

```
for (int i = 0; i != n; ++i) {
  do_something(i);
  // Lifetime of `s` begins.
  std::string s = some_string();
  do_something_else(s, i);
  /* end of lifetime of `s` */ }
```

Every time the loop body is executed, s undergoes initialization and destruction.

- [std::string] **owns** some resources (memory where the characters are stored).
- std::string must somehow release that resources (deallocate that memory) at the end of its lifetime.

Lifetime of an object

Lifetime of a global object:

- Starts on initialization (before the first statement of main)
- Ends when the program terminates.

Lifetime of a heap-based object:

- Starts on initialization: A new expression will do this, but malloc does not!
- Ends when it is destroyed: A delete expression will do this, but free does not!

\$\Rightarrow\$ new / delete expressions are in this week's recitation.

Constructors and Destructors

Take (std::string) as an example:

- Its initialization (done by its constructors) must allocate some memory for its content.
- When it is destroyed, it must *somehow* deallocate that memory.

Constructors and Destructors

Take std::string as an example:

- Its initialization (done by its constructors) must allocate some memory for its content.
- When it is destroyed, it must *somehow* deallocate that memory.

A destructor of a class is the function that is automatically called when an object of that class type is destroyed.

Constructors and Destructors

Syntax: ~ClassName() { /* ... */ }

```
struct A {
    A() {
        std::cout << 'c';
    }
    ~A() {
        std::cout << 'd';
    }
};</pre>
```

```
for (int i = 0; i != 3; ++i) {
   A a;
   // do something ...
}
```

Output:

cdcdcd

Destructor

Called automatically when the object is destroyed!

• How can we make use of this property?

Destructor

Called **automatically** when the object is destroyed!

• How can we make use of this property?

We often do some ${\it cleanup}$ in a destructor:

• If the object **owns some resources** (e.g. dynamic memory), destructors can be made use of to avoid leaking!

```
class A {
   SomeResourceHandle resource;

public:
   A(/* ... */) : resource(obtain_resource(/* ... */)) {}
   ~A() {
    release_resource(resource);
   }
};
```

Example: A dynamic array

Suppose we want to implement a "dynamic array":

- It looks like a VLA (variable-length array), but it is heap-based, which is safer.
- It should take good care of the memory it uses.

Expected usage:

Dynarray: members

- It should have a pointer that points to the memory, where elements are stored.
- It should remember its length.

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
};
```

m stands for member.

[Best practice] Make data members [private], to achieve good encapsulation.

Dynarray: constructors

- We want Dynarray a(n); to construct a Dynarray that contains n elements.
 - To avoid troubles, we want the elements to be value-initialized!
 - Value-initialization is like "empty-initialization" in C. (In this week's recitation.)
 - new int[n]{}: Allocate a block of heap memory that stores n int s, and value-initialize them.
- Do we need a default constructor?
 - Review: What is a default constructor?
 - The constructor with no parameters.
 - What should be the correct behavior of it?

Dynarray: constructors

- We want Dynarray a(n); to construct a Dynarray that contains n elements.
 - To avoid troubles, we want the elements to be value-initialized!
- Suppose we don't want a default constructor.

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
  public:
    Dynarray(std::size_t n) : m_storage(new int[n]{}), m_length(n) {}
};
```

If the class has a user-declared constructor, the compiler will not generate a default constructor.

Dynarray: constructors

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
public:
   Dynarray(std::size_t n) : m_storage(new int[n]{}), m_length(n) {}
};
```

Since Dynarray has a user-declared constructor, it does not have a default constructor:

```
Dynarray a; // Error.
```

Dynarray: destructor

- Remember: The destructor is (automatically) called when the object is "dead".
- The memory is obtained in the constructor, and released in the destructor.

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
public:
  Dynarray(std::size_t n)
    : m_storage(new int[n]{}), m_length(n) {}
  ~Dynarray() {
    delete[] m_storage; // Pay attention to `[]`!
  }
};
```

Dynarray: destructor

Is this correct?

Dynarray: destructor

Is this correct?

```
class Dynarray {
    // ...
    ~Dynarray() {
      if (m_length != 0)
          delete[] m_storage;
    }
};
```

NO! new [0] may also allocate some memory (implementation-defined, like malloc), which should also be deallocated.

Dynarray: destructor

Is this correct?

```
class Dynarray {
   // ...
   ~Dynarray() {
    delete[] m_storage;
    m_length = 0;
   }
};
```

Dynarray: destructor

Is this correct?

```
class Dynarray {
  // ...
  ~Dynarray() {
    delete[] m_storage;
    m_length = 0;
  }
};
```

Dynarray: some member functions

Design some useful member functions.

- A function to obtain its length (size).
- A function telling whether it is empty.

```
class Dynarray {
   // ...
public:
   std::size_t size() const {
    return m_length;
   }
   bool empty() const {
    return m_length == 0;
   }
};
```

Dynarray: some member functions

Design some useful member functions.

