

Verification and Validation Report: Software Engineering

Team #2, Campus Connections

Waseef Nayeem

Zihao Du

Matthew Miller

Firas Elayan

Abhiram Neelamraju

Michael Kim

April 3, 2024

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Mar 4	1.0	Add functional requirements evaluation
Mar 6	1.0	Add non-functional requirements evaluation
Mar 6	1.0	Load test, Usability test, unit test results
Mar 6	1.0	Add Automated test, non-dynamic test result and changes due to testing
Apr 2	1.1	Resolve team 11 feedback
Apr 3	1.1	Resolve TA feedback about functional and non functional tests

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
APK	Android Application Package
AR	Augmented reality
Azure	A cloud computing platform run by Microsoft
CI	Continuous integration
JHE	John Hodgins Engineering Building
JMeter	Load testing tool for analyzing and measuring the performance
NUnit	An open-source unit testing framework for the .NET Framework and Mono
OpenCover	An open source code coverage tool
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
TA	Teaching Assistant
UI	User Interface
UTF	Unity Test Framework
VnV	Verification and Validation
XML	Extensible Markup Language

Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
3	Functional Requirements Evaluation	1
3.1	Pre-Registration Settings	1
3.2	User Account	2
3.3	Social Networking System	6
3.4	Lectures and Events	9
3.5	AR Camera	18
3.6	Map and Location	19
4	Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation	19
4.1	Look and feel	20
4.2	Usability and Humanity	21
4.3	Performance	22
4.4	Operational and Environmental	29
4.5	Maintainability and Support	29
4.6	Security	30
4.7	Privacy	31
4.8	Culture	32
4.9	Compliance	32
5	Usability Survey Result	33
5.1	Tasks:	33
5.2	Rating	34
5.3	Open-ended Question	35
6	Load Test	36
7	Non dynamic Tests Result	38
8	Comparison to Existing Implementation	40
9	Unit Testing	40
9.1	User	41
9.2	Event	43

9.3	Lecture	44
9.4	Pagination	45
9.5	Authentication	50
9.6	Database	51
10	Changes Due to Testing	52
10.1	Changes due to Rev 0 demo feedback	52
10.2	Changes due to supervisor’s feedback	52
10.3	Changes due to usability test result	52
10.4	Changes due to load test result	53
10.5	Changes due to failing tests	53
10.6	Changes due to user enumeration vulnerability	53
11	Automated Testing	54
11.1	Testing Instruments	54
11.2	Linters	54
12	Trace to Requirements	54
13	Trace to Modules	55
14	Code Coverage Metrics	55
14.1	Symbolic Parameters	56
14.2	Reflection	56

List of Tables

1	Load Testing Results	37
2	Nondynamic Test Result	39
3	Nondynamic Test Result Cont	40
4	Symbolic Parameter Table	56

List of Figures

1	Requests vs. Response Time	37
---	--------------------------------------	----

This document describes the test results of the verification and validation (VnV) plan for CampusConnections. The VnV plan was continuously updated as the project evolved. The following document records the results of the current version of the VnV plan. It provides results of functional and non-functional requirements tests, unit tests, changes that will be implemented in the system as a result of the tests, and various traceability tables.

3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

The following section outlines the results of functional requirements testing. The process and test performed follow the [VnV Plan](#). To summarize, all the tests are tested manually and passed, indicating that all the functional requirements in the Software Requirements Specification ([SRS](#)) document are covered.

3.1 Pre-Registration Settings

This section covers all tests related to functional requirements about pre-registration settings.

1. FRT-PR1

Name: Agree To Consent Form

Initial State: The user does not have an account, and they starts to register an account. A consent form appears asking for access to the device and permission to collect user data

Input: The user agrees to all the terms and conditions and clicks ‘Agree’ and continues to complete the registration process

Expected Output: A notification shows the registration succeeds and the user is redirected to the login screen

Actual Output: A notification shows the registration succeeds and the user is redirected to the login screen

Results: Pass

2. FRT-PR2

Name: Disagree To Consent Form

Initial State: The user does not have an account, and they starts to register an account. A consent form appears asking for access to the device and permission to collect user data

Input: The user rejects the terms and conditions and clicks ‘Disagree’ and continues to complete the registration process

Expected Output: The registration fails and a warning will show up notifying the user that they cannot create an account unless they agree to the consent form

Actual Output: The registration fails and a warning will show up notifying the user that they cannot create an account unless they agree to the consent form

Results: Pass

3.2 User Account

This section covers all tests related to functional requirements about the account and user profile.

1. FRT-UA1

Name: Successful Account Creation

Initial State: The user does not have an account and is not logged in to the application

Input: All information needed to create an account:

- Email: testUA1@gmail.com
- password: FRT-UA1
- nickname: UA1

Expected Output: An Account with corresponding information is created in the database with the account initialized to INITIAL_USER_STATE

Actual Output: An Account is created in the database with the following attributes and other attributes are initialized to INITIAL_USER_STATE:

- Email: testUA1@gmail.com
- password: FRT-UA1

- nickname: UA1

Results: Pass

2. FRT-UA2

Name: Unsuccessful Account Creation With Existing Email

Initial State: The user does not have an account and is not logged in to the application

Input: All information needed to create an account:

- Email: qtest@gmail.com (this is an existing test account)
- password: FRT-UA1
- nickname: UA1

Expected Output: Account creation fails with a warning telling the user the email has already been used

Actual Output: Account creation fails with a warning telling the user the email has already been used

Results: Pass

3. FRT-UA3

Name: Successful Account Login

Initial State: The user has an account and is not logged in to the application

Input: All information needed to login:

- Email: FRT-UA3@test.com (this account exists in the system already)
- password: FRT-UA3

Expected Output: User successfully logs into the application and goes to the menu page

Actual Output: User successfully logs into the application and goes to the menu page

Results: Pass

4. FRT-UA4

Name: Unsuccessful Account Login With Wrong Password

Initial State: The user has an account and is not logged in to the application

Input: All information needed to login:

- Email: FRT-UA3@test.com (this account exists in the system already)
- password: FRT321 (wrong password)

Expected Output: Login fails with a warning telling the user the password is wrong

