```
In [9]: # Classical Thematic Correlator Experiment
        # Objective: Classify documents describing a thematic link using engineered features.
        import os
        import time
        import numpy as np
        from dotenv import load dotenv
        # --- Imports for this experiment ---
        from pymongo import MongoClient
        from sklearn.model selection import train test split
        from sklearn.linear model import SGDClassifier
        from sklearn.metrics import classification report, accuracy score
        # --- CONFIGURATION ---
        # Define the core concepts for our experiment
        IMMUNE_KEYWORDS = ["immune", "cytokines", "t-cells", "inflammation", "inflammatory"]
       NEURO_KEYWORDS = ["neuro", "alzheimer's", "parkinson's", "neurons", "neurodegenerative"]
        LINKING_WORDS = ["factor", "driven", "progression", "connect", "link", "cause", "pathway", "role"]
        RANDOM SEED = 1337
                   PART 1: DYNAMIC DATASET CREATION FROM MONGODB
          def discover training candidates(collection, limit per category=50) -> tuple[np.ndarray, np.ndarray]:
            """Queries MongoDB to find documents for our thematic link experiment."""
           print("\n--- Discovering training candidates from MongoDB ---")
            # Query for "Thematic Link" documents (Positive Examples)
            query link = {
                "$and": [
                   {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(IMMUNE_KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
                   {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(NEURO_KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
                   {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(LINKING_WORDS), "$options": "i"}}
            link_docs = list(collection.find(query_link).limit(limit_per_category))
           # Query for "Simple Co-occurrence" documents (Hard Negative Examples)
```

```
query co occurrence = {
        "$and": [
           {"content": {"$reqex": "|".join(IMMUNE KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
           {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(NEURO_KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
           {"content": {"$not": {"$regex": "|".join(LINKING WORDS), "$options": "i"}}}
   co occurrence docs = list(collection.find(query co occurrence).limit(limit per category))
   # Create the final dataset and labels
   documents = link docs + co occurrence docs
   labels = np.array([1] * len(link docs) + [0] * len(co occurrence docs))
   print(f"Discovered {len(documents)} total candidates: {len(link docs)} 'Link' (Label 1) and {len(co occurrence docs)} '
   return np.array(documents), labels
           PART 2: THE CLASSICAL LINK CLASSIFIER
class ClassicalLinkClassifier:
   def __init__(self, random_seed=RANDOM_SEED):
       self.model = SGDClassifier(loss='log loss', random state=random seed)
   def extract link features(self, document: dict) -> np.ndarray:
       """Extracts manually engineered features to detect a 'link'."""
       doc_text = (document.get("title", "") + " " + document.get("content", "")).lower()
       doc words = doc text.split()
       # Feature 1: Presence of linking words
       has linking words = 1.0 if any(word in doc words for word in LINKING WORDS) else 0.0
       # Feature 2 & 3: Presence of concept keywords
       immune present = 1.0 if any(word in doc words for word in IMMUNE KEYWORDS) else 0.0
       neuro present = 1.0 if any(word in doc words for word in NEURO KEYWORDS) else 0.0
        return np.array([has linking words, immune present, neuro present])
   def train(self, X train docs, y train):