• A function returning **reference** to an element.

```
class Dynarray {
  // ...
public:
  int &at(std::size_t i) {
    return m_storage[i];
  }
  const int &at(std::size_t i) const {
    return m_storage[i];
  }
};
```

Why do we need this "const vs non-const" overloading? \$\Rightarrow\$ Learn it in recitations.

Dynarray: Usage

```
void print(const Dynarray &a) {
    for (std::size_t i = 0;
        i != a.size(); ++i)
        std::cout << a.at(i) << ' ';
    std::cout << std::endl;
}
void reverse(Dynarray &a) {
    for (std::size_t i = 0,
        j = a.size() - 1; i < j; ++i, --j)
        std::swap(a.at(i), a.at(j));
}</pre>
```

```
int main() {
  int n; std::cin >> n;
  Dynarray array(n);
  for (int i = 0; i != n; ++i)
    std::cin >> array.at(i);
  reverse(array);
  print(array);
  return 0;
  // Dtor of `array` is called here,
  // which deallocates the memory
}
```

Copy control

Copy-initialization

We can easily construct a std::string to be a copy of another:

```
std::string s1 = some_value();
std::string s2 = s1; // s2 is initialized to be a copy of s1
std::string s3(s1); // equivalent
std::string s4{s1}; // equivalent, but modern
```

Can we do this for our Dynarray?

Copy-initialization

Before we add anything, let's try what will happen:

```
Dynarray a(3);
a.at(0) = 2; a.at(1) = 3; a.at(2) = 5;
Dynarray b = a; // It compiles.
print(b); // 2 3 5
a.at(0) = 70;
print(b); // 70 3 5
```

Ooops! Although it compiles, the pointers a.m_storage and b.m_storage are pointing to the same address!

Copy-initialization

Before we add anything, let's try what will happen:

```
Dynarray a(3);
Dynarray b = a;
```

Although it compiles, the pointers $[a.m_storage]$ and $[b.m_storage]$ are pointing to the same address!

This will cause disaster: consider the case if b "dies" before a:

```
Dynarray a(3);
if (some_condition) {
   Dynarray b = a; // `a.m_storage` and `b.m_storage` point to the same memory!
   // ...
} // At this point, dtor of `b` is invoked, which deallocates the memory.
std::cout << a.at(0); // Invalid memory access!</pre>
```

Copy constructor

Let a be an object of type Type. The behaviors of **copy-initialization** (in one of the following forms)

```
Type b = a;
Type b(a);
Type b{a};
```

are determined by a constructor: ${\it the\ copy\ constructor}$.

• Note! The = in Type b = a; is not an assignment operator!

Copy constructor

The copy constructor of a class X has a parameter of type Const X & Const X

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray(const Dynarray &other);
};
```

• Logically, it should not modify the object being copied.

Why &?

• Avoid copying. Pass-by-value is actually copy-initialization of the parameter, which will cause infinite recursion here!

Dynarray: copy constructor

What should be the correct behavior of it?

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray(const Dynarray &other);
};
```

Dynarray: copy constructor

• We want a copy of the content of other.

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray(const Dynarray &other)
   : m_storage(new int[other.size()]{}), m_length(other.size()) {
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != other.size(); ++i)
        m_storage[i] = other.at(i);
   }
};
```

Now the copy-initialization of Dynarray does the correct thing:

- The new object allocates a new block of memory.
- The **contents** are copied, not just the address.

Synthesized copy constructor

If the class does not have a user-declared copy constructor, the compiler will try to synthesize one:

• The synthesized copy constructor will **copy-initialize** all the members, as if

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray(const Dynarray &other)
   : m_storage(other.m_storage), m_length(other.m_length) {}
};
```

• If the synthesized copy constructor does not behave as you expect, **define it on your own!**

Defaulted copy constructor

If the synthesized copy constructor behaves as we expect, we can explicitly require it:

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray(const Dynarray &) = default;
   // Explicitly defaulted: Explicitly requires the compiler to synthesize
   // a copy constructor, with default behavior.
};
```

Deleted copy constructor

What if we don't want a copy constructor?

```
class ComplicatedDevice {
  // some members
  // Suppose this class represents some complicated device,
  // for which there is no correct and suitable behavior for "copying".
};
```

Simply not defining the copy constructor does not work:

• The compiler will synthesize one for you.

Deleted copy constructor

What if we don't want a copy constructor?

