

## Introductory Macroeconomics

Pre-Tutorial #4  
Week Starting 29 March 2021

**The Tutorial.** This week's tutorial provides more examples of working with the Keynesian model.

Note that your tutor is under no obligation to go through the answers to the pre-tutorial work in detail. The focus in the tutorial will be on the tutorial work itself – the questions here are preparatory.

**Reading Guide.** You should look carefully over your lecture notes for Weeks 3 and 4. You may also find Chapter 8 of BOFAH useful.

**Key Concepts.** Fiscal policy. The multiplier. Leakeages and injections.

**Problems.**

1. Consider a Keynesian model where net taxes collected depend on the state of the economy

$$T = \bar{T} + tY$$

with marginal tax rate  $t$ . The rest of the economy is standard

$$C = \bar{C} + c(Y - T)$$

$$I = \bar{I}$$

$$G = \bar{G}$$

Suppose the specific numerical values  $\bar{C} = 1600$ ,  $\bar{I} = 1000$ ,  $\bar{G} = 1800$ , marginal propensity to consume  $c = 0.8$ , and for the tax system  $\bar{T} = 3000$  and marginal tax rate  $t = 0.01$  (okay, so this tax rate is not descriptively realistic — just go with it).

- (a) Find a numerical equation relating planned aggregate expenditure to output.
  - (b) Solve for short-run equilibrium output.
  - (c) Suppose potential output is  $Y^* = 10000$ . What marginal tax rate  $t$  would achieve full employment?
  - (d) Show your result graphically using the 45-degree diagram and explain how the change in the marginal tax rate  $t$  identified in part (c) has enabled full employment to be achieved.
2. Using the Keynesian model described in Question 1 above, derive an expression for the multiplier

$$\frac{dY}{d\bar{G}}$$

associated with an increase in government purchases  $\bar{G}$ . Explain how the value of the government purchases multiplier varies depending on the value of the marginal propensity to consume  $c$  and the marginal tax rate  $t$ .

## Solutions to Pre-Tutorial Work.

1. (a) Write planned aggregate expenditure as

$$PAE = C + I + G$$

Substituting in the given equations

$$PAE = \bar{C} + c(Y - \bar{T} - tY) + \bar{I} + \bar{G}$$

where  $\bar{C} = 1600$ ,  $c = 0.8$ ,  $\bar{T} = 3000$ ,  $t = 0.01$ ,  $\bar{I} = 1000$ , and  $\bar{G} = 1800$ . If we plug these values into the right hand side, we get a numerical equation relating PAE to output  $Y$ .

- (b) If we impose in equilibrium that  $PAE = Y$  and collect terms we get

$$(1 - c + ct)Y = \bar{C} - c\bar{T} + \bar{I} + \bar{G}$$

Hence

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - c + ct} (\bar{C} - c\bar{T} + \bar{I} + \bar{G}) \quad (*)$$

Plugging in the given numerical values

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - 0.8 + 0.8(0.01)} (1600 - 0.8(3000) + 1000 + 1800) = 9615.4$$

- (c) We can use equation (\*) to solve this problem. We look for the value of  $t$  that makes  $Y = Y^* = 10000$ , that is, the value of  $t$  that solves

$$10000 = \frac{1}{1 - 0.8 + 0.8t} (1600 - 0.8(3000) + 1000 + 1800)$$

This is equivalent to

$$10000(1 - 0.8 + 0.8t) = 2000$$

which solves for  $t = 0$ . That is, we need the marginal tax rate to be set to equal zero to achieve a level of output of  $Y = Y^* = 10000$ .

- (d) The first key feature is that PAE is parallel to consumption but higher by the amount of  $\bar{I} + \bar{G}$ . The second key feature of the diagram is that the reduction in the tax rate makes the PAE curve *steeper*. Looking at our equation for PAE we can see the slope is given by  $c(1 - t)$ . A decrease in the tax rate increases after-tax disposable income (other things equal). Households now retain a larger amount of disposable income as income increases. This increases consumption for any given level of  $Y$  with the marginal propensity to consume,  $c$ , unchanged.

2. Following the steps to get equation (\*) above, short-run equilibrium output is given by

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - c + ct} (\bar{C} - c\bar{T} + \bar{I} + \bar{G})$$

The government purchases multiplier is given by the derivative of  $Y$  with respect to  $\bar{G}$ , namely

$$\frac{dY}{d\bar{G}} = \frac{1}{1 - c + ct}$$

If  $c$  increases (and assuming  $t < 1$ ), then the denominator becomes smaller and hence the multiplier becomes larger. If  $t$  increases, then the denominator becomes larger and the multiplier becomes smaller.