       print("\n--- Training Classical Model with Engineered Features ---")
       X_train_features = np.array([self._extract_link_features(doc) for doc in X_train_docs])
       self.model.fit(X train features, y train)
       print("Training complete.")
```

```
def predict(self, X_test_docs):
       print("\n--- Evaluating Classical Model ---")
       X test features = np.array([self. extract link features(doc) for doc in X test docs])
       return self.model.predict(X test features)
           PART 3: MAIN EXPERIMENT EXECUTION
 if __name__ == "__main__":
   print(f"Starting Classical Thematic Correlator Experiment... (Timestamp: {time.time()}, Location: Bengaluru, India)")
    load dotenv()
   mongo uri = os.getenv("MONGO URI")
   db_name = os.getenv("MONGO DB")
   collection name = os.getenv("MONGO COLLECTION")
   if not all([mongo_uri, db_name, collection_name]):
        raise ValueError("MongoDB credentials not found in .env file.")
   mongo client = MongoClient(mongo uri)
   db = mongo client[db name]
   collection = db[collection name]
   print("MongoDB connection successful.")
   # 1. Create dataset from the database
   documents, labels = discover training candidates(collection, limit per category=50)
   if len(np.unique(labels)) < 2:</pre>
       print("Could not find enough documents for both classes. Experiment requires 'Link' and 'Co-occurrence' docs. Abort
   else:
       # 2. Split data for training and testing
       X_train_docs, X_test_docs, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
           documents, labels, test size=0.3, random state=RANDOM SEED, stratify=labels
       print(f"\nCreated dataset with {len(y train)} training samples and {len(y test)} testing samples.")
       # 3. Run Classical Experiment
       classical_model = ClassicalLinkClassifier()
       classical model.train(X train docs, y train)
       classical preds = classical model.predict(X test docs)
        classical accuracy = accuracy score(y test, classical preds)
       print("\n--- FINAL CLASSICAL MODEL RESULTS ---")
```

```
print(f"Accuracy (Engineered Features): {classical_accuracy:.2%}")
         print("\nClassification Report:")
         print(classification report(y test, classical preds))
     mongo client.close()
     print("\nMongoDB connection closed. Experiment finished.")
Starting Classical Thematic Correlator Experiment... (Timestamp: 1755755813.202131, Location: Bengaluru, India)
MongoDB connection successful.
--- Discovering training candidates from MongoDB ---
Discovered 77 total candidates: 50 'Link' (Label 1) and 27 'Co-occurrence' (Label 0).
Created dataset with 53 training samples and 24 testing samples.
--- Training Classical Model with Engineered Features ---
Training complete.
--- Evaluating Classical Model ---
--- FINAL CLASSICAL MODEL RESULTS ---
Accuracy (Engineered Features): 70.83%
Classification Report:
              precision
                           recall f1-score support
           0
                             0.12
                                       0.22
                                                    8
                   1.00
           1
                   0.70
                                       0.82
                             1.00
                                                   16
    accuracy
                                       0.71
                                                   24
                   0.85
                                       0.52
                                                   24
   macro avq
                             0.56
weighted avg
                   0.80
                             0.71
                                       0.62
                                                   24
```

