INFO20003 Tutorial – Week 9

(Tutorial: Normalisation)

Objectives:

This tutorial will cover:

- I. Review of normalisation concepts 15 mins
- II. Normalisation exercises 35 mins

Key Concepts:

NOTE for students: This is a brief summary of some of the concepts taught in lectures. The lectures contain detailed content related to these and many more concepts. These notes should be considered quick revision instead of a sole resource for the course material.

Anomalies

Consider the following instance of the relation Allocation:

| CourseNumber | Tutor | Room | Seats |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| INFO20003 | Farah | Alice Hoy 109 | 30 |
| COMP10001 | Farah | EDS 6 | 25 |
| INFO30005 | Patrick | Sidney Myer G09 | 20 |
| COMP20005 | Alan | Sidney Myer G09 | 20 |

- Functional dependency
- Determinants
- Key and non-key attributes
- Partial functional dependency
- Transitive functional dependency
- Armstrong's Axioms
- Normalisation and normal forms

Exercises:

1. Consider the relation Diagnosis with the schema Diagnosis (DoctorID, DocName, PatientID, DiagnosisClass) and the following functional dependencies:

DoctorID → DocName

DoctorID, PatientID → DiagnosisClass

Consider the following instance of Diagnosis:

| DoctorID | DocName | PatientID | DiagnosisClass |
|----------|----------|-----------|---------------------|
| D001 | Alicia | P888 | Flu |
| D002 | John | P999 | Lactose intolerance |
| D003 | Jennifer | P000 | Flu |
| D002 | John | P111 | Fever |

Identify different anomalies that can arise from this schema using the above instance.

2. Consider a relation R (A, B, C, D) with the following FDs:

$$AB \rightarrow C$$
, $AC \rightarrow B$, $BC \rightarrow A$, $B \rightarrow D$

The possible candidate keys of R are AB, AC, and BC, since each of those combinations is sufficient to uniquely identify each record. Let's consider AB for instance. From AB \rightarrow C we see that AB uniquely identifies C, and since B alone uniquely identifies D, AB together have covered CD, i.e. the entire set of attributes.

List all the functional dependencies that violate 3NF. If any, decompose R accordingly. After decomposition, check if the resulting relations are in 3NF, if not decompose further.

3. Consider the following relation StaffPropertyInspection:

StaffPropertyInspection (propertyNo, pAddress, iDate, iTime, comments, staffNo, sName)

The FDs stated below hold for this relation:

```
propertyNo, iDate \rightarrow iTime, comments, staffNo, sName propertyNo \rightarrow pAddress staffNo \rightarrow sName
```

From these FDs, it is safe to assume that propertyNo and iDate can serve as a primary key. Your task is to normalise this relation to 3NF. Remember in order to achieve 3NF, you first need to achieve 1NF and 2NF.

Take-Home Exercises (not covered in tutes):

4. The following Report table is used by a publishing house to keep track of the editing and design of books by a number of authors:

| report_no | editor | dept_no | dept_name | dept_addr | author_id | auth_name | auth_addr |
|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 4216 | woolf | 15 | design | argus1 | 53 | mantel | cs-tor |
| 4216 | woolf | 15 | design | argus1 | 44 | bolton | mathrev |
| 4216 | woolf | 15 | design | argus1 | 71 | koenig | mathrev |
| 5789 | koenig | 27 | analysis | argus2 | 26 | fry | folkstone |
| 5789 | koenig | 27 | analysis | argus2 | 38 | umar | prise |
| 5789 | koenig | 27 | analysis | argus2 | 71 | koenig | mathrev |

By looking at the data, we see that functional dependencies in the Report table are the following:

```
report_no → editor, dept_no
dept_no → dept_name, dept_addr
author id → auth name, author addr
```

The candidate key for this relation is (report_no, author_id) since we need these two attributes to uniquely identify each record. Thus we have:

Report (<u>report_no</u>, editor, dept_no, dept_name, dept_addr, <u>author_id</u>, auth_name, auth_addr)

- a. Is the Report table in 2NF? If not, put the table in 2NF.
- b. Are there any insert, update or delete anomalies with these 2NF relations?
- 5. Consider the following relation:

Class (<u>courseNumber</u>, roomNumber, instructorName, <u>studentNumber</u>, workshopNumber, grade, tutor)

The following functional dependencies hold for this relation:

 $workshopNumber \rightarrow tutor \\ studentNumber, courseNumber \rightarrow grade, workshopNumber \\ courseNumber \rightarrow roomNumber, instructorName$

Normalise this relation into 3NF.

END OF TUTORIAL