Actual Output: Login fails with a warning telling the user the password is wrong

Results: Pass

5. FRT-UA5

Name: Account Deletion

Initial State: The user has an account and is logged into the application

- Email: FRT-UA5@gmail.com (this is an existing test account)
- password: FRT-UA5
- nickname: UA5

Input: User clicks on the delete account button on the profile page and confirms the deletion

Expected Output: The user is redirected to the login page and the account cannot be logged in any more

Actual Output: The user is redirected to the login page and the account FRT-UA5@gmail.com cannot be logged in any more

Results: Pass

6. FRT-UA6

Name: Reset Password

Initial State: The user has an account:

- Email: campusconnections@gmail.com (this is an existing test account)
- password: qtesting

Input: Email address and new password

- new password: QTesting

Expected Output: Password is successfully reset

Actual Output: Password is successfully reset to be QTesting

Results: Pass

7. FRT-UA7

Name: Avatar Creation and Modification

Initial State: The user has an account with DEFAULT_AVATAR

Input: URI represents the new avatar:

- URI: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/2/2f/Google_2015_logo.svg

Expected Output: The user changes the avatar to a Google logo

Actual Output: The user changes the avatar to a Google logo

Results: Pass

8. FRT-UA8

Name: Email Verification

Initial State: The user has an account whose email has not been verified yet

- Email: fuz15@mcmaster.ca (this is an existing test account)
- password: password

Input: User clicks on 'Verify Email' button on user profile page and follows instructions on the email sent from the system

Expected Output: That email above is verified as a valid email address

Actual Output: fuz15@mcmaster.ca is verified as a valid email address in the system

Results: Pass

9. FRT-UA9

Name: Edit Profile

Initial State: The user has an account

- Email: qtest@gmail.com (this is an existing test account)
- password: qtesting
- program: Software Engineering

Input: New Profile:

- newProgram: Computer Science
- newLevel: 4

Expected Output: The program and level are updated

Actual Output: The program and level are updated to be Computer Science and 4

Results: Pass

3.3 Social Networking System

This section covers all tests related to functional requirements about interactions between friends.

1. FRT-SN1

Name: Successful Friend Request

Initial State: The user is logged in with the following account:

- Femail: FRT-SN1@test.com
- password: testing

Input: A valid email to send the request:

- Temail: FRT-SN1-F@test.com

Expected Output: A Friend request is sent to the target user and available to be accepted or rejected by the target user in the request list

Actual Output: A Friend request is sent to the target user and available to be accepted or rejected by the target user in the request list

Results: Pass

2. FRT-SN2

Name: Friend Request Acceptance

Initial State: A friend request was sent from an account (Femail) to the target account (Temail):

- Femail: FRT-SN1@test.com
- Temail: FRT-SN1-F@test.com

Input: The request is accepted

Expected Output: Two users are added to each other's friend lists

Actual Output: Two users are added to each other's friend lists

Results: Pass

3. FRT-SN3

Name: Successful Friend Rejection

Initial State: A friend request was sent from an account (Femail) to the target account (Temail):

- Femail: FRT-SN1@test.com
- Temail: FRT-SN1-F@test.com

Input: The request is rejected

Expected Output: The request is declined and no friend is added for both accounts

Actual Output: The request is declined and no friend is added for both accounts

Results: Pass

4. FRT-SN4

Name: Friend Deletion

Initial State: A friend (Femail) exist in the friend list of the test account (Temail):

- Temail: FRT-SN4@test.com
- Femail: FRT-SN4-F@test.com

Input: User deletes the chosen friend

Expected Output: The corresponding friend is deleted from the list

Actual Output: The corresponding friend FRT-SN4-F@test.com is deleted from the friend list

Results: Pass

5. FRT-SN5

Name: Friend Messaging

Initial State: A friend (Femail) exist in the friend list of the test account (Temail):

- Temail: FRT-SN5@test.com
- Femail: FRT-SN5-F@test.com

Input: Message: 'Hello World'

Expected Output: The corresponding message is sent to the friend

Actual Output: The corresponding message is sent to the friend

Results: Pass

6. FRT-SN6

Name: Friend Sharing Event

Initial State: A friend (Femail) exist in the friend list of the test account (Temail):

- Temail: FRT-SN6@test.com
- Femail: FRT-SN6-F@test.com

Input: Message that contains event name and follows some specific pattern: Hey, check this event: _E_[EXPO]

Expected Output: User is redirected to the event page with that event once they click on the message

Actual Output: User is redirected to the event page with a filter on event name: EXPO

Results: Pass

7. FRT-SN7

Name: Friend Sharing Lecture

Initial State: A friend (Femail) exist in the friend list of the test account (Temail):

- Temail: FRT-SN7@test.com
- Femail: FRT-SN7-F@test.com

Input: Message that contains lecture code and follows some specific pattern: 'Hey, are you in this lecture: _L_[SFRWENG 4G06]'

Expected Output: User is redirected to the lecture page with that lecture once they click on the message

Actual Output: User is redirected to the lecture page with a filter on lecture code: SFRWENG 4G06

Results: Pass

3.4 Lectures and Events

This section covers all tests related to functional requirements about lectures and events and how users can interact with them.

1. FRT-LE1

Name: Save Event

Initial State: A sample event:

- Name: EXPO

Input: On the event page, user clicks on the save button on the pop-up window with details of the sample event

Expected Output: The event is saved to the user's event list

Actual Output: The event EXPO is saved to the user's event list

Results: Pass

2. FRT-LE2

Name: Unsave Event

Initial State: A sample event that is already been saved:

- Name: EXPO

Input: On the event page, user clicks on the unsave button on the pop-up window with details of the sample event

Expected Output: The event is removed from the user's event list

Actual Output: The event EXPO is removed from the user's event list

Results: Pass

3. FRT-LE3

Name: Save Lecture

Initial State: A sample lecture:

- Code: SFWRENG 4G06

Input: On the lecture page, user clicks on the save button on the pop-up window with details of the sample lecture

Expected Output: The lecture is saved to the user's lecture list

Actual Output: The lecture SFWRENG 4G06 is saved to the user's lecture list

Results: Pass

4. FRT-LE4

Name: Unsave Lecture

Initial State: A sample lecture that is already been saved:

- Code: SFWRENG 4G06

Input: On the lecture page, user clicks on the unsave button on the pop-up window with details of the sample lecture

Expected Output: The lecture is removed from the user's lecture list

Actual Output: The lecture SFWRENG 4G06 is removed from the user's lecture list

Results: Pass

5. FRT-LE5

Name: Administrator Add Event

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

Input: Sample event:

- name: Test event
- description: Sample event for system test
- time: 0
- duration: 0
- location: Online
- isPublic: true
- organizer: Team 2

Expected Output: The event is added to the event list

Actual Output: The event Test event is added to the event list

Results: Pass

6. FRT-LE6

Name: Administrator Add Event With Existing Name

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com

- password: testing

Sample event exists:

- name: Test event
- description: Sample event for system test
- time: 0
- duration: 0
- location: Online
- isPublic: true
- organizer: Team 2

Input: New sample event:

- name: Test event
- description: New sample event
- time: 100
- duration: 30
- location: TBD
- isPublic: false
- organizer: TBD

Expcted Output: The sample event is update to be the same as the input event

Actual Output: The sample event is update to be the same as the input event

Results: Pass

7. FRT-LE7

Name: Administrator Edit Event

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

Input: Sample event name and new location:

- name: Test event
- location: ITB AB102

Expected Output: The test event location is updated to the new one

Actual Output: The test event location is updated to ITB AB102

Results: Pass

8. FRT-LE8

Name: Administrator Delete Event

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

Input: Sample event (already in the system) name:

- name: Test event

Expected Output: The event is deleted and disappears from the list

Actual Output: The Test event is deleted and disappears from the list

Results: Pass

9. FRT-LE9

Name: Administrator Add Lecture

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

Input: Sample lecture:

- code: TEST 1T03
- name: Test lecture
- time: 12:00 - 13:00, Mon

- location: Online
- instructor: NA

Expected Output: The lecture is added to the lecture list

Actual Output: The lecture TEST 1T03 is added to the lecture list

Results: Pass

10. FRT-LE10

Name: Administrator Add Lecture With Existing Code

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

A sample lecture exists:

- code: TEST 1T03
- name: Test lecture
- time: 12:00 - 13:00, Mon
- location: Online
- instructor: NA

Input: New sample lecture:

- code: TEST 1T03
- name: New test lecture
- time: 12:00 - 13:00, Wed
- location: TBD
- instructor: TBD

Expected Output: The sample lecture is update to be the same as the input lecture

Actual Output: The sample lecture is update to be the same as the input lecture

Results: Pass

11. **FRT-LE9**

Name: Administrator Edit Lecture

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

Input: Sample lecture name and new location:

- code: TEST 1T03
- location: ITB AB102

Expected Output: The test lecture location is updated to the new one

Actual Output: The test lecture location is updated to ITB AB102

Results: Pass

12. **FRT-LE10**

Name: Administrator Delete Lecture

Initial State: User is logged in as an administrator

- email: campusconnections@gmail.com
- password: testing

Input: Sample lecture (already in the system) name:

- code: TEST 1T03

Expected Output: The lecture is deleted and disappears from the list

Actual Output: The test lecture is deleted and disappears from the list

Results: Pass

13. **FRT-LE11**

Name: Event Information

Initial State: A sample event exists:

- name: EXPO

Input: User clicks on the sample event

Expected Output: All event information is shown in a pop-up window

Actual Output: All event information is shown in a pop-up window:

- name
- description
- location
- time
- duration
- organizer
- isPublic

Results: Pass

14. **FRT-LE12**

Name: Lecture Information

Initial State: A sample lecture exists:

- code: SFWRENG 4G06

Input: User clicks on the sample lecture

Expected Output: All lecture information is shown in a pop-up window

Actual Output: All lecture information is shown in a pop-up window:

- code
- name
- instructor
- time
- location

Results: Pass

15. FRT-LE13

Name: Lecture Filter by Code

Initial State: Some software engineering lectures exist in the system with the following course codes (other attributes of lecture do not affect the test and can be empty):

- SFWRENG 4G06
- SFWRENG 4E03
- COMPSCI 4TB3

Input: Filter:

- FilterString: SFWRENG

Expected Output: All lectures which do not contain the FilterString in the code are removed from the list (COMPSCI 4TB3 in this case)

Actual Output: All lectures which do not contain the SFWRENG in the code are removed from the list (COMPSCI 4TB3 in this case)

Results: Pass

16. FRT-LE14

Name: Event Filter by Name

Initial State: Some events exist in the system with following names (other attributes of event do not affect the test and can be empty):

- Job Fair: March 4
- Job Fair: March 10
- Rev 0 Demo

Input: Filter:

- FilterString: Job Fair

Expected Output: All events which do not contain the FilterString in the name are removed from the list (Rev 0 Demo in this case)

Actual Output: All events which do not contain the Job Fair in the name are removed from the list (Rev 0 Demo in this case)

Results: Pass

3.5 AR Camera

This section covers all tests related to functional requirements about AR camera.

1. FRT-AR1

Name: Successful Building Recognition

Initial State: User is at the front door of JHE

Input: Clear camera view

Expected Output: The building (JHE) is recognized with its name and description shown as an AR object

Actual Output: The building (JHE) is recognized with its name and description shown as an AR object

Results: Pass

2. FRT-AR2

Name: Unsuccessful Building Recognition

Initial State: User is out of campus

Input: Clear camera view

Expected Output: No AR objects are shown

Actual Output: No AR objects are shown

Results: Pass

3. FRT-AR3

Name: Building Lectures/Events

Initial State: User is in JHE lobby

Input: Clear camera view

Expected Output: Event and lecture information separated by room number at the corresponding locations of the building

Actual Output: Event and lecture information separated by room number at the corresponding locations of the building

Results: Pass

3.6 Map and Location

This section covers all tests related to functional requirements about the map and location tracking in the system.