MongoDB connection closed. Experiment finished.

```
In [21]: #
    # Quantum Thematic Correlator Experiment
    # Final Authoritative Version with Error Mitigation and Hardware Optimization
#
import os
import time
import numpy as np
```

file:///Users/user/Downloads/thematic\_correlator.html 4/15

```
from dotenv import load dotenv
# --- Data and NLP Imports ---
from pymongo import MongoClient
from sentence transformers import SentenceTransformer
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.metrics import classification report, accuracy score
from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine similarity
# --- Modern Oiskit Imports for the Open Plan ---
from giskit ibm runtime import QiskitRuntimeService, SamplerV2 as Sampler
# --- CORRECTED IMPORT: Import the specific options class for clarity and validation ---
from giskit ibm runtime.options import SamplerOptions
from giskit.circuit.library import ZZFeatureMap, RealAmplitudes
from giskit.circuit import QuantumCircuit
from giskit algorithms.optimizers import COBYLA
from giskit algorithms.utils import algorithm globals
from giskit.compiler import transpile
# --- CONFIGURATION ---
algorithm globals random seed = 1337
IMMUNE_KEYWORDS = ["immune", "cytokines", "t-cells", "inflammation", "inflammatory"]
NEURO_KEYWORDS = ["neuro", "alzheimer's", "parkinson's", "neurons", "neurodegenerative"]
LINKING_WORDS = ["factor", "driven", "progression", "connect", "link", "cause", "pathway", "role"]
def discover training candidates(collection, limit per category=50) -> tuple[np.ndarray, np.ndarray]:
    """Queries MongoDB to find documents for our thematic link experiment."""
    print("\n--- Discovering training candidates from MongoDB ---")
    query link = {
        "$and": [
            {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(IMMUNE_KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
            {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(NEURO_KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
            {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(LINKING WORDS), "$options": "i"}}
    link docs = list(collection.find(query link).limit(limit per category))
    query co occurrence = {
        "$and": [
            {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(IMMUNE_KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
            {"content": {"$regex": "|".join(NEURO KEYWORDS), "$options": "i"}},
            {"content": {"$not": {"$regex": "|".join(LINKING WORDS), "$options": "i"}}}
```

```
co_occurrence_docs = list(collection.find(query_co_occurrence).limit(limit_per_category))
   documents = link docs + co occurrence docs
   labels = np.array([1] * len(link docs) + [0] * len(co occurrence docs))
   print(f"Discovered {len(documents)} total candidates: {len(link docs)} 'Link' (Label 1) and {len(co occurrence docs)} '(
    return np.array(documents), labels
class OuantumLinkClassifier:
   A quantum classifier refactored to use the manual, session-less pattern
    required for the IBM Quantum Open Plan. Includes hardware-aware optimizations.
   def __init__(self, service, backend_name="ibm_brisbane"):
       print(f"\n--- Initializing Quantum Link Classifier for '{backend_name}' ---")
       # --- 1. Initialize Primitives and Backend ---
        self.backend name = backend name
       self.shots = 4096
       backend_object = service.backend(self.backend_name)
       # --- CORRECTED: Initialize the SamplerOptions class ---
       # This provides a structured way to set options and avoids validation errors.
       options = SamplerOptions()
       # --- NOTE: SamplerV2 does NOT support the 'resilience level' option. ---
       # This feature is specific to EstimatorV2 for mitigating errors in expectation values.
       # When using SamplerV2, the backend may still apply some default level of readout
       # error correction, but the advanced mitigation techniques controlled by
       # resilience level are not available. The code block attempting to set this
       # has been removed to fix the error.
       if not backend object.configuration().simulator:
            print("Real hardware detected. The backend will apply its default error correction.")
       print("Initializing Sampler with V2 options...")
       # Pass the structured options object to the Sampler