```
class ComplicatedDevice {
   // some members
   // Suppose this class represents some complicated device,
   // for which there is no correct and suitable behavior for "copying".
   public:
        ComplicatedDevice(const ComplicatedDevice &) = delete;
};
```

By saying = delete, we define a **deleted** copy constructor:

```
ComplicatedDevice a = something();
ComplicatedDevice b = a; // Error: calling deleted function
```

Copy-assignment operator

Apart from copy-initialization, there is another form of copying:

```
std::string s1 = "hello", s2 = "world";
s1 = s2; // s1 becomes a copy of s2, representing "world"
```

In s1 = s2, = is the assignment operator.

is the assignment operator only when it is in an expression.

- s1 = s2 is an expression.
- std::string s1 = s2 is in a declaration statement, not an expression. = here is a part of the initialization syntax.

Dynarray: copy-assignment operator

The copy-assignent operator is defined in the form of operator overloading:

- a = b is equivalent to a.operator=(b).
- We will talk about more on operator overloading in a few weeks.

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray &operator=(const Dynarray &other);
};
```

- The function name is operator=.
- In consistent with built-in assignment operators, operator= returns reference to the left-hand side object (the object being assigned).
 - It is *this.

Dynarray: copy-assignment operator

We also want the copy-assignment operator to copy the contents, not only an address.

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray & operator=(const Dynarray & other) {
    m_storage = new int[other.size()];
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != other.size(); ++i)
        m_storage[i] = other.at(i);
   m_length = other.size();
   return *this;
   }
};
```

Is this correct?

Dynarray: copy-assignment operator

Avoid memory leaks! Deallocate the memory you don't use!

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray & operator=(const Dynarray & other) {
    delete[] m_storage; // !!!
   m_storage = new int[other.size()];
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != other.size(); ++i)
        m_storage[i] = other.at(i);
   m_length = other.size();
   return *this;
}
};
```

Is this correct?

Dynarray: copy-assignment operator

What if **self-assignment** happens?

Dynarray: copy-assignment operator

Assignment operators should be self-assignment-safe.

```
class Dynarray {
public:
   Dynarray &operator=(const Dynarray &other) {
    int *new_data = new int[other.size()];
    for (std::size_t i = 0; i != other.size(); ++i)
        new_data[i] = other.at(i);
    delete[] m_storage;
    m_storage = new_data;
    m_length = other.size();
    return *this;
}
};
```

This is self-assignment-safe. (Think about it.)

Synthesized, defaulted and deleted copy-assignment operator

Like the copy constructor:

- The copy-assignment operator can also be **deleted**, by declaring it as = delete; .
- If you don't define it, the compiler will generate one that copy-assigns all the members, as if it is defined as:

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray & operator=(const Dynarray & other) {
        m_storage = other.m_storage;
        m_length = other.m_length;
        return *this;
    }
};
```

• You can also require a synthesized one explicitly by saying = default;

[IMPORTANT] The rule of three: Reasoning

Among the copy constructor, the copy-assignment operator and the destructor:

- If a class needs a user-provided version of one of them, usually, it needs a user-provided version of each of them.
- · Why?

[IMPORTANT] The rule of three: Reasoning

Among the copy constructor, the copy-assignment operator and the destructor:

- If a class needs a user-provided version of one of them,
- usually, it is a class that manages some resources,
- for which the default behavior of the copy-control members does not suffice.
- Therefore, all of the three special functions need a user-provided version.
 - Define them in a correct, well-defined manner.
 - If a class should not be copy-constructible or copy-assignable, **delete that function**.

[IMPORTANT] The rule of three: Rules

Let \$S={\$ copy constructor \$,\$ copy assignment operator \$,\$ destructor \$}\$.

If for a class, \$\exists x,y\in S\$ such that

• \$x\$ is user-declared, and \$y\$ is not user-declared,

then the compiler should not generate \$y\$, according to the idea of "the rule of three".

[IMPORTANT] The rule of three: Rules

Let \$S={\$ copy constructor \$,\$ copy assignment operator \$,\$ destructor \$}\$.

If for a class, \$\exists x,y\in S\$ such that

• \$x\$ is user-declared, and \$y\$ is not user-declared,

then the compiler still generates \$y\$, but this behavior has been deprecated since C++11.

• This is a problem left over from history: At the time C++98 was adopted, the significance of the rule of three was not fully appreciated.

[IMPORTANT] The rule of three

Into modern C++: The Rule of Five.

• \$\Rightarrow\$ We will talk about it in later lectures.

Read Effective Modern C++ Item 17 for a thorough understanding of this.

Summary

Lifetime of an object:

- depends on its **storage**: local non-static, global, allocated, ...
- Initialization marks the beginning of the lifetime of an object.

- Classes can control the way of initialization using **constructors**.
- When the lifetime of an object ends, it is **destroyed**.
 - If it is an object of class type, its **destructor** is called right before it is destroyed.

Summary

Copy control

- Usually, the copy control members refer to the copy constructor, the copy assignment operator and the destructor.
- Copy constructor: ClassName(const ClassName &)
- Copy assignment operator: ClassName & Operator=(const ClassName &)
 - It needs to be **self-assignment safe**.
- Destructor: ~className()
- =default, =delete
- The rule of three.