1. FRT-MAP1

Name: User Location

Initial State: User allows the user to use their real-time location

Input: User enters the map page

Expected Output: A model representing the user shows up on the map and moves correspondingly when the user moves

Actual Output: A model representing the user shows up on the map and moves correspondingly when the user moves

Results: Pass

2. FRT-MAP2

Name: Friend Locations

Initial State: User has some friends who are willing to share locations:

- email1: MAP2-1@test.com
- email2: MAP2-2@test.com

Input: User enters the map page

Expected Output: Additional models representing friends show up on the map and move correspondingly when friends move

Actual Output: Additional models representing friends show up on the map and move correspondingly when friends move

Results: Pass

4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

The following section outlines the results of non-functional requirements testing. The process and test performed follow the [VnV Plan](#). Most of the tests are tested manually while some of them are tested in another way, for instance, load testing is tested with JMeter while some UI requirements are

tested by conducting a survey, etc. **Some of the tests fail because their related requirements are removed due to the change of the project's scope, these tests will be marked in red.** In general, most of the tests in the plan succeed, indicating that non-functional requirements in the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) document are covered.

4.1 Look and feel

This section corresponds to the Look and feel tests in VnV Plan and Look and Feel requirements in SRS.

1. NFRT-LF1

Name: Survey for feedback on application layout

Initial State: Survey taker is given an account:

- email: mtest@gmail.com
- password: mtesting

Input: Usability Survey in section 5

Expected Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “Immediate Visual Response when Clicking” and “Appealing Colour Scheme” questions get average scores that are great than MIN_SCORE

Actual Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “Immediate Visual Response when Clicking” and “Appealing Colour Scheme” questions get average scores of 3.8 and 4.8 respectively

Result: Pass

2. NFRT-LF2

Name: Visual inspection with different screen sizes

Input/Condition: User opens the application on the phone with all different screen sizes in the SCREEN_VIEWPORTS list

Expected Output: For all different pages all visual elements on the screen are within the borders of the screen for all screens in the SCREEN_VIEWPORTS list

Actual Output: All elements are within the borders of the screen without overlapping for all screen sizes in the SCREEN_VIEWPORTS list

Result: Pass

3. NFRT-LF3

Name: Visual inspection of color scheme

Initial State: NA

Input: User opens the application on the phone

Expected Output: For all different pages the colour scheme is the same

Actual Output: For all different pages the colour of elements and texts is always maroon, gold, black and white

Result: Pass

4.2 Usability and Humanity

This section corresponds to the Usability and Humanity tests in VnV Plan and Usability and Humanity requirements in SRS.

1. NFRT-UH1

Name: Survey for feedback on understandability and easy of use

Initial State: Survey taker is given an account:

- email: mtest@gmail.com
- password: mtesting

Input: Usability Survey in section [5](#)

Expected Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “No Technical or Software-Specific Language” question gets an average score that is great than MIN_SCORE

Actual Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “No Technical or Software-Specific Language” question gets an average score of 4

Result: Pass

2. NFRT-UH2

Name: Walkthrough of user guide

Input: GitHub web page (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: The participants can see the main features are explained in the GitHub repo

Actual Output: The participants can see the main features are explained in the GitHub repo

Result: Pass

3. NFRT-UH3

Name: Visual inspection of color contrast

Initial State: NA

Input: User opens the application checks the color contrast statically

Expected Output: The color contrast is greater than 4.5:1, the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines' AA standards for accessibility

Actual Output: The color contrasts are listed following:

- Maroon - White: 10.94:1
- White - Black: 21:1
- Gold - Black: 14.97:1
- Maroon - Gold: 7.8:1

Result: Pass

4.3 Performance

This section corresponds to the Performance tests in VnV Plan and Performance requirements in SRS.

1. NFRT-P1

Name: AR camera recognition

Initial State: The user is near or in a target building (JHE)

Input: User turns on AR camera

Expected Output: Corresponding AR objects appears within RECOGNITION_TIME

Actual Output: Corresponding AR objects appears within 1 second

Result: Pass

2. NFRT-P2

Name: Real-time location update

Initial State: User allows the application to use device location

Input: User turns on the map and walks around on campus

Expected Output: The user model on the map is updated within LOCATION_UPDATE_TIME when the user is moving

Actual Output: The user model is updated within 0.5 second if the user is outdoor, and indoor location update time is around 5 second (and sometimes not very accurate)

Result: Pass

3. NFRT-P3

Name: Code Walkthrough For User Personal Data

Input: Source code (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- User's personal information does not appear in the database if the user did not grant permission

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants that the user personal information is collected under permission only

Result: Pass

4. NFRT-P4

Name: Code Walkthrough For Data Transmission Encryption

Input: Source code (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- The product only transmits encrypted data from server to user

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants that the data send from/to the server are encrypted

Result: Pass

5. NFRT-P5

Name: Warning when starting AR camera

Initial State: User allows the application to use camera

Input: User turns on the AR camera

Expected Output: A warning telling the user to be aware of their surroundings is displayed upon start-up of the camera

Actual Output: A warning telling the user to be aware of their surroundings is displayed upon start-up of the camera

Result: Pass

6. NFRT-P6

Name: Leaving campus warning

Initial State: User opens the map

Input: User moves out of the campus

Expected Output: A warning message is displayed telling the user that the map is not available out of campus

Actual Output: A warning message is displayed telling the user that the map is not available out of campus and the user is redirected to the menu page once the warning message is closed

Result: Pass

7. NFRT-P7

Name: Special character warning

Initial State: User starts to register

Input: User enters nickname with some special character: ‘; DELETE *’

Expected Output: A warning message is displayed telling the user that the special characters are not allowed and stops the user from registering

Actual Output: A warning message is displayed telling the user that the special characters are not allowed and stops the user from registering

Result: Pass

8. NFRT-P8

Name: Email format warning

Initial State: User starts to register

Input: User enters a not email string in the email field: 'SELECT * FROM TABLE'

Expected Output: A warning message is displayed telling the user that the input is not an email address and stops the user from registering

Actual Output: A warning message is displayed telling the user that the input is not an email address and stops the user from registering

Result: Pass

9. NFRT-P9

Name: AR camera accuracy

Initial State: User is near or in a target building (JHE)

Input: User turns on the AR Camera and repeat multiple times

Expected Output: AR objects shows up for at least AR_ACCURACY * number of tests times

Actual Output: AR objects always shows up when walking around JHE lobby

Result: Pass

10. NFRT-P10

Name: Warning when internet connection is lost

Initial State: User has no internet connection

Input: User opens the application

Expected Output: There is a pop-up window telling the user the internet is lost

Actual Output: Nothing

Result: Fail

Reason: Due to a change in the scope of the project, the corresponding requirement is moved out of the scope, therefore this test fails because the feature is not implemented