       self.sampler = Sampler(mode=backend object, options=options)
       print("Sampler initialized successfully.")
       # --- 2. Create and Transpile the Quantum Circuit ---
        self.feature dim = 2
```

```
feature map = ZZFeatureMap(feature dimension=self.feature dim, reps=2)
    # --- STRATEGY: Simplify the Ansatz for Noise Resilience ---
   print("Using a noise-resilient ansatz with reps=2.")
    self.ansatz = RealAmplitudes(num qubits=self.feature dim, reps=2)
    pgc = QuantumCircuit(self.feature dim)
    pgc.compose(feature map, inplace=True)
    pgc.compose(self.ansatz, inplace=True)
    pgc.measure all(inplace=True)
    print("Abstract POC created. Transpiling for hardware compatibility...")
    # --- STRATEGY: Use optimization level=1 for hardware to prioritize noise resilience over aggressive gate reduction
    self.isa_pgc = transpile(pgc, backend=backend_object, optimization level=1)
    print("Transpilation complete.")
    # --- 3. Classical Components ---
    self.embedding model = SentenceTransformer('all-MiniLM-L6-v2')
    self.concept_A_embedding = self.embedding_model.encode(["immune system response inflammation"])
    self.concept B embedding = self.embedding model.encode(["neurodegenerative disease alzheimer's parkinson's"])
    self.optimal weights = None
def _extract_semantic_features(self, document: dict) -> np.ndarray:
    doc_text = document.get("title", "") + " " + document.get("content", "")
    if not doc text.strip(): return np.array([0.0, 0.0])
    doc embedding = self.embedding model.encode([doc text])
    sim A = cosine similarity(doc embedding, self.concept A embedding)[0][0]
    sim B = cosine similarity(doc embedding, self.concept B embedding)[0][0]
    return np.array([sim_A, sim_B])
def train(self, X train docs, y train, maxiter=5):
    print(f"\n--- Starting Manual Training ({maxiter} optimizer iterations) ---")
   X train features = np.array([self. extract semantic features(doc) for doc in X train docs])
    optimizer = COBYLA(maxiter=maxiter)
    iteration count = 0
    def objective function(weights):
        nonlocal iteration count
        iteration count += 1
        print(f"\n--- Optimizer Iteration: {iteration count}/{maxiter} ---")
        # A PUB (Primitive Unified Bloc) is a tuple of (circuit, parameter values)
        pubs = [(self.isa pqc, np.concatenate((x i, weights))) for x i in X train features]
```

```
print(f"Submitting job with {len(pubs)} PUBs...")
        # For SamplerV2, shots is an argument to the run() method.
        job = self.sampler.run(pubs, shots=self.shots)
        print(f"Job submitted with ID: {job.job id()}. Waiting for results...")
        result = job.result()
        print("Results received.")
        total loss = 0
        for i, y true in enumerate(y train):
            pub result = result[i]
            # Access measurement outcomes via the 'meas' data field
            outcomes = pub_result.data.meas.array
            # Calculate probability of '1' state (assuming standard Z measurement on the first qubit)
            prob 1 = np.mean(outcomes % 2)
            total loss += (prob 1 - v true)**2
        avg_loss = total_loss / len(y_train)
        print(f" Avg. Loss for Iteration {iteration_count}: {avg loss:.4f}")
        return avg loss
    initial_weights = np.random.uniform(0, 2 * np.pi, self.ansatz.num_parameters)
    opt_result = optimizer.minimize(objective_function, initial_weights)
    self.optimal weights = opt result.x
    print("\n--- Training Complete ---")
def predict(self, X test docs):
    print("\n--- Evaluating Quantum Model ---")
    if self.optimal weights is None:
        raise RuntimeError("Model must be trained first.")
   X test features = np.array([self._extract_semantic_features(doc) for doc in X_test_docs])
   pubs = [(self.isa pgc, np.concatenate((x i, self.optimal weights))) for x i in X test features]
    print(f"Submitting prediction job with {len(pubs)} PUBs...")
   # For SamplerV2, shots is an argument to the run() method.
    job = self.sampler.run(pubs, shots=self.shots)
    print(f"Job submitted with ID: {job.job id()}. Waiting for results...")
    result = job.result()
    print("Prediction results received.")
    predictions = []
    for pub result in result:
```

```
outcomes = pub result.data.meas.array
            prob 1 = np.mean(outcomes % 2)
