

Modal Logic

Solutions to Exercise Set 07

A constant domain **K** model is a constant domain first-order modal model in which the accessibility relation has no particular restrictions.

Which of the following sentences are valid in all constant domain **K** models, and which are not valid? In the former case, give a proof that the sentence is valid in all constant domain **K** models; in the latter, either construct an explicit countermodel or derive a contradiction from the assumption that it is valid in all such models.

1. $((\exists x)\Diamond P(x) \wedge \Box(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \rightarrow (\exists x)\Diamond Q(x)$.

Solution: Let M be a **K** model and let u be a world of M and v a valuation in M . Suppose $u \Vdash_v ((\exists x)\Diamond P(x) \wedge \Box(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)))$. There is an x -variant v' of v and z such that uRz where $z \Vdash_{v'} P(x)$. $z \Vdash_{v'} P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ by the definition of \Vdash and our initial assumption, so $z \Vdash_{v'} Q(x)$. $u \Vdash_{v'} \Diamond Q(x)$, and since v' is an x -variant of v , $u \Vdash_v (\exists x)\Diamond Q(x)$.

2. $(\forall x)\Box P(x) \rightarrow \Box(\forall x)P(x)$.

Solution: Let M be a **K** model and let u be a world of M and v a valuation in M . Suppose $u \Vdash_v (\forall x)\Box P(x)$, so $z \Vdash_{v'} P(x)$ for any x -variant v' of v and any z such that uRz . But then $z \Vdash_v (\forall x)P(x)$ by the definition of \Vdash , and since z was arbitrary, $u \Vdash_v \Box(\forall x)P(x)$.

3. $\Box(\forall x)P(x) \rightarrow (\forall x)\Box P(x)$.

Solution: Let M be a **K** model and let u be a world of M and v a valuation in M . Suppose $u \Vdash_v \Box(\forall x)P(x)$. Let v' be an x -variant of v and let z be such that uRz . $z \Vdash_v (\forall x)P(x)$, so by the definition of \Vdash , $z \Vdash_{v'} P(x)$. By the definition of \Vdash again, $u \Vdash_{v'} \Box P(x)$ and thus $u \Vdash_v (\forall x)\Box P(x)$, since v' and z were arbitrary.

4. $(\exists x)\Box P(x) \rightarrow \Box(\exists x)P(x)$.

Solution: Let M be a **K** model and let u be a world of M and v a valuation in M . Suppose $u \Vdash_v (\exists x)\Box P(x)$. By the definition of \Vdash , let v' be an x -variant of v such that $u \Vdash_{v'} \Box P(x)$. Let z be an arbitrary world such that uRz , so by the definition of \Vdash , $z \Vdash_{v'} P(x)$. Since v' is an x -variant of v , it follows that $z \Vdash_v (\exists x)P(x)$. But since z was arbitrary, $u \Vdash_v \Box(\exists x)P(x)$.

5. $\Box(\exists x)P(x) \rightarrow (\exists x)\Box P(x)$.

Solution: We fix the following **K** model M : let $W = \{a, b, c\}$ and $R = \{\langle a, b \rangle, \langle a, c \rangle\}$, $D = \{1, 2\}$, and $I(P, a) = \emptyset, I(P, b) = \{1\}, I(P, c) = \{2\}$. Let v be any valuation, and let v_b take the values of v except that $v_b(x) = 1$. Then $b \Vdash_{v_b} P(x)$, and moreover, $b \Vdash_v (\exists x)P(x)$ since v_b is an x -variant of v by construction. By a similar argument, $c \Vdash_v (\exists x)P(x)$, and since these are the only worlds accessible from a , $a \Vdash_v \Box(\exists x)P(x)$.

Now, suppose that $a \Vdash_v (\exists x)\Box P(x)$. Then there would be an x -variant v' of v such that $b \Vdash_{v'} P(x)$ and $c \Vdash_{v'} P(x)$. By the definition of \Vdash , $v'(x) \in I(P, b)$ and $v'(x) \in I(P, c)$. But $I(P, b) \cap I(P, c) = \emptyset$ by construction. Contradiction.

6. $(\exists x)\Diamond(\Box P(x) \rightarrow (\forall x)\Box P(x)).$

Solution: This sentence is false in any one-world model of the form $M = \langle W, R, D, I \rangle$ where $W = \{w\}$ and $R = \emptyset$.

7. $(\exists x)\Diamond(P(x) \rightarrow (\forall x)\Box P(x)).$

Solution: This sentence is false in any one-world model of the form $M = \langle W, R, D, I \rangle$ where $W = \{w\}$ and $R = \emptyset$.

8. $(\exists x)(\forall y)\Box R(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall y)\Box(\exists x)R(x, y).$

Solution: Let M be a **K** model and let u be a world of M and v a valuation in M . Suppose $u \Vdash_v (\exists x)(\forall y)\Box R(x, y)$. Fixing an appropriate x -variant v_1 , we have that for any y -variant v_2 of v_1 and for any world z such that uRz , $z \Vdash_{v_2} R(x, y)$, and hence $z \Vdash_{v_2} (\exists x)R(x, y)$. Since z was arbitrary, we have that $u \Vdash_{v_2} \Box(\exists x)R(x, y)$, and since v_2 was an arbitrary y -variant of v_1 , $u \Vdash_{v_1} \forall y\Box(\exists x)R(x, y)$. Finally since $\forall y\Box(\exists x)R(x, y)$ is a sentence, we have that $u \Vdash_v (\forall y)\Box(\exists x)R(x, y)$, i.e. $u \Vdash \forall y\Box(\exists x)R(x, y)$.