11. NFRT-P11

Name: Rudimentary functions when the server connection is lost

Initial State: Server is turned down

Input: User opens the application

Expected Output: The application still works with limited functionalities

Actual Output: The lecture and event pages still work, the single user map still works, the friend system still works

Result: Pass

12. NFRT-P12

Name: Code inspection for server restart

Initial State: NA

Input: Server settings (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- Server attempts to restart when it crashes

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants that the server is set to restart once it goes down

Result: Pass

13. **NFRT-P13**

Name: AR camera help button

Initial State: User turns on the AR camera

Input: User clicks the help button

Expected Output: A message telling the user weather, light and decorations may affect AR camera appears

Actual Output: A message telling the user weather, light and decorations may affect AR camera appears

Result: Pass

14. **NFRT-P14**

Name: Load testing for server

Initial State: The server is online and open to connections

Input: Load testing with JMeter (see details in section 6)

Expected Output: The server is able to handle up to MAX_CAPACITY users connecting to the server simultaneously

Actual Output: The server is able to handle 1000 connections at the same time

Result: Pass

15. **NFRT-P15**

Name: Code inspection for database capacity

Initial State: NA

Input: Database documentation (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- The database has enough space to store all the user, lecture and event information

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants that the current plan has enough space for expected number of users

Result: Pass

16. NFRT-P16

Name: Code walkthrough for adding new building

Initial State: NA

Input: Source code (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- A new target building can be added without causing the application running any slower

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants that adding a new building is just like adding a new scene and will not affect the speed of the application

Result: Pass

17. NFRT-P17

Name: Code Peer Evaluation For Longevity

Initial State: NA

Input: Source code (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- The product is built in a robust manner, increasing the likelihood that it can operate for an extended period without major malfunctions.
- The application intentionally avoids features that may lead to compatibility issues and strives to develop a project that functions effectively across various versions of operating systems and devices.

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants that the application is robust enough to work without major malfunctions for a long time and the finalized product will remain compatible with recent promised operating systems and devices.

Result: Pass

4.4 Operational and Environmental

This section corresponds to the Operational and Environmental tests in VnV Plan and Operational and Environmental requirements in SRS.

1. NFRT-OE1

Name: Visual inspection for application download

Initial State: User has a phone that uses Android 11 or above

Input: User wants to download the application

Expected Output: The product can be downloaded from an APK file directly

Actual Output: The application can be downloaded from an APK file the team releases

Result: Pass

4.5 Maintainability and Support

1. NFRT-MS1

Name: Survey for maintenance time

Initial State: Survey taker is given an account:

- email: mtest@gmail.com
- password: mtesting

Input/Condition: Usability Survey in section 5

Expected Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “Common periods of usage” question gets an average score that is great than MIN_SCORE

Actual Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “Common periods of usage” questions get average scores of 3.2

Result: Fail

Reason: It seems that students may use the application after school

2. NFRT-MS2

Name: Check for feature request

Initial State: A public GitHub repo exists for this application

Input: User goes to the GitHub repo

Expected Output: User can read issues created by the team and also create new issues

Actual Output: User can read issues created by the team and also create new issues

Result: Pass

3. NFRT-MS3

Name: Android version test

Initial State: NA

Input: The application is installed on devices with Android 11 and above version

Expected Output: The application works without any error about compatibility

Actual Output: The application works without any error about compatibility

Result: Pass

4.6 Security

1. NFRT-S1

Name: Access test

Initial State: Three accounts with different accesses is ready:

- Admin: campusconnections@gmail.com
- User: mtest@gmail.com
- Guest gtest@gmail.com

Input: The user starts the application with the three accounts

Expected Output: At each level of access, the application constrains the possible actions to what is specified in requirement S-A1, S-A2, S-A3.

Actual Output: Possible Actions:

- Administrator: Everything, include Add/Edit/Delete activities
- User: Friend system, Profile system, lecture and event viewing, map system
- Guest: Public event viewing, single-user map, Profile system

Result: Pass

4.7 Privacy

1. NFRT-PRV1

Name: Code inspection for legitimate use of personal data

Initial State: NA

Input: Source code (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- The usage of a user's personal information by the product abides by the Privacy Act, The Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act, and Canada and Ontario's data protection laws

Actual Output: Convinced the participants that the personal data we collect is handled legitimately

Result: Pass

2. NFRT-PRV2

Name: Code inspection for removing unused accounts

Initial State: NA

Input: Source code (see details in section 7)

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- Any accounts that are not active for a long time (a semester) will be removed from the system

Actual Output: Convinced the participants that the authentication system and database will clean inactive data every semester

Result: Pass

4.8 Culture

1. NFRT-CUL1

Name: Survey for feedback on cultural requirements

Initial State: Survey taker is given an account:

- email: mtest@gmail.com
- password: mtesting

Input/Condition: Usability Survey in section [5](#)

Expected Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “Cultural Friendliness” question gets an average score that is great than MIN_SCORE

Actual Output: Tasks are completed successfully and “Cultural Friendliness” questions get average scores of 4.8

Result: Pass

4.9 Compliance

1. NFRT-COM1

Name: Code walkthrough on compliance requirements

Initial State: NA

Input: Source code (see details in section [7](#))

Expected Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- The data collected will be handled as per the same legal requirements for the university
- The application can abide by the guidelines set by university staff

Actual Output: Successfully convinced the participants the following:

- The data collected will be handled as per the same legal requirements for the university
- The application can abide by the guidelines set by university staff

Result: Pass

5 Usability Survey Result

We first conduct the survey on 5 students, and it seems that we are getting repetitive feedback, so we assume this is already a good sample size. The result of the survey is the following:

5.1 Tasks:

- Administrator: 1 tester - all tasks are completed successfully
- User: 3 testers - all tasks are completed successfully
- Guest: 1 tester - all tasks are completed successfully


5.2 Rating

- Immediate Visual Response when Clicking: **3.8**

Immediate Visual Response: The application provides good visual feedback when using different features or switching between pages.