            predictions.append(1 if prob 1 > 0.5 else 0)
        return np.array(predictions)
if __name__ == "__main__":
    print(f"Starting Quantum Thematic Correlator Experiment... (Timestamp: {time.time()}, Location: Bengaluru, India)")
    load dotenv()
   # --- EXPERIMENT CONFIGURATION ---
   # 1. To get a NOISELESS BASELINE, use "ibm_gasm_simulator" and a high maxiter.
   # 2. To run on HARDWARE, use a real backend like "ibm brisbane" and a low maxiter.
    BACKEND NAME = "ibm brisbane"
   if "simulator" in BACKEND NAME:
        MAX ITERATIONS = 50 # More iterations for the fast, ideal simulator
    else:
        MAX ITERATIONS = 5 # Fewer iterations for the slower hardware queue
   # --- Service Initialization ---
   # The 'instance' is a specific project group. 'ibm-q/open/main' is the standard for the open plan.
   # NOTE: The token below is a placeholder and has been kept as-is from the original script.
   # In a real scenario, this should be loaded securely, e.g., from environment variables.
    instance name = "ibm quantum" # A common instance for open plan users
    service = QiskitRuntimeService(
        channel='ibm_quantum_platform',
        token=YOUR_IBM_KEY,
        instance=instance_name
   # --- CORRECTED: Use the variable holding the instance name for the print statement ---
   print(f"Service initialized for instance '{instance name}'.")
   # --- MongoDB Connection ---
   # Make sure your .env file has MONGO_URI, MONGO_DB, and MONGO_COLLECTION set
   mongo client = MongoClient(os.getenv("MONGO URI"))
    db = mongo client[os.getenv("MONGO DB")]
    collection = db[os.getenv("MONGO COLLECTION")]
    print("MongoDB connection successful.")
    documents, labels = discover training candidates(collection, limit per category=50)
```

```
if len(np.unique(labels)) < 2:</pre>
    print("Could not find enough docs for both classes. Aborting.")
else:
   X_train_docs, X_test_docs, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
        documents, labels, test size=0.3, random state=1337, stratify=labels
    print(f"\nCreated dataset with {len(y_train)} training samples and {len(y_test)} testing samples.")
    # --- Run Quantum Experiment ---
    quantum model = QuantumLinkClassifier(service=service, backend_name=BACKEND_NAME)
    quantum_model.train(X_train_docs, y_train, maxiter=MAX_ITERATIONS)
    quantum_preds = quantum_model.predict(X_test_docs)
    print("\n--- FINAL QUANTUM MODEL RESULTS ---")
    print(f"Backend: {BACKEND_NAME}")
    print(f"Accuracy (Simple Semantic Features): {accuracy_score(y_test, quantum_preds):.2%}")
    print("\nClassification Report:")
    print(classification_report(y_test, quantum_preds))
mongo client.close()
print("\nMongoDB connection closed. Experiment finished.")
```

```
Starting Quantum Thematic Correlator Experiment... (Timestamp: 1755761709.2004569, Location: Bengaluru, India)
Service initialized for instance 'ibm quantum'.
MongoDB connection successful.
--- Discovering training candidates from MongoDB ---
Discovered 77 total candidates: 50 'Link' (Label 1) and 27 'Co-occurrence' (Label 0).
Created dataset with 53 training samples and 24 testing samples.
--- Initializing Quantum Link Classifier for 'ibm brisbane' ---
Real hardware detected. The backend will apply its default error correction.
Initializing Sampler with V2 options...
Sampler initialized successfully.
Using a noise-resilient ansatz with reps=2.
Abstract POC created. Transpiling for hardware compatibility...
Transpilation complete.
--- Starting Manual Training (5 optimizer iterations) ---
--- Optimizer Iteration: 1/5 ---
Submitting job with 53 PUBs...
Job submitted with ID: d2jcoffa6cjs73f8ugk0. Waiting for results...
Results received.
  Avg. Loss for Iteration 1: 0.3290
--- Optimizer Iteration: 2/5 ---
Submitting job with 53 PUBs...
Job submitted with ID: d2jcp0sg59ks73c3k510. Waiting for results...
Results received.
  Avg. Loss for Iteration 2: 0.3288
--- Optimizer Iteration: 3/5 ---
Submitting job with 53 PUBs...
Job submitted with ID: d2jcpi8hsqmc73b33agq. Waiting for results...
Results received.
  Avg. Loss for Iteration 3: 0.2893
--- Optimizer Iteration: 4/5 ---
Submitting job with 53 PUBs...
Job submitted with ID: d2jcq3uhb60s73cruoeq. Waiting for results...
Results received.
  Avg. Loss for Iteration 4: 0.3684
```

file:///Users/user/Downloads/thematic\_correlator.html

```
--- Optimizer Iteration: 5/5 ---
Submitting job with 53 PUBs...
Job submitted with ID: d2jcglqhsqmc73b33bq0. Waiting for results...
Results received.
  Avg. Loss for Iteration 5: 0.2824
--- Training Complete ---
--- Evaluating Quantum Model ---
Submitting prediction job with 24 PUBs...
Job submitted with ID: d2jcr8kq59ks73c3k72q. Waiting for results...
Prediction results received.
--- FINAL QUANTUM MODEL RESULTS ---
Backend: ibm brisbane
Accuracy (Simple Semantic Features): 62.50%
Classification Report:
              precision
                           recall f1-score support
           0
                   0.00
                             0.00
                                       0.00
                                       0.77
           1
                   0.65
                             0.94
                                                   16
    accuracy
                                       0.62
                                                   24
```

0.47

0.62

MongoDB connection closed. Experiment finished.

0.33

0.43

In [23]: """Experiment Report: A Comparison of Classical and Quantum Thematic Correlators

0.38

0.51

Date: Thursday, August 21, 2025

Location: Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Author: Anirudh R

macro avq

weighted avg

Project Status: Complete

# Executive Summary

This report details the results of a head-to-head experiment comparing a classical machine learning model with engineered features against a Variational Quantum Classifier (VQC) with simple semantic features. Both models were tasked with identifying documents that describe a thematic link between two concepts ("Immune System" and "Neurodegenerative Disease"). The dataset was dynamically generated from a MongoDB database, resulting in an imbalanced set of 77 documents.

24

24

The results show that the classical model achieved a significantly higher accuracy (70.83%) compared to the quantum model (62.50%). Analysis of the classification reports reveals that both models struggled with the imbalanced dataset, but the classical model learned a useful, albeit biased, decision boundary. The quantum model, running on the ibm\_brisbane hardware, failed to learn a meaningful pattern and resorted to predicting the majority class. The primary conclusion is that for this task, the manually engineered features provided a much stronger signal than the quantum feature map was able to extract from simple semantic inputs.

## Experiment Objective

The goal was to test if a quantum re-ranker, using simple semantic features, could outperform a classical one that required complex, manual feature engineering. The task was to classify documents as either describing a thematic link (Label 1) or merely containing a co-occurrence of keywords (Label 0).

## 2. Methodology

Dataset Discovery: A dataset was dynamically created by querying a MongoDB database. This process discovered 77 total candidate documents.

50 documents were classified as "Link" (Label 1).

27 documents were classified as "Co-occurrence" (Label 0).

Dataset Split: The 77 documents were split into a training set with 53 samples and a testing set with 24 samples.

Classical Model: This model used manually engineered features to make its predictions.

Quantum Model: This model used simple semantic features. It was executed on the ibm\_brisbane quantum processor. The model was trained for 5 optimizer iterations, and the training log showed a fluctuating loss that started at 0.3290 and ended at 0.2824.

### 3. Results

The performance of both models on the 24-sample test set is detailed below.

Metric Class Classical Model (Engineered Features) Quantum Model (Simple Semantic Features) Accuracy Overall 70.83% 62.50% Precision 0 (Irrelevant) 1.00 0.00 1 (Relevant) 0.70 0.65 Recall 0 (Irrelevant) 0.12 0.00 1 (Relevant) 0.94 1.00 F1-Score 0 (Irrelevant) 0.22 0.00 0.82 1 (Relevant) 0.77 Support 0 (Irrelevant) 8 samples 8 samples 1 (Relevant) 16 samples 16 samples

4. Analysis and Interpretation

Classical Model Performance: The classical model achieved a respectable accuracy of 70.83%. However, its performance was highly skewed. It successfully identified 100% of the relevant "Link" documents (recall=1.00). To do this, it misclassified most of the irrelevant documents, only correctly identifying 12% of them (recall=0.12). This indicates the model learned a strong bias to predict the majority class (Label 1).

Quantum Model Performance: The quantum model's accuracy was lower at 62.50%. Its classification report shows a complete failure to identify any irrelevant documents, with precision and recall scores of 0.00 for Class 0. This performance is statistically consistent with a strategy of simply guessing the majority class (Label 1) for every sample, which would yield an accuracy of 16/24, or 66.7%. The model failed to learn a useful decision boundary from the simple semantic features when faced with hardware noise and an imbalanced dataset.

### 5. Conclusion

The classical model with manually engineered features was the clear winner in this experiment. The key insight from this result is that the quality and informational content of the features were the deciding factor. The sophisticated, human—guided features used by the classical model provided a much stronger learning signal than the quantum feature map was able to extract from simple semantic similarity scores. This result underscores the significant challenge of automated feature extraction in the NISO era and highlights the effectiveness of well-designed classical approaches."""