 Copy

5 responses




- Appealing Colour Scheme: **4.8**

Appealing Colour Scheme: The colour scheme chosen for the interface is similar to McMaster official application.

 Copy

5 responses




- No Technical or Software-specific Language: **4**

Understand-ability: The product does not use technical/software-specific language unless necessary and all texts and buttons behave in the expected manner.

 Copy

5 responses




- Common periods of usage: **3.2, Fail**

Usability: You are likely to use this application (for its intended purpose) at McMaster in class time.

[Copy](#)

5 responses




- Cultural Friendliness: **4.8**

Appropriateness: The application does not have any words or symbols that are offensive to you.

[Copy](#)

5 responses



5.3 Open-ended Question

- Most difficult to use feature: **AR camera, pin, chatting**
 1. The most difficult to use feature is probably the AR camera feature as it requires the user to use look through their phone camera while in buildings on campus. Additionally, it is also probably the most cool aspect of the app.
 2. The lecture list isn't intuitive, there should be some calendar feature to show what days each lecture is running instead of just clicking on them, and maybe some more filters for building/location/course content.

3. The "pin" feature being hidden in the settings and the "AR Camera" not providing any feedback as to why we weren't seeing any were both confusing
 4. The pinned/bookmarked events and lectures show up in a location (in settings) that is somewhat difficult to find. You also can't tap them to see more information about them on the pinned screen which is inconvenient.
 5. Friend and chatting is unintuitive, don't know who I'm chatting with.
- Most likely to use feature: **Lecture/Event, AR camera**
 1. Probably also the feature for looking up lecture events and times. This is useful as I can just open the app and view where specific lectures are located and choose to attend them or not.
 2. I would use the AR camera feature to see interesting building history in between classes.
 3. The feature that provided a schedule of all of the events was very nice
 4. I could see the list of events at different locations being pretty useful, would be a good way to keep track of what's going on at Mac all in one place.
 5. Events can be useful to see what is going on on campus and save events we want to go to

6 Load Test

This section covers results load testing of the backend server in details. Testing was performed using Apache JMeter to simulate multiple concurrent users accessing the backend chat server. The objective of the test was to find the upper bound of concurrent users that can access the server without it crashing. We discovered that it is rather difficult to crash a server being hosted on a cloud platform as there are several safeguards that prevent that. Instead, we noticed that if too many connections are being made too quickly, then the server will just reject the most recently requested connections.

We tested with various amounts of simulated users and observed how the server behaved. The results are plotted in the chart below.

No. of Users	Server Rejected Connections?	Server Crashed?
1	No	No
10	No	No
100	No	No
500	No	No
1000	No	No
5000	Yes	No
10000	Yes	No

Table 1: Load Testing Results

We also observed analytics data from Microsoft Azure concerning the average response time of the server in relation to the number of requests.




Figure 1: Requests vs. Response Time

There does not appear to be an observable trend. We believe this is because the test was limited by the amount of connections that could be made from one device. Given a sufficient testing setup it should be possible to show a trend between the amount of requests and the response time.

7 Non dynamic Tests Result

The weekly meetings mentioned in the VnV Plan, such as the weekly code review and TA feedback sessions, will not be detailed in this section due to their frequency and the fact that many of the conclusions drawn in these meetings have already been integrated and addressed in other sections, particularly non-requirements. However, their meeting minutes are accessible on our GitHub repository for those interested in further details.

Table 2: Nondynamic Test Result

Test Method	Result	Related Test(s)
Code Inspection for user data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • User permission: Pass, the application forces to user to sign a consent form when they register • Legitimate use of data: Pass, the supervisor points out that data are not used out of the application for any business purpose • Compliance: Pass, nothing violates McMaster privacy policies 	NFRT-P3, NFRT-PRV1, NFRT-COM1
Database Walk-through	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity: Pass, the free plan has a storage of 1GB data, which is enough for our users at this stage • Clean: Pass, Firebase Authentication support some cloud functions to clean inactive users 	NFRT-P15, NFRT-PRV2
Server & Data Transmission Walkthrough	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restart: Pass, the server is set to restart when it crashes • Encryption: pass, the data is encrypted in https 	NFRT-P4, NFRT-P12
Longevity Peer Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Longevity: Pass, nothing in the code is dependent on the version of the device 	NFRT-P17

Table 3: Nondynamic Test Result Cont


Test Method	Result	Related Test(s)
Code Walkthrough with Primary Reviewers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issues: Pass, ia new building can be added like a game object in the project 	NFTR-P16
GitHub Walkthrough with Reviewers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issues: Pass, issues are public to read and write in the repo Instructions: Pass, there are instructions for developers and users in the repo 	NFRT-UH2, NFRT-MS2

8 Comparison to Existing Implementation

Not applicable to this project since there is no existing implementation.

9 Unit Testing

The following section outlines the results of unit testing. The process and test performed follow the [VnV Plan](#). **Due to the time constraint, we skipped the unit tests for Database and Authentication modules, but we will add unit tests for them in Revision 1.** Other than the two modules, all the tests pass, which indicates the low level modules of the system is implemented correctly and robustly.



9.1 User

This section contains tests related to the module: User in module hierarchy.

1. FRT-M1-1

Name: Successful default user creation

Initial State: NA

Input: User identifier, email: unitTest@test.com

Expected Output: A user is successfully created with following attributes:

- email: unitTest@test.com
- nickname: ''
- photoURI: null
- program: ''
- level: 0
- lectures: empty list of string
- event: empty list of string
- friends: empty list of string
- friendInvitation: empty list of string

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

2. FRT-M1-2

Name: Successful new user creation

Initial State: NA

Input: User:

- email: unitTest@test.com
- nickname: 'Mr. Unit Test'
- photoURI: http://example.com/photo.jpg
- program: 'software engineering'
- level: 1
- lectures: {'Lecture 1', 'Lecture 2'}
- event: {'Event 1', 'Event 2'}
- friends: {'unitTest1@test.com', 'unitTest2@test.com'}
- friendInvitation: {'unitTest3@test.com'}

Expected Output: A user is successfully created with attributes above

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

9.2 Event

This section contains tests related to the module: Event in module hierarchy.

1. FRT-M2-1

Name: Successful default event creation

Initial State: NA

Input: Event identifier, name: unit test event

Expected Output: An event is successfully created with following attributes:

- name: unit test event
- description: PLACEHOLDER
- organizer: PLACEHOLDER
- duration: DEFAULT_DURATION
- time: DEFAULT_TIME
- isPublic: false
- location: PLACEHOLDER

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

2. FRT-M2-2

Name: Successful new event creation

Initial State: NA

Input: Event:

- name: unit test event2
- description: A unit test sample event
- organizer: Team 2
- duration: 30
- time: 1708922625
- isPublic: true

- location: Online

Expected Output: An event is successfully created with attributes above

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

9.3 Lecture

This section contains tests related to the module: Lecture in module hierarchy.

1. FRT-M3-1

Name: Successful default lecture creation

Initial State: NA

Input: Lecture identifier, code: UNIT TEST3

Expected Output: A lecture is successfully created with following attributes:

- code: UNIT TEST3
- name: PLACEHOLDER
- instructor: PLACEHOLDER
- time: PLACEHOLDER
- location: PLACEHOLDER

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

2. FRT-M3-2

Name: Successful new lecture creation

Initial State: NA

Input: Lecture:

- code: UNIT TEST3
- name: Unit test Lecture

- instructor: Team 2
- time: 12:30 - 13:30, Mon
- location: Online

Expected Output: A lecture is successfully created with attributes above

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

9.4 Pagination

This section contains tests related to the module: Pagination and Filter in module hierarchy.

1. FRT-M4-1

Name: Successful pagination creation

Initial State: NA

Input: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString are null

Expected Output: A pagination class is created with following attributes:

- entryList: List of pizza from input
- filteredList: same as entryList
- maxPage: 1
- currentPage: 1
- filterBy: null
- filterString: null

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

2. FRT-M4-2

Name: Successful pagination creation with filter

Initial State: NA

Input: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie
- medium, pepperoni

pageCount = 2, filterBy = 'size' and filterString = 'medium'

Expected Output: A pagination class is created with following attributes:

- entryList: List of pizza from input
- filteredList: List of medium pizza only
- maxPage: 1
- currentPage: 1
- filterBy: 'size'
- filterString: 'medium'

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

3. FRT-M4-3

Name: Successful pagination addition

Initial State: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString = null

Input: New pizza added to the list:

- medium, pepperoni

Expected Output: A pagination class is created with following attributes:

- entryList: List of all three pizza
- filteredList: same as entryList
- maxPage: 2
- currentPage: 1
- filterBy: null
- filterString: null

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

4. FRT-M4-4

Name: Successful pagination deletion

Initial State: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie
- medium, pepperoni

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString = null

Input: New pizza to be deleted from the list:

- medium, pepperoni

Expected Output: A pagination class is created with following attributes:

- entryList: List of two pizza (cheese and veggie)
- filteredList: same as entryList
- maxPage: 1
- currentPage: 1
- filterBy: null
- filterString: null

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

5. FRT-M4-5

Name: Next Page

Initial State: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie
- medium, pepperoni

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString = null

Input: Call next page method twice

Expected Output: Current page is updated only once:

- currentPage: 2

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

6. FRT-M4-6

Name: Previous Page

Initial State: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie
- medium, pepperoni

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString = null

Call next page method first

Input: Call previous page method twice

Expected Output: Current page is updated only once:

- currentPage: 1

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

7. FRT-M4-7

Name: Last Page

Initial State: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie
- medium, pepperoni

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString = null

Input: Call last page method

Expected Output: Current page is updated:

- currentPage: 2

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

8. FRT-M4-8

Name: First Page

Initial State: List of Pizza:

- medium, cheese
- large, veggie
- medium, pepperoni

pageCount = 2, filterBy and filterString = null

Call next page method first

Input: Call first page method

Expected Output: Current page is updated:

- currentPage: 1

Actual Output: Same as expected output

Result: Pass

9.5 Authentication

This section contains tests related to the module: Authentication in module hierarchy. Due to the time constraint, we will finish unit tests for this module in Revision 1 following the same pattern

1. FRT-M5-1

Name: Get current user email

Initial State: Current user email: 'unitTest@test.com'

Input: NA

Expected Output: The email in the initial state

Actual Output: TBD

Result: TBD

2. FRT-M5-2

Name: Is email verified

Initial State: Current user is email verified: true

Input: NA

Expected Output: The boolean value in initial state

Actual Output: TBD

Result: TBD

3. FRT-M5-3

Name: Verify email

Initial State: Current user email: 'unitTest@test.com'

Input: Call verify email method

Expected Output: An email is sent to the email address to verify the email

Actual Output: TBD

Result: TBD

9.6 Database

This section contains tests related to the module: Database in module hierarchy. Due to the time constraint, we will finish unit tests for this module in Revision 1 following the same pattern

1. FRT-M6-1

Name: Get value

Initial State: NA

Input: A valid path to a test node: ‘/events/public/EXPO/name’

Expected Output: The corresponding value of the node: EXPO

Actual Output: TBD

Result: TBD

2. FRT-M6-2

Name: Set value

Initial State: NA

Input: A valid path to a test node and a new value:

- path: ‘/events/public/EXPO/description’
- value: ‘EXPO, yes!’

Expected Output: The corresponding value changes to the new value

Actual Output: TBD

Result: TBD

3. FRT-M6-3

Name: Delete data

Initial State: NA

Input: A valid path to a test node : ‘/events/public/EXPO’

Expected Output: The corresponding event is removed

Actual Output: TBD

Result: TBD

10 Changes Due to Testing

10.1 Changes due to Rev 0 demo feedback

In Revision 0 of the demonstration, our instructor, Dr. Smith, pointed out that certain features, such as access control and the event list page, had not yet been implemented. These aspects were accorded higher priority in the development plan and have been successfully completed.

10.2 Changes due to supervisor's feedback

During the weekly meeting, our supervisor, Dr. Yuan, emphasized the necessity of reducing the sample size for the usability survey at this stage, which had previously been set at 50. Additionally, Dr. Yuan suggested incorporating tasks into the survey to facilitate thorough testing of the application by users. Consequently, the team collectively decided to integrate tasks and open-ended questions into the usability survey. This adjustment aims to gather more insightful feedback, discerning which aspects users like most and pinpointing features requiring improvement from a usability perspective.

10.3 Changes due to usability test result

The only test fails is the “Common periods of usage” rating question. It has come to light that survey participants express a preference for utilizing the application after school so that they won't miss any events happen in the evening. This observation is directly linked to maintenance requirement MS-M1. The team will change that major update time in that requirement to be summer break or weekend midnight to affect fewer users.

The absence of instructions for the AR camera has been repeatedly highlighted in the feedback. Consequently, the team will resolve this issue by adding instructions when user clicks on the help button.

Participants have noted that saved lectures and events are not readily accessible, as they are currently hidden within the settings. In response to this feedback, the team will create a link to the saved lectures and events page directly from the list pages.

Each of the aforementioned changes will be documented as a separate issue in the GitHub repo for future discussion.

10.4 Changes due to load test result

The load test in section 6 shows that NFRT-P16 passes without any issues – with fewer than 1000 users connecting to the application, the server handles the load effectively, as indicated by the nearly negligible response time observed in the figure. However, it is crucial to note that upon scaling up to accommodate approximately 40,000 concurrent requests after Revision 1, the server begins to reject connections and getting a significant increase in response time. Therefore, this is a good warning for the team regarding the need to scale the server infrastructure adequately to support a larger user base.

10.5 Changes due to failing tests

NFRT-P10 fails due to a change of the scope. The team will update SRS and VnV Plan so that internet connection lost warning is no longer a requirement.

10.6 Changes due to user enumeration vulnerability

If granted additional time, the team will implement the following changes:

User enumeration occurs when a malicious actor utilizes brute-force techniques to guess or confirm valid users within the system. In our application, this vulnerability can occur during the login process, where attackers can determine the validity of an email by analyzing error messages. Therefore, the team proposes implementing a more generic error message during login attempts (FRT-UA4). Additionally,, a new test should be added to verify unsuccessful login attempts using an email not registered in the system.

On the other hand, the password recovery page effectively prevents this type of attack by only checking for email format without revealing whether the email exists in the system.

Moreover, there are concerns regarding attackers exploiting server response times to detect user existence but that does not seem to be a problem with our application as Firebase’s real-time database responds to valid and invalid login attempts with indistinguishable time differences.

Considering the project’s scope and potential visibility (most of our customers will be students and department staff), frequent enumeration attacks

are unlikely. Nevertheless, the aforementioned measures should sufficiently safeguard against such attacks.

11 Automated Testing

The tests are run from local machine as there were difficulties in implementing GitHub Action for it. When attempting to setup the automated tests, there were issues due to how Vuforia was not a package that could be imported automatically. This caused problems as the program failed to build automatically. The package was too big to be included in the repository, and Large File Storage had limits. Due to the above reason, automated tests could not be performed through GitHub Actions, they could be run on local. Parts of the below documentation is based on what was planned, and what is planned for phase 2 of the development.

11.1 Testing Instruments

The tests were performed using Unity Testing Framework, NUnit made for Unity. The test files are located in multiple files with the respective names of the modules they are testing under Assets/Editor. OpenCover was planned for coverage testing and will produce a report into XML file. These results are visible after the automated tests are completed. The CI with GitHub Actions will be completed in phase 2.

11.2 Linters

Linters were added into CI using Super-linter. This is an open source linter maintained and used by many people, which shows its reliability. The linter only checks the new contents in the repository, making it efficient and concise. The code linter has been active and we have fixed issues brought up by the test results such as duplicate code and formatting issues. The results of linters are visible under the GitHub Actions tab.

12 Trace to Requirements

See Traceability tables between Test Cases and Requirements in [VnV Plan](#)

13 Trace to Modules

See Traceability tables between Test Cases and Modules in [VnV Plan](#), notice that M5 and M6 are not completed yet in this iteration.

14 Code Coverage Metrics

The code coverage report can be seen in the [zip folder](#). The report contains all files in the project, which some are libraries or Unity files. The relevant code coverage data is shown in the table below.

Due to the time constraints, authentication and database tests were pushed to phase 2. The testing files are located in [folder](#).

Module	Code Coverage	Test Module
User	100%	UserTest
Pagination	95.8%	PaginationTest
Event	100%	EventTest
Lecture	100%	LectureTest

Appendix

14.1 Symbolic Parameters

Check the Symbolic Parameter Table in [VnV Plan](#) for more details.

The following table only contains symbols that appear only in the report

Table 4: **Symbolic Parameter Table**

Symbolic Parameter	Description	Value
Femail	The friend email, an email that exists in the application database, usually used with target email to test user communication	Value depends on the test it belongs to
Temail	The target email, an email that exists in the application database, usually used with friend email to test user communication	Value depends on the test it belongs to

14.2 Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection. Please answer the following question:

1. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)

The execution of our testing plan was very different from how we initially envisioned in our VnV Plan. The VnV plan was written before any implementation had been done. This resulted in us making several assumptions about how the final product would work. Of course, as our project evolved, many of our assumptions would need to be changed.

One of the main ways our actual VnV testing effort varied was the scope of what was tested. Due to time constraints, some of our planned features needed to be cut. This resulted in some proposed test cases no longer being applicable. While it is natural for requirements and scope to change throughout a project's life, the invalidation of these tests could potentially have been mitigated by creating the VnV Plan when the structure of the project is more concrete. In future VnV planning, we would either do our VnV planning after completing some of the implementation, or by iterating on the previous VnV by making amendments and revisions.

Another thing that impacted our VnV testing effort was our level of familiarity with the testing tools available and how they interacted with our technology stack. For example, we initially wanted to test our database-facing front-end code using the Unity Test Framework (UTF). Due to our lack of experience with UTF and Firebase, we assumed that it would be possible to test Firebase code using UTF. This ended up not being the case and the tests that were planned were scrapped or pushed to our feature waiting list. In future VnV planning, we would put more effort into learning the tools and technology needed to test our project before coming up with test plans.

Comparing how our testing efforts went with how we planned them taught us that it is best to be conservative with the scope of features as some development time must be allocated to testing those features. We also learned to value iterative improvement by keeping the documentation “alive” with revisions along with the project. Lastly, we gained experience with a wide variety of testing tools and techniques that will be valuable in future VnV planning and execution.