Out[23]: 'Experiment Report: A Comparison of Classical and Quantum Thematic Correlators\nDate: Thursday, August 21, 2025\nLocation: Bengaluru, Karnataka, India\nAuthor: Anirudh R\nProject Status: Complete\n\nExecutive Summary\nThis report details the resu lts of a head-to-head experiment comparing a classical machine learning\nmodel with engineered features against a Variation al Quantum Classifier (VQC) with simple semantic features.\nBoth models were tasked with identifying documents that describ e a thematic link between two concepts\n("Immune System" and "Neurodegenerative Disease"). The dataset was dynamically gene rated from a MongoDB\ndatabase, resulting in an imbalanced set of 77 documents.\n\nThe results show that the classical mode l achieved a significantly higher accuracy (70.83%) compared to\nthe guantum model (62.50%). Analysis of the classification reports reveals that both models struggled with\nthe imbalanced dataset, but the classical model learned a useful, albeit b iased, decision boundary. The\nquantum model, running on the ibm brisbane hardware, failed to learn a meaningful pattern an d resorted to\npredicting the majority class. The primary conclusion is that for this task, the manually engineered feature s\nprovided a much stronger signal than the quantum feature map was able to extract from simple semantic inputs.\n\n1. Expe riment Objective\nThe goal was to test if a quantum re-ranker, using simple semantic features, could outperform a classical one\nthat required complex, manual feature engineering. The task was to classify documents as either describing a\nthematic link (Label 1) or merely containing a co-occurrence of keywords (Label 0).\n\n2. Methodology\nDataset Discovery: A dataset was dynamically created by querying a MongoDB database. This process discovered\n77 total candidate documents.\n\n50 docume nts were classified as "Link" (Label 1).\n\n27 documents were classified as "Co-occurrence" (Label 0).\n\nDataset Split: Th e 77 documents were split into a training set with 53 samples and a testing set with 24 samples.\n\nClassical Model: This m odel used manually engineered features to make its predictions.\n\nQuantum Model: This model used simple semantic features. It was executed on the ibm brisbane quantum processor.\nThe model was trained for 5 optimizer iterations, and the training log showed a fluctuating loss that started\nat 0.3290 and ended at 0.2824.\n\n3. Results\nThe performance of both models on the 24-sample test set is detailed below.\n\nMetric\tClass\tClassical Model (Engineered Features)\tQuantum Model (Simple Se mantic Features)\nAccuracv\t0verall\t70.83%\t62.50%\nPrecision\t0 (Irrelevant)\t1.00\t0.00\n1 (Relevant)\t0.70\t0.65\nRecal l\t0 (Irrelevant)\t0.12\t0.00\n1 (Relevant)\t1.00\t0.94\nF1-Score\t0 (Irrelevant)\t0.22\t0.00\n1 (Relevant)\t0.82\t0.77\nSu pport\t0 (Irrelevant)\t8 samples\t8 samples\n1 (Relevant)\t16 samples\t16 samples\n\n4. Analysis and Interpretation\nClassi cal Model Performance: The classical model achieved a respectable accuracy of 70.83%. However, its performance\nwas highly skewed. It successfully identified 100% of the relevant "Link" documents (recall=1.00). To do this, it\nmisclassified most of the irrelevant documents, only correctly identifying 12% of them (recall=0.12). This indicates\nthe model learned a stro ng bias to predict the majority class (Label 1).\n\nQuantum Model Performance: The quantum model\'s accuracy was lower at 6 2.50%. Its classification report shows a complete\nfailure to identify any irrelevant documents, with precision and recall scores of 0.00 for Class 0. This performance is\nstatistically consistent with a strategy of simply quessing the majority c lass (Label 1) for every sample, which would\nyield an accuracy of 16/24, or 66.7%. The model failed to learn a useful deci sion boundary from the simple semantic\nfeatures when faced with hardware noise and an imbalanced dataset.\n\n5. Conclusion \nThe classical model with manually engineered features was the clear winner in this experiment. The key insight from this \nresult is that the quality and informational content of the features were the deciding factor. The sophisticated,\nhumanquided features used by the classical model provided a much stronger learning signal than the quantum feature map\nwas able to extract from simple semantic similarity scores. This result underscores the significant challenge of automated\nfeature extraction in the NISQ era and highlights the effectiveness of well-designed classical approaches.'

In [